Conversion of a yeast prion protein to an infectious form in bacteria
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Prions are infectious, self-propagating protein aggregates that have been implicated in a group of devastating mammalian neurodegenerative diseases (1). The discovery of a prion-like phenomenon in yeast and other fungi has led to profound advances in the understanding of prion biogenesis (2, 3). Prion proteins in mammals as well as fungi typically form highly structured β sheet-rich fibrils, known as amyloids, upon conversion to the infectious, prion form (4, 5, 1–3). However, unlike mammalian prions, yeast prions do not result in cell death, but instead act as inheritable, protein-based genetic elements, conferring on the cell new phenotypic traits that are propagated through cell division (6, 7). Although work over the last 15 years has uncovered a growing number of prions and prospective prion proteins in evolutionarily divergent members of the fungal kingdom (8–14), it is not yet known how pervasive prions are in nature; more specifically, it is not known whether bacteria contain prions or whether the bacterial cytoplasm can support the formation of prions. The study of yeast prions has revealed an essential interplay between prion proteins and cellular chaperone proteins (15, 16); thus, it is of particular interest to learn whether the bacterial chaperone environment is permissive for the formation of prion-like aggregates.

To investigate whether the bacterial cytoplasm can support the formation of infectious amyloid, we sought to determine whether a yeast prion protein could access an infectious conformation in Escherichia coli cells and that this process recapitulates certain features of the conversion process as it occurs in the native yeast context. Our findings thus establish the feasibility of using bacterial-based models for studying prion formation.

Results

Aggregation State of NM-GFP Fusion Protein in E. coli Cells. To investigate whether the prion-forming module of Sup35 (hereafter referred to as NM) can form amyloid aggregates in E. coli cells, we constructed a plasmid vector designed to direct the inducible synthesis of high levels of NM fused to GFP. To facilitate our analysis, we used two well-studied NM variants in addition to the WT NM moiety: one (NMfl) that has a greatly reduced ability to undergo spontaneous conversion to the prion form in yeast cells and another (NMΔfl) that has a greatly enhanced ability to convert to the prion form (20). These variants differ from the WT (NMWT) in having either fewer (NMflR2E2) or more (NMΔflR2E2) copies of the critical oligopeptide repeat sequence (Fig. 1A).

Cells containing each of the fusion proteins were examined by fluorescence microscopy at various times after the induction of fusion protein synthesis. Over time, cells containing the NMflR2E2-GFP fusion protein developed one or two fluorescent foci (consistent with fusion protein aggregation); cells containing the NMWT-GFP fusion protein developed somewhat less brilliant foci; and cells containing the NMΔfl-GFP fusion protein exhibited diffuse fluorescence only (Fig. 1 B–D). The presence of visible fluorescent foci in cells containing either the NMWT-GFP or the NMΔflR2E2-GFP fusion protein, but not in cells containing the NMfl-GFP fusion protein, is consistent with what is observed in [PSI+] yeast cells producing these fusion proteins (20). SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis of the cell extracts indicated that these differences in aggregation behavior were not due to differences in intracellular fusion protein levels (Fig. S1A).

To determine whether E. coli cells exhibiting fluorescent foci reminiscent of those seen in [PSI+] yeast cells also contained NM-GFP fusion protein in an amyloid-like conformation, we analyzed...
the bacterial cell extracts using semidenaturing detergent agarose gel electrophoresis (SDD-AGE), which permits the visualization of SDS-stable amyloid polymers (21–23). Consistent with previous observations, we readily detected the higher-molecular-weight smear characteristic of Sup35-derived amyloid when we examined extract derived from [PSI+] yeast cells containing plasmid-encoded NM-GFP; in contrast, we detected only soluble protein when we examined the bacterial extracts (Fig. 1E). Examination of E. coli extracts containing a similar NM-YFP fusion protein also revealed mostly soluble material, although faint higher-molecular-weight smears were detectable in some experiments (Fig. 2). The latter observation suggests that although the bulk of the NM-GFP fusion protein has evidently not accessed a mature amyloid conformation in E. coli despite the appearance of fluorescent foci in cells containing either the NMWT-GFP or the NMAGEE-GFP fusion protein, a small fraction (below the threshold of detection in the experiment of Fig. 1E) may be in the amyloid conformation. Our results below are consistent with this inference.

**Yeast-Derived [PSI+] Inducibility Factor Alters Aggregation State of NM Fusion Protein in E. coli Cells.** In yeast, but not in vitro, the spontaneous conversion of Sup35 to the prion form requires the presence of a [PSI+] inducibility (PIN) factor, which is itself a prion (24, 10, 11). Several different yeast proteins, including Rnq1 and New1, can serve as PIN factors in their prion forms (10, 11), and yeast strains containing one or another PIN factor are referred to as [PIN+] strains. The PIN dependence of spontaneous conversion of Sup35 to the prion form in yeast led us to ask whether the introduction of a potential PIN factor into E. coli cells might enhance conversion of Sup35 NM to a prion form in those cells. Accordingly, we sought to examine the aggregation status of NM in E. coli cells also containing either an Rnq1 or a New1 fusion protein. Because Rnq1 and New1 must convert to their respective prion forms to serve as PIN factors in yeast, we first constructed Rnq1-GFP (25) and New1-GFP (26) fusion proteins (Fig. 1A) and examined the behavior of these fusion proteins in E. coli cells. After the induction of fusion protein synthesis, fluorescence microscopy revealed bright foci in cells containing the Rnq1-GFP fusion protein and more irregular aggregate-like structures in cells containing the New1-GFP fusion protein (typically one focus or aggregate per cell in each case) (Fig. S2). When we subjected the cell extracts to SDD-AGE, we readily detected higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable material in the case of the New1-GFP fusion protein but not in the case of the Rnq1-GFP fusion protein (Fig. 1F).

Proceeding with New1, we investigated its effect on the ability of the Sup35 NM moiety to form amyloid-like aggregates in E. coli cells. To facilitate the analysis by fluorescence microscopy of cells containing both NM and New1, we constructed a New1-CFP fusion protein and an NMWT-YFP fusion protein. Using compatible plasmids directing the synthesis of the two fusion proteins, we followed the cells over time after the induction of NM-YFP synthesis. Initially, NM-YFP fluorescence was diffuse throughout the cells and only foci of New1-CFP were visible (Fig. 2A, type I, and B); however, by 2.5 h postinduction, most of the cells contained New1-CFP and NM-YFP foci that appeared to colocalize or NM-YFP aggregates in cells containing the New1-GFP fusion protein (typically one focus or aggregate per cell in each case) (Fig. S2). When we subjected the cell extracts to SDD-AGE, we readily detected higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable material in the case of the New1-GFP fusion protein but not in the case of the Rnq1-GFP fusion protein (Fig. 1F).

**Fig. 1.** Behavior of yeast prion-forming domain fusion proteins in E. coli cells. (A) Fusion constructs. Indicated residues from yeast prion-forming proteins, Sup35 (NM), New1, or Rnq1, were fused to a fluorescent protein (FP). FPs used are GFP, YFP, and CFP. The prion-forming domain of NM is enlarged to highlight its QN-rich segment and five complete copies of an oligopeptide repeat sequence; NMΔ5-FP lacks repeats 2–5, and NMAGEE-FP has two additional copies of repeat 2. Constructs containing CFP have three HA-epitope tags fused at the C-terminal end. Fusion genes encoding either the GFP or YFP moiety were expressed under the control of an isopropyl beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible promoter. (B–D) Fluorescence images of cells containing the indicated NM-GFP fusion protein. The cells were transformed with plasmids encoding each fusion protein under the control of an inducible promoter. The images show cells examined after the induction of fusion protein synthesis for 3 h. Foci were not observed in cells containing the NMWT-GFP fusion protein. Images are representative fields; in each case, several hundred cells were examined. (E) SDD-AGE analysis of cell extracts containing the indicated NM-GFP fusion protein. Blot was probed with an anti-GFP antibody. SDS-stable aggregates migrate as smears above soluble material. Soluble NM-GFP fusion protein (from S. cerevisiae as well as E. coli) migrates as multiple species. Extracts were examined by SDD-AGE analysis in at least three experiments, with similar results. (F) SDD-AGE analysis of cell extracts containing the indicated fusion protein. Blot was probed with an anti-GFP antibody. SDS-stable aggregates migrate as smears above the soluble material. SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis of cell extracts containing these fusion proteins as well as the NM-GFP fusion protein (A) suggests that observed differences in aggregation behavior are unlikely due to differences in intracellular fusion protein levels (Fig. S1B). Extracts were examined by SDD-AGE analysis in at least three experiments, with similar results.
SDD-AGE analysis indicated that the presence of the New1-CFP fusion protein together with the NM-YFP fusion protein stimulated the conversion of the latter to a higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable form (Fig. 2D). Thus, in the case of NM<sup>WT</sup>-YFP, we detected a higher-molecular-weight smear (using an anti-NM antibody) specifically in the presence of New1-CFP; and in the case of NM<sup>R262</sup>-YFP, we detected a faint smear that became more prominent in the presence of New1-CFP. As expected, we also detected SDS-stable New1-CFP aggregates in cells containing both fusion proteins (Fig. 2D).

**Yeast-Derived PIN Factor Stimulates Production of Infectious NM Fusion Protein in *E. coli* Cells.** We next sought to determine whether the NM-YFP fusion protein had accessed an infectious prion conformation in *E. coli* cells containing twisted ribbons and higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable NM material. As a first test, we investigated whether the cells contained material capable of seeding the conversion of nonamyloid NM fusion protein to the higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable form. To do this, we diluted extract prepared from cells containing both the New1 and the NM fusion proteins (i.e., cells containing NM fusion protein in the twisted ribbon conformation and in a higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable form; Fig. 2) into extract prepared from cells containing the NM-GFP fusion protein only (i.e., cells containing predominantly nonamyloid NM fusion protein). Using a filter retention assay (14), we monitored the appearance of higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable NM material over time (detectable with an anti-NM antibody). For comparison, we tested the seeding activity of extract prepared from cells containing either the New1 fusion protein only (i.e., New1-CFP) or the NM fusion protein only (i.e., NM-YFP); as a negative control, we used extract prepared from cells containing overproduced GFP as mock seed. NM is known to undergo slow, spontaneous conversion to the amyloid form in vitro, a reaction that is accelerated in the presence of preassembled seed particles (17, 27). As expected based on these observations, we detected the accumulation of a relatively small amount of NM-GFP fusion protein in a higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable form in the negative control reaction (Fig. 3A, row i) and also when either the NM-YFP extract or the New1-CFP extract was used as seed (Fig. 3A, rows ii and iii). Unlike the extracts containing one or the other fusion protein, the extract prepared from cells containing both the NM-YFP and the New1-CFP fusion proteins dramatically stimulated the conversion of the soluble NM-GFP fusion protein to a higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable form, and this effect was dose dependent (Fig. 3A, rows iv and v). We conclude that the presence of the New1 fusion protein, together with the NM fusion protein in *E. coli* cells, facilitates the conversion of the latter to a higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable form that can seed the conversion of soluble NM-GFP fusion protein to the same form. Additional control reactions confirmed the expected presence and absence of seeding activity in [PSI<sup>–</sup>] and [psi<sup>–</sup>] yeast extracts, respectively (Fig. 3A, rows vi and vii), and demonstrated that no detectable higher-molecular-weight, SDS-stable material accumulated when an aliquot of the seeding competent *E. coli* extract was diluted into extract containing GFP only (Fig. 3A, row viii).

As a second test for the presence of infectious NM-YFP in cells containing twisted ribbons, we used a previously developed protocol for introducing prion aggregates into yeast cells (28) to investigate whether the bacterially derived NM-YFP fusion protein was capable of infecting [pin<sup>–</sup>][psi<sup>–</sup>] yeast cells. Because transient overproduction of Sup35 (or Sup35 NM) in [PIN<sup>–</sup>][psi<sup>–</sup>] strains greatly stimulates the conversion to [PSI<sup>+</sup>] (24), the use of a [pin<sup>–</sup>][psi<sup>–</sup>] recipient strain was critical for this experiment. We prepared extracts from cells containing the New1 and NM fusion proteins in combination, the NM fusion protein only, or the New1 fusion protein only; we then used these extracts (after the addition of plasmid DNA encoding a yeast selectable marker) to transform yeast spheroplasts prepared from a suitable [pin<sup>–</sup>][psi<sup>–</sup>] strain. [PSI<sup>+</sup>] transformants were obtained when we transformed the yeast cells with extract prepared from cells containing both the NM-YFP and the New1-CFP fusion proteins.
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Fig. 3. Cells with both NM-YFP and New1-CFP aggregates contain seeding-competent, infectious material. (A) E. coli cell extracts containing SDS-soluble NM-GFP were seeded with E. coli cell extract containing overproduced GFP, overproduced NM-YFP, overproduced New1-CFP, or overproduced NM-YFP and New1-CFP; yeast cell extract prepared from either a [psi'] or a [psi'] strain was also used as seed (strains YJW96 and SG775, respectively). A seed-only control sample (*) consisted of E. coli cell extract containing overproduced NM-YFP and New1-CFP diluted into E. coli extract containing overproduced GFP only. Cartoon depicts experimental protocol. Samples from seeded reactions were removed at various time points, treated with 2% SDS, and filtered through a cellulose acetate (low-binding) membrane. SDS-stable aggregates that were retained were probed with anti-NM antibody. Extracts were examined for seeding activity in three experiments, with similar results. (B) Infection of [psi'][psi'] yeast spheroplasts with extract prepared from E. coli cells containing the indicated fusion proteins, or with extract prepared from either [psi'] or [psi'] yeast cells (strains YJW96 and SG775, respectively). The New1-CFP fusion protein was encoded on the chromosome under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter, and NM-E2E2-YFP fusion protein was encoded on a plasmid under the control of an arabinose-inducible promoter. Extracts were prepared from cells 6.5 h after induction of fusion protein synthesis with IPTG and arabinose. Yeast spheroplasts were cotransformed with a yeast shuttle vector containing a URA' selectable marker. [psi'] transformants exhibited primarily a “strong” phenotype (3). (C) Fusion of [psi'][psi'] yeast spheroplasts with protoplasts prepared from E. coli cells containing the indicated fusion proteins. The New1-CFP fusion protein was encoded on the chromosome under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter; the NM-E2E2-YFP fusion protein was encoded on a plasmid under the control of an arabinose-inducible promoter. Protoplasts were prepared 6.5 h after induction of fusion protein synthesis with IPTG and arabinose. E. coli cells also contained a yeast shuttle vector with a URA' selectable marker. Analysis of these data by Fisher’s exact test suggests that the observed difference in the frequencies of [psi'] transformants is statistically significant (P = 10^-5). All [psi'] transformants exhibited a “strong” phenotype (3) (Fig. S4).

(at a frequency of 1.5%; Fig. 3B) or, at a lower frequency, the NM-YFP protein only (0.08%; Fig. 3B); in contrast, no [psi'] transformants were obtained when we transformed the yeast cells with extract prepared from cells containing the New1-CFP fusion protein only (Fig. 3B). These results support the idea that the NM-YFP fusion protein can access the infectious prion conformation in E. coli cells; furthermore, comparison of the conversion frequencies (0.08% vs. 1.5%) indicates that the presence of the New1 fusion protein together with the NM fusion protein in E. coli cells facilitates the conversion of the NM fusion protein to the infectious form. As positive and negative controls, we also transformed the yeast spheroplasts with extract prepared from either [psi'] or [psi'] yeast cells and obtained results that were consistent with the previously published literature (Fig. 3B) (29, 30).

As a third test, we took advantage of a previously developed bacterial protoplast fusion procedure (31) that permits the direct transfer of bacterial cell contents into yeast spheroplasts to ask whether the E. coli cells containing NM-YFP fusion protein in the twisted ribbon conformation harbor material capable of infecting [pin'][psi'] yeast cells. This procedure enabled us to minimize the possibility that any infectious material detected was formed after cell lysis. We prepared bacterial protoplasts from cells containing the New1 and NM fusion proteins in combination, the NM fusion protein only, or the New1 fusion protein only; in addition, these cells contained a shuttle vector encoding a marker to select for transformation of the recipient yeast strain. We fused these protoplasts with yeast spheroplasts prepared from a suitable [pin'][psi'] strain and determined the frequency of conversion to [psi'] among the transformants. Similar to the results of the extract transformation experiments, the conversion frequency was ~1% among the transformants that we obtained using protoplasts prepared from cells containing either the NM fusion protein only or the New1 fusion protein only (Fig. 3C). These findings reinforce our conclusion that the NM-YFP fusion protein can access the infectious prion conformation in E. coli cells that also contain a PIN factor provided by the New1-CFP fusion protein. Although the bacterial protoplast fusion experiment did not provide evidence for infectious material in cells containing the NM-YFP fusion protein only, we cannot exclude the possibility that such material was present at levels that were below the detection limit.

The introduction of bacterially derived material into [pin'][psi'] yeast cells resulted in relatively low [psi'] to [psi'] conversion frequencies (Fig. 3 B and C). Work in yeast has revealed that Sup35 can adopt distinct amyloid conformations, giving rise to distinct prion strains that result in distinguishable in vivo phenotypes that are stably propagated (i.e., heritable) (32–34, 29, 30). We therefore considered the possibility that the specific amyloid conformation adopted by the NM-YFP fusion protein in E. coli limits its infectivity when transferred to yeast cells (see, for example, ref. 35). To test this, we prepared extracts from [psi'] yeast cells that arose via bacterial protoplast fusion and from control [psi'] yeast cells, and used these extracts to transform [pin'][psi'] yeast spheroplasts. The frequency of conversion to [psi'] among the transformants was similar in the two cases (Fig. 4). We therefore do not favor the possibility that the bacterially derived amyloid consists of an inherently low-infectivity conformer. Furthermore, when we performed seeding reactions similar to those in Fig. 3B using either [psi'] yeast extract or E. coli twisted ribbons extract as the seed, we found that the resulting material was comparably infectious (Fig. S5), also suggesting that the bacterially derived NM fusion protein has not adopted an alternative and less infectious amyloid conformation.
Cultures (50 mL) were grown to the indicated OD_{600} 0.02 in LB supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics (carbenicillin 100 μg/mL; chloramphenicol 25 μg/mL), grown for 30 min at 30 °C and induced with the appropriate inducers (L-Arabinose at 0.02% wt/vol; IPTG at 1 mM). Additional details on plasmodium and strains are given in SI Materials and Methods and Table S1.

Materials and Methods

Plasmodium, Strains, and Cell Growth. Overnight cultures of bacterial strains were diluted to OD_{600} 0.02 in LB supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics (carbenicillin 100 μg/mL; chloramphenicol 25 μg/mL), grown for 30 min at 30 °C and induced with the appropriate inducers (L-Arabinose at 0.02% wt/vol; IPTG at 1 mM). Additional details on plasmodium and strains are given in SI Materials and Methods and Table S1.

Fluorescence Microscopy. Cells were harvested at 3,000 × g, resuspended in PBS, and spotted onto agarose pads (1% wt/vol in PBS; Seakem LE Agarose, Lonza) for visualization with a UplanFLN 100x phase contrast objective on an Olympus BX61 microscope as described elsewhere (45). Images were captured with a CoolSnapHQ camera (Photometrics) and the Metamorph software package, version 6.1 (Universal Imaging). Exposures were typically 50–200 ms. For the comparison in Fig. 2B, a total of 701 cells that produced detectable levels of both fluorescent fusion proteins (NM-YFP and Newl-CFP) were counted.

Bacterial Extract Preparation. Cultures (50 mL) were grown to the indicated times and the cell densities were recorded (OD_{600}). The cultures were centrifuged 10 min at 3,000 × g, supernatants removed, and the pellets frozen on dry ice. Pellets were thawed and resuspended in buffer STC (1 M sorbitol, 10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM KCl) based on 1 mL buffer for 50 mL culture (OD_{600} = 1.0). Lysozyme (EMD Biosciences) was added to 3,000 units/mL and the mixture was incubated, rocking, at room temperature (RT) for 30 min. The lysosome-treated cell suspension was frozen on dry ice and thawed on ice water to complete lysis. Cell debris was removed from the lysate by two sequential rounds of centrifugation, each at 500 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. Clarified lysates were normalized for total protein as assayed by Bradford or bicinchoninic acid (ThermoFisher).

Yeast Extract Preparation. [psi+] or [PSI+] yeast strains producing NM-YFP-GFP under the control of the CLP1 promoter (Table S1) were grown at 30 °C in SC-URA media and induced with 50 μM CuSO_{4} and grown for an additional 4 h. All other yeast strains (Table S1) were grown at 30 °C in YPD media. Log-phase cells were pelleted, and resuspended in 1/100th volume STC buffer with 2× Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche). The resuspension was combined with 1 volume acid-washed glass beads (400 μm) and subjected to vortexing for 5 min at 4 °C. Unlysed yeast cells and cell debris were removed by two sequential rounds of centrifugation, each at 500 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was taken and normalized for total protein concentration as determined by Bradford assay or bicinchoninic acid (ThermoFisher).

Semidenaturing Detergent Agarose Gel Electrophoresis. SDD-Age was performed as previously described (23) using 1.5% agarose. Blots were probed with anti-GFP, anti-HA (clone 3F10; Roche), or anti-Sup35 yS-20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Secondary antibodies were HRP-conjugated antimouse IgG (Cell Signaling 7076), antirat IgG (Abcam ab6734), and antigoat IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-2354). Blots were detected using an ECLplus Western Blotting Detection System (GE Healthcare).

Extract Seeding and Filter Retention Assay. Bacterial cell extract containing NM-GFP was adjusted with buffer STC to 2 mg/mL total protein, whereas extracts that were used as seed were adjusted to 1 mg/mL total protein. The indicated amounts of the various seeds were added to extracts of NM-GFP, and the reactions were incubated at room temperature without agitation. Samples were removed at the indicated times, treated with 2% SDS, and frozen on dry ice. After the final time point, samples were thawed and applied to a 0.22-μm cellulose acetate membrane (GE Osmonics Labstore) as described elsewhere (46). The experimental protocol is further outlined in SI Materials and Methods.

Protein Extract Transformations. A 25-μL quantity of bacterial or yeast extract (1 mg/mL total protein in Fig. 3 and 2 mg/mL in Fig. 4) was used to transform 100 μL of [pin+] [psi+] yeast spheroplasts as previously described (28), with the exception that yeast spheroplasts were formed using lyticate (Sigma LS263) in buffer ST (1 M sorbitol, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5) under conditions that caused to providing a heterologous system in which to study prion biology, the demonstration that the E. coli cytoplasm can support the formation of infectious amyloid raises the possibility that endogenous bacterial proteins exist that might take advantage of this capacity to function as epigenetic switches.

Discussion

Our results establish that the Sup35 NM moiety can access an infectious prion conformation in E. coli cells in a PIN facilitating manner. Purified Sup35 (or NM-GFP) can form amyloid fibrils in vitro, and this in vitro generated material is infectious when introduced into yeast cells (36). Nevertheless, in yeast cells, spontaneous conversion of Sup35 to the prion form is dependent on the presence of a PIN factor (10, 11, 24). Furthermore, cellular chaperones, which are required for the stable propagation of the prion state (15, 16), have also been implicated in the de novo formation of [PSI+] (refs. 37 and references therein, 38). It is striking that the stimulatory effect of PIN has been recapitulated in bacterial cells, which are thought to have diverged from eukaryotes 2.2 billion years ago (39), and it will be interesting to learn how the bacterial chaperone environment influences the formation of infectious amyloid.

As noted above, transfer of protein from E. coli cells containing both the Newl and NM fusion proteins to [pin+] yeast cells resulted in relatively low [psi−] to [PSI+] conversion frequencies (Fig. 3 B and C). We suggest that the ribbon-like aggregates that are formed in E. coli cells containing both the Newl and NM fusion proteins may provide relatively few free ends to nucleate the polymerization of soluble Sup35, leading to a low seeding efficiency. Consistent with this suggestion, when high-molecular-weight aggregates were isolated from the bacterial extracts by centrifugation and subsequently fragmented by sonication, the infectivity of the resulting material increased, and the average NM polymer size decreased (Fig. S6). In yeast cells the fragmentation of prion fibers into seeding-competent oligomeric species (known as propagons), which is mediated principally by the Hsp104 chaperone system, is thought to be essential for the stable propagation of [PSI+] through multiple cell divisions (21, 40–43). Whether the E. coli chaperone environment can be altered to increase the infectivity of material extracted from cells containing both the Newl and the NM fusion proteins remains to be investigated.

The mechanistic basis for the ability of [PIN+] to facilitate the conversion of Sup35 to the prion form has not been fully elucidated; however, several lines of evidence are consistent with a direct cross-seeding mechanism (ref. 44 and references therein), which is also supported by our findings that (i) [PIN+] can function in a heterologous cellular environment lacking other yeast factors, and (ii) Newl-CFP and NM-YFP aggregates appear to colocalize before the formation of twisted ribbons consisting of NM-YFP but not detectable Newl-CFP. In addition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transformation of [psi−][pin+] yeast with cell extracts</th>
<th>Phenotype of yeast transforms: % [PSI+]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae [PSI+] control cell extracts:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong [PSI+]</td>
<td>58% (1648 / 2840)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak [PSI+]</td>
<td>45% (1512 / 3344)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracts of S. cerevisiae [PSI+] that arose via fusion with E. coli:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PSI+] #1</td>
<td>56% (1328 / 2352)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PSI+] #2</td>
<td>58% (1888 / 3232)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PSI+] #3</td>
<td>69% (1739 / 2520)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PSI+] #4</td>
<td>66% (1656 / 2496)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Material derived from [PSI+] yeast strains that arose via fusion with E. coli protoplasts is similarly infectious to material derived from control [PSI+] yeast strains. Extracts of four representative [PSI+] yeast strains obtained via fusion with E. coli protoplasts (labeled [PSI+] #1–4) as well as control extracts from both strong (SG862) and weak (SG863) [PSI+] yeast strains were used to transform [pin+] [psi−] yeast cells. Frequency of [PSI+] observed (as percentage of total transformants) is shown. Strain details are given in Table S1; colony phenotypes are shown in Fig. S4.

Bacterial cell extract containing NM-GFP was adjusted with buffer STC to 2 mg/mL total protein, whereas extracts that were used as seed were adjusted to 1 mg/mL total protein. The indicated amounts of the various seeds were added to extracts of NM-GFP, and the reactions were incubated at room temperature without agitation. Samples were removed at the indicated times, treated with 2% SDS, and frozen on dry ice. After the final time point, samples were thawed and applied to a 0.22-μm cellulose acetate membrane (GE Osmonics Labstore) as described elsewhere (46). The experimental protocol is further outlined in SI Materials and Methods.

Protein Extract Transformations. A 25-μL quantity of bacterial or yeast extract (1 mg/mL total protein in Fig. 3 and 2 mg/mL in Fig. 4) was used to transform 100 μL of [pin+] [psi−] yeast spheroplasts as previously described (28), with the exception that yeast spheroplasts were formed using lyticate (Sigma LS263) in buffer ST (1 M sorbitol, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5) under conditions that caused...
more than 95% of yeast cells to form spheroplasts in 30–60 min. [PSI+] transformatants were scored as described (28).

**Bacteria and Yeast Fusions.** Fusion of bacterial protoplasts to [psi–][psi–] yeast spheroplasts was performed as described elsewhere (31), with the following alterations. Protoplasts of bacteria containing yeast shuttle vector pSGT38 were formed using the PeriPreps Kit (Epicentre) as described by the manufacturer, pelleted at 3,000 × g, and resuspended in STC buffer. Bacterial protoplasts and yeast spheroplasts were mixed and incubated for 5 min at RT. Fusion was induced by the addition of 9 volumes PEG buffer (20% wt/vol PEG 8,000, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 10 mM CaCl2) and allowed to proceed for 30 min at RT. The fusion reactions were pelleted for 1.5 min at 200 × g, gently resuspended in SOS buffer (1 M sorbitol, 7 mM CaCl2, 0.25% wt/vol yeast extract, 0.5% wt/vol bacto-peptone), and allowed to recover for 30 min at 30 °C. The recovered reactions were plated in SD-URA top agar with 10 mg/ml adenine. Putative [PSI+] URA+ transformants were identified as previously described (28). [PSI+] was confirmed by examining colony color on 1/4 YPD plates and by curing via passage on 3 mM GuHCl (28). Additional details are given in the SI Materials and Methods.
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