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Confirmation of Genetic Associations at ELMO1 in the GoKinD Collection Supports Its Role as a Susceptibility Gene in Diabetic Nephropathy

Marcus G. Pezzolesi,1 Pisut Katavetin,1 Masahiko Kure,1 G. David Poznik,1 Jan Skupien,1 Josyf C. Mychaleckyj,2 Stephen S. Rich,2 James H. Warram,1 and Andrzej S. Krolewski1

OBJECTIVE—To examine the association between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the engulfment and cell motility 1 (ELMO1) gene, a locus previously shown to be associated with diabetic nephropathy in two ethnically distinct type 2 diabetic populations, and the risk of nephropathy in type 1 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Genotypic data from a genome-wide association scan (GWAS) of the Genetics of Kidneys in Diabetics (GoKinD) study collection were analyzed for associations across the ELMO1 locus. In total, genetic associations were assessed using 118 SNPs and 1,705 individuals of European ancestry with type 1 diabetes (885 normoalbuminuric control subjects and 820 advanced diabetic nephropathy case subjects).

RESULTS—The strongest associations in ELMO1 occurred at rs11769038 (odds ratio [OR] 1.24; \( P = 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \)) and rs1882080 (OR 1.23; \( P = 3.2 \times 10^{-3} \)) located in intron 16. Two additional SNPs, located in introns 18 and 20, respectively, were also associated with diabetic nephropathy. No evidence of association for variants previously reported in type 2 diabetes was observed in our collection.

CONCLUSIONS—Using GWAS data from the GoKinD collection, we comprehensively examined evidence of association across the ELMO1 locus. Our investigation marks the third report of associations in ELMO1 with diabetic nephropathy, further establishing its role in the susceptibility of this disease. There is evidence of allelic heterogeneity, contributed by the diverse genetic backgrounds of the different ethnic groups examined. Further investigation of SNPs at this locus is necessary to fully understand the commonality of these associations and the mechanism(s) underlying their role in diabetic nephropathy.
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Diabetic nephropathy is a major late complication of diabetes that affects 30–40% of all patients with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes and continues to be the leading contributor to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in the U.S. (1–3). In both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, diabetic nephropathy has been shown to cluster in families (4–8). Despite its known familial aggregation and intense effort to determine the genetic components that underlie its risk, including both candidate gene investigations and genome-wide linkage scans, no major gene that contributes to its susceptibility has yet been identified (9).

Variants in the engulfment and cell motility 1 (ELMO1) gene, located on chromosome 7p, have previously been shown to be associated with diabetic nephropathy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes (10). Subsequent functional studies demonstrated increased expression of ELMO1 in the presence of high glucose. In support of a potential role in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy, overexpression of ELMO1 inhibited cell adhesion while promoting excess transcription growth factor-β, collagen type 1, fibronectin, and integrin-linked kinase expression (10,11). Linkage of ESRD in type 2 diabetes has been shown with the 7p region in African Americans (12). Strong support for linkage with variation in glomerular filtration rate has also been reported at this same region in Caucasians (13). Leak et al. (14) recently examined genetic variants across ELMO1 in two large African American cohorts with type 2 diabetes and ESRD, and, in support of its potential role in the susceptibility of diabetic nephropathy, variants in intron 13 were found to be associated with disease.

We recently performed a genome-wide association scan (GWAS) for diabetic nephropathy susceptibility genes in type 1 diabetes and reported the identification of several novel susceptibility loci from the initial analysis of these data (15). In addition to uncovering associations at novel loci across the genome, these data also allow for the comprehensive examination of specific candidate disease loci. In this report, we investigated the role of 118 variations in ELMO1 on the risk of diabetic nephropathy in 1,705 Caucasian patients with type 1 diabetes using genotypic data from this GWAS.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

A detailed description of the Genetics of Kidneys in Diabetes (GoKinD) study collection has been published previously (16). Briefly, subjects for the GoKinD collection were recruited through two centers: the George Washington University (GWU) Biostatistics Center and the Section of Genetics and Epidemiology at the Joslin Diabetes Center (JDC). Subjects enrolled in GoKinD by either recruitment center had type 1 diabetes diagnosed before age 31 years, began insulin treatment within 1 year of diagnosis, and were between 18 and 59 years of age at the time of enrollment. Case subjects with advanced diabetic nephropathy had either persistent proteinuria, defined by a urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) ≥300 μg/mg in two of the last three measurements taken at least 1 month apart, or ESRD (dialysis or renal transplant). Control subjects had type 1 diabetes for at least 15 years and normoalbuminuria, defined by an ACR <20 μg/mg in two of the last three measurements taken at least 1 month apart (if a third measurement was required, a value <40 μg/mg was necessary for inclusion), without ever having
Data are means ± SD unless otherwise indicated. *The duration of type 1 diabetes in control and proteinuric subjects is based on the duration of type 1 diabetes at the onset of ESRD. All other clinical characteristics are based on measurements performed at examination. †Mean A1C values do not include data from case subjects that have undergone pancreas transplantation (32%). ‡Percentages are of ESRD group.

been treated with ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers and not being treated with antihypertensive medication at the time of recruitment into the study. A total of 1,705 individuals (885 control subjects and 820 advanced diabetic nephropathy case subjects, including 284 with proteinuria and 536 with ESRD) of European ancestry (confirmed by population ancestry and substructure analysis using EIGENSOFT; for further details see Pezzolesi et al. [15]) were included in the current study (Table 1).

Genotyping and imputation. The GoKinD collection was genotyped on the Affymetrix 5.0 500K SNP Array, a genotyping platform with estimated single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Details of the quality control criteria applied to these data have been published previously (15). Briefly, the application of quality control metrics for minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.01, rejection of Hardy-Weinberg assumptions (P < 10^-5), and differential rates of missing data (by case/control subject status) resulted in high-quality genotypic data for 359,193 autosomal SNPs.

Genotypic data for all SNPs with a minor allele frequency > 0.05 that mapped to the ELMO1 locus, including 50 kb of flanking sequence (chromosome 7 position 36,810,486 to 37,505,036, in reference to NCBI Build 36.1), were extracted from the GWAS data. Within this 694.5-kb region, a total of 106 genotyped SNPs were obtained. Because none of the SNPs previously reported to be associated with diabetic nephropathy were genotyped on the Affymetrix 5.0 platform, the genotypes for these SNPs were imputed using MaCH (www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/MACH) software. Three SNPs (rs897163, rs4723593, and rs1541727) were not genotyped in HapMap and, therefore, were not able to be imputed in the GoKinD collection. In total, 118 SNPs (106 genotyped and 12 imputed) with an average intermarker distance of 5.9 kb were included in our association analysis.

Statistical analysis. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) blocks were defined using the method of Gabriel et al. (18) as implemented in Haploview version 4.1 (19). All SNPs were analyzed using stratified additive tests of association (adjusting for both sex and JDC/GWU strata) using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test procedure to calculate combined P values and odds ratios (ORs). Associations with diabetic nephropathy were also assessed under both dominant and recessive genetic models. All statistical and haplotype analyses were performed using PLINK (19).

RESULTS

Genotypic association with diabetic nephropathy for all 118 SNPs in ELMO1 under an additive genetic model is shown in Fig. 1 (also see supplemental Table S1 in the online appendix, available at http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org/content/early/2009/08/02/db09-0641/suppl/DC1). A total of eight SNPs showed nominal evidence of association with diabetic nephropathy (P < 0.05) among the 885 control and 820 case subjects (Table 2). The strongest associations occurred at rs11769038 (OR 1.24; P = 2.8 × 10^-5) and rs1882080 (OR 1.23; P = 3.2 × 10^-5). These two SNPs map to intron 16 (located ~12.5 kb apart) and are in near-complete LD (r^2 = 0.98). Two additional SNPs, rs2041801 (intron 18) and rs7785934 (intron 20), were also associated with diabetic nephropathy in the GoKinD samples (OR 1.22, P = 5.6 × 10^-3). The strongest associations in GoKinD occurred at rs7785934, rs2041801, rs11769038, and rs1882080 and localize to three LD blocks (BL1, BL2, and BL3; shown in gray) that span introns 16–21 of the ELMO1 gene.

![FIG. 1. Summary of association results for SNPs in the ELMO1 locus in the GoKinD collection. GWAS and imputed data for 118 SNPs across the ELMO1 locus on chromosome 7p are presented. Shaded circles represent SNPs genotyped on the Affymetrix array (n = 106), and unshaded circles represent imputed SNPs from previous reports by Shimazaki et al. and Leak et al. (10,14) (n = 12). The strongest associations in GoKinD occurred at rs7785934, rs2041801, rs11769038, and rs1882080 and localize to three LD blocks (BL1, BL2, and BL3; shown in gray) that span introns 16–21 of the ELMO1 gene.](https://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org/content/early/2009/08/02/db09-0641/suppl/DC1)
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Risk allele</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Control vs. all case subjects</th>
<th>Control vs. ESRD subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs1025936</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1025934</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7785934</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7785934*</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs17690040</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs18728680</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs18728680</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs18728680</td>
<td>36,825,418</td>
<td>G(A)</td>
<td>3’ flanking</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most strongly associated ELMO1 SNPs (P < 0.05) in the GoKinD collection are presented for all case, proteinuric, and ESRD subjects. SNPs, positions, and locations are in reference to NCBI Build 36.1, rs7785934* also reported by Shimazaki et al. (10).
with diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes. Our analysis identified associations at several intronic SNPs (rs7785934, rs2041801, rs11769038, and rs1882080; \(P = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}\) to \(5.6 \times 10^{-3}\)). Although none of these SNPs met stringent criteria for significance following adjustment for multiple testing (\(P < 0.05/118 = 4.3 \times 10^{-4}\)), this threshold was exceeded by one SNP (rs7785934) when our analysis was limited to case subjects with ESRD. Moreover, the modest effect size of this variant (OR 1.33) is consistent with those previously reported in two independent African American ESRD populations (14), suggesting a comparable effect in the two populations. Additionally, the two-SNP haplotypes formed by rs7785934 and either rs11769038 or rs1882080 were more strongly associated with ESRD than these individual SNPs, suggesting that the LD block containing these SNPs forms a larger haplotype that either contains or is in tight LD with the causal variant at this locus. Together, these data also suggest that \(ELMO1\) may have a role in the advanced stages of diabetic nephropathy, perhaps contributing to renal function decline, rather than its initiation. We acknowledge, however, that the GoKinD collection is heavily weighted with case subjects with ESRD. The small number of case subjects with proteinuria may have limited our ability to detect \(ELMO1\) variants that are primarily associated with the risk of proteinuria. Despite this limitation, functional studies have demonstrated that \(ELMO1\) contributes to the progression of chronic glomerular injury through its dysregulation of extracellular matrix (ECM) metabolism, resulting in renal ECM accumulation (11). This accumulation contributes to both glomerular and tubular basement membrane thickening, two well-established hallmarks of advanced diabetic nephropathy (23).

Our investigation marks the third report of genetic associations in \(ELMO1\) with diabetic nephropathy, further establishing its role in conferring increased susceptibility to this disease. Previous reports (10,14) identified their strongest associations at variants located more than 280 kb apart in introns 17 and 13. Although our strongest associations are located near the associated SNP reported by Shimazaki et al. (10), our most associated SNPs are independent of those reported in this study. Furthermore, no evidence of association for the variants reported in either type 2 diabetic population was identified in our collection. The associations at \(ELMO1\) across each study are consistent with allelic heterogeneity, likely contributed by the diverse ancestral genetic backgrounds of the different ethnic groups. Examination of the associated SNPs from each study in the available HapMap populations (www.hapmap.org) confirms the variable allele frequencies of these variants among different ethnic and racial groups (data not shown). We hypothesize that rare polymorphisms in \(ELMO1\), either the same variants or those in strong or complete LD, may be common to each ethnic group and merely tagged by the common variants identified in each study. Further investigation of rare SNPs at the \(ELMO1\) locus is necessary to fully understand the commonality of these associations and to elucidate the mechanism(s) underlying their role in diabetic nephropathy.

In summary, our study provides the first comprehensive analysis of genetic variants at the \(ELMO1\) locus in a Caucasian population with diabetic nephropathy and type 1 diabetes. Our analysis identified several associations that are independent of those previously identified in other ethnic groups with diabetic nephropathy and type 2 diabetes; however, our examination of this locus in the GoKinD collection further supports its potential role in this disease. Confirmation of the associations identified in our study in additional collections, including ethnically diverse populations with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes, is necessary to better understand the role of these variants, and, perhaps, rare variants yet to be examined may underlie the genetic susceptibility of diabetic nephropathy attributed to this locus.
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