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Relaxation and Dephasing in a Two-Electron $^{13}\text{C}$ Nanotube Double Quantum Dot
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We use charge sensing of Pauli blockade (including spin and isospin) in a two-electron $^{13}\text{C}$ nanotube double quantum dot to measure relaxation and dephasing times. The relaxation time $T_1$ first decreases with a parallel magnetic field and then goes through a minimum in a field of 1.4 T. We attribute both results to the spin-orbit-modified electronic spectrum of carbon nanotubes, which at high field enhances relaxation due to bending-mode phonons. The inhomogeneous dephasing time $T_2^*$ is consistent with previous data on hyperfine coupling strength in $^{13}\text{C}$ nanotubes.
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Few-electron double quantum dots have enabled the coherent manipulation and detection of individual and coupled electron spin states required to form qubits [1–4]. Although recent protocols mitigate decoherence due to hyperfine coupling in GaAs-based devices [5,6], an attractive alternative is to base spin qubits on group IV elements, which primarily comprise isotopes free of nuclear spins. Progress in this direction includes double quantum dots in Si/SiGe two-dimensional electron gases [7], P donors in Si [8], Ge/Si nanowires [9], and carbon nanotubes [10]. Recent advances in nanotube double dots include observation of singlet-triplet physics [11] and Pauli blockade [12]. Developing these systems as spin qubits depends crucially on understanding their modes of relaxation and dephasing.

This Letter reports measurements of relaxation and dephasing times in a two-electron nanotube double quantum dot grown from isotopically enriched (99%) $^{13}\text{C}$ methane. Measurements use fast pulses applied to electrostatic gates combined with charge-sensing measurements in the Pauli-blockade regime, including spin and isospin quantum states. The relaxation time of these states $T_1$ initially decreases with parallel field and has a minimum in a field of 1.4 T. We interpret these results within the context of the recently observed [13] spin-orbit interaction in carbon nanotubes [14,15]. We also measure a relatively short two-electron inhomogeneous dephasing time $T_2^* \approx 3$ ns, which presumably arises from hyperfine coupling. The implied hyperfine coupling strength is consistent with values measured recently by transport [16]. In contrast, the longer $T_1 \approx 1 \mu$s does not show signatures of hyperfine coupling.

The double dot studied here is based on a single-walled carbon nanotube grown by chemical vapor deposition using 99% $^{13}\text{CH}_4$ feedstock [17,18]. After deposition of two pairs of Pd contacts [Fig. 1(a), red], the device is coated with a 30 nm functionalized $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ top-gate oxide using atomic layer deposition [19,20]. Aluminum top gates (blue, yellow, and gray) define a double dot between contacts 1 and 2 and a single dot between contacts 3 and 4, capacitively coupled [orange wire in Fig. 1(a)] to the double dot to allow charge sensing [9,21]. The small band gap (~25 meV) nanotube is operated in the electron regime. Direct current and standard lock-in measurements are carried out in a dilution refrigerator (electron temperature ~100 mK).

Electron occupancies $(N_L, N_R)$ of the double dot are determined from the charge stability diagram [Fig. 1(b)],
measured using the conductance $g_s$ of the charge-sensing dot [9]. Lever-arm ratios converting gate voltages to dot energies, extracted from non-linear transport, give a large ($\sim 1$ meV) interdot capacitive coupling, based on the size and shape of the stability diagram.

Single-electron states of a nanotube quantum dot (in the lowest circumferential mode) can be classified by quantized longitudinal mode, a real spin ($S = 1/2$), and an isospin, reflecting two valleys $K$ and $K'$ (or, equivalently, clockwise and counterclockwise motion around the nanotube circumference) [22]. Including both spin and isospin, there are 16 ways to fill the lowest longitudinal modes with two electrons in the separated $(1,1)$ charge state. There are only six ways, however, to fill the lowest longitudinal mode of $(0,2)$ while maintaining overall antisymmetry of the two-electron wave function.

Under the condition of conserved spin and isospin in the double dot [23], the remaining 10 of the 16 two-electron states of $(1,1)$ may be blocked from tunneling to the lowest mode of $(0,2)$ by selection rules on both spin and isospin. This is a generalization of the Pauli blockade [24] observed in few-electron double dots without valley degeneracy. Previous experiments on Pauli blockade have considered only spin selection rules.

Pauli blockade of the $(1,1) \rightarrow (0,2)$ transition is detected by time-averaged charge sensing, using the cyclic gate-pulse sequence in Fig. 2(b) [25]: Starting at $E$ in $(0,1)$, an electron is loaded with random spin and isospin, forming a $(1,1)$ state at point $R$. Moving to point $M$ (adiabatically on the time scale of interdot tunnel coupling) where the ground state is $(0,2)$ and remaining there for a time $\tau_M$, the system may or may not tunnel to $(0,2)$ depending on the state of $(1,1)$. Blocked states would have to tunnel to states involving higher-lying longitudinal modes of $(0,2)$, which are energetically inaccessible at $M$ (they are $\approx 1$ meV higher [16]); such states must flip either real spin or isospin (or both) to reach an accessible $(0,2)$ state.

With the cycle $E \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow E$ running continuously, $V_L$ and $V_R$ are rastered in the vicinity of the $(1,1) \rightarrow (0,2)$ charge transition [Fig. 2(b)]. Eighty percent of the pulse period is spent at $M$ (10% each for $E$ and $R$) so that the time-averaged sensor signal $g_s$ primarily reflects the charge state at $M$. Within the triangle marked by solid white lines in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), the time-averaged $g_s$ lies between values on the $(1,1)$ and $(0,2)$ plateaus, decreasing in visibility as $\tau_M$ is increased [Fig. 2(c)], with edges of the triangle disappearing faster due to thermal activation [25]. We also observe faster relaxation within 200 $\mu$eV of the base. On the contrary, $g_{(0)}$ is independent of the pulse period outside the pulse triangle. A control cycle with $R$ and $M$ interchanged does not show a triangular region in $(1,1)$, indicating that none of the loaded $(0,2)$ states are blocked from tunneling into $(1,1)$ [Fig. 2(d)].

In a magnetic field $B$, applied within a few degrees of parallel to the tube axis, forward bias ($V_2 > V_1$) current—the Pauli-blockade direction—shows a dip around $B = 0$ [Fig. 3(a)], indicating a reduced spin- and/or isospin-flip rate near zero field. A phenomenological Lorentzian fit (red curve) to the dip has a FWHM of 11 mT. In the reverse-bias case ($V_1 > V_2$), current is independent of $B (\sim 1$ pA) over the same range.

The pulse-triangle visibility $I = \frac{g_{(1)} - g_{(0)}}{g_{(1)}}$ as a function of $\tau_M$, measured in the center of the triangle [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)] at $B = 0$, 100, and 200 mT, is shown in Fig. 3(b) along with the relaxation time $T_1$ extracted from fits to $I(\tau_M) = \frac{1}{\tau_M} \int_0^{\tau_M} e^{-t/T_1} dt$ [25]. The relaxation time decreases with increasing $B$ but with a weaker dependence than the transport data [Fig. 3(a)]. We speculate that these trends are due to phonon-mediated relaxation enabled by spin-orbit coupling [13,15,26], a mechanism that is suppressed at small magnetic fields by Van Vleck cancellation [27].

Characteristics of the single-particle spectrum of the individual dots can be inferred from the $B$ dependence of the addition spectrum, measured for the left dot via charge sensing [Fig. 3(c)]. Field dependences of the addition energies for the first four electrons suggest the spectrum shown in Fig. 3(d), consistent with Ref. [13] [28], with spin-orbit coupling playing an important role. We note, in particular, that the energy to add the second electron first increases with $B$ at small $B$ and then decreases at higher field. This indicates that the second electron first occupies a
and a zero-field spin-orbit splitting coupling of these two states is via 1D bending-mode phonons with quadratic dispersion, leading to a $T_1 \propto \sqrt{\Delta}$ dependence on the energy splitting $\Delta$ due to the density-of-states singularity at zero energy in 1D [15]. This is in contrast to higher dimensions, where $T_1$ diverges as $\Delta \to 0$ [15,27,29].

Values for $T_1$, extracted from fits as in Fig. 3(b), are shown in Fig. 3(e), where a minimum in $T_1$ is observed at the predicted value $B \sim 1.4$ T. Also shown in Fig. 3(e) is a fit of the form $T_1 = \frac{C}{\Delta_\theta}$, where the splitting $\Delta_\theta = g \mu_B \sqrt{(B \cos \theta - \Delta_{SO}/g \mu_B)^2 + (B \sin \theta)^2}$ is anticrossed, accounting for a misalignment angle $\theta$ between the nanotube axis and the direction of the applied field [30]. For these fits, we use $g = 2$ and the measured quantities $\Delta_{SO}$ and $\theta$ ($5^\circ$ determined by the electron micrograph); the only free parameter is an overall scale for $T_1$, $C = 65$ ns/$\sqrt{\mu eV}$, only a factor of $\sim 5$ smaller than the estimates in Ref. [15]. Attributing the measured $T_1$ minimum to this mechanism requires loading a two-electron state involving at least one of the two higher states of Fig. 3(d) at step $R$, which is expected because the levels of the left dot are well below the electrochemical potential of the left lead at $R$. We note that hyperfine relaxation should also be strongest near a degeneracy [25], but the ratio $\Delta_\theta/(g \mu_B B_{nuc}) \sim 20$ (Ref. [16]) would require huge inelastic tunneling rates ruled out by transport measurements to explain the measured $T_1$.

We do not observe signatures of hyperfine-mediated relaxation near $B = 0$ [31], but note that a difference in effective magnetic fields between the two dots should induce dephasing of prepared two-particle spin and isospin states. To measure the inhomogeneous dephasing time $T_2^*$ of a state at $B = 0$, a pulse cycle [Fig. 4(a)] first prepares an $(0,2)$ state at $P$, then separates the electrons via $P'$ into (1, 1) at $S$ for a time $\tau_s$, and finally measures the return probability to (0, 2) at $M$ [3]. For small $\tau_s$, the prepared state always returns to (0, 2). For $\tau_s \approx T_2^*$, a fraction of prepared states evolves into blocked states, reducing the return probability within the pulse triangle [Fig. 4(a)].

The dephasing time is obtained from the value of $g_\star$ in the center of the pulse triangle versus $\tau_s$, which reflects the probability of return to (0, 2) when calibrated against the equilibrium (1, 1) and (0, 2) values of $g_\star$ [Fig. 4(b)]. A likely source of dephasing is the hyperfine interaction. Assuming a difference in Overhauser fields acting on the two electrons of root mean square strength $\delta B_{nuc}$ parallel to the nanotube axis [5,32], the decay is fit to a Gaussian form, giving $T_2^* = \frac{\hbar}{g \mu_B \delta B_{nuc}} = 3.2 \text{ ns}$. The corresponding $\delta B_{nuc} = 1.8 \text{ mT}$ is a factor of 2 smaller than our estimate of the single dot nuclear field $B_{nuc}$ in $^{13}$C nanotubes [33]. The difference may be due to anisotropic dipolar hyperfine coupling [34] or to accidental suppression of $\delta B_{nuc}$ [5]. Future work on $^{13}$C nanotubes will allow dephasing mechanisms other than the hyperfine interaction to be investigated.

Finally, we note that the saturation value of the return probability in Fig. 4(c) is 0.17, smaller than the value of
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1/3 for singlet-triplet dephasing at $B = 0$ in GaAs [33, 35], likely due to the richer spectrum allowed by isospin. Similarly, the tunneling probability from (1, 1) to (0, 2) [inferred from the visibility of the $T_1$ pulse triangle for $\tau_M = 0.5 \mu s < T_1$, Fig. 2(b)] is $\sim 0.15$, lower than the 0.375 expected from state-counting arguments (6 unblocked states out of 16 total) combined with adiabatic passage. This issue requires further study.

In summary, we have measured relaxation and dephasing in a two-electron $^{13}$C nanotube double quantum dot. We identify signatures of spin-orbit coupling in the magnetic field dependence of both the addition spectrum and the relaxation time $T_1$, and we observed a dephasing time $T^*_2$ consistent with recent measurements of the hyperfine coupling strength in $^{13}$C nanotubes. The short dephasing time motivates development of nanotube devices with less than the 1% natural abundance of $^{13}$C.

We thank C. Barthel, E. A. Laird, and D. J. Reilly for technical assistance. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NIRT 0210736, ARO/iARPA, the Department of Defense, and Harvard’s Center for Nanoscale Systems. H. O. H. C. acknowledges support from the NSF.