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Asbestos exposure predicts cell cycle control gene promoter methylation in pleural mesothelioma
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Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is a rapidly fatal tumor with increasing incidence worldwide responsible for many thousands of deaths annually. Although there is a clear link between exposure to asbestos and mesothelioma, and asbestos is known to be both clastogenic and cytotoxic to mesothelial cells, the mechanisms of causation of MPM remain largely unknown. However, there is a rapidly emerging literature that describes inactivation of a diverse array of tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) via promoter DNA CpG methylation in MPM, although the etiology of these mechanisms of causation of MPM remain largely unknown. However, there is a rapidly emerging literature that describes inactivation of a diverse array of tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) via promoter DNA CpG methylation in MPM, although the etiology of these alterations remains unclear. We studied the relationships among promoter methylation silencing, asbestos exposure, patient demographics and tumor histology using a directed approach; examining six cell cycle control pathway TSGs in an incident case series of 70 MPMs. Promoter hypermethylation of APC, CCND2, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, HPPBP1 and RASSF1 were assessed. We observed significantly higher lung asbestos body burden if any of these cell cycle genes were methylated (P < 0.02), and there was a significant trend of increasing asbestos body counts as the number of methylated cell cycle pathway genes increased from 0 to 1 to >1 (P < 0.005). This trend of increasing asbestos body count and increasing number of methylated cell cycle pathway genes remained significant (P < 0.05) after controlling for age, gender and tumor histology. These data suggest a novel tumorigenic mechanism of action of asbestos and may contribute to the understanding of precisely how asbestos exposure influences the etiology and clinical course of malignant mesothelioma.

Introduction

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is a highly lethal neoplasm linked with asbestos exposure in ~70–80% of patients. Worldwide, the incidence of MPM is rising with ~3000 cases per year reported in the USA, 2000 cases per year in Great Britain and 500 cases per year in Japan (1–5). The costs associated with compensation for asbestos-related disease and asbestos remediation have been estimated at $265 billion in the USA alone over the next 40 years (6). In addition, serious attention has recently been given to the potential contribution of exposure to the dust from the collapse of the World Trade Center towers in New York City to an increased risk for multiple serious conditions including MPM (7).

Since asbestos use has been on the decline for first world nations for 20–30 years, the overall incidence of MPM is expected to peak in the next few years in both USA and Europe (8,9). At the same time, asbestos continues to be mined, exported and widely used in many third world countries (10). Many nations including China, India and some Latin American countries are still importing vast amounts of asbestos (11,12). This fact, combined with the long 20–50 years latency of MPM, virtually assures that the MPM epidemic will continue for decades to come. This necessitates continuing research into the molecular genetic consequences of exposure to asbestos in an effort to better understand MPM pathogenesis, hopefully translating to prevention strategies and improved patient outcomes.

The pathogenic mechanisms of asbestos contributing to the development of MPM have long been studied, though they remain incompletely characterized. Many in vitro studies have demonstrated both clastogenic and cytotoxic effects of asbestos fibers (13,14). Phagocytosis of fibers by macrophages and oxidoreduction reactions on fiber surfaces are known to generate genotoxic reactive oxygen species that are capable of inducing DNA damage (15–17) and leading to genetic alterations in MPM (18). In addition to genetic alterations, the rapidly emerging literature indicates that epigenetic tumor suppressor gene (TSG) silencing via promoter methylation occurs in MPM (19–31). Methylation of cytosines in the context of promoter CpG islands of TSGs is a well-established mechanism of stable gene silencing in human cancers (32,33). However, the precise mechanisms underlying the induction of TSG methylation and the factors that influence tumor-specific methylation profiles are incompletely understood. Exposure to carcinogens has been associated with TSG methylation silencing, and recently, simultaneous examination of multiple TSGs involved in different cellular pathways and processes has suggested that genes are phenotypically selected for silencing. Initial studies demonstrated that there is a dose response for methylation silencing of CDKN2A by tobacco smoke in lung cancer (34,35). Indeed, in lung adenocarcinoma, methylation of TSGs CDKN2A and APC was also significantly associated with exposure to tobacco smoke (36). Dammann et al. (37) have shown that asbestos exposure is significantly associated with methylation at CDKN2A in non-small cell lung cancer. Suzuki et al. (21) reported that methylation of RAD, APPBP1, CCND2, RASSF1 and TMS1 was significantly more prevalent in SV40-positive MPM. Furthermore, in a recent study of 28 TSG loci in MPM, Tsou et al. (31) found a significant association between methylation of two TSGs; MT1A and MT2A with self-reported asbestos exposure. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that asbestos exposure may act to induce methylation silencing of TSGs. However, it remains unclear if this is a direct or indirect selection for TSG inactivation across phenotypically important pathways; if the process is stochastic and less phenotypically driven or whether a dose response exists between exposure and methylation extent. To examine this question, we have focused our efforts upon TSGs in the cell cycle control and proliferation pathway. We studied the APC, CCND2, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, HPPBP1 and RASSF1 genes for promoter hypermethylation in 70 incident cases of MPM. These genes were chosen as both a part of a larger pathway-based group of...
genes studied in our laboratory—in this and other types of human cancers—and because they are generally considered among the most important cell cycle control TSGs known to be inactivated via methylation in cancer (38–40). We examined whether methylation of specific genes, methylation at any of these loci or methylation of an increasing number of genes was associated with asbestos exposure, patient demographic variables or tumor histology. In this process, we were fortunate to have quantitative asbestos burden data to explore the relationship between exposure and epigenetic gene inactivation in MPM.

Materials and methods

Study population
Tumor material was obtained following surgical resection at Brigham and Women’s Hospital through the support of the International Mesothelioma Program. All patients provided informed consent under the approval of the appropriate Institutional Review Boards. Clinical information, including pathological diagnosis, was obtained from medical record review. Each patient was assessed for history of exposure to asbestos as well as additional demographic and environmental data by obtaining their medical and occupational history and an in-person questionnaire or interview. Patients were followed up for survival using the death index and last known clinical visit.

Methylation analysis
Tumor DNA was extracted from frozen tissue using the QIAamp DNA mini kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis was conducted with modified template DNA as described previously (41). PCR was performed with 50 ng of modified DNA in a mixture with 1x PCR buffer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.5 μM primers and 1.25 U of Ampli Tag Gold (Applied Biosystems) in a total volume of 25 μl. PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel. Sodium bisulfite-modified DNAs from circulating blood lymphocytes of healthy control subjects, untreated and treated with SsII DNA methylase, were used as negative and positive controls, respectively, in each run. In addition, no template-negative controls were also present in each run. All methylation-specific PCR reactions are optimized to detect ~5% methylation in the sample, consistent with the cutoff values generally utilized in quantitative assays of methylation (42,43).

Asbestos body burden
Quantification of asbestos bodies was done using the protocol of Churg et al. (44). Portions of normal lung tissue (1–4 g) obtained from surgery were blotted on microscope slides with a counting grid using Permount® Mounting Medium (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH) and dried flat. Asbestos bodies were counted, and the asbestos bodies per (weight) gram of lung were calculated with the following equation: number of asbestos bodies/squares counted × 100.74 × weight in grams of the digested tissue sample.

Statistical analysis
Univariate tests for association between methylation at each of the cell cycle genes and patient demographic, tumor characteristic data for these 70 cases are presented in Table I.

Table I. Mesothelioma patient demographics and tumor characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56 (80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient age</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30–80</td>
<td>62 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histology, n (%)</th>
<th>Epithelioid</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Sarcomatoid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54 (77)</td>
<td>14 (20)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asbestos exposure*, n (%)</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53 (76)</td>
<td>17 (24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asbestos body count</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–6211</td>
<td>1000 (1529)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Self-reported.

Fig. 1. Prevalence of cell cycle control gene methylation in pleural mesothelioma. Prevalence of methylation-positive cell cycle control genes among pleural mesotheliomas as measured by methylation-specific PCR, and prevalence of tumors with zero, one or more than one methylation-positive cell cycle control gene.

Results
A total of 83 cases had available asbestos body burden data. Among the cases with available asbestos body counts, there were 3 extreme outliers (14 870, 19 681 and 303 852 compared with the median count 158) and 10 cases with zero counts. As pleural mesothelioma arising without detectable asbestos exposure may have a distinct etiology and biology, and in an effort to avoid an analysis anchored by extreme values, we did not include tumors with zero asbestos body counts or the extreme outliers in the analysis, restricting it to the remaining 70 cases. We investigated the methylation status of six cell cycle control-associated genes: CDKN2A, CDKN2B, RASSF1, CCND2, APC and APPBP1. Exposure, demographic and tumor characteristic data for these 70 cases are in presented in Table I.

The prevalence of methylation among the cell cycle control genes varied; RASSF1 was methylated in 33% (n = 22) of cases, APPBP1 in 20% (n = 14), CDKN2A in 13% (n = 9), APC and CCND2 in 9% (n = 6) and finally CDKN2B was methylated in 4% (n = 3) of cases (Figure 1). We found no significant associations between patient gender or tumor histology and methylation at any of the six individual loci examined. However, patients with RASSF1 methylation were significantly older (65 ± 6.6 years) than patients without RASSF1 methylation (61 ± 9.5 years) (P < 0.05). We observed a similar relationship between methylation of CCND2 and older age.
Asbestos exposure and methylation in pleural mesothelioma

We evaluated promoter hypermethylation of six cell cycle control and progression pathway genes in an incident case series of 70 MPMs examining whether methylation of specific genes, methylation of any of these loci or methylation of an increasing number of genes was associated with patient demographic variables, tumor histology or asbestos exposure. We chose to study these genes in part because they have been studied by our laboratory as a part of a pathway-based approach to investigating TSG methylation in other human cancers. Further, these genes are known to be subject to inactivation by promoter hypermethylation in cancer and are recognized as important in cell cycle control and progression (38–40). We examined these genes for methylation using methylation-specific PCR. This technique is known to be sensitive to 5% of cells with methylation, and is therefore amply for detecting aberrant methylation events of phenotypic importance (45).

Methylation of RASSF1 has been observed in 32% of MPM (n = 66) and previously significantly associated with SV-40 exposure (25). We observed essentially the same prevalence of RASSF1 methylation among our cases (33%), and we also found that RASSF1 methylation was significantly associated with increased asbestos body count. Furthermore, significant, independent associations between older patient age and methylation of CCND2 and RASSF1 were observed. The association between older age and methylation is not unexpected since it is known that CpG island hypermethylation often increases with age (46,47). Also, in another report of TSG methylation in MPM, Toyooka et al. (25) reported prevalences of methylation at the APC, CDKN2A and CDKN2B genes similar to ours.

One great advantage of this study was the availability of a quantitative measure of asbestos exposure. Ferruginous asbestos bodies form as a result of the interaction of macrophages with asbestos fibers, and presence of asbestos bodies is an indicator of past exposure to asbestos. By quantifying their level, we are able to estimate the degree of asbestos fiber burden in an individual (48). In our data, tumors with methylation have significantly higher asbestos exposure, using the asbestos body counts as a quantitative measure of burden. Furthermore, there was a significant trend between increasing number of methylated cell cycle TSGs (0 to 1 to >1) and increasing asbestos body count. Finally, an ordered logistic regression model controlling for gender, and tumor histology, showed that both age and an increasing asbestos body count are independent significant predictors of an increased number of methylated cell cycle pathway genes.

Discussion

We evaluated promoter hypermethylation of six cell cycle control and progression pathway genes in an incident case series of 70 MPMs examining whether methylation of specific genes, methylation of any of these loci or methylation of an increasing number of genes was associated with patient demographic variables, tumor histology or asbestos exposure. We chose to study these genes in part because they have been studied by our laboratory as a part of a pathway-based approach to investigating TSG methylation in other human cancers. Further, these genes are known to be subject to inactivation by promoter hypermethylation in cancer and are recognized as important in cell cycle control and progression (38–40). We examined these genes for methylation using methylation-specific PCR. This technique is known to be sensitive to 5% of cells with methylation, and is therefore amply for detecting aberrant methylation events of phenotypic importance (45).

Methylation of RASSF1 has been observed in 32% of MPM (n = 66) and previously significantly associated with SV-40 exposure (25). We observed essentially the same prevalence of RASSF1 methylation among our cases (33%), and we also found that RASSF1 methylation was significantly associated with increased asbestos body count. Furthermore, significant, independent associations between older patient age and methylation of CCND2 and RASSF1 were observed. The association between older age and methylation is not unexpected since it is known that CpG island hypermethylation often increases with age (46,47). Also, in another report of TSG methylation in MPM, Toyooka et al. (25) reported prevalences of methylation at the APC, CDKN2A and CDKN2B genes similar to ours.

One great advantage of this study was the availability of a quantitative measure of asbestos exposure. Ferruginous asbestos bodies form as a result of the interaction of macrophages with asbestos fibers, and presence of asbestos bodies is an indicator of past exposure to asbestos. By quantifying their level, we are able to estimate the degree of asbestos fiber burden in an individual (48). In our data, tumors with methylation have significantly higher asbestos exposure, using the asbestos body counts as a quantitative measure of burden. Furthermore, there was a significant trend between increasing number of methylated cell cycle TSGs (0 to 1 to >1) and increasing asbestos body count. Finally, an ordered logistic regression model controlling for gender, and tumor histology, showed that both age and an increasing asbestos body count are independent significant predictors of an increased number of methylated cell cycle pathway genes in MPM.

Table II. Ordered logistic regression model predicting increasing number of methylated cell cycle control genes in pleural mesothelioma (n = 70)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelioid</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed and sarcomatoid</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos body count&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Scaled to: (asbestos body count per 1000).
Hence, these data suggest that the induction of methylation in a phenotypically important pathway might occur as a result of physical interaction between asbestos fibers and the parietal pleura. However, precisely how any exposure selects TSGs for silencing has only recently begun to be explored. Maintenance of control over the cell cycle is critical to tumor suppression, but the relationship between dynamic carcinogen exposure and the targeting and induction of tumor-specific methylation profiles is likely to be highly complex. Asbestos exposure is associated with chronic inflammation (49), and the physical presence of asbestos fibers at the interface of the mesothelial membrane and the lung induces a dose-dependent cycle of death and regeneration of mesothelial cells in the area of fiber deposition (50). Additionally, persistent mitotic stimulation of mesothelial cells after direct physical insult, and reactive oxygen species generated by the fiber clearance-related cellular response, may induce a reaction by mesothelial cells akin to that of cells in culture subject to repeated cycles of growth. Repeated passing of cells in tissue culture, similar to the process of aging, is associated with the induction of TSG silencing by promoter methylation (51). The known decades-long latency of MPM then suggests that there is ample time for appreciable fields of clonally altered cells to accumulate, perhaps leading to malignancy through a combination of acquired genetic and epigenetic alterations enhanced by repeated mitotic selection. Additionally, asbestos fibers known to be clastogenic and lead to genotoxic damage, and tumors with higher asbestos fiber burden may be induced to grow faster, possibly leading to the preferential selection of clones with silenced cell cycle control TSGs. While these mechanisms of clonal selection for epigenetic silencing are consistent with our data, it does not necessarily imply any direct asbestos fiber interaction with the histone/DNA methylation machinery, but instead that the chronic inflammation response and/or accelerated tumor growth related to asbestos burden may select for cells capable of continued proliferation.

In summary, using a directed pathway-based approach to methylation analysis and a quantitative measure of asbestos exposure, we observed that methylation silencing of cell cycle TSGs is associated with both older age and asbestos exposure in MPM. Our data, using a quantitative measure of asbestos exposure, demonstrate that epigenetic gene inactivation is a crucial and novel mechanism for asbestos action in the genesis of this rapidly fatal cancer.
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