Headache, migraine, and structural brain lesions and function: population based Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing-MRI study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the association of overall and specific headaches with volume of white matter hyperintensities, brain infarcts, and cognition. 

Design Population based, cross sectional study.

Setting Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing study, Nantes, France.

Participants 780 participants (mean age 69, 58.5% women) with detailed headache assessment.

Main outcome measures Brain scans were evaluated for volume of white matter hyperintensities (by fully automated imaging processing) and for classification of infarcts (by visual reading with a standardised assessment grid). Cognitive function was assessed by a battery of tests including the mini-mental state examination.

Results 163 (20.9%) participants reported a history of severe headache and 116 had migraine, of whom 17 (14.7%) reported aura symptoms. An association was found between any history of severe headache and increasing volume of white matter hyperintensities. The adjusted odds ratio of being in the highest third for total volume of white matter hyperintensities was 2.0 (95% confidence interval 1.3 to 3.1, P for trend 0.002) for participants with severe headache compared with participants without severe headache being in the lowest third. The association pattern was similar for all headache types. Migraine with aura was the only headache type strongly associated with volume of brain lesions.

Conclusions In this population based study, any history of severe headache was associated with an increased risk of brain infarcts. Evidence that headache of any type by itself or in combination with brain lesions was associated with cognitive impairment was lacking.

INTRODUCTION

Many people in the general population have primary and often disabling headache disorders.1-3 The most common forms are migraine and tension-type headache. Migraine is a recurrent primary headache disorder that has close links to the neuronal and cerebrovascular system, and in some patients is accompanied by transient neurological symptoms, mostly of the visual field, known as migraine aura.

Headaches in general and migraine in particular have been associated with an increased risk of comorbidities.4-6 Evidence is accumulating that migraine with aura is a marker for increased risk of cardiovascular disease,5-7 specifically stroke.8-9 In addition, migraine has been associated with a variety of structural brain lesions, including clinically silent infarct-like lesions in the posterior circulation territory and with white matter hyperintensities (lesions appearing in white matter on magnetic resonance imaging of the brain). White matter hyperintensities can be seen in some apparently healthy people12 and in patients with motor and cognitive dysfunctions.13-16 These lesions have been commonly interpreted as lesions of ischaemic origin, which is consistent with their association with vascular risk factors17-18 and increased risk of ischaemic stroke.16

Several studies have reported an association between migraine and white matter hyperintensities.19-22 A meta-analysis indicated a fourfold increased risk for these lesions in people with migraine compared with controls.23 Many uncertainties remain, however, as some of the studies linking migraine with white matter hyperintensities had no direct migraine-free control group,24-25 did not include people over age 60,22,24,25 or used a case-control design.23 Furthermore, initial evidence suggests that other headache types are also associated with white matter hyperintensities,26 but most studies had no
information on non-migraine headache. In addition, it
remains unclear whether structural brain lesions
among people with headache are associated with
impaired cognitive function. We evaluated the associ-
ation of overall and specific headache types with
volume of white matter hyperintensities and infarct
lesions as well as with cognitive performance in the
population based Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing
study.

METHODS
The Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing study is a lon-
itudinal study that recruited men and women born
between 1922 and 1932 from the electoral rolls of the
city of Nantes, France, without specific exclusion
criteria. During the baseline visit (1991-3), 1389 par-
ticipants were enrolled. In a face to face interview at the
examination centre, standardised questionnaires were
used to ascertain information about demographics and
medical history as well as personal characteristics and
habits, such as cigarette and alcohol consumption.
Trained staff measured height, weight, and blood pres-
sure and took fasting blood samples following standard-
dised procedures. Written informed consent was
obtained from all participants.

Table 1 | Association of headache status with personal characteristics and volume of white
matter hyperintensities (WMH) in Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing study (n=780). Values are
numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No history of severe headache (n=617)</th>
<th>Migraine headache (n=116)</th>
<th>Non-migraine headache (n=47)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>68.8 (2.9)</td>
<td>68.9 (2.8)</td>
<td>69.5 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>331 (53.6)</td>
<td>99 (85.3)</td>
<td>26 (55.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>135.1 (19.6)</td>
<td>131.7 (20.5)</td>
<td>137.2 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) cholesterol level (mmol/L)</td>
<td>6.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>6.1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) low density lipoprotein cholesterol level (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) triglyceride level (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) glucose level (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.5 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>271 (44.4)</td>
<td>48 (42.5)</td>
<td>18 (39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29.9</td>
<td>255 (41.7)</td>
<td>55 (48.7)</td>
<td>19 (41.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>85 (13.9)</td>
<td>10 (8.8)</td>
<td>9 (19.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoker</td>
<td>277 (44.9)</td>
<td>33 (28.4)</td>
<td>21 (44.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake (g/day):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>162 (26.3)</td>
<td>53 (45.7)</td>
<td>13 (27.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-19</td>
<td>270 (43.8)</td>
<td>43 (37.1)</td>
<td>22 (46.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-39</td>
<td>112 (18.2)</td>
<td>16 (13.8)</td>
<td>6 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>73 (11.8)</td>
<td>4 (3.4)</td>
<td>6 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension</td>
<td>215 (34.8)</td>
<td>51 (44.0)</td>
<td>18 (38.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of severe headache</td>
<td>154 (25.0)</td>
<td>49 (42.2)</td>
<td>21 (44.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>44 (7.1)</td>
<td>7 (6.0)</td>
<td>5 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (interquartile range) total WMH volume (cm²)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.2-5.0)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4-6.0)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.9-6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (interquartile range) deep WMH volume (cm²)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5-1.4)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6-1.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7-1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (interquartile range) periventricular WMH volume (cm²)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.5-3.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.4-4.6)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9-5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages may not add up to 100% owing to rounding or missing values.

Headache assessment
Information on headache was ascertained in a two step
approach among 1188 people who participated in the
second follow-up visit, four years after the baseline
visit. Firstly, during a face to face interview, trained
staff asked participants standardised questions about
lifetime history of severe headaches and headache fea-
tures. Interviewers were specially trained to administer
the questionnaire and to ask the questions. Inter-
viewers were instructed to insist on the screening ques-
tion about headache history to avoid missing participants with a potential for recurrent severe head-
aches during their lifetime and particularly during their
young adulthood. Secondly, participants who reported a history of severe headaches were asked to
take part in a telephone interview with a neurologist
specialised in headaches using a structured
questionnaire. This questionnaire has been shown to have good inter-rater agreement (κ=0.83) and
included information on duration of headaches; life-
time frequency of episodes; intensity of the pain; char-
acteristics and location of the pain; association of the
pain with physical activity; associated features, such as
sensitivity to sound and light as well as nausea; and
information about aura features. A total of 242 partici-
ants were eligible for the interview. Of those, four
died before the interview, four were unreachable or
opted not to participate, and one had had hearing
impairment, leaving 233 participants who were inter-
viewed. Information on detailed lifetime history of
headache, specific migraine features, and aura symp-
toms was ascertained during the interview.

The collected information allowed classification of
headache based on the second revision of the Interna-
tional Classification of Headache Disorders. We classified
patients who reported a history of severe headache as
having migraine if the headache and associated fea-
tures fulfilled all or all but one of the classification cri-
eteria for migraine without aura. In addition, we
classified patients with migraine according to migraine
aura status. Participants with a history of headache not
fulfilling the criteria for migraine were classified as hav-
ing non-migraine headache, a group of patients likely
to have tension-type headache because other headache
types are rare in the general population.

Magnetic resonance imaging examination
At the second follow-up visit (at the same time as ascer-
tainment of headache), 845 participants (88% partici-
pation rate) underwent cerebral magnetic resonance
imaging, as described in detail elsewhere. Particip-
ants had similar baseline characteristics to those
who did not participate. The distribution of headache
status was also similar between the two groups (P=0.38).

Brain scanning was carried out with a 1.0 tesla scan-
er (Magnetom Expert; Siemens, Erlangen, Ger-
many), with the orbitomeatal line as reference. Firstly, we acquired a high resolution T1 weighted
brain volume using a three dimensional inversion
Table 2 | Association between headache history and volume of white matter hyperintensities (WMH) in Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing study (n=780)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WMH volume by thirds</th>
<th>No history of severe headache (n=617)</th>
<th>Migraine headache (n=116)</th>
<th>Non-migraine headache (n=47)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>Age adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>Multiple adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest third</td>
<td>215 (34.8)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>211 (34.2)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6 to 1.6)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6 to 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest third</td>
<td>191 (31.0)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9 to 2.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.0 to 2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P for trend†</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deep:

| Lowest third         | 213 (34.5)                           | 1.0                      | 1.0                         | 13 (27.7) | 1.0                      | 1.0                                  |
| Middle third         | 214 (34.7)                           | 1.0 (0.6 to 1.7)         | 1.1 (0.7 to 2.0)            | 12 (25.5) | 1.0 (0.4 to 2.2)         | 1.0 (0.4 to 2.2)                      |
| Highest third        | 190 (30.8)                           | 1.6 (1.0 to 2.6)         | 1.9 (1.1 to 3.2)            | 22 (66.8) | 2.0 (1.0 to 4.0)         | 2.1 (1.0 to 4.4)                      |
|                       | P for trend†                          | 0.04                    | 0.01                        | —       | 0.04                     | 0.03                                 |

Periventricular:

| Lowest third         | 215 (34.8)                           | 1.0                      | 1.0                         | 9 (19.1)  | 1.0                      | 1.0                                  |
| Middle third         | 210 (34.0)                           | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.6)         | 0.9 (0.5 to 1.5)            | 17 (36.2) | 1.9 (0.8 to 4.3)         | 2.0 (0.9 to 4.7)                      |
| Highest third        | 192 (31.1)                           | 1.5 (0.9 to 2.4)         | 1.6 (1.0 to 2.7)            | 21 (44.7) | 2.5 (1.1 to 5.6)         | 2.9 (1.3 to 6.5)                      |
|                       | P for trend†                          | 0.09                    | 0.04                        | —       | 0.03                     | 0.01                                 |

Odds ratios are calculated by using a multinomial logistic regression model with participants who had no history of severe headache and who were in lowest third for WMH volume as reference group. WMH volume was standardised to total white matter volume. Percentages may not add up to 100% owing to rounding.

*Adjusted for age, sex, history of hypertension, smoking, body mass index, total cholesterol level, alcohol consumption, and family history of severe headache.

† For trend across mean values of the WMH thirds calculated by using a logistic regression model contrasting a specific headache group to participants without history of severe headache.

We log transformed the measures for volume of white matter hyperintensities as they were not normally distributed. Next, we standardised the volume of white matter hyperintensities to the total volume of white matter. Standardisation with total intracranial volume yielded similar results. Finally, we a priori categorised all measures for volume of white matter hyperintensities into tertiles to allow for non-linear patterns of association.

Assessment of infarct lesions

One neurologist with training in neuroradiology (YCZ), who was blinded to the headache status and any other clinical data of participants, used a standardised assessment grid to visually review all the brain scans. The characteristics of lesions were visualised simultaneously in axial, coronal, and sagittal planes. A brain infarct was defined as focal lesions of 3 mm or more with the same signal characteristics as cerebrospinal fluid on both T1 and T2 weighted sequences, and these were discriminated from dilated vascular space (Virchow-Robin space) according to their shapes and locations. We applied this definition to all lesions irrespective of location. An endpoint committee (YCZ, HC, CT, and TK) reviewed and classified doubtful lesions after consensus. For this analysis, we distinguished between infarcts in the cerebellum or brain stem and in other locations.

Assessment of cognitive function

The Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing study collected extensive data on cognitive performance, using the mini-mental state examination, Wechsler adult intelligence scale-revised,trail making test part A and B, Rey 15 item memory test, Raven progressive matrices, Benton visual retention test, Benton facial recognition
test, and word fluency test. All tests were done by trained psychologists and we used data ascertained at the second follow-up visit. As all tests gave a similar association pattern and the mini-mental state examination is the most widely used test of global cognitive function, we report findings from the mini-mental state examination only.25 This test includes simple questions in several domains, such as time and location, repeating lists of words, arithmetic (for example, serial sevens), language use and comprehension, and basic motor skills.

**Statistical analysis**

Of the 845 participants with brain scans, we excluded 20 for technical reasons, eight because of cerebral anomalies, 28 with image contrast precluding the application of the algorithm for measuring volume of white matter hyperintensities, and nine with missing information on headache history, leaving 780 participants for this study. Eleven participants had missing information for the mini-mental state examination and were excluded from that part of the analysis.

We compared the means of continuous characteristics and frequency of categorical characteristics of participants according to their headache status. We used multinomial logistic regression models to calculate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of the association between headache status and thirds for volume of white matter hyperintensities using participants who did not report a history of severe headache and who were in the lowest third for volume of white matter hyperintensities as the reference group. Multinomial logistic regression is an extension of binary logistic regression that allows the outcome variable to have more than two categories. Calculated odds ratios have two reference categories, one for the exposure (headache status) and one for the outcome (thirds for volume of white matter hyperintensities) categories.

We run age adjusted and multivariable adjusted models for total and localised white matter hyperintensities. The multivariable models controlled for age (continuous), sex, history of hypertension (yes, no), smoking (ever, never), body mass index (<25, 25 to 30, ≥30 weight [kg]/[height (m)²]), alcohol consumption (0, 1-19, 20-39, ≥40 g/day), serum total cholesterol level (continuous), and family history of severe headache (yes, no). Results remained essentially unchanged when the models were additionally controlled for a history of diabetes, systolic blood pressure, and history of vascular disease. We calculated P for trend across mean values of thirds for WMH volume calculated by using a logistic regression model contrasting specific headache group to participants without history of severe headache.

### Table 3 | Association between headache and volume of WMH volume in Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing study (n=780)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WMH volume by third</th>
<th>No history of severe headache (n=617)</th>
<th>Migraine with aura (n=17)</th>
<th>Migraine without aura (n=99)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>Age adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>Multiple adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=260):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest third</td>
<td>215 (34.8)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>211 (34.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.3 to 4.8)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3 to 4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest third</td>
<td>191 (31.0)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.7 to 7.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.8 to 9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for trend†</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep (n=260):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest third</td>
<td>213 (34.5)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>214 (34.7)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.7 to 50.0)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.7 to 52.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest third</td>
<td>190 (30.8)</td>
<td>11.2 (1.4 to 88.3)</td>
<td>12.4 (1.6 to 99.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for trend†</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular (n=260):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest third</td>
<td>215 (34.8)</td>
<td>5 (29.4)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>210 (34.0)</td>
<td>4 (23.5)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.2 to 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest third</td>
<td>192 (31.1)</td>
<td>8 (47.1)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.6 to 5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for trend†</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds ratios are calculated by using a multinomial logistic regression model predicting WMH categories with participants who had no history of severe headache and who were in the lowest third for WMH volume as reference group. WMH volume was standardised to total white matter volume. Percentages may not add up to 100% owing to rounding.

*Adjusted for age, sex, history of hypertension, smoking, body mass index, total cholesterol level, alcohol consumption, and family history of severe headache. The multinomial model also includes participants with non-migraine headache (n=47); see table 2 for detailed numbers.

†P for trend across mean values of thirds for WMH volume calculated by using a logistic regression model contrasting specific headache group to participants without history of severe headache.
Values are numbers (percentages) P<0.05 to be statistically significant.

9.1; P values were two tailed and we considered shown). All analyses were done using SAS version tests showed a similar association pattern (data not tion of the results for performance of other cognitive type with and without structural brain lesions. Evalua-
mimi-mental state examination according to headache
sex, and education, to evaluate the association of the
variables (highest volume third for total
increasing volume. For participants with any history of severe headache, the multivariable adjusted odds ratio of being in the highest volume third for total white matter hyperintensities was 2.0 (95% confidence
interval 1.3 to 3.1, P for trend 0.002) compared with participants who were in the lowest third and who did not have a headache history. Similar associations were found for volume of deep white matter hyperintensities (2.0, 1.3 to 3.1, P for trend 0.002) and for volume of periventricular white matter hyperintensities (1.9, 1.2 to 3.0, P for trend 0.004).

When a distinction was made between migraine and non-migraine headache, the risk increased for both headache types, with higher estimates for the group with non-migraine headaches (table 2).

Table 3 summarises the association between migraine and volume of white matter hyperintensities by migraine aura status. Although the association pattern of migraine without aura and volume of white mat-
ter hyperintensities was of the same magnitude as those for overall headache, stronger associations were found between migraine with aura and lesions located in the deep white matter (12.4, 1.6 to 99.4 for the highest third, P for trend 0.005). This association remained signif-
cificant when the correlation between volume of loca-
sed and total white matter hyperintensities was taken into account (5.8, 1.2 to 27.8, P for trend 0.02).

We evaluated whether the association between headache status and increased total volume of white matter hyperintensities (highest third v lowest two thirds) was modified by age, sex, history of hypertension, smoking status, family history of severe headache, history of cardiovascular disease, or classification criteria for headache status. A significant interaction of the association between non-migraine headache and total volume of white matter hyperinten-
sities was found by age only (P for interaction 0.03), indicating a significant association among participants aged 70 and older (3.4, 1.5 to 8.0).

A total of 110 (14.1%) participants had at least one brain infarct and 28 had more than one (table 4). Compared with participants without a history of severe headache, those with a history of overall migraine or non-migraine headache had no increased risk of a brain infarct. Only participants who had migraine with aura had an over threefold increased risk (3.4, 1.2 to 9.3). Furthermore, there was a suggestion that partici-
pants who had migraine with aura were at increased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No of participants affected</th>
<th>No history of severe headache (n=617)</th>
<th>Non-migraine headache (n=47)</th>
<th>Any migraine (n=116)</th>
<th>Migraine with aura (n=17)</th>
<th>Migraine without aura (n=99)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9 (1.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortex</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34 (5.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>7 (6.0)</td>
<td>2 (11.8)</td>
<td>5 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus lentiformis</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26 (4.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (5.2)</td>
<td>2 (11.8)</td>
<td>4 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus caudatus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17 (2.8)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11 (1.8)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>2 (11.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7 (1.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17 (2.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple infarcts</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23 (3.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>4 (3.4)</td>
<td>2 (11.8)</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4| Frequency of infarcts according to location and headache status in Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing study (n=780). Values are numbers (percentages)
aura (data not shown). Brain lesions in participants who had migraine with aura were lacking for cognitive impairment according to structural brain lesions (table 5). Evidence was for migraine with aura. Finally, we found no evidence that overall headache or specific headache type by itself or in combination with structural brain lesions was associated with brain infarcts, although such an association was found for the small group of participants who had migraine with aura. Conversely, evidence was increased risk of higher volumes of total, deep, and periventricular white matter hyperintensity. The pattern of association was similar for both migraine and non-migraine headache according to structural brain lesions (table 5). Evidence was also lacking for cognitive impairment according to brain lesions in participants who had migraine with aura (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In this large, cross sectional population based sample of older participants (mean age 69) we found that any lifetime history of severe headaches was associated with an increased risk of higher volumes of total, deep, and periventricular white matter hyperintensity. The pattern of association was similar for both migraine and non-migraine headache types. Conversely, evidence was lacking that a history of severe headache in general was associated with brain infarcts, although such an association was found for the small group of participants who had migraine with aura. Finally, we found no evidence that overall headache or specific headache type by itself or in combination with structural brain lesions results in cognitive impairment.

**Strengths and weaknesses of the study**

Our study has several strengths, including the large number of participants drawn independently according to headache status from the general population, classification of headache status through a structured and standardised telephone interview carried out by neurologists who were specialised in headache disorders, utilisation of validated automated image processing to classify volume of white matter hyperintensities, and the large amount of available information allowing for the control of potential confounding factors.

Several limitations should also be considered. Firstly, despite the large study size, the subgroups comprised a relatively small number of participants, which should particularly caution the interpretation of the results for migraine with aura (n=17). Secondly, our study was cross sectional, which does not necessarily allow evaluation of the direction of association. However, most of the participants with headache reported first onset in young age, when structural brain lesions are rare. Thirdly, not all participants took part in the brain imaging substudy, which could potentially result in selection bias. However, the measured characteristics, and particularly the distribution of headache history, did not differ among participants and non-participants (P=0.38). Fourthly, although headache status was ascertained by a detailed interview with a headache specialist, information on headaches may not have been recalled adequately in this older population. As white matter hyperintensities have been associated with increased risk of dementia, a differential bias is possible. Such a bias would,
however, have underestimated the association between headache status and white matter hyperintensities. Furthermore, our initial screening question for headache asked for “severe” headache to identify patients with considerable headache burden, which may not allow all people who have headaches to be captured. However, such a screening tool is often used in population based headache research.\textsuperscript{22,37} Fifthly, despite adjustment for a large number of potential confounders, residual and unmeasurable confounding is possible because our study was observational. Sixthly, our data were collected some time ago. Although the associations between migraine and structural brain lesions or function are unlikely to have changed, newer imaging techniques are available, which may lead to more precise detection of lesions. Lastly, the participants were of higher socioeconomic status and somewhat healthier than their peer group,\textsuperscript{27} which may limit generalisability to other populations.

Comparisons with previous studies
Our findings extend our knowledge of the association between headache and white matter hyperintensities to non-migraine headache, which is likely to be tension-type headache, and to older people. Previous population based studies could only evaluate the association between migraine and white matter hyperintensities, showing increased risk.\textsuperscript{20,38,39} In a meta-analysis of case-control studies, migraine was associated with a fourfold increased risk (odds ratio 3.9, 95% confidence interval 2.26 to 6.72) of white matter abnormalities.\textsuperscript{23} For tension-type headache, our data confirm results from a previous small case-control study of 63 patients with chronic primary headache and 54 controls free of headache.\textsuperscript{20} This imaging study found that the prevalence of white matter abnormalities was similar for tension-type and migraine headaches (32.1% and 34.3%) and both were increased compared with controls (7.4%).

Our results of a strong association between migraine with aura and deep white matter hyperintensities extend the findings of the Cerebral Abnormalities in Migraine, an Epidemiological Risk Analysis (CAMERA) study.\textsuperscript{22} In this population based sample of men and women aged 20 to 60 years from the Netherlands, overall migraine was associated with an increased load of deep white matter hyperintensities among women but did not differ according to migraine aura status. The association was stronger with higher frequency of migraines, information that was not available in our study.

The CAMERA study further showed an association between migraine with aura and infarct-like lesions mainly located in the cerebellum and brain stem (odds ratio 13.7, 95% confidence interval 1.7 to 112).\textsuperscript{10,22} This finding is supported by a population based study from Iceland, which found that women with migraine in mid-life had an increased risk of cerebellar infarct-like lesions in later life.\textsuperscript{11} Although our data also support an association between migraine with aura and brain infarcts, most of these lesions were located outside the cerebellum or brain stem.

Our data do not indicate an association between overall or specific headache types and impaired cognitive function regardless of the presence of structural brain lesions. While data suggest an association between white matter hyperintensities and cognitive impairment,\textsuperscript{14} recent findings do not support an association between cognitive impairment and migraine in older people.\textsuperscript{40}

Potential biological mechanisms
The mechanisms linking headache in general with white matter hyperintensities are unclear. White matter hyperintensities are believed to consist of gliosis and local loss of myelin resulting from microvascular damage. In patients with migraine, a haemodynamic ischaemic process\textsuperscript{41} and mitochondrial dysfunction\textsuperscript{42} have been hypothesised, but neuropathological investigations are lacking.\textsuperscript{43} Rarely, white matter hyperintensities among patients who have migraine with aura can be related to a genetically determined small vessel disease.\textsuperscript{44}

A potential explanation for the association between migraine with aura and brain infarcts involves links between migraine and the endovascular system,\textsuperscript{45,46} shared genetic or vascular risk factors,\textsuperscript{47,48} or a potential association with patent foramen ovale.\textsuperscript{49,50}

Implications for clinical practice
Our data confirm the association of migraine with white matter hyperintensities. They suggest, however, that this association is not specific to migraine headaches but extends to non-migraine headaches, most likely tension-type headaches. In addition, our data provide evidence that, irrespective of the underlying headache type, the existence of brain lesions among people who have headaches does not result in cognitive impairment. As the association between headache and brain infarcts is limited to the small subgroup of patients who have migraine with aura, our data do not support ordering brain imaging to rule out structural brain lesions for most people with primary headache disorders.

Future research
Although it does not seem to currently have any clinical relevance, the relation between migraine with aura, white matter hyperintensities, and brain infarcts deserves further investigation. Silent brain infarcts seem to have the same risk factors and mechanisms as clinical stroke but they are many times more common and therefore easier to study. As white matter hyperintensities have been linked with increased risk of stroke,\textsuperscript{51,53} a better understanding of the relation between migraine with aura and structural brain lesions could give further insights into whether this association is limited to specific subgroups and whether preventive strategies should be tested.
WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
In several case-control and population based studies, migraine has been associated with an increased prevalence of white matter hyperintensities with migraine aura has been associated with clinical and subclinical brain infarction

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
Any history of severe headache, not just migraine, is associated with white matter hyperintensities
Associations between migraine and brain infarcts is limited to people who have migraine with aura
Evidence that migraine or other severe headache by itself or in combination with white matter hyperintensities is associated with cognitive impairment is lacking
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