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Utilization of Biomarker Data for Clinical and Environmental Intervention

David C. Christiani
Occupational Medicine and Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

Of the 189 air toxics listed in the Clean Air Act, a substantial number are important in potentially causing adverse health effects in several organ systems. Although the major health effects are manifested as respiratory diseases, especially airways disease, these agents may cause cancer and premature mortality, probably from cardiopulmonary disease. Validated biologic markers may be useful in identifying early effects to improve our understanding of exposure–response relationships and clarify susceptibility. However, the knowledge obtained from epidemiologic studies utilizing these new molecular tools will reduce morbidity and mortality from air toxics only when they can be applied effectively in the prevention and control of disease. Intervention strategies using these markers can be used to identify etiologic factors and assess the effectiveness of exposure reduction, and, in some instances, chemoprevention. This paper illustrates examples of these intervention strategies and reviews the current strengths and limitations of environmental molecular epidemiology in controlling disease caused by air toxics. — Environ Health Perspect 104(Suppl 5):921–925 (1996)
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Introduction

This symposium has described the current state-of-the-art research on biologic markers that may be relevant to air toxics. The goal of such research is practical: to apply relevant and valid biomarkers of exposure, effect, and susceptibility to epidemiologic studies of populations exposed to air toxics and to incorporate the resulting information into public health actions aimed at controlling exposures and adverse health effects. Studies that incorporate the use of biologic markers in human populations are often referred to as “molecular epidemiologic studies.” A conceptual approach to the application of biologic markers to human populations is shown in Figure 1. The ultimate goal for environmental molecular epidemiology is to fulfill the promise of preventive medicine: environmental disease prevention and control.

Much of what we have learned about the human health effects of toxic exposures has come from epidemiologic studies of exposed workers and from toxicologic studies on animals. Astute clinical observations and animal testing have provided the basis for large-scale epidemiologic studies of workers exposed to toxic agents such as asbestos and vinyl chloride. The risk of diseases caused by such agents is markedly increased in exposed workers who have usually been exposed to concentrations much higher than the general population. However, in the case of community air pollution studies, new challenges have arisen. First, we must find ways to elucidate factors that modestly increase disease risk (J). Though such causes could be extremely important from a public health point of view, these kinds of associations are difficult to detect in epidemiologic studies because the relative risk for low-level exposed, versus nonexposed, people is only slightly elevated (2). Complicating this problem is the generic aim of exposure–dose assessment in field studies: imprecise methodology to quantify exposures, especially those which may have occurred years ago, can lead to misclassification and bias. Moreover, the temporal pace of the traditional cohort study, which must await data from large populations exposed for long periods, has become an obstacle. Regulators, clinicians, and the public are too impatient to await such “natural experiments,” and seek shorter term strategies for studies and quicker solutions (2).

Since the concept of molecular epidemiology was popularized in the early 1980s (3) to describe an evolving approach to human environmental health research, much work has been done on biomarker development. The theoretical foundation of this work relies on three biologic tenets: a) early biologic effects from a toxic exposure are more prevalent and detectable in the population at risk than clinical disease is; b) with technological advances, many toxins can be either directly quantified in body fluids or indirectly measured by identification of some predictable, dose-related (early) biological response; and c) either (or both) of the above may be influenced by susceptibility phenomena (heritable or nonheritable), which can also be accounted for with recent advances in molecular biology. Combining these three principles, a new approach to environmental health research has emerged that expands on the traditional epidemiologic paradigm.

In addition to improved measures of exposure, response, and susceptibility, side benefits emerge that have direct relevance for intervention (4): contributions to the understanding of pathogenic mechanisms at the tissue, cellular, or molecular
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![Figure 1. Relationship of biomarkers to exposure, susceptibility, and disease. Adapted from the National Research Council (36).](image-url)
levels, potential for more accurate and etiologic classification of environmental diseases, and the possibility that recognition of early adverse effects could prompt strategies for secondary prevention or disease modification.

Biomarker research does not exist in a vacuum. Its usefulness can only be realized when it translates into prevention strategies to protect public health. In this short review, I describe how biomarkers may be used in clinical and environmental interventions.

**Intervention Approaches**

Intervention approaches in public health can be characterized as either the high-risk or population approach (5). The high-risk approach aims to detect individuals at high risk by using a biologic marker and lowering risk by clinical intervention or other action. Usually, the risk marker is monitored concurrently with the intervention. In contrast, population approach aims to reduce the overall occurrence of a risk factor (exposure) in a population.

Biologic markers may play different roles in each of these approaches. In the high-risk approach, a biologic marker is used to either identify exposure or early diagnosis of (preclinical) disease. For example, serum cholesterol is an exposure marker of risk indicating biologically effective dose and can be monitored after pharmacologic intervention. Other examples of this approach are shown in Table 1.

An example of the population approach is the prevention of childhood lead accumulation and toxicity by mandating the removal of lead from gasoline. In this approach, biomarkers have played an important role in providing the scientific basis for regulation—an environmental preventive, not clinical, intervention. Biomarkers for lead continued to play an important role in monitoring the intervention itself, demonstrating decrease population lead burden. Other examples of this approach are shown in Table 2.

In some instances, both high-risk and population approaches must be employed simultaneously. Lead toxicity provides an example of this combined approach: regulatory measures are concurrently aimed at reducing the whole population’s exposure and at monitoring internal dose (blood lead) of individual children by pediatricians.

These generic intervention strategies have also been important in cancer prevention and control, such as control of occupational bladder cancer by substitution of benzidine dyes with less toxic compounds; control of coke-oven-induced lung cancers by engineering controls and personal protection; and control of bis-chloromethyl ether induced lung cancer by elimination of that compound in plastic and glass production.

**Lung Cancer**

Tobacco smoke is implicated in up to 85% of the more than 150,000 lung cancer cases that occur in the United States every year. Despite the strong and consistent epidemiologic evidence linking tobacco smoking to cancer, the majority of smokers do not develop lung cancer. Individual variability in carcinogen metabolism may explain differential susceptibility to tobacco-induced lung cancer. In recent years, molecular techniques in the epidemiology of tobacco-related cancer have been applied in three areas: determination of internal and biologically effective dose, detection of early biologic effects, particularly mutations and cytogenetic changes, and assessment of variations in individual susceptibility to carcinogens, mainly via metabolic polymorphisms.

Among the 3800 chemicals that have been identified in tobacco smoke, a large number are biologically active compounds. The most important families of carcinogens are polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), aromatic amines, nitroso compounds, volatile organic compounds (e.g., benzene, formaldehyde), and radioactive elements such as polonium-210. Chemical compounds derived from tobacco smoke have been measured in biological specimens of smokers and nonsmokers. DNA adducts are formed when chemical carcinogens react with DNA. Several methods of detecting adducts in lung and surrogate tissue, including the sensitive 32P-postlabeling procedure for PAHs, have been described in detail. Among the class of pulmonary carcinogens known as PAHs, benzo[a]pyrene forms DNA adducts in the lung that are associated with smoking. Recent research indicates that surrogate tissue (blood mononuclear cells) adducts reflect target organ (lung) adducts in cancer patients (Figure 2) (6). Such validation will permit assessment of interventions such as smoking cessation, chemoprevention, and elimination of passive smoking exposures in reducing PAH–DNA adducts in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Use of blood adducts will also permit epidemiologic study of large populations exposed to PAHs in air pollution.

Although the presence of PAH–DNA adducts has not been definitely associated with lung cancer, it is clear that these adducts appear to be important in the pathway leading to cancer (7), and interventions aimed at reducing their presence in high risk individuals are on the horizon. Focusing on this “upstream” marker of dose and early effect is particularly important in lung cancer prevention, a condition for which there is no effective early detection marker and for which treatment is an ineffective means of disease control.

In recent years, mutations in specific genes can be used as “fingerprints” of specific exposures, as surrogate end points of cancer, or for further subtyping of cancer to clarify causal associations (8). Epidemiologic evidence suggests the association between chemical exposure,
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**Table 1. Examples of high-risk intervention approach.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Coronary heart disease | Lower cholesterol  
|                    | Hypertension control  
|                    | Smoking cessation  |
| Lung cancer         | Smoking cessation  
|                    | Radon control in home  |

**Table 2. Examples of population intervention approach.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Occupational cancer | Product substitution  
|                     | Engineering controls  |
| Lung cancer         | ETS control  
|                     | Radon control  |
| Carbon monoxide poisoning | Auto emission controls  |
| Lead poisoning      | Removal of lead from gasoline and paints  }

ETS, environmental tobacco smoke.
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Figure 2. Lung and peripheral blood mononuclear cell (MNC) PAH–DNA adducts. r = 0.74; p < 0.001.
Additional evidence has been smoking (14). This evidence has been incorporated into logic studies that examine the relationship between smoking and cancer. Studies have indicated that smoking increases the risk of lung cancer (15). The presence of genetic factors is also important in the development of lung cancer. For example, smokers with a family history of lung cancer may have an increased risk of developing the disease.

Aromatic amines (20), such as benzene and styrene, are known to be carcinogenic. These compounds can cause mutations in DNA, leading to the development of cancer. The role of aromatic amines in the development of lung cancer is supported by epidemiological studies that have shown an increased risk of lung cancer among workers exposed to these chemicals.

Another common polymorphic trait in humans is the N-acetylation phenotype, which is determined by the genetic polymorphism of the NAT2 gene. This polymorphism is associated with increased risk of lung cancer, especially in smokers. The NAT2 polymorphism is also associated with decreased risk of bladder cancer, as individuals with the slow acetylator phenotype may be protected from the carcinogenic effects of bladder cancer-related compounds.

Nonmalignant Respiratory Disease: Asthma

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways that affects millions of people worldwide. Asthma is characterized by episodes of wheezing, shortness of breath, chest tightness, and coughing, mainly at night or early in the morning.

Asthma in children is associated with atopy, family history, male gender, parental (especially maternal) smoking, and possibly with acute respiratory illness in childhood. Genetic susceptibility to asthma is best understood by studying its principal underlying co-morbid conditions for which we have phenotype markers: a hypersensitive state or atopy. Atopic individuals suffer from rhinitis, eczema, and the asthma syndrome. Up to 90% of asthmatics between ages 5 and 40 are atopic (28).

The central feature of atopic-associated bronchial asthma is the prolonged production of IgE to common inhaled antigens (15). IgE, a protein that is produced by the immune system in response to allergens, binds to receptors on the surface of mast cells, leading to the release of inflammatory mediators such as histamine and leukotrienes. This release is triggered by the allergen-binding IgE antibody and leads to airway narrowing and inflammation.

Asthma severity is influenced by a wide range of host factors, including genetic, environmental, and behavioral factors. Genetic factors play a significant role in the development of asthma, and there is evidence to suggest that genetic susceptibility is present in many families. Environmental factors, such as exposure to pollution, allergens, and infections, also contribute to the development and exacerbation of asthma.

The role of air pollution in the development of asthma has been extensively studied. Exposure to air pollutants, such as particulate matter and ozone, has been shown to increase the risk of asthma and to exacerbate asthma symptoms. This can be due to the innate inflammatory response of the respiratory system to these pollutants, as well as the activation of the immune system, leading to the release of inflammatory mediators.

The inflammatory response in asthma is complex and involves a variety of cell types, including mast cells, eosinophils, and Th2 cells. The activity of these cells is influenced by a variety of factors, including genetic susceptibility, environmental exposures, and host factors. The understanding of the mechanisms underlying asthma is an active area of research, and new insights are emerging that may lead to improved prevention and treatment strategies.
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may contribute to up-regulation of and modification of the inflammatory response to nonantigenic pollutants (and vice versa).

There are biomarkers of susceptibility and effect available in the study of asthma. Investigation of the genetic susceptibility of atopic asthma has led to direct assay of IgE responsiveness. Measurement of total serum IgE by solid-phase immunoassay offers one method of high-risk phenotype analysis. Altered structure/function can be easily measured using standardized bronchial challenge testing with histamine or methacholine.

Baseline (preexposure) airway hyperresponsiveness may modify the airway response to pollutants, leading to an altered inflammatory response, which in turn may lead to a positive feedback loop whereby the altered inflammatory response further increases airway reactivity. Repeated episodes of pollutant exposure may subsequently lead to airway hyperresponsiveness and clinical asthma. A possible mechanism of this proposed feedback phenomenon is that increased airway responsiveness, as well as other host factors, may modulate the intensity and composition of the cellular infiltrate in the airways after pollutant exposure.

A second possible mechanism of pollutant-associated airway hyperresponsiveness was suggested by Fujimaki et al. (35), who showed that, in mice, inhaled particulate pollutants enhance or stimulate IgE antibody production. Fly ash and aluminum silicate instilled to the lungs acted as adjuvants for production of IgE and IgG antibody.

Even as our knowledge of the pathogenesis of asthma increases, additional areas of uncertainty continue to arise. The complex interaction between pollutants, allergens, and host characteristics in asthma remain unclear. However, mechanistic research has expanded the range of potential biomarkers available for the study of asthma and air pollution. Borrowing from the paradigm used for cancer, these are obvious parallels in the research approach that can be used to elucidate the causal pathway between exposure and asthma. Clarifying these events may help to guide environmental interventions aimed at minimizing exposure to the whole population and guide clinical interventions focused at high-risk individuals.

Conclusions

Current biomarker research in environmental health has fostered the development of a new research endeavor, molecular epidemiology, which expands upon the traditional toxicologic or epidemiologic research paradigms. This development has led to exciting opportunities in environmental health research, which will add greatly to our understanding of the human health effects of toxic exposures. However, much more basic research in environmental molecular epidemiology needs to be accomplished before effective environmental and clinical interventions can be instituted for diseases such as cancer and neurologic disorders. The basis of such interventions will be exposure–disease associations, dose–response relationships, detection of early pathophysiological effects of exposure, and gene–environment interactions. Realization of our goal to prevent disease from air toxics will require expanded multidisciplinary research efforts in both toxicology and epidemiology.
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