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BACKGROUND: Cumulative lead exposure is associated with a widened pulse pressure (PP; the difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressure), a marker of arterial stiffness and a predictor of cardiovascular disease. Polymorphisms in the hemochromatosis gene (HFE) have been shown to modify the impact of cumulative lead exposure on measures of adult cognition and cardiac function.

OBJECTIVES: We examined whether the HFE mutations modify the impact of lead on PP in community-dwelling older men.

METHODS: We examined 619 participants with a total of 1,148 observations of PP from a substudy of bone lead levels (a measure of cumulative exposure, measured by in vivo K-shell X-ray fluorescence) and health in the Normative Aging Study between 1991 and 2001. Linear mixed-effects regression models with random intercepts were constructed.

RESULTS: Of the 619 subjects, 138 and 72 carried the HFE H63D and C282Y variants, respectively. After adjusting for age; education; alcohol intake; smoking; daily intakes of calcium, sodium, and potassium; total calories; family history of hypertension; diabetes; height; heart rate; high-density lipoprotein (HDL); total cholesterol:HDL ratio; and waist circumference, baseline bone lead levels were associated with steeper increases in PP in men with at least one H63D allele (p-interaction = 0.03 for tibia and 0.02 for patella) compared with men with only the wild types or C282Y variant.

CONCLUSIONS: The HFE H63D polymorphism, but not the C282Y mutation, appears to enhance susceptibility to the deleterious impact of cumulative lead on PP, possibly via prooxidative or proinflammatory mechanisms.


Pulse pressure (PP), the pulsatile component of blood pressure, is a surrogate marker of arterial stiffness and compliance. With increasing age, arteries stiffen because of loss of elasticity, calcification, and atherosclerosis in the vessel wall (Zieman et al. 2005). Increased PP has been shown to be a strong predictor of cardiovascular diseases, even after other risk factors were controlled for (Dart and Kingwell 2001). The mechanisms responsible for PP progress remain unclear. Whole genome and candidate gene analyses for PP have shown a significant genetic influence but have failed to identify specific allele determinants, probably because of the multifactorial etiology of arterial stiffness (Bielinski et al. 2005; Lacolley et al. 2009).

Although the relationship between lead exposure and increased blood pressure has been well established (Hu et al. 1996; Navas-Acien et al. 2007), the impact of lead on PP has been studied only to a limited extent. Lead can accumulate in the body after exposure, and the aorta is the second most lead-loaded organ next to the skeleton in humans (Barry and Mossman 1970; Schroeder and Tipton 1968). As a prooxidant metal, lead has been implicated in atherosclerosis, peripheral vascular diseases, and other adverse cardiovascular end points (Navas-Acien et al. 2004, 2007). In our previous report (Perlstein et al. 2007), cumulative community-level lead exposure, as measured by in vivo measurements of lead in bone, was significantly associated with increased PP. The extent to which lead accumulation contributes to promoting vascular impedance is not clearly established.

Polymorphisms in the hemochromatosis gene (HFE) are well known to promote lifelong excessive iron absorption and accumulation and the risk of developing late-onset hereditary hemochromatosis, a common autosomal recessive, multisystemic disease. Two major mutations are known in the HFE gene: C282Y and H63D mutations. The protein encoded for by HFE gene binds to the transferrin receptor and reduces its affinity for iron-loaded transferrin and the subsequent cellular uptake of iron (Feder et al. 1998). The H63D-mutated protein (histidine-63-aspartate) appears to form stable complexes with the transferrin receptor and lowers its binding affinity for transferrin that leads to relatively small increments of cellular iron content, whereas C282Y-mutated protein (cystein-282-tyrosine) is expressed with unfolded/misfolded configuration and aggregates and remains in the endoplasmic reticulum (Gray et al. 2009). Homozygosity for C282Y variant is the main susceptibility genotype and is highly prevalent (50–100%) in hereditary hemochromatosis. Heterozygotes for C282Y, the homozygotes for H63D, and the compound heterozygotes of H63D and C282Y also succumb to hemochromatosis with a lower penetrance (Burke et al. 2000).

HFE mutations are also associated with altered lead biomarker concentrations (Wright et al. 2004) and modification of the impact of cumulative lead exposure on cognition (Wang et al. 2007), QT prolongation (Park et al. 2009), and air particle-related metal transport (Park et al. 2006) in elderly men, suggesting that protein encoded for by the HFE gene may modulate lead toxicity as...
well as iron uptake. These findings prompted us to hypothesize that the \( HFE \) gene mutation would modify the effects of lead on PP. Furthermore, because \( H63D \) and \( C282Y \) are known to have different penetrance rates (Burke et al. 2000), there may be a primacy of one \( HFE \) variant allele over the other in modifying lead toxicity. To address these issues, the aims of this study were to evaluate potential interactions between \( HFE \) genotypes and bone lead and to reconcile the difference of allele effects on PP in a subgroup of community-dwelling older men (those who are healthy, have no occupational or extra environmental risks from exposure to toxicants, and generally represent the population of the United States) from the Normative Aging Study (NAS).

Materials and Methods

Study population. The NAS is an ongoing longitudinal study of aging initiated by the U.S. Veterans Administration in 1963, when 2,280 healthy men, mostly white and 21–80 years of age, from the Greater Boston, Massachusetts, area were enrolled. All participants in the study had no history of heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, cancer, peptic ulcer, gout, recurrent asthma, bronchitis, or sinusitis. Those with either a systolic blood pressure > 140 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure > 90 mmHg were disqualified for enrollment. Between August 1991 and December 1995, 744 NAS participants who gave their informed consent were invited to undergo bone lead measurements. Of those subjects in the lead study, 632 were successfully genotyped for \( HFE \) gene. To evaluate effect modification by allele-specific \( HFE \) variances, men heterozygous for both \( C282Y \) and \( H63D \) polymorphisms (known as compound heterozygote; \( n = 13 \)) were excluded; thus, 619 participants were finally included in the study. Complete medical and family histories, physical examinations, and laboratory tests closest to the time point of bone lead measurements were defined as baseline measurements. Every 3–5 years, the participants were scheduled for follow-up examinations. Between August 1991 and December 2001, there were 529 follow-up examinations among those 619 participants; therefore, a total of 1,148 observations were used in the analysis (246, 217, and 156 subjects have one, two, and three observations, respectively). The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board at each study site.

Blood pressure measurements. During each clinical visit, the participants came to the study center in the morning after abstaining from smoking and drinking for at least 12 hr. Immediately after the history review, while the subject remained seated, systolic blood pressure and fifth-phase diastolic blood pressure were measured to the nearest 2 mmHg, first in the left arm and then in the right arm, with a standard mercury sphygmomanometer with a 14-cm cuff. For this study, the means of the left and right arm measurements were used as systolic and diastolic blood pressure for each participant, and PP was calculated as the systolic minus the diastolic blood pressure.

Laboratory measurements. Bone lead levels were measured closest in time to the first visit using a K-shell X-ray fluorescence instrument (ABIOMED, Danvers, MA) at two sites: the midribal shaft and the patella, which represent the cortical and trabecular bone compartments (Hu et al. 1996; Kim et al. 1995). Multiplex polymerase chain reaction assays were designed with Sequenom SpectroDESIGNER software (Sequenom, Inc, San Diego, CA) by inputting sequence containing the single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) site and 100 bp of flanking sequence on either side of the SNP, as previously described (Park et al. 2006; Wright et al. 2004). For further measurement details, see Supplemental Material (doi:10.1289/ehp.1002251).

Covariates. Covariates were chosen based on biology, potential mediating effects, and prior studies (Hu et al. 1996; Perlestein et al. 2007). Information about age, race, and ethnicity, as well as medical and family history, were obtained from the interview. Information about heart rate, body mass index (BMI) waist circumference, physical activities, and alcohol use were obtained from the physical examination and examination-associated questionnaire, respectively. The identity and purpose of medications prescribed by the subject’s physician were confirmed. Dietary intake was assessed using a standardized food frequency questionnaire. In the present study, the nutrients examined, such as potassium, sodium, and calcium, were adjusted for total energy intake. Information about education and cigarette smoking was also obtained by questionnaire.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was carried out using SAS (version 9.1; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and R version 2.7.2. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), and the primary outcome measure was PP. All probability measures corresponding to statistical significance are two tailed (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)). We used the generalized extreme studentized deviation many-outlier method (Rosner 1983) to remove extreme outliers among the continuous independent variables with a normal distribution, as in previous analyses. Given the high penetrance of two \( HFE \) variant alleles over single \( HFE \) variant alleles, it is possible that the magnitudes of effect modification among \( H63D \) homozygotes or \( C282Y \) homozygotes is larger than that among \( H63D \) heterozygotes or \( C282Y \) heterozygotes. However, because there were small sample sizes in \( HFE \) homozygote genotype, we were unable to test alleles dose responses. Instead, we classified the \( HFE \) genotypes into two different manners: binary [wild type (having only \( HFE \) wild-type alleles) and any \( HFE \) variant allele], and allele-specific [wild type, and mutually exclusive \( C282Y \) (having one or two \( C282Y \) variant allele) and \( H63D \) (having one or two \( H63D \) variant alleles)] in this study. Compound heterozygotes were excluded in all analyses. Allele and genotype frequencies and tests for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium were performed. Because PP was measured repeatedly across time in the same individual, we fit a linear mixed-effects regression model with random intercepts using the PROC MIXED procedure in SAS to account for lack of independence of observations and the subject-specific intercept that reflects unexplained heterogeneity in the overall intercept (Singer and Willett 2003). To assess effect modification by the different \( HFE \) alleles, we included a term for the lead biomarker, indicator variables for \( HFE \) genotype classification, and cross-product terms between lead biomarker and \( HFE \) genotype, along with baseline age (years), age squared, time elapsed from the baseline (years), height (centimeters), education, alcohol intake (grams per day), smoking (pack-years), daily intakes of calcium, sodium, and potassium (milligrams), total calories (kilocalories per day), physical activity, diabetes, family history of hypertension, heart rate, high-density lipoprotein (HDL, milligrams per deciliter), total cholesterol-to-HDL ratio, and waist circumference (centimeters). We fit additional models that further adjusted for hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and diabetes mellitus as well as medications for hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia. We initially included an interaction between time and bone lead marker in the mixed-effects model to capture the longitudinal association between bone lead and PP over time. We excluded the interaction term because it was not significant, and it is difficult to test effect modification by \( HFE \) genotype.

The mixed model we used is described as follows:

\[
Y_{ij} = (b_0 + u_i) + \text{covariates} + b_1 C282Y_j + b_2 H63D + b_3 Pbh + b_4 C282Y_j \times Pbh + b_5 H63D \times Pbh + e_{ij},
\]

where \( Y_{ij} \) is PP in subject \( i \) at visit \( j \), \( b_0 \) is the overall intercept, \( u_i \) is a subject-specific intercept that reflects unexplained heterogeneity in the overall intercept, and \( b_1, \ldots, b_5 \) are the slopes representing the estimated effects of \( C282Y \) \( HFE \) and \( H63D \) \( HFE \) compared with wild-type carriers, and the estimated effects of lead among wild type, \( C282Y \) \( HFE \), and \( H63D \) \( HFE \), respectively. To check whether the associations between PP and each lead
marker were linear, we used the general- 
ed additive mixed model (GAMM) with 
bone lead marker as penalized spline, which 
allows the relation between the exposure and 
response to be more flexible and thus allows for 
possible nonlinearity of the association. 
This was implemented using the R software.

Results

Table 1 shows the genotype frequencies along 
with tibia and patella lead levels at baseline 
by HFE genotypes. Median concentrations of 
bone lead in our study population were 
19 and 26 µg/g for tibia and patella, re- 
spectively. The distributions of both H63D and 
C282Y genotypes were in Hardy–Weinberg 
equilibrium (H63D: \( \chi^2 = 2.97, p = 0.09 \); 
C282Y: \( \chi^2 = 1.17, p = 0.36 \), which is 
consistent with the previously reported preva- 
lence for HFE genotypes in the NAS cohort 
(Wright et al. 2004). Because of the small 
numbers in both H63D and C282Y homozy- 
gotes groups, our study population was fur- 
ther merged into two categories indicating the 
presence of any H63D or C282Y allele. As a 
result, 138 (21.8%) and 72 (11.4%) men had 
at least one H63D variant allele and C282Y 
variant allele, respectively. There were no dif- 
fferences in bone lead levels among HFE wild 
type, H63D, and C282Y variant carriers.

Table 2 presents baseline information of 
characteristics for the NAS men in our study 
sample (n = 619). Subjects with HFE variant 
had lower levels of HDL cholesterol and were 
more likely to have diabetes. C282Y vari- 
ants had higher intake of calories and lower 
intake of calcium compared with H63D and 
wild-type subjects. Although systolic blood 
pressure and PP levels appeared higher in the 
H63D variant carriers, these measures were 
not significantly different from C282Y vari- 
ant carriers or wild types. Compared with 
the men excluded (missing HFE genotype; 
n = 112), the men in our study sample were 
younger (66 vs. 70 years), had lower tibia 
and patella bone lead levels (19 vs. 22 
µg/g and 26 vs. 32 µg/g for tibia and patella 
lead levels, respectively), and were less likely 
to have diabetes and use hypertension medic- 
ations than those excluded (6.1% vs. 12.5% 
and 8.5% vs. 16.9% for diabetes prevalence 
and use of hypertension medications, re- 
spectively. [See Supplemental Material, Table 1 
(doi:10.1289/ehp.1002251) for details.] As 
expected, there was an average of 2-mmHg 
increases in PP of the study participants 
during the follow-up period (mean follow-up 
years is 4.9 ± 5.8).

We examined the associations of HFE 
alleles with PP independent of lead expo- 
sures (data not shown). After controlling 
for the same potential confounders listed in 
“Statistical Analysis,” no HFE gene variants 
alone (either binary or allele-specific HFE 
variants) were significantly associated with 
PP. In the previous cross-sectional analysis 
(Pelstein et al. 2007), bone lead levels, not 
blood lead levels, were significantly associated 
with increased PP. In this expanded follow-up 
with a total of 1,148 observations, we did not 
find a significant interaction between time 
elapsed and tibia lead or patella lead in the 
determination of PP. Therefore, we removed 
the interaction term between time and bone 
lead from the model when we tested the interaction 
between bone lead and HFE genotype. Coefficients for any HFE variant effects 
on PP were not substantially changed after 
the addition of either tibia or patella lead to 
each of the PP models. In the multivariable 
regression models that included an interaction 
term between baseline bone lead levels and an 
indicator for having any HFE variant alleles, 
we found a significantly positive interaction 
for PP. Interestingly, in additional regression 
analyses including either H63D or C282Y 
separately, the observed interaction remained 
consistently significant only for H63D, 
not for C282Y. Higher tibia or patella lead 
was associated with a steeper increase in PP 
among the H63D participants compared with 
C282Y or wild-type men (p < 0.05) (Table 3). 
Among men with at least one H63D allele, 
interquartile range (IQR) increases of the lead 
levels, respectively, and were less likely to 
education and use of hypertension medica- 
tions than those excluded (6.1% vs. 12.5% 
and 8.5% vs. 16.9% for diabetes prevalence 
and use of hypertension medications, re- 
spectively. [See Supplemental Material, Table 1 
(doi:10.1289/ehp.1002251) for details.] As 
expected, there was an average of 2-mmHg 
increases in PP of the study participants 
during the follow-up period (mean follow-up 
years is 4.9 ± 5.8).
levels in tibia (13 µg/g) and patella (19 µg/g) were associated with 3.3 mmHg (95% confidence interval (CI), 0.16–6.46 mmHg) and 2.95 mmHg (95% CI, 0–5.92 mmHg) increases in PP, respectively. Although bone lead levels were also associated with PP elevation among C282 variant and wild-type subjects, those associations were small in magnitude and not statistically significant.

In an adjusted plot of PP versus tibia lead stratified by the HFE genotype that was done using GAMM (Figure 1), we found that the estimated degrees of freedom for the penalized splines of the tibia lead to predict PP in all three HFE genotype groups were 1, which suggests that there was no evidence of a nonlinear shape to the dose-response relationship between tibia lead levels and PP, with the steepest slope in H63D subjects and a more modest slope in C282Y variants compared with the wild-type subjects. Similar modifying effects were noted in the association of patella lead with PP (data not shown).

Because blood pressure and cholesterol level in blood could influence arterial stiffness, one might be concerned that treatment for hypertension and hyperlipidemia could alter any effect modification by HFE variants. We examined this possibility by including a term for use of the related medications at the baseline in our study population in the multivariate models and also repeating the analyses after further excluding subjects who took the medications for those diseases. These models yielded similar results in the interaction of bone lead with H63D variant. Further adjustment for diagnosis of diabetes and ischemic heart disease at the baseline did not change the results (data not shown). The same was also true for analysis-restricted Caucasians (data not shown).

### Discussion

Although public health initiatives have been successful in decreasing environmental lead exposures in the United States and other industrialized nations, recent reports using data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) (Menke et al. 2006; Schober et al. 2006) as well as the NAS (Weisskopf et al. 2009) suggest that even low-level environmental lead exposure is associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. Moreover, genetic variations render some people especially susceptible to lead’s toxicity (Onalaja and Claudio 2000), and identifying such heightened susceptibility is important not only for a better understanding of the etiologic relation between lead and adverse cardiovascular outcomes in older adulthood, but also for more accurately defining preventive and therapeutic measures. In the present longitudinal study of 619 older, community-dwelling men, we demonstrated a modifying influence of HFE genotype on the relation between cumulative lead burden and PP, after adjusting for potential confounders. We found that the linear relationship of PP with bone lead was particularly strong among H63D variant carriers compared with C282Y variant carriers and wild-type participants. That is, individuals carrying the H63D allele showed the strongest PP increases in relation to bone lead levels—even though they also had somewhat lower bone lead levels overall. As we have recently demonstrated and discussed in our lead and gene interaction models of cognition and electrocardiographic conduction (Park et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2007), the observed difference between the two HFE variants provides striking evidence of an allele-specific predisposition to lead toxicity and suggests that individuals with H63D variants may have a significantly higher risk for lead-increased PP. Given that a 10-mmHg wider PP increases the risk of major cardiovascular complications and mortality by nearly 20% (Blacher et al. 2000), the apparent lead effects; if causal, could account for approximate 6.6% risk of cardiovascular disease. Moreover, the findings from our present and previous studies underscore the importance of considering both genetic and environmental factors in the mechanisms involved in a multifactorial condition such as increased arterial stiffness, rather than the typical approach of evaluating one component or the other.

The progression of PP with advancing age is largely attributed to progressive central arterial stiffening as a consequence of altered vascular structure and function with disruption of elastin, increased collagen, calcification, and atherosclerosis. Lead accumulation in the human aorta (Barry and Mossman 1970; Schroeder and Tipton 1968) may contribute to accelerating arterial degenerative processes by reducing availability of nitric oxide and promoting oxidative damage and vascular inflammation (Vaziri and Sica 2004), purported mechanisms of vascular aging (Touyz 2004). It is possible that acceleration of PP may result from extra-osseous deposition of lead released from aged bone, and HFE genotypes affect PP elevation by modulating internal lead distribution between bone and a vulnerable arterial tree. However, the findings of a stronger association of PP with tibia lead than with patella lead (Perlstein et al. 2007) make this possibility somewhat less likely. The patella is composed mostly of trabecular bone, which has a higher reabsorption rate and is considered the major skeletal source of circulating lead (Hu 1998); thus, patella bone lead would have been expected to exert the greatest impact on PP if the mechanism of that impact required mobilization of bone lead stores. By contrast, the tibia is a cortical bone with a slow rate of bone turnover and a longer half-life with respect to lead levels. The stronger association of tibia lead with PP is consistent with bone lead as a proxy dosimeter for arterial lead accumulation and an effect of chronic lead exposure on vascular structure and function. Given the fact there was no significant difference in bone lead levels by HFE genotypes in the present study, toxicokinetic modifications seems unlikely to account for the apparent H63D effects, although its role in transporting lead into the blood vessel wall is unknown.

Both polymorphisms are common in the U.S. population (Burke et al. 2000; Wright et al. 2004), and the prevalence estimates for...
HFE variants are 5.4–17% for C282Y and 10–32% for H63D, respectively, which are similar to the prevalence rates in our study population. C282Y variants have been known to accumulate more iron in the body than H63D variants or wild-type subjects. Because the excess iron accumulation over physiological need (iron overload) has been implicated in atherosclerosis (Sullivan 1981) and arterial stiffness (Cheung et al. 2002), the acceleration of PP may be a central vascular outcome of synergistic interaction between lead and altered iron metabolism or endoplasmic reticulum stress in HFE variants. As such, one might have expected a greater PP elevation per unit increase in body lead burden among C282Y variants compared with H63D variants. However, the current data suggest quite the opposite, indicating that H63D variant augments the vascular toxicity of lead and appears to be independent of iron load pathway.

Findings from in vitro studies of human neuronal cells demonstrate an HFE gene pleiotropic effect: The H63D variant enhances, whereas C282Y decreases, oxidative stress and production of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) (Lee et al. 2007; Mitchell et al. 2009). More interestingly, H63D associations with MCP-1 were also observed in patients with hemochromatosis in vivo (Lawless et al. 2007). MCP-1 is a potent chemokine secreted by vascular or circulating cells of leukocytes and plays an important role in the regulation of leukocyte trafficking. It has been proposed that the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis is associated with an inflammatory response characterized in part by excessive recruitment of leukocytes from blood stream into the vessel wall (Braunersreuther et al. 2007). The relationships between H63D and MCP-1 and oxidative stress suggest another mechanism: that the modified association of H63D mutation with lead may be related to increased MCP-1-mediated inflammatory responses. Although the underlying mechanism(s) is not clear, the common proxidant and proinflammatory tendencies shared by lead and the H63D genotype would clearly be consistent with biologic plausibility: the putative interaction between lead and H63D variant enhances vascular damage and inflammatory responses and, consequently, accelerates atherosclerosis and PP progress. Because we were not able to assess body redox status and MCP-1 and other inflammatory mediators in the blood, we cannot test this hypothesis in the statistical analysis.

It is noteworthy that two population studies, the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening and the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities, reported that C282Y homozygosity was associated with lower total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels (Adams et al. 2009; Pankow et al. 2008).

The failure of the C282Y variants to modify the relationship between lead and PP may be, in fact, the result of the perturbation of the body lipid metabolism in C282Y variants that may thus protect against arterial stiffening. The precipitation of LDL onto the arterial wall is the first key step to initiate vascular inflammatory responses and the development of fatty streaks and atherosclerotic plaques. Thus, lower LDL reduces arterial wall inflammation and should be associated with lower blood vessel stiffness. In our analysis, the LDL levels in C282Y and H63D variant carriers appeared to be lower than those in HFE wild types, but this was not statistically significant (Table 2). Thus, it is unlikely that this can explain the current finding.

Regardless of the actual mechanism, this study suggests a molecular link between accelerated PP and lead exposure and raises the possibility that H63D mutation is a previously unrecognized genetic risk factor for accelerated arterial aging. Because the progressive increase in arterial stiffness, marked by increased PP, is the common pathway of multiple risk factors that lead to similar cardiovascular diseases [e.g., left ventricular hypertrophy, myocardial infarction, and congestive heart failure (Zieman et al. 2005)], the modified associations between community exposure to lead and PP by common genetic variant, H63D, is compelling and has public health relevance. Since the discovery of the HFE gene more than a decade ago (Feder et al. 1998), its role in cardiovascular diseases has been studied extensively but has yielded conflicting results. Our study, in conjunction with the known high prevalence and low penetrance of the HFE gene, strongly suggests that discrepancies across studies may reflect that HFE polymorphisms may not independently predict such pathology, but may instead enhance the influence of environmental factors, such as lead, on the development of cardiovascular diseases in individuals who carry HFE mutations. Moreover, because both lead and H63D have been linked to Alzheimer’s disease (Berlin et al. 2004; Zawia et al. 2009), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Kamel et al. 2002; Sutedja et al. 2007), and male infertility (Benoff et al. 2000; Gunel-Ozcan et al. 2009), our work underscores the need for examination of the interaction of H63D mutation and lead exposure as a potential mechanism of other chronic diseases.

The strengths of this study include considerable follow-up that has enabled us to minimize the potential for biases that are often encountered in cross-sectional or case-control studies and to establish more clearly the temporal nature of the association. The NAS cohort was established about 35 years before the discovery of HFE; thus, participants had not been previously screened for mutations or selected based on knowledge of their genotype.

Our study has several limitations. Because the NAS study was conducted almost exclusively in white men, the conclusion from our study may not be applicable to women and nonwhite people. As in any study of genetic association, population substructure might produce the observed interaction; however, the present study population is very homogeneous, with 95% being of European descent. We cannot rule out residual or unmeasured time-dependent and time-independent confounding factors and interactions with other genetic polymorphisms or environmental factors. Other considerations include the limited number of C282Y or H63D homozygotes, possible HFE gene disequilibrium with other measured covariates and limited information on clinical diagnosis of hemochromatosis, and markers of inflammation (MCP-1) or iron metabolism (serum ferritin and transferrin saturation levels). The HFE gene is located on chromosome 6 (6p21.3) (Feder et al. 1998). We cannot exclude a possibility that the apparent effect modification may be caused by gene(s) in proximity to the HFE gene in chromosome 6 that influence or regulate PP or resulted from effects of less-common HFE variants, such as S65C (Pedersen and Milman 2009). Although possible, we think that those two possibilities are very unlikely. In either case, the impact should be seen in all subjects and not limited to H63D subjects as seen in our study. We did several sensitivity analyses by further controlling for diagnoses of hypertension and diabetes and treatments of hypertension and hyperlipidemia collected at baseline. Because of incomplete follow-up information on these variables in the data set that we examined in the present study, such sensitivity analyses may not completely rule out the possible confounding effect of diabetes, hypertension, or use of antihypertensive and lipid-lowering drugs.

In conclusion, our study of 619 older, community-dwelling men provides the first evidence that the H63D mutation significantly modifies the association between bone lead and PP. These observations support the notion of combined influences of genetic susceptibility and environmental lead exposure on the development of arterial stiffness.
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