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Background. The adult mammalian retina is an important model in research on the central nervous system. Many experiments require the combined use of genetic manipulation, imaging, and electrophysiological recording, which make it desirable to use an in vitro preparation. Unfortunately, the tissue culture of the adult mammalian retina is difficult, mainly because of the high energy consumption of photoreceptors. Methods and Findings. We describe an interphase culture system for adult mammalian retina that allows for the expression of genes delivered to retinal neurons by particle-mediated transfer. The retinas retain their morphology and function for up to six days—long enough for the expression of many genes of interest—so that effects upon responses to light and receptive fields could be measured by patch recording or multielectrode array recording. We show that a variety of genes encoding pre- and post-synaptic marker proteins are localized correctly in ganglion and amacrine cells. Conclusions. In this system the effects on neuronal function of one or several introduced exogenous genes can be studied within intact neural circuitry of adult mammalian retina. This system is flexible enough to be compatible with genetic manipulation, imaging, cell transfection, pharmacological assay, and electrophysiological recordings.


INTRODUCTION

Several features make the retina an appealing model of the mammalian central nervous system. It is the most accessible part of the CNS, and its thin, layered structure can be regarded as a stack of two dimensional arrays. The flow of information is essentially unidirectional toward the ganglion cells, the only projection neurons in the system. Most of the diverse functional cell types in the mammalian retina have been identified at least morphologically, and in some cases also physiologically [1,2].

An approach to this highly complex system would be to selectively alter the function of identified neurons within the functional neural network. It would in principle be possible to create transgenic mice to perform such studies. An alternative is to inject viral vectors carrying the gene of interest into the eye. Though these are well-established technologies, drawbacks are relatively high cost and the long time required for each experiment. Here we sought to develop a more flexible and quickly responsive system.

The system combines features used for short-term recording from the retina with those used for longer term maintenance of brain slices. Retinas are difficult to culture, mainly because of the exceptionally high metabolism of the photoreceptors [3]. Yet, preparations for recording from rabbit retinas in vitro have been established that can be kept for over fifty hours [4]. These methods rely on constant superfusion of the tissue with oxygenated medium, and therefore require constant monitoring. On the other hand, brain slices in interphase chambers can be successfully maintained and manipulated in vitro for weeks [5–7]. Limited survival of retinas under relatively simple culture conditions has been demonstrated [8–13], most notably in elegant developmental studies by Wong and co-workers [14]. However, most of these experiments used neonatal or very young retinas, which are immature in most mammalian species and, because the photoreceptors are not functional, have low metabolic demands. In addition, most studies have been limited to incubations lasting less than 24 h. In order to provide a platform for experiments on the microcircuity of the mature adult retina, we have established a hybrid interphase/perfusion system. We show that it is possible to record responses to light from rabbit retinas for up to six days in culture. This time is sufficient to allow for the expression of genes that have been introduced into individual retinal neurons. We have expressed a wide spectrum of proteins in retinas incubated in this way. As an illustration, we show here that fusion proteins of synaptic markers with GFP can be expressed within this time frame and appropriately localize within the dendritic tree.

RESULTS

An organotypic interphase/perfusion culture of adult rabbit retina

Rabbit retinas were isolated and mounted ganglion cell side up on Millicell tissue culture filters (Figure 1). Directly afterwards the retinas were transfected via particle-mediated transfer of expression plasmids and placed in a culture dish containing Ames’ medium for up to six days. We experimented with two different designs of culture chambers: individual small dishes placed on a rocking platform and a large reservoir tank where the filters bearing the retinas were kept afloat in a custom made “boats”, and the medium was agitated using a magnetic stirrer. In both cases, we found that
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agitating the medium and exchanging it daily were necessary to keep the retinas in good condition. The first design, mechanically similar to an ordinary tissue culture dish, was easier to use. Two to six days post transfection the tissue was evaluated. We never observed any gross abnormalities of the retinas in vertical sections (Figure S1 & S2). Individual GFP-labeled ganglion and amacrine cells were compared to the well-established “catalog” of neuron morphology in the rabbit retina [15,16]. We observed specimens of all types of ganglion cells. Though we did observe some axonal retraction and occasional swollen dendrites, the ganglion cells showed no major abnormalities (see Figure 1 C–G).

As a test for viability of amacrine cells, we stained the retinas with an antibody against choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) 6 days after transfection. Density recovery profile (DRP) analysis [17,18] verified that the ChAT+ amacrine cells had the characteristic mosaic distribution after incubation (Figure 2 A–D). This indicates that the cells retained their normal spatial arrangement and there was no detectable dropout of cells of this type. In addition, the retinas were stained for degenerating and apoptotic cells. After 6 days, some cell death was beginning to be evident for all cell types (Figure 2 E–J), but it was numerically slight. Cell death in the ganglion cell layer, where the cells are readily quantified, was less than <0.5% of the total neuron present.

Electrophysiological recordings from ganglion cells
The ganglion cells had many normal properties in functional assays. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from 30 ganglion cells in retinas incubated for up to 6 days showed that the mean resting membrane potential was $-70\pm9$ mV (mean±SD), the normal range for retinal ganglion cells. All cells, including those after 6 days in culture ($n=6$), generated normal action potentials when responding to current injection under current clamp configuration. The rate of firing increased in response to progressively more depolarizing current steps (Figure 3 B).

Retinas isolated under dim light and maintained in the dark for up to 4 days showed unequivocal responses to light in patch-clamp recordings ($n=10$; Figure 3 C&D). After six days in culture, recording of light responses became unreliable, and was successful in only 3/6 cells tested (Figure 3 E).

We examined the functional integrity of ganglion cell populations in incubated retinas using extracellular multielectrode recording. The mean firing rates of 69 ganglion cells from incubated retinas were compared to the activity of 73 ganglion cells from three fresh retinas (Fig. 4 A). The firing rates were calculated over time periods of several minutes. The mean firing rate was $4\pm6$ Hz (mean±SD) for the incubated cells and $7\pm7$ Hz for the fresh ganglion cells. Both cell populations show a similar histogram distribution with a few cells firing at high frequency. Incubated ganglion cells show a slightly reduced responsiveness as more cells are recorded with mean firing rates below 2 Hz.

The response of mammalian ganglion cells to a modulated visual stimulus consists of discrete firing events separated by periods of silence [19]. Thus, the simple firing rate of a ganglion cell is only a raw measure of responsiveness. The precision and reliability of firing events [20–22] were characterized by measuring the trial-to-trial jitter in response to intensity steps. The jitter has been found to be around 10 milliseconds in freshly prepared ganglion cells in the rabbit [20]. Because the mean jitter slightly depends on the stimulus contrast we compared the temporal jitter for 57 ganglion cells from incubated retinas with 59 ganglion cells from fresh retinas using the same stimulus.
protocol. The temporal jitter distributions were almost identical for the two populations (no statistical difference detected; p < 0.05 ANOVA test). The mean population temporal jitter (Fig. 4 B, for incubated ganglion cells was 10.6 ± 2.1 ms and 8.6 ± 2.2 ms for fresh ganglion cells.

The spatial receptive fields were measured using a pseudo-random checkerboard stimulus modulated with a binary m-sequence (Fig. 4 C) [23,24]. The mean diameter values were: 520 ± 130 μm for incubated ganglion cells and 430 ± 120 μm for fresh ganglion cells. This is within the variation expected for five samples that came from different retinal areas.

Although grossly normal in each of the three measures just described, the overall picture does hint at subtle differences between incubated and fresh retinas: incubated retinas have slightly lower firing rates, more variable timing of responses, and larger receptive fields (Figure 4). In aggregate these suggest that incubation does affect the performance of these cells, but none of them is by itself statistically significant and the effects are slight.

Localization of fusion proteins of synaptic markers

We transfected retinal ganglion- and amacrine cells biolistically with plasmids expressing a variety of genes. They included GFP and its spectral variants; DsRed2, HcRed; EGFP-F (a cell membrane-bound variant of GFP); DsRed2-ER (coding for DsRed2 fused to endoplasmic reticulum targeting and retention sequences); fusion proteins of the synaptic markers PSD95-GFP, gephyrin-GFP, rapsyn-GFP, synaptophysin-CFP, VACHt-GFP,
ankyrin-G-GFP; and siRNAs for the silencing of the GABA receptor γ chain. Figure 5 A shows the expression of EGFP-F in a ganglion cell.

To evaluate the localization of fusion proteins in retinal neurons, we show here the expression of several GFP-tagged synaptic markers and of the sodium-channel organizing protein ankyrin-G. The postsynaptic glycine- and GABA-receptor organizing protein gephyrin is expressed as bright puncta on ganglion cell dendrites (Fig 5 B&C), consistent with its localization known from other studies [25]. We also expressed the postsynaptic density component PSD95 in retinal ganglion cells. As most of the excitatory input synapses to ganglion cells are from bipolar cells, most of the PSD95 puncta should be in close proximity to synaptic ribbons which in the inner retina are unique markers of bipolar cell vesicle release sites. We counterstained retinas after transfection with PSD95-GFP with an antibody against the synaptic ribbon component kinesin (Kif3a). As shown in figure 6 A, most of the PSD95 puncta are in the near vicinity of one or more synaptic ribbons. In contrast to PSD95 and gephyrin, which are localized in the dendrites, ankyrin-G expression is expected to lead to a signal in the initial segment of the ganglion cell’s axon, the site of the densest concentration of sodium channels. Figure 6 B shows a ganglion cell with obvious labeling of the axon initial segment.

We also expressed the presynaptic markers synaptophysin and vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACHT) as fusion proteins with GFP in starburst amacrine cells. Synaptophysin-GFP and

Figure 4. Electrophysiological properties of ganglion cells in incubated retinas are comparable to properties of freshly prepared ganglion cells. (A) Histogram of average firing rates for 69 ganglion cells from 5 retinas after 2 days (3 retinas) or 3 days (2 retinas) of incubation (red columns) and 73 ganglion cells from freshly prepared retinas (black columns). All cells were recorded with multielectrode arrays; the firing rate was calculated in response to spatial white noise (see Methods). The two distributions are similar, although more incubated cells are recorded with mean firing rates below 2 Hz. (B) Histogram of temporal jitter in response to the same spatially uniform temporal white noise stimulus. The temporal jitter distribution does not differ significantly (p<0.05, ANOVA) for the two populations. Mean temporal jitter was 10 milliseconds for incubated ganglion cells and 8.6 milliseconds for fresh ganglion cells. (C) The diameters of receptive field centers. Mean receptive field center was 520 μm for incubated ganglion cells (n = 43) and 430 μm for fresh ganglion cells (n = 73).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000221.g004

Figure 5. Expression of markers for subcellular structures in retinal ganglion cells. (A) Single confocal image plane of a large ON ganglion cell transfected with expression plasmids for EGFP-F (labeling the cell membrane) and DsRed2-ER (labeling the endoplasmic reticulum.) Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) Volume reconstruction of a confocal image stack of a large ganglion cell transfected with the cell-filling marker HcRed and a marker for postsynaptic densities of inhibitory synapses, gephyrin-GFP (green). Scale bar, 50 μm. (C) A single dendrite of an OFF alpha ganglion cell transfected with gephyrin-GFP. Note the high density of puncta particularly on the proximal dendrites. Scale bar, 20 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000221.g005
VAChT-GFP labeled starburst varicosities restricted to the outer third of the dendritic arbor (Figure 6 F & G). This is in accord with the restricted localization of starburst synapses established by electron microscopy and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging [26–28].

DISCUSSION

We sought to establish a system that is suitable to genetic manipulation, recording, imaging, and short-term pharmacological studies. In addition, it should be possible to process several samples in parallel, and the cultures should require as little maintenance as possible. The retina interphase culture meets these basic goals.

The main advantage of the retina culture system described here is that it allows expression of a wide variety of genes without generating transgenic animals or designing viral vectors. Particle-mediated transfection is rapid and flexible. Furthermore, combinations of genes can easily be transfected, by coating different plasmids simultaneously on the gold microcarriers – as we have shown here for combinations of a cell-filling marker protein and a targeted synaptic one. We have used rabbits, because the rabbit retina has been much studied, but the same method could be used for the culture of retinas of other species that are commonly used in vision research, like monkey or cat. Although the system also works for the retinas of mice, the experimenter is no longer dependent on the mouse retina of whose thickness and small size makes it undesirable for retinal research. Another advantage of the method reported here is that the experimenter has a range of choices of fluorophores for cell labeling. Furthermore, biolistic transfer is not restricted to nucleic acids. Cell-filling dyes – such as DiI, FITC-dextran-, or Ca\textsuperscript{2+} indicator dyes, can also be used [14,29,30]. The incubation requires minimal use of growth factors and neuroprotective agents. Finally, biolistically labeled ganglion cells on high-density electrode arrays may facilitate combined morphological and physiological studies – a frequent missing link in multielectrode array experiments aimed at the characterization of ganglion cell types.

There are several limitations to the technique. As an explant culture of the retina necessarily involves severing the optic nerve, the ganglion cells will eventually undergo retrograde degeneration. This may be an issue as certain biological effects (e.g. achieving a high level of inhibition by a siRNA construct) take several days to develop. The retina is separated from the retinal pigment epithelium during preparation, limiting the use of bright light for stimulation and risking interference with photoreceptor disk shedding.

At present no transfection technique – including gene gunning – is suitable as a population stain. The number of labeled cells can be influenced to some extent by the amount of microcarriers used, the interposition of filters, and the delivery pressure, but will rarely exceed 100 cells/mm\textsuperscript{2}. This is advantageous for many experiments, as individual cells can be easily recognized morphologically, but it precludes studying the function of the whole network of...
cells of one type. For example, several recent papers describe the expression of fluorescent or non-fluorescent markers under the control of cell type-specific promoters [31–34]. This approach can be extended to eliminate all cells of a particular type from the retinal network, as has been demonstrated for starburst amacrine cells [33] and neurotireptide-Y positive amacrine cells [36]. For studies of this kind, the use of transgenic animals is indispensable.

The transfection technique used in our study is also biased toward transfection of cells near the surface. The frequency of cell types in a typical experiment is: ganglion cells » displaced amacrine cells » amacrine cells » Mueller cells » bipolar cells » horizontal cells » photoreceptors (see Figures S4 & S5 for examples of a Mueller cell and a cone bipolar cell).

METHODS

Isolation of rabbit retina

Light adapted New Zealand White Rabbits 5 to 12 weeks of age were used for transfection experiments. Handling and euthanasia of animals were done in accordance with the guidelines provided by the Subcommittee of Research Animal Care of the Massachusetts General Hospital. Rabbits were deeply anesthetized using 200 mg ketamine/40 mg xylazine and euthanized by an overdose of pentobarbital. The eyes were removed and immediately transferred to oxygenated Ames’ medium (Sigma) for hemisection. The retinas were teased off the sclera using a fine brush. If the retina was to be used for recording of light responses, the rabbits were dark-adapted for one hour, the retina preparation was carried out under dim red light, and the cultures were protected from light until use.

Interphase/Perfusion chamber for the explant culture of adult rabbit retina

Pieces of rabbit retina of ca. 1 cm² were placed ganglion cell side up on a 0.4 µm Millicell tissue culture insert (Millipore). The quality of the tissue after incubation depended on smooth attachment to the membrane; we found it indispensable to apply gentle suction to the tissue for ~30 seconds during mounting. Filter stands (2 cm diameter, 1 cm high) were cut from the caps of 1 ml Monoject Tuberculin syringe jackets, so that the Millicell filter rested on four “stands” when it was placed into a 60 mm Petri dish. Cells were viewed using a microscope (Axioskope, Carl Zeiss Microimaging). Through-focus series of images were taken of labeled cells in the ganglion cell layer. The images were median filtered and collapsed into a maximum intensity projection by user-written routines in Matlab (The Mathworks), or volume reconstructed (VolView 2, Kitware). The resulting images were adjusted for brightness and contrast in Photoshop CS (Adobe).

Recording from retinas after incubation

Patch pipettes (10–15 MΩ resistance) were pulled from Pyrex tubing on a micropipette puller (P-97; Sutter Instrument). The pipette solution consisted of (in mM): 87 K-glucenate, 7 KCl, 5 HEPES, 0.4 CaCl₂, 0.4 MgCl₂, 2 ATP- Na₂ (pH adjusted to 7.4 with KOH). The piece of retina was placed in a recording chamber, ganglion cell layer up, and continuously perfused at a rate of 2 ml/min with oxygenated Ames’ solution at 32–35°C. Cells were viewed using a microscope (Axioskope, Carl Zeiss Inc.) equipped with infra-red differential interference contrast optics. The recording pipette was connected to the input stage of a patch-clamp amplifier Axopatch 200B; Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA); and signals were sampled at 10 kHz with DigiData 1322A interface-type and pCLAMP8 software (Axon Instruments). Liquid junction potential was corrected after recordings (Vm = Vrecord – 17 mV). Photo-stimuli were generated on a calibrated CRT monitor (Dell-P780, SONY) using Visionworks (Vision Research Graphics) and reflected upward by a mirror positioned underneath the preparation. A microscope objective (Olympus Optical; 20x, N.A. 0.4) focused the stimulus on the retina. Data were analyzed with user-written routines in Igor Pro (Wavemetrics).

Multiplex electrode array recording of rabbit retina was described in detail elsewhere [40]. Recordings of ganglion cell activity was performed after 2 or 3 days of incubation on an array of 60 electrodes spaced 100 µm apart (Multichannel Systems). The extracellular waveforms were recorded when their amplitude exceeded a threshold set at 2.5 SD above mean noise level, and
stored at 33 μs time resolution. A supervised neural network algorithm (Spike Sorter IV, Cyberkinetics) separated the waveforms and stored them as cell-specific time-stamped spike trains. Spike train analysis was performed using user written routines in MATLAB. Photo-stimuli were generated as described above. The receptive field was mapped using a 16×16 pseudo-random flickering checkerboard (75 μm checkersize); the luminance of each square was independently modulated by a binary m-sequence [24]. Temporal precision was checked with a white noise full field flicker stimulus (temporal flat power spectrum in the 1–30 Hz range). The stimulus was 30 second long and repeated between 20 and 30 times.

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**Figure S1** Vertical sections through rabbit retina incubated for four days. Panel A, single confocal image of a retina stained with an antibody against the rod bipolar cell marker PKCα. Scale bar for both panels, 50 μm. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000221.s001 (1.23 MB TIF)

**Figure S2** Directionally selective ganglion cell and starburst amacrine cell. Panel A, A directionally selective ganglion cell and an ON starburst cell (both in green) were found close to each other in a piece of transfected retina. The retina was counterstained with an antibody against ChAT (red) to reveal the starburst amacrine cells. DS ganglion cells are bistriated, the focus in panel A is on the OFF layer. Panel B, the same DS cell, focus on the ON layer. Scale bar for A & B, 50 μm. Panel G, vertical slice through a rabbit retina at high magnification. The dendrites of a DS ganglion cell are shown in green. The retina was counterstained with an antibody against ChAT (red). Note the two dendritic strata of the DS cell, both co-stratifying closely with the starburst amacrine cells’ dendrites. The cell body belongs to an ON starburst cell. Scale bar, 20 μm. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000221.s002 (1.87 MB TIF)
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