



Chikungunya Fever, Mauritius, 2006

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. [Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Beesoon, Sanjay, Ellen Funkhouser, Navaratnam Kotea, Andrew Spielman, and Rebecca M. Robich. 2008. Chikungunya Fever, Mauritius, 2006. <i>Emerging Infectious Diseases</i> 14(2): 337-338.
Published Version	doi:10.3201/eid1402.071024
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:4582564
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Chikungunya Fever, Mauritius, 2006

To the Editor: When an outbreak of chikungunya fever swept across several Indian Ocean islands in 2005 and 2006, the international public health community's attention was drawn to chikungunya virus (genus *Alphavirus*, family *Togaviridae*). Among those areas affected was the Republic of Mauritius, located ≈ 900 km east of Madagascar, which has an estimated population of 1,250,000 and comprises the main island of Mauritius (area $\approx 1,865$ km²) and several outlying islands including Rodrigues (≈ 180 km²). Mauritius Island had an initial outbreak of $\approx 3,500$ suspected cases of chikungunya fever from April through June 2005 (1). With the onset of the drier season of winter, transmission of the virus subsided, but increased again during 2006. An outbreak began on Rodrigues Island in February 2006. The intensity of chikungunya fever outbreaks on Mauritius and Rodrigues Islands led us to explore the extent to which these outbreaks might have contributed to overall death rates.

Chikungunya virus is transmitted to humans primarily by the bite of infected *Aedes* spp. mosquitoes. *Aedes aegypti* was effectively eliminated from the island of Mauritius during a malaria control campaign from 1949 through 1951 (2). However, *A. albopictus* is widely distributed on the island in rural and urban habitats (3); thus, *A. albopictus* may be the most likely vector for chikungunya virus. Common peridomestic breeding places in Mauritius include small pots and vases (used to decorate the outside of homes) and discarded rubber tires (3).

Chikungunya fever was first recognized in 1952 after an outbreak on the Makonde Plateau of Tanganyika Territory (4), currently part of Tanzania. The word *chikungunya* is from a local dialect that translates to "that which bends up" in reference to the stooped posture often seen in patients

with severe arthralgia (5). Human infections with chikungunya virus are associated with sudden onset of symptoms including headache, fever, rash, and muscle and joint pain (5). Before the outbreak in the Indian Ocean islands, deaths had not been associated with infections. However, Réunion Island reported >200 deaths during the 2006 chikungunya epidemic (January–April 2006) (6), and India conservatively estimated 1,194 deaths since the virus reemerged in December 2005. (7). To determine whether a similar situation occurred in Mauritius, we compared expected number of deaths with observed number of deaths and estimated number of chikungunya cases from January through December 2006.

Crude death rates (CDRs) and number of deaths were obtained from the Annual Digest of Statistics 2005 and 2006 (8). We calculated expected number of deaths for each month in 2006 by multiplying mean CDR (per 1,000 midyear population) of each month for the previous 10 years (1996–2005) by midyear population for 2006. Estimated number of cases of chikungunya fever in 2006 for the Island of Mauritius was obtained from the Chikungunya Unit of the Ministry of Health and Quality of Life and includes both suspected and confirmed cases. A case was considered suspected if the patient had ≥ 2 of the following 3 symptoms: fever, rash, and joint

pain. Cases were confirmed by cell culture.

CDRs for the Republic of Mauritius ranged from 6.7 to 7.0 during 1996–2005 (mean 6.8) but increased to 7.3 in 2006, which represented a 4.3% (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.2%–8.5%) increase from 2005 (CDR 7.0). According to the 2006 midyear Population and Vital Statistics Report for the Republic of Mauritius (8), the number of deaths from January through June 2006 increased by 16.7% (95% CI 11.0%–23.5%) over the number of deaths in 2005, and 19.8% (95% CI 13.5%–26.9%) more deaths occurred among elderly persons (age ≥ 60 years). These numbers include all potential causes of deaths. However, increased CDRs occurred only during the months of the chikungunya fever epidemic on Rodrigues and Mauritius Islands.

CDRs on the Island of Mauritius in March, April, and May 2006 were significantly greater than expected ($p < 0.01$ for all 3 months); this corresponds to 743 excess deaths for these months (Figure). The chikungunya fever epidemic in Mauritius began ≈ 1 month before the first month of reported excess deaths; 4,871 cases of chikungunya fever cases were reported in February, 5,084 in March, and 2,305 in April. Rodrigues Island showed a similar trend, with an increase in the number of observed deaths in April, May, and June ($p < 0.01$ for May).




Figure. Expected and observed numbers of deaths and estimated number of chikungunya cases on Mauritius Island. Asterisks indicate a statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) difference between expected and observed number of deaths for the specified months. Vertical lines show 95% confidence intervals; upper confidence limits are shown numerically.

The first confirmed case of chikungunya fever on Rodrigues Island was reported in February, followed by 56 cases in March, 393 in April, and >80 in May (9).

The increase in CDRs reported for the Republic of Mauritius during the chikungunya epidemic is similar to the findings reported for the neighboring island of Réunion (10). Excess deaths in Réunion and the Republic of Mauritius coincided with the epidemic curve of the chikungunya fever outbreak, which suggested an association between these 2 factors. No other events that may have negatively affected the health of persons living in the Republic of Mauritius in 2006 were reported. However, because information on cause of death is unavailable, studies are needed to confirm that the chikungunya fever outbreak contributed to increased CDRs in 2006.

Acknowledgments

We thank Amita Pathack for providing data on the estimated number of chikungunya fever cases in Mauritius; Raheem Gopaul, Kevin Sullivan, and Brent Moll for assistance with preparing this article; and the director of the Central Statistical Office in Mauritius for providing demographic statistics.

Sanjay Beeson,*
Ellen Funkhouser,†
Navaratnam Kotea,*
Andrew Spielman,‡¹
and Rebecca M. Robich‡

*University of Mauritius, Reduit, Mauritius;

†University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, Alabama, USA; and ‡Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

References

1. Issack M. Chikungunya-Mauritius and Reunion Island (07). ProMed. February 4, 2006 [cited 2007 Nov 13]. Available from <http://www.promedmail.org>, archive no. 20060204.0358.
2. Dowling MA. Control of malaria in Mauritius; eradication of *Anopheles funestus* and *Aedes aegypti*. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1953;47:177–98.
3. Gopaul AR. The common man-biting mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) of Mauritius. The Mauritius Institute Bulletin. 2003;11:9–19.
4. Lumsden WH. An epidemic of virus disease in Southern Province, Tanganyika Territory, in 1952–53. II. General description and epidemiology. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1955;49:33–57.
5. Robinson MC. An epidemic of virus disease in Southern Province, Tanganyika Territory, in 1952–53. I. Clinical features. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1955;49:28–32.
6. Flahault M. Chikungunya-Indian Ocean Update (32). ProMed. October 14, 2006 [cited 2007 Nov 20]. Available from <http://www.promedmail.org>, archive no. 20061014.2953.
7. Mavalankar D, Shastri P, Raman P. Chikungunya epidemic in India: a major public-health disaster. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7:306–7.
8. Republic of Mauritius Central Statistics Office. Population and vital statistics. 2007. [cited 2007 Nov 13]. Available from <http://www.gov.mu/portal/site/cso>
9. Oral answers to questions. Rodrigues-chikungunya cases (No. B/582). 2006. [cited 2007 Nov 13]. Available from www.gov.mu/portal/goc/assemblysite/file/orans-23may06.pdf
10. Josseran L, Paquet C, Zehgnoun A, Caillere N, Le Tertre A, Solet J, et al. Chikungunya disease outbreak, Reunion Island. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12:1994–5.

Address for correspondence: Rebecca M. Robich, Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA; email: rrobich@hsph.harvard.edu



¹Deceased.

Increasing Resistance in Commensal *Escherichia coli*, Bolivia and Peru

To the Editor: The global increase of antimicrobial-drug resistance, including resistance to the new and most potent antimicrobial agents, is a major public health concern. In low-resource countries, where bacterial infections are still among the major causes of death, especially for children, it is of particular concern (1).

ANTRES (Towards Controlling Antimicrobial Use and Resistance in Low-income Countries—An Intervention Study in Latin America) is a research project on antimicrobial-drug use and resistance in low-resource countries of Latin America (see www.unifi.it/infdis/antres/default.htm). In 2002, the baseline ANTRES study showed a high rate of fecal carriage of *Escherichia coli* with acquired resistance to several antimicrobial drugs, especially older drugs (e.g., ampicillin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, streptomycin, and chloramphenicol), in preschool children from 4 urban settings in Bolivia and Peru (2). We report the results of a second cross-sectional study, conducted in 2005, that evaluated the evolution of antimicrobial-drug resistance in the studied areas.

We studied healthy children 6–72 months of age from each of 4 urban areas: 2 in Bolivia (Camiri, Santa Cruz Department; Villa Montes, Tarija Department) and 2 in Peru (Yurimaguas, Loreto Department; Moyobamba, San Martin Department). The study design, sampling and inclusion criteria, methods, and ethical issues were the same as those of the baseline study (2). The study was carried out over 4 months (September–December 2005), the same seasonal period as in the previous study. No significant differences in sex ratios were found among children enrolled from the different areas,