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Abstract
Since Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV or human herpesvirus 8) was first identified in Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS) lesions of HIV-infected individuals with AIDS, the basic biological understanding of KSHV has progressed remarkably. However, the absence of a proper animal model for KSHV continues to impede direct in vivo studies of viral replication, persistence, and pathogenesis. In response to this need for an animal model of KSHV infection, we have explored whether common marmosets can be experimentally infected with human KSHV. Here, we report the successful zoonotic transmission of KSHV into common marmosets (Callithrix jacchus, Cj), a New World primate. Marmosets infected with recombinant KSHV rapidly seroconverted and maintained a vigorous anti-KSHV antibody response. KSHV DNA and latent nuclear antigen (LANA) were readily detected in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and various tissues of infected marmosets. Remarkably, one orally infected marmoset developed a KS-like skin lesion with the characteristic infiltration of leukocytes by spindle cells positive for KSHV DNA and proteins. These results demonstrate that human KSHV infects common marmosets, establishes an efficient persistent infection, and occasionally leads to a KS-like skin lesion. This is the first animal model to significantly elaborate the important aspects of KSHV infection in humans and will aid in the future design of vaccines against KSHV and anti-viral therapies targeting KSHV coinfected tumor cells.

Introduction
The most recently described human tumor virus, KSHV is a γ-2 herpesvirus and was first identified in association with KS, the most common neoplasm amongst AIDS patients [1]. KS is clinically separated into four different forms: classical KS, endemic KS, iatrogenic KS, and epidemic HIV-associated KS [2]. In addition to KS, KSHV is linked with two other cancers, Primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) [1,3,4] and Multicentric Castleman’s disease [5,6,7]. Both of these cancers are B cell proliferative disorders, generally have poor outcomes, and have short median survival times complicated by their association with AIDS.

One experimental barrier to working with KSHV has been the lack of an in vitro system for examining lytic replication. While KSHV can infect a wide variety of primary cells and cell lines, none support the growth of KSHV to a high titer [8]. Typically, viruses can be stimulated toward replication only through the addition of agents like phorbol esters [9], this limitation extending to the in vivo setting. These problems had previously been addressed in two ways: through manipulation of the virus for increased titer or cell infectivity and the use of highly related viruses. By inserting a gene conferring resistance to an antibiotic, one can select cell populations that are essentially 100% infected [10]. Meanwhile, two examples of related viruses used as stand-ins for KSHV are Herpesvirus saimiri (HVS) [11] and Rhesus rhadinovirus (RRV) [12,13]. These viruses are largely co-linear with KSHV, carry many of the same genes, and are known to infect non-human primates [13]. RRV infection develops abnormal cellular proliferations characterized as extranodal lymphoma and retroperitoneal fibromatosis, a proliferative mesenchymal proliferative lesion, in an experimentally co-infected rhesus macaque with simian immunodeficiency virus, suggesting an excellent primate model to investigate KSHV-like pathogenesis [14,15]. In the case of HVS, infection of New World primates results in an aggressive, fulminant lymphoma. However, HVS primarily infects T cells, not B cells, as KSHV does. RRV persists in infection in rhesus macaques, infects B cells, and induces B cell hyperplasia, but no KS-like disease occurs [15]. On the other hand, marine Herpesvirus 68 (MHV-68) provides a small, experimentally accessible mouse model, but its infection does not associate with KS or related diseases [16]. The introduction of KSHV genes into these systems has proven to be useful, albeit limited, for the study of KSHV [17].
Primate Model for KSHV Infection

Results/Discussion

Experimental infection of common marmosets with rKSHV.219

Given its magnitude as a human health problem, it is crucial to understand the molecular details of KSHV biology. However, the lack of an animal model of KSHV infection greatly hampers studies of KSHV pathogenesis and persistence. Therefore, we explored whether common marmosets can be experimentally infected with human KSHV, and if so, to what extent experimental infection recapitulates the important aspects of KSHV infection. To facilitate the infection of common marmosets with KSHV, we chose a recombinant KSHV, rKSHV.219, from KSHV-infected JSC-1 cells [23]. rKSHV.219 expresses red fluorescent protein (RFP) from the KSHV lytic PAN promoter, green fluorescent protein (GFP) from the EF-1α promoter, and contains the gene for puromycin resistance as a selectable marker [10]. Two common marmosets (Cj15-05 and Cj16-05) were inoculated intravenously with 5 × 10^6 infectious units (IU) of rKSHV.219. Blood samples were obtained at various time points to measure the marmosets’ antibody responses and to detect viral DNA and proteins. Both monkeys quickly seroconverted to anti-KSHV-positive status within 20 days after inoculation (Fig 1A) and the animals’ anti-KSHV antibodies persisted at very high levels for over 1.5 years. In addition, the sera from both infected monkeys readily reacted with purified KSHV virion proteins on immunoblots, with higher antibody reactivities detected over time (Fig 1B). A positive control, immunoreactive serum from a KSHV-infected patient was included to validate this immunoblot assay (Supplementary Fig S1). PBMCs from KSHV-infected animals were PCR-positive for KSHV LANA and ORF9 10 and 20 days after infection, respectively (Fig 1C and 1D). Viral DNA persisted in the PBMCs of monkey Cj15-05 for the entire 1.5-year span the animal was studied, whereas the level of viral DNA in monkey Cj16-05 decreased after 200 days postinfection (Fig 1C). Real-time PCR analysis indicated that the KSHV DNA copy number/µg of genomic PBMC DNA from the infected monkeys was substantially lower than that of rKSHV.219-infected Vero cells (Fig 1D). The low viral DNA copy number suggests that a minor population of the monkey PBMCs carried the rKSHV.219 genome. This was confirmed by confocal microscopy which showed that the KSHV LANA protein was detected in monkey PBMCs at a frequency of 2–5 cells per 1 × 10^6 cells (Fig 1E). It should be noted that this figure depicts a rare positive field and does not reflect the overall incidence of LANA-positive cells. However, the infection frequency is similar to that of other γ-2 Herpesviruses, such as Rhesus lymphocryptovirus, Rhesus rhadinovirus, and Herpesvirus saimiri, which persist asymptomatically in their natural hosts [11,12,15,24]. Due to the lack of an efficient in vitro culture system for KSHV infection and replication, virus recovery from the PBMCs of the experimentally infected marmosets was unsuccessful. Nevertheless, these results unambiguously demonstrate the persistent infection of naive common marmosets by rKSHV.219.

Analysis of CD20+ B cells in the blood of the two infected marmosets showed increased B cell populations when compared to naive marmosets (Fig 2). Although the total number of B cells did not change significantly in the initial few months after infection with rKSHV.219, their numbers noticeably increased around seven months postinfection and remained relatively elevated for as long as we followed these animals. Naive common marmosets had 10–15% CD20+ B cells in their PBMCs while rKSHV.219-infected marmosets Cj15-05 and Cj16-05 had 15–20% CD20+ B cells (Fig 2 and Supplementary Fig S2). Interestingly, a 7-fold increase in the number of CD20+ B cells was observed in both infections. This suggests that KSHV possibly targets these cells to establish persistence and latency in marmosets. This model thus provides a unique opportunity to dissect the molecular mechanisms of KSHV infection, persistence, and pathogenesis directly in primates.
increase in HLA-DR⁺ CD20⁺ B cells at 200 days postinfection was observed in marmosets infected with rKSHV.219 (Fig 1F) and this population was maintained until these animals were euthanized (data not shown), indicating that rKSHV.219 infection leads to elevated levels of CD20⁺ B cells in marmosets. However, no B cell hyperplasia was observed in either monkey (data not shown).

Early infection of rKSHV.219 in common marmoset

To detect early KSHV infection, a marmoset (Cj325-04) was sacrificed 21 days after intravenous inoculation with 5 × 10⁶ IU of rKSHV.219. An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) showed a strong anti-KSHV antibody response (Fig 3A) while PCR products from a variety of tissues to test the presence of KSHV LANA DNA were yielded positive results only for samples from the jejunum and liver (Fig 3B). PBMCs were collected at the time of sacrifice and cultured in vitro for one week. Approximately 1/10⁴–5 lymphocytes were GFP-positive and RFP-negative, suggesting latent KSHV infection (Fig 3C). In addition, the KSHV LANA latency-associated protein was readily detected in PBMCs of the rKSHV.219-infected marmoset (Fig 3D). Taken together, these results indicate that KSHV rapidly establishes latent infection in common marmoset PBMCs. It should be noted that the GFP-positive signal from PBMCs of infected marmosets disappeared after an additional week of incubation in vitro (data not shown). Due to a low frequency of GFP-positive cells, however, it is unclear whether the GFP-positive cells died or lost the viral genome after a week of incubation in vitro. This suggests that while GFP is a convenient marker for viral infection, its use may be limited to the early phase of KSHV infection in marmosets.

Figure 1. Experimental infection of common marmosets with rKSHV.219. (A) ELISA using the sera (1:100 dilution) of infected marmosets (Cj15-05 and Cj16-05) against the lysed recombinant virus. The results show the averages of four repeats and standard deviations. These assays were reproduced by at least four independent occasions. (B) Immunoblot using the sera of infected marmosets (Cj15-05 and Cj16-05). Sera (1:500 dilution) taken from naive control marmosets (Control) and infected marmosets (Cj15-05 and Cj16-05 in the left panel and Cj15-05 in the right panel) at various time points were used to immunoblot 20 µg of purified virion proteins. P.I., days postinfection. (C and D) Real-time PCR analyses of KSHV LANA and ORF9 DNA. Purified genomic DNA (100 ng) from the PBMCs of three naive controls and two infected (Cj15-05 and Cj16-05) marmosets was used for real time-PCR with primers specific for LANA (c) and ORF9 (D). Purified genomic DNA (100 ng) from Vero.rKSHV.219 cells was included as a control (P) in (C). DNA copy number was calculated using a standard curve with pcDNA3-ORF9 DNA and presented as the viral copy number per µg of DNA. M, 100-bp DNA molecular markers. The results show the averages of four repeats and standard deviations. (E) Detection of the LANA protein by confocal microscopy. The PBMCs of KSHV-infected marmosets were subjected to immunostaining with anti-LANA, followed by confocal microscopy. The arrows indicate LANA-positive cells. The top panels show phase contrast images of the PBMCs. The scale bars represent 20 µm. It should be noted that this picture shows an example of a rare positive field, but not reflecting the overall incidence of LANA positive cells. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000606.g001
Persistent rKSHV.219 infection is reduced by FK506 treatment

In transplant recipients, immune suppression is thought to disturb the host's surveillance of KSHV, leading to viral reactivation and an increased systemic viral load [2]. In contrast, rapamycin, an immunosuppressive drug, reduces KSHV-infected PEL cell growth in culture [25] and inhibits the progression of dermal KS in kidney transplant recipients while providing effective immunosuppression [26]. To investigate the direct impact in vivo of an immunosuppressive agent on persistent KSHV infection, two common marmosets (Cj333-04 and Cj139-04) were treated with FK506 (Tacrolimus or Fujimycin, 100 μg/kg/day) immunosuppressive drug [27] for 14 days prior to intravenous inoculation with 5 × 10^6 IU of rKSHV.219, with treatment continuing for an additional 100 days. Immune-suppressed monkeys inoculated with rKSHV.219 seroconverted to anti-KSHV-positive status within 14 days after inoculation (Fig 4A). Although the anti-KSHV response and KSHV DNA remained present 100 days after infection, they were of a considerably lower magnitude than those of immune-competent monkeys. Compared to untreated animals Cj15-05 and Cj16-05, FK506-treated monkeys Cj333-04 and Cj139-04 showed anti-KSHV antibody responses approximately 2–3 fold lower throughout at 40–80 days postinfection, a shorter duration of time when a positive KSHV LANA DNA signal could be obtained by PCR, and substantially lower copy numbers (Fig 4B). To assess the tissue distribution of rKSHV.219 in infected marmosets, KSHV LANA-specific DNA was amplified from various tissues and organs of immune-competent and immune-suppressed common marmosets. rKSHV.219 DNA was readily detected in numerous tissues of infected monkeys Cj15-05 and Cj16-05, including the tonsils, tongue, lymph nodes, spleen, jejunum, lungs, colon, liver, thymus, submandibular salivary gland, inguinal skin, and bone marrow (Fig 5). In contrast, only the submandibular lymph nodes and bone marrow were positive for rKSHV.219 DNA in FK506-treated animals Cj333-04 and Cj139-04 (Fig 5). These results indicate that immunosuppressive drug treatment leads to a significant reduction of persistent KSHV infection in vivo.

Oral infection of common marmosets with rKSHV.219

Two common marmosets were orally inoculated with 5 × 10^7 IU of rKSHV.219. Both monkeys inoculated with rKSHV.219 quickly seroconverted to anti-KSHV-positive status within 20 days after inoculation (Fig 6A). However, the anti-KSHV response was much lower in orally infected marmosets than in intravenously infected marmosets and persisted for a shorter period of time (Fig 6A). PBMCs from KSHV-infected marmosets Cj10-05 and Cj11-05 collected on day 41 postinfection were positive for the KSHV LANA sequence but we could not detect KSHV LANA DNA in the PBMCs at any other time under the same conditions (Fig 6B). Like the intravenously infected marmosets, the KSHV
LANA protein was also detectable in the PBMCs of orally infected marmosets (Fig 6C). However, since the efficiency of oral infection was much lower than that of intravenous infections, the detection frequency and time period of LANA positivity via confocal microscopy was much lower and more limited with oral infection compared to that in intravenous infection. These results indicate that KSHV infects common marmosets through the oral route but as seen with other viruses [24], oral infection of KSHV is not as efficient as intravenous infection in common marmosets.

Remarkably, one (Cj10-05) of two animals orally infected with KSHV developed a skin lesion on its ventral abdomen at 41 days postinfection (Fig 7A). This purple skin lesion was approximately 1.5 cm in diameter and had similar histopathological features to those observed in AIDS-associated KS lesions. Histological examination of a biopsy revealed a nonencapsulated dermal mass with characteristics typical of KS lesions. Pleomorphic spindle cells arranged in short bundles were observed, along with small blood vessels and extravasated erythrocytes (Fig 7B and C). The spindle cells had a high mitotic index and had infiltrated into the surrounding soft tissues (Fig 7B and C). Additionally, this KS-like lesion of Cj10-05 was PCR-positive for the KSHV LANA sequence (Fig 7D). Immunohistochemistry with antibodies against LANA, vIL-6, and K8.1 detected KSHV LANA, vIL-6, and K8.1, respectively, in the skin lesion (Fig 7E and F and Supplemental Fig S3). The anti-KSHV LANA, anti-vIL-6, and anti-K8.1 reactivities were specific, and no staining was observed with these antibodies in control skin tissues from naive common marmosets or in the biopsy tissue of Cj10-05 when the antibodies were replaced with isotype-matched irrelevant antibodies (data not shown and Supplemental Fig S3). Moreover, immunohistochemistry showed virtually identical phenotypes for the skin lesion on Cj10-05 and human KS lesions (table in Fig 7 and Supplemental Fig S4). These results collectively demonstrate that a common marmoset orally infected with KSHV can develop a skin lesion with similar histopathological features to those seen in human KS lesions and is also positive for KSHV DNA and proteins. However, the intensity and prevalence of LANA positive staining within the KS-like lesion of Cj10-05 were not as strong or widespread as those of human KS lesions. In addition, the expression of vIL-6 and K8.1 was restricted to a few cells in the KS-like lesion of Cj10-05, the question of whether these cells were latently infected or lytically replicated requiring further study.

Previous studies have illustrated the persistent infection of rhesus macaque monkeys infected with RRV, a primate homolog of KSHV [15,28]. Macaques inoculated with RRV alone display transient viremia followed by a vigorous anti-RRV response with no specific clinical features. In contrast, experimental RRV infection of SIV-infected rhesus macaques induces some of the hyperplastic B cell lymphoproliferative diseases which manifest themselves in AIDS patients coinfected with KSHV [15]. Furthermore, cotton-
top tamarins (Saguinus oedipus) inoculated with human Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) develop diffuse malignant lymphomas resembling human reticulum cell or immunoblastic sarcomas [29,30]. Additionally, Rhesus lymphocryptovirus, which is very similar to EBV and naturally endemic in rhesus monkeys, can efficiently infect naïve animals orally, with the resulting infection closely mimicking key aspects of human EBV infection [31]. Our study demonstrates that experimental KSHV infection of the common marmoset is highly analogous to its infection of humans, including the means of infection, atypical lymphocytosis, sustained serological responses, latent infection of PBMCs, and virus persistence. However, it should be noted while one of two KSHV-infected marmosets developed KS-like lesion, the number of marmosets used for this experiment is too low to reach the specific conclusion of the frequency of KS-like lesion development induced by KSHV infection. Additional extensive experiments with different conditions such as various KSHV strains and titers and co-infection with HIV-1 or EBV may be able to increase the incidence of KS development. Nevertheless, this model thus provides a unique opportunity to dissect the molecular mechanisms of KSHV infection, persistence, and pathogenesis directly in primates.

We have found that FK506 immunosuppressive drug treatment leads to a significant reduction of persistent KSHV infection in vivo. Zoeteweij et al demonstrated that cyclosporine and FK506, specific inhibitors of calcineurin-dependent signal transduction, effectively block the in vitro KSHV reactivation induced by ionomycin and thapsigargin, activators of intracellular calcium mobilization [27]. This indicates that FK506 immunosuppressive drug treatment may directly block KSHV reactivation in vivo or suppress lymphocyte activation at an early stage of infection, indirectly affecting KSHV persistent infection. Additional experiments, e.g. the timing and type of immune suppression, are necessary to determine the role of host immune competence in the establishment of KSHV persistent infection.

Figure 4. Persistent rkSHV.219 infection is reduced by FK506 treatment. (A) ELISA using the sera (1:100 dilution) of infected, FK506-treated common marmosets (Cj333-04 and Cj139-04) against the lysed recombinant virus. The results show the averages of two repeats and standard deviations. These assays were reproduced by two independent occasions. (B) Detection of KSHV DNA from FK506-treated, rkSHV.219-infected common marmosets Cj333-04 and Cj139-04. Genomic DNA (100 ng) purified from the PBMCs of FK506-treated marmosets Cj333-04 and Cj139-04 were used for real-time PCR reactions with LANA-specific primers. Genomic DNA from Vero.rKSHV.219 cells (P) was included as a control and the viral DNA copy number/μg of DNA calculated using a standard curve with pcDNA3-LANA DNA. M, 100-bp DNA molecular markers. The results show the averages of two repeats and standard deviations.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000606.g004
The examination of multiple primate species have demonstrated that herpesviruses are nearly ubiquitous, with homologs of each virus found in a number of different species [32]. Infection of a naive, natural host by these viruses usually results in a persistent infection that rarely progresses to a pathogenic event outside of specific clinical events. In contrast, cross-species transmission of these viruses can result in profound diseases. For example, herpesvirus saimiri (HVS) is a natural virus of squirrel monkeys, found in over 90% of animals in captivity with no observable pathogenesis [11]. However, transmission of HVS to common marmosets results in a fatal, lymphoproliferative disorder with 100% efficiency. In addition, the transmission of RRV into common marmoset was clear in regard to persistent infection, although it was less conclusive with regards to pathogenicity (unpublished results). Furthermore, viruses could be re-isolated from this animal at multiple time points throughout the experiment (unpublished results). Thus, it is intriguing that the common marmoset is highly susceptible to infection by various pathogens. We speculate that the limited major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC I) polymorphism of common marmosets may contribute to their susceptibility to KSHV infection and pathogenesis. Extensive polymorphism of the MHC is thought to confer immune protection on populations. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis showed that there were a limited number of common marmoset MHC class I alleles, whereas the MHC class II gene loci were polymorphic. This may play a role in the susceptibility of this New World primate species to a variety of pathogens. In summary, this is the first animal model that significantly recapitulates the important aspects of KSHV infection in humans and will greatly aid the future designing of anti-viral therapies and be of use in the development of vaccines against KSHV.

Materials and Methods

Animals and housing

All common marmosets (Callithrix jacchus, Cj) were housed at the New England Primate Research Center (NEPRC) in accordance with the standards of the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and Harvard Medical School’s Internal Animal Care and Use Committee. Common marmosets experimentally inoculated with rKSHV.219 were individually housed in bio-level 3 containment facilities.

Viral inoculations

Vero cells carrying rKSHV.219 were stimulated with trichostatin A (TSA), the supernatants were harvested to purify rKSHV.219, and the virus titer was determined in 293A and Vero cells by performing a GFP-positive infection assay as described [10]. In order to produce the virus, Vero cells carrying rKSHV.219 were stimulated with 75 nM of TSA for 24 hrs, the media changed, and grown for an additional 48 hrs in DMEM media without FBS. To harvest the virus, the cells were pelleted at 2000 rpm for 10 min with Sorvall SW40 rotor and the ensuing
supernatant passed through a 0.45 μm filter, after which it was centrifuged at 18000 rpm for 3 hrs with Sorvall SA-600 rotor to concentrate rKSHV.219. 5×10^6 IU of this rKSHV.219 was then used to intravenously inoculate the marmosets, for which a flexible, small-bore orogastric tube was utilized to slowly deliver the viral inoculum to the caudal aspect of the oral cavity, tonsils, and nostril mucosa. The inoculum (5×10^7 IU of rKSHV.219) was dripped slowly over the oral mucosa while the animal was under light sedation, whereby the swallow reflex was maintained. The animals were given ketamine (10–20 mg/kg body weight) intramuscularly prior to blood sampling, inoculation, and euthanasia. The animals underwent periodic blood sampling for viral isolation attempts and the detection of viral DNA. The animals were maintained until the termination of the study or when any of the conditions for euthanasia were met.

Antibody responses
rKSHV.219 was purified from Vero.rKSHV.219 cells and lysed with a 1% Triton X-100 buffer, after which it was put through five cycles of freezing in liquid nitrogen followed by thawing. The virion proteins were coated onto plates and used to detect reactive antibodies by ELISA as described [12,28].

Clinical evaluation, biopsies, and blood samples
Following experimental rKSHV.219 inoculation, all animals were examined daily. Body temperature and clinical data were recorded via an implanted microchip and transponder (Bio Medic Data Systems, Maywood, NJ). PBMCs were obtained prior to inoculation and at various time points throughout the study. Tissues were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and snap-frozen at −70°C. Blood was obtained at various time points to quantify the viral antibody response, viral load, and complete blood count.

Histopathology, immunohistochemistry, and confocal microscopy
Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, and snap-frozen tissues were used in immunohistochemical procedures to define the immunophenotype of cells within the skin lesion tissue as described [28]. Briefly, tissue sections were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde and immunostained with an avidin-biotin-horseradish peroxidase complex technique with diaminobenzidine chromogen. The primary antibodies used in this study were anti-CD20 (B1), anti-CD8 (DK25), anti-CD3 (Nu-Th/1), anti-HLA-DR (CR3/43), anti-Ki67 (MIB-1), anti-vWF (A00082), anti-vimentin (3B4), and 3B4.
anti-HAM56 (M0632). Primary antibodies for viral markers LANA (clone 4A4) and vIL-6 were obtained from Advanced Biotechnologies Inc. (Columbia, MD). Confocal microscopy was used to define the immunophenotypes of virus-infected cells among the PBMCs.

Detection of rKSHV.219 sequences

To test for the presence of rKSHV.219, DNA was extracted from PBMCs or fresh frozen tissues using a QIAmp tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was eluted in 50 to 100 μl of sterile water treated with diethylpyrocarbonate and PCR was performed as described below. PBMCs were separated from the whole blood of infected common marmosets using standard Ficoll isolation techniques as described by the manufacturer (Organon Teknika, Malvern, PA).

Real-time PCR was performed with genomic DNA isolated from the PBMCs or tissues of the infected animals and specific primers based on previous analyses of the KSHV sequence [33]. LANA-specific primers [forward primer (5’-CCT CCA TCC CAT CCT GTG TC-3’) and backward primer (5’-GGA CGC ATA GGT GTT GAA GAG-3’)] were used to generate a 146-bp product for LANA detection. ORF9-specific primers [forward primer (5’-ATT CAA GGT CAT ATA CGG CG-3’) and backward primer (5’-CTG GAC AAA ACG ACA GAG CGT TG-3’)] were used to generate a 262-bp product for ORF9 detection. Amplification was performed at 95°C for 25 s and 67.5°C for 60 s for 45 cycles in an iCycler thermal cycler system (Bio-Rad, CA). Data was obtained at Ct values as per the manufacturer’s guidelines (the cycle number at which logarithmic PCR plots cross a calculated threshold line). The PCR products were resolved on a 3% ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel and sequenced to confirm the identities of the KSHV LANA and ORF9 gene fragments.

Immunoblot analysis

As described in the section “Antibody responses,” virion particles were prepared by stimulating Vero.rKSHV.219 cells with TSA, then by freezing and thawing rKSHV.219 a total of five times in a 1% Triton X-100 buffer using liquid nitrogen. Purified virion proteins (20 μg) were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad). Immunodetection was achieved with 1:500 diluted monkey sera. The proteins were visualized by a chemiluminescence reagent (Pierce) and detected by a Fuji chemiluminometer.

Flow cytometry analysis

5×10⁵ cells per sample were washed with PBS medium containing 1% fetal calf serum and incubated with either fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated (FITC) or phycoerythrin-conjugated (PE) monoclonal antibodies for 30 min at 4°C. After washing, each sample was fixed with a 2% paraformaldehyde solution and flow cytometry analysis was performed with a FACS Scan (Becton Dickinson Co.).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Immunoblot using the KSHV-infected human sera. KSHV-infected human sera and normal human sera (1:500 dilution) were used to immunoblot 20 μg of purified virion proteins.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000606.s001 (0.30 MB TIF)
**Figure S2** Increase in the CD20+ B cell population in common marmosets infected with rKSHV.219. PBMCs from Cj15-05 (days 210, 320, 380 and 400 P.I.) and Cj16-05 (days 210, 260, 300 and 320 P.I.) were used for flow cytometry analysis with anti-CD20, anti-CD40, anti-CD66-DR, anti-CD190-98, Cj170-04, Cj60-00 and Cj54-05 served as the controls in this experiment. The numbers in the boxes indicate percentages relative to the entire PBMC population. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000606.s002 (1.27 MB TIF)

**Figure S3** Immunohistochemistry of the KS-like skin lesion of Cj10-05 with an anti-K8.1 antibody. The inset in the left panel shows an enlarged view of the anti-K8.1 staining of the KS-like lesion of Cj10-05. Irrelevant control tissue (right top panel) and KSHV-infected MCD (right bottom panel) were included as negative and positive controls, respectively, for anti-K8.1 staining. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000606.s003 (5.70 MB TIF)

**Figure S4** Immunophenotypic comparison of marmoset neoplasm and human KS lesions. Marmoset (A, C, E, G, and J; insert: marmoset positive tissue control) and human tissues (B, D, F, H, and J) were compared immunophenotypically using an ABC immunostaining technique and DAB chromogen for vWF (A, B), vimentin (C, D), desmin (E, F), HAM56 (G, H), and CD3 (I, J). The proliferating spindle cells, stroma, and infiltrating inflammatory cells showed similar immunophenotypic properties. The tumors were composed primarily of vWF-negative, desmin-negative, and vimentin-positive cells supported by a variable stroma containing vWF-positive blood vessels and vimentin-positive cells. Desmin reactivity was observed in the surrounding tissues. Both tumors were infiltrated by HAM56-positive macrophages and significant numbers of CD3-positive lymphocytes. Spindleoid cells were uniformly negative for these markers. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000606.s004 (8.07 MB TIF)
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