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ABSTRACT
We present two Very Large Array observations of the pre-main-sequence star PV Cephei, taken with a separation of 10.5 years. These data show that

\begin{itemize}
  \item $\mu_\alpha \cos \delta = +10.9 \pm 3.0 \text{ mas a}^-1$;
  \item $\mu_\delta = +0.2 \pm 1.8 \text{ mas a}^-1$,
\end{itemize}

which are similar to those known for HD 200775, the dominant B2Ve star illuminating the nearby reflection nebula NGC 7023. This result suggests that PV Cephei is not a runaway star with high velocities, as suggested in previous studies. The high velocity of PV Cep was inferred from systematic offsets of consecutive object Herbig Haro positions along its jet. These systematic offsets may actually be due to an asymmetry in the mechanisms of ejection, or due to an asymmetry in the circumstellar material distribution.

\textsuperscript{1}Member of the International Max Planck Research School (IMPRS) for Astronomy and Astrophysics at the Universities of Bonn and Cologne.
the proper motions of this star are $\mu_\alpha \cos \delta = +10.9 \pm 3.0$ mas yr$^{-1}$; $\mu_\delta = +0.2 \pm 1.8$ mas yr$^{-1}$, very similar to those – previously known– of HD 200775, the B2Ve star that dominates the illumination of the nearby reflection nebula NGC 7023. This result suggests that PV Cephei is not a rapidly moving runaway star as suggested by previous studies. The large velocity of PV Cephei had been inferred from the systematic eastward displacement of the bisectors of successive pairs of Herbig Haro knots along its flow. These systematic shifts might instead result from an intrinsic dissymmetry in the ejection mechanisms, or from an asymmetric distribution of the circumstellar material.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Compact stellar clusters as well as multiple stellar systems with three or more members can be dynamically unstable (e.g. Valtonen & Mikkola 1991, Poveda et al. 1967). Indeed, close few body encounters occurring within them can lead to the acceleration of one or more of the system members, which—if the acceleration is sufficient—can escape their birthplace and become runaway stars. It is important to search for direct observational evidence of these energetic events, because if they occurred with sufficient frequency during the earliest stages of star-formation, they could have a significant impact on the very outcome of the star-forming process (Reipurth 2000). Arguably the most promising case so far, is that of the BN/KL region in Orion where three stars (including BN itself) are moving away at about 30 km s$^{-1}$ from a common point of origin, which happens to be near the center of the massive KL outflow (Rodríguez et al. 2005, Gómez et al. 2005, 2008, Zapata et al. 2009). The dynamical disruption in that case, appears to have occurred a mere 500 years ago.

Goodman & Arce (2004–hereafter GA2004) have suggested that the star PV Cephei (hereafter PV Cep) might be another potential candidate. PV Cep (Tab. 1) is a Herbig Ae/Be star (Li et al. 1994) that drives a well-studied giant Herbig Haro (HH) flow (Reipurth et al. 1997; Gómez et al. 1997; Arce & Goodman 2002a, 2002b; GA2004). It is located about 1.5 west of the reflection nebula NGC 7023, and the centroid velocity of its CO emission is similar to that of the CO emission from NGC 7023 itself (Cohen et al. 1981). Because of this shared kinematics, it is very likely that PV Cep and NGC 7023 are at the same distance from the Sun. The measured trigonometric parallax of HD 200775 (MWC 361, $V = 7.4$ mag) the B2Ve star that dominates the illumination of NGC 7023 (Herbig 1960) yields a distance for the entire region of $430^{+155}_{-91}$ pc (Perryman et al. 1997). This value is consistent with the somewhat older estimate of 500 pc used by GA2004. NGC 7023 is associated with a compact cluster of young stars, that contains a number of low luminosity pre-main-sequence objects (Lepine & Rieu 1974).
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TABLE 1
CHARACTERISTICS OF PV CEP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectral type</td>
<td>A5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-band magnitude</td>
<td>$R = 11.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>$d = 430^{+155}_{-91}$ pc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean position</td>
<td>$\alpha = 20^h 45^m 53.9550 \pm 0.0014$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta = 67^\circ 57^\prime 38.681 \pm 0^\prime 008$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Equinox J2000, Epoch 2002.26)

The suggestion by GA2004 that PV Cep might be a run-away star was based on a careful analysis of the kinematics and morphology of the gas surrounding PV Cep. The strongest argument follows from a study of the distribution of successive pairs of HH knots located at nearly equal distances from PV Cep along its jet. The segments joining such successive pairs of knots are expected to pass through the source driving the flow—if the latter is stationary. In the case of PV Cep, however, GA2004 found that the bisectors of the segments were systematically shifted eastward of the source, and increasingly more so, as older HH pairs were considered. This suggests that the source used to be somewhat to the east of its current position, and thus, that it is moving westward relative to its surroundings. Assuming that the HH knots along the flow are fully detached clumps, GA2004 estimate the velocity of the central source to be $22 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see discussion in Sect. 2). Most remarkably, the velocity vector obtained by GA2004 points almost exactly away from NGC 7023, as if PV Cep had been ejected from NGC 7023 about $10^5 \text{ yr}$ ago (see their Fig. 6). Thus, PV Cep might indeed provide us with an example of a run-away star dynamically ejected from its (still identifiable) parent cluster. Note, however, that the conclusions of GA2004 are based on an analysis of the kinematics and morphology of the gas surrounding PV Cep, and not on a direct measurement of the relative proper motion between PV Cep and NGC 7023. In the present paper (Sect. 3 and 4), we will provide such a direct measurement combining published optical proper motions of the brightest star in NGC 7023 and new radio measurements of the proper motion of PV Cep itself.

2. A RE-ANALYSIS OF THE BISECTOR RESULTS

Several theoretical models (both analytic and numerical) have been proposed to explain the curved morphology presented by some HH jets. Cantó & Raga (1995) have developed an analytical model that treats the problem of a steady jet interacting with a sidewind. More recently, Masciadri & Raga (2001) presented numerical simulations of a variable jet in a sidewind and identified two different regimes. For low-amplitude variability (caused by moderate changes in the jet ejection velocity), small working surfaces (i.e. HH knots)
Fig. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of a star which ejects a bipolar outflow along the positive $x$-axis, in a direction perpendicular to the motion of the star with respect to the surrounding environment. In the frame of reference of the star, the environment moves towards the left along the $y$-axis, producing a curvature in the bipolar outflow system. (b) and (c) The black filled circles show the positions of the ejecta relative to the source according to the results of GA2004, and the dashed lines represent the best fit for fully detached clumps (panel (b); see Sect. 2.1) and for a continuous jet (panel (c); see Sect. 2.2).

are created along the jet, and they travel following the path predicted by the steady jet model of Cantó & Raga (1995). On the other hand, large working surfaces created by larger changes in the jet ejection velocity eventually become detached “clumps” that travel on somewhat straighter trajectories.

2.1. Analytic solution for fully detached clumps

In their analysis of the bisectors of successive HH pairs, GA2004 assumed that the ejecta had become detached clumps, and integrated the equations of motion numerically to obtain the trajectory of the clumps. Recently, however, Cantó et al. (2008) showed that the idealized case of fully detached clumps
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has a completely analytic solution, which produces trajectories similar to those obtained in numerical simulation. To examine that analytic solution in the case of PV Cep, we assume (following GA2004) that PV Cep is moving relative to the ambient gas in a direction exactly perpendicular to that of its jet. The velocity of the star relative to the surroundings is taken to be $v_\ast$, and individual clumps of mass $M$ are ejected at a velocity $v_e$ (see Fig. 1a for a schematic description). The trajectory is parametrized by a distance $s_0$ (Cantó et al. 2008) given by:

$$s_0 \equiv \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{Mv_\ast^4}{\xi \rho_0 c^4} \right)^{1/3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here, $\xi$ is a constant of integration whose numerical value is $\xi = 14$ (Cantó et al. 1998), $c$ is the isothermal sound speed of the clump material, and $\rho_0$ is the density of the ambient gas. In the present case where $v_\ast$ and $v_e$ are perpendicular, $v_0$ is simply

$$v_0 = \sqrt{v_\ast^2 + v_e^2}. \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

If one further defines the angle $\theta$ as

$$\tan \theta = \frac{v_e}{v_\ast}, \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

the equation of the trajectory is given analytically by:

$$x = \frac{y}{\tan \theta} - 4s_0 \left( \frac{v_\ast}{v_0} \right) \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{y}{s_0 \sin \theta} \right)^{1/4} \right]. \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

Following GA2004, we adopt $v_e = 350$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\rho_0 = 1.5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$. A good fit to the data points (shown in Fig. 1a) is obtained for $v_\ast = 22$ km s$^{-1}$, provided that $s_0 \approx 6.4 \times 10^{18}$ cm. This, in turn, implies $M \approx 2 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ if the value for $c$ is taken to be $3.2$ km s$^{-1}$ as suggested by GA2004. A comparison between the analytic trajectory obtained using these parameters and the path obtained numerically by GA2004 (see their Fig. 2) shows that the analytical and numerical trajectories agree extremely well.

It should be mentioned that $c$ in equation (1) is the isothermal sound speed of the ejecta. Such ejecta are believed to be ionized, so the appropriate sound speed is $c \approx 10$ km s$^{-1}$. In their analysis, however, GA2004 used $c = 3.2$ km s$^{-1}$, the typical velocity dispersion of the ambient molecular gas. From equation (1), it is clear that to obtain a similar value of $s_0$ for $c = 10$ km s$^{-1}$ without resorting to unreasonable assumptions for $\rho_0$ and $v_0$, one has to increase the mass of the ejecta by nearly two orders of magnitude, to about $1.5 \times 10^{-2} M_\odot$. Even if one allows $v_e$ to increase to $400$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\rho_0$ to decrease to $1000$ cm$^{-3}$, the ejecta must still have masses of order $10^{-2} M_\odot$. Moreover, the transverse velocity of the star must then be increased to about $40$ km s$^{-1}$ to obtain a good fit. We conclude that if the ejecta from PV Cep
are indeed fully detached clumps of ionized gas, they must be very massive\textsuperscript{2}, and the velocity of PV Cep might be even larger that the 22 km s\textsuperscript{−1} proposed by GA2004.

\subsection*{2.2. The HH knots of PV Cep as part of an underlying continuous jet}

The trajectories considered in Sect. 2.1 appropriately describe jets in which large amplitude perturbations leading to fully detached clumps have developed. As mentioned earlier, lower amplitude perturbations create HH knots that travel along different paths: those predicted by the analytical model of Cantó & Raga (1995). Moreover, the analysis of Masciadri & Raga (2001) shows that a smaller sidewind velocity is necessary to create a given jet curvature if the HH knots are such small perturbations along an underlying continuous jet than if they are fully detached clumps. Well-defined HH knots suggestive of detached clumps are certainly present along the jet driven by PV Cep (GA2004 and references therein). There is also evidence, however, for an underlying continuous jet which is always “on”. Indeed, the very existence of steady, compact centimeter radio emission associated with PV Cep (which traces free-free emission from the base of an ionized flow; see Sect. 3 below) demonstrates that such a continuous jet exists and that the ejection of matter is not fully episodic. The detection of water masers (Marvel 2005) and of high velocity entrained molecular gas (Arce & Goodman 2002b) in the immediate vicinity of PV Cep confirm the existence of such a steady jet. Let us, therefore, estimate the sidewind velocity that would be required to explain the bisector results of GA2004 in a steady wind scenario. The applicability of this model to the specific case of PV Cep will be discussed in Sect. 5.

As shown by Cantó & Raga (1995), in the vicinity of the source, a bipolar flow in a sidewind approximately follows a parabolical shape\textsuperscript{3} (with both the jet and the counterjet on the same parabola) described by

\[
y = \frac{x^2}{2\lambda},
\]

where \(x\) is parallel to the initial outflow direction, and \(y\) is the coordinate perpendicular to \(x\), and parallel to the motion of the source (Fig. 1a). This relation is valid for the case in which the motion of the source is perpendicular to the ejection. The distance \(\lambda\) in Equation (5) is given by

\[
\lambda \equiv \left(\frac{\dot{M}v_e^3}{\pi c^2 \rho_0 v_e^2}\right)^{1/2}
\]

\textsuperscript{2}As a consequence, in this scenario, PV Cep must have undergone episodes of extremely high accretion/ejection.

\textsuperscript{3}Farther out, the jet move on nearly straight trajectories aligned with the direction of the side wind (Cantó & Raga 1995). Since the knots in PV Cep are clearly not moving perpendicularly to the direction of the jet (GA2004), the portion of the jet considered here can be assumed to be parabolic.
The contour is -3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12 and 14 times $19$ and $12 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$, the rms noise of the first and second epochs, respectively. The cross marks the position and positional error at the 1997.03 epoch. The half-power synthesized beam for each epoch ($0''48 \times 0''28$; PA = $+86^\circ$ for 1997.03 and $0''30 \times 0''24$; PA = $+39^\circ$ for 2007.50) is shown in the bottom left corner of the images.

$$
\lambda = 4.64 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}.
$$

where $\dot{M}$ is the mass-loss rate, and the other parameters have the same meaning as before. The best fit to the observed positions reported by GA2004 using equation (5) yields $\lambda = 4.64 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}$. With this value, we can now use equation (6) to determine the required velocity for the environment:

$$
\left(\frac{v_s}{1 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right) = 0.17 \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{-7} \text{ M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{v_e}{100 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\rho_0}{10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{v_e}{1 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{-1}
$$

(7)

Finally, using the values $v_e = 350$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\rho_0 = 1500$ cm$^{-3}$ determined by GA2004, we obtain $v_s = 0.9$ km s$^{-1}$, assuming a mass loss rate $\dot{M} = 10^{-7} \text{ M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. The corresponding trajectory is shown in Fig. 1c.

Thus, a very modest relative motion –of the order of the typical stellar velocity dispersion in newborn stellar clusters– between PV Cep and its surroundings would be required to explain the bisector results of GA2004 in the case of a continuous jet. We will return to this point in Sect. 5.

### 3. OBSERVATIONS

In this paper, we will make use of 3.6 cm continuum observations obtained on 1997 January 10 and 2007 July 2 with the Very Large Array (VLA) of the NRAO$^4$ in its most extended (A) configuration. This combination of

---

$^4$The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is operated by Associated Universities Inc. under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
TABLE 2
POSITIONS AND FLUX DENSITIES FOR PV CEP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>α(J2000)</th>
<th>δ(J2000)</th>
<th>3.6-cm Flux Density (mJy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997.03</td>
<td>$20^h 45^m 53^s.949 \pm 0.0051$</td>
<td>$67^\circ 57' 38''.680 \pm 0''0.017$</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007.50</td>
<td>$20^h 45^m 53^s.9651 \pm 0.0014$</td>
<td>$67^\circ 57' 38''.682 \pm 0''0.008$</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wavelength and configuration provides an angular resolution of about 0.3′ for images with natural weighting. The absolute amplitude calibrator was 1331+305 (with an adopted flux density of 5.20 Jy at 8.4 GHz) and the phase calibrator was 2022+616, with bootstrapped flux densities of 3.36±0.03 Jy and 3.07±0.02 Jy, for the first and second epochs, respectively. For the first epoch only 14 of the 27 antennas of the VLA were used, with an on-source integration time of 4.2 hours. For the second epoch we used 23 of the 27 antennas of the VLA, with an on-source integration time of 3.2 hours. The data were edited and calibrated using the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) software package (Greisen 2003).

To ensure accurate astrometry, the position of the calibrator 2022+616 for the 1997 observations was updated to the refined position given in the VLA catalog of calibrators for 2007. The continuum images shown in Fig. 2 were used to measure the positions and flux densities of PV Cep for the two epochs (Tab. 2). The source appears to be unresolved at both epochs, and the emission is most probably free-free radiation from ionized gas at the base of the outflow driven by PV Cep (i.e. Eislöffel et al. 2000). The small variation in flux density between the two epochs is common for this type of sources (e.g. Galván-Madrid et al. 2004), and most likely reflects moderate variability either of the mass-loss rate or of the velocity of the wind.

As can be seen in Fig. 2 and Tab. 2, the source shows a small displacement ($\sim 0.11$) toward the east. The proper motions of the source measured over the 10.47 year time baseline of the observations are $\mu_\alpha \cos \delta = +10.9 \pm 3.0$ mas yr$^{-1}$; $\mu_\delta = +0.2 \pm 1.8$ mas yr$^{-1}$. The base of the jet driven by PV Cep (as traced by the radio emission) is expected to follow closely the motion of the star itself, because the emission is largely dominated by the highest density gas in the wind, which is located very close to the star since the gas density typically falls as $n(r) \propto r^{-2}$. The high accuracy with which VLA observations of free-free emission from young stars can trace the stellar motions themselves can be seen, for instance, from the multi-epoch observations of IRAS 16293–2422 (Loinard et al. 2007, Pech et al. 2010) or of T Tau N (Loinard et al. 2003). Thus, the proper motions measured here can safely be assumed to represent the motion of PV Cep.
4. RESULTS

To test the proposal of GA2004 that PV Cep might be moving at \( \sim 22 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) away from NGC 7023, one must compare the proper motion of PV Cep derived above with the proper motion of stars within NGC 7023. The only star of NGC 7023 (and indeed, the only star within a few degrees of PV Cep) for which we found reliable published proper motions is HD 200775, the bright star responsible for most of the illumination of NGC 7023 (see Sect. 1). Since it is the brightest member of the cluster, HD 200775 is likely to be very near its center of mass, and its proper motion should provide a good estimate of the proper motion of the entire cluster. The most recent reported proper motion for HD 200775 can be found in Ducourant et al. (2005); they give \( \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta = +11 \pm 2 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}; \mu_{\delta} = +2 \pm 2 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \). HD 200775 is also contained in the Hipparcos catalog, where the reported proper motions are \( \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta = +6.74 \pm 0.64 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}; \mu_{\delta} = -1.48 \pm 0.54 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \). These two values are consistent with one another at the 1.5\( \sigma \) level.

The relative motion between PV Cep and NGC 7023 (obtained by subtracting the proper motion of PV Cep measured above from that of NGC 7023) is

\[
\Delta(\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta) = +0.1 \pm 3.6 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \quad \Delta(\mu_{\delta}) = +1.8 \pm 2.7 \text{ mas yr}^{-1},
\]

if the values quoted by Ducourant et al. (2005) are used. Using the Hipparcos figures, we obtain:

\[
\Delta(\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta) = -4.2 \pm 3.1 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \quad \Delta(\mu_{\delta}) = -1.7 \pm 1.9 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}.
\]

Recall that GA2004 predicted a relative velocity of 22 km s\(^{-1}\) toward the west, corresponding to a relative proper motion (almost entirely in right ascension) of \( \Delta(\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta) = +9.3 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \). The observed values are inconsistent at the 3\( \sigma \) level with this prediction\(^5\) and show that PV Cep is in fact very nearly stationary relative to NGC 7023 both in right ascension and declination. If anything, the Hipparcos value suggests a marginal motion of PV Cep toward NGC 7023. We conclude that PV Cep is very unlikely to have been ejected from NGC 7023 about \( 10^5 \) yr ago.

Strictly speaking, the analysis of GA2004 does not require PV Cep to be moving away from NGC 7023 at 22 km s\(^{-1}\). It only requires PV Cep to be moving at that speed relative to its surrounding gas. The morphology of the jet driven by PV Cep could still be explained by the model proposed by GA2004 if the gas cloud in which PV Cep is embedded were moving at 22 km s\(^{-1}\) toward the east relative to both PV Cep and NGC 7023. We consider this possibility very unlikely, however, because (i) as mentioned in Sect. 1,

\(^5\)Indeed, Ducourant et al. (2005) also report proper motions for PV Cep. However, their values of \( \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta = -3 \pm 7 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}; \mu_{\delta} = -14 \pm 7 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \) have significantly larger errors than our measurements.

\(^6\)It is, of course, even more inconsistent with the relative velocity of 40 km s\(^{-1}\) obtained in the detached clump scenario assuming a sound speed of 10 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Sect. 2.1).
the radial velocity of the gas associated with NGC 7023 and with PV Cep are very similar, and (ii) it is not clear what physical mechanism could accelerate an entire molecular cloud to 22 km s$^{-1}$, particularly without also accelerating the stars that formed within it.

5. DISCUSSION

Given that a relative velocity of 22 km s$^{-1}$ between PV Cep and its surroundings appears to be inconsistent with the present observations, one must seek a different interpretation of the systematic eastward shift of the bisectors of successive HH pairs along the flow driven by PV Cep. One obvious alternative possibility is provided by the continuous jet model presented in Sect. 2.2. In that scenario, a relative velocity between PV Cep and NGC 7023 of a few km s$^{-1}$ would be sufficient to explain the bisector data, and such a small relative velocity would be fully consistent with the astrometry presented in Sect. 3. We note, indeed, that continuous jet models have been used to explain the curved morphologies of other young stellar flows. Of particular relevance is the case of the jet driven by HH 30 (López et al. 1995) whose curved shape was interpreted by Cantó & Raga (1995) in terms of such a continuous flow in a sidewind.

It is important to note, however, that the flow driven by PV Cep does show clear signs of strong variability (GA2004 and references therein). A steady jet is clearly present in the system, but the knots considered by GA2004 in their bisector analysis have likely been created during episodes of significantly increased mass-loss. To completely describe that situation, one should consider a steady, low-level (i.e. moderate mass-loss rate) underlying jet, periodically undergoing episodes of enhanced mass-loss. This is a case intermediate between the two idealized descriptions provided in Sect. 2, so numerical simulations would in principle be needed to treat it in general. However, since the periodic mass-loss enhancements undergone by PV Cep seem particularly strong, the correct description for that specific source is almost certainly more similar to the case of detached bullets than to that of a continuous jet. In this situation, a relative velocity between PV Cep and its surroundings of at least 20 km s$^{-1}$ would be needed to explain the bisector results of GA2004, and that appears to be inconsistent with our new measurements.

Another alternative interpretation of the bisector results of GA2004 would be to invoke asymmetries. The basic assumption behind the argumentation of GA2004 is that pairs of clumps ejected from a stationary star propagate symmetrically away from it, in such a way that the bisectors of such ejecta pairs intercept the driving source. This assumption would be violated, however, if the ejecta on the two sides of the star moved away at different speeds and/or not exactly in opposite directions, either because of an intrinsic dissymmetry in the jet launching mechanism or because of an asymmetry in the density.

\footnote{Note that the flow in HH 30 appears overall more continuous than that in PV Cep, and does lend itself more naturally to a treatment based on the hypothesis of a steady jet.}
of the circumstellar material. Several jets (e.g. HH 1–2, Cepheus A, or HH 80; Rodríguez et al. 2000, Martí et al. 1998, Curiel et al. 2006) are known to exhibit this kind of asymmetries. Arguably the clearest case is that of the very young low-mass protostar IRAS 16293–2422 (Pech et al. 2010). In this object, a bipolar pair of clumps has been ejected just a few years ago, and each ejecta has now moved (in projection) about 60 AU away from the central source. Multi-epoch radio observations have shown that the projected speed of the southern ejecta is two to three times higher than that of the northern clump and that –in at least one of the observations– the line joining the ejecta does not pass through the position of the driving source (Pech et al. 2010, particularly their Fig. 2). In addition, the large-scale molecular outflow powered by that jet system is known to be highly asymmetric (e.g. Castets et al. 2001, Hirano et al. 2001), the northern lobe being much more conspicuous, massive, and extended than its southern equivalent. Interestingly, the molecular outflow in PV Cep happens to be equally asymmetric (e.g. Arce & Goodman 2002a) with a northern lobe about 2.5 times more massive and 1.5 times more extended than its southern counterpart. Could an asymmetry similar to that in IRAS 16293–2422 be at the origin of the PV Cep bisector results of GA2004?

Three pairs of HH groups have been identified along the jet driven by PV Cep: HH 315 A, B, and C towards the north-west, and HH 315 D, E, and F toward the south-east (e.g. Gómez et al. 1997, see Fig. 3). They are believed to have been created during three episodes of enhanced accretion/ejection (Gómez et al. 1997, GA2004, Arce & Goodman 2002a). Moreover, these HH groups are not distributed along a straight line, but along an S-shaped structure, clearly resulting from precession in the underlying jet (Fig. 3). A simple precessing jet model that reasonably accounts for that structure has been proposed by Gómez et al. (1997) and is reproduced in Fig. 3a. This model (e.g. Hjellming & Johnston 1981) assumes that the jet axis precesses on the surface of a cone of opening angle \( \theta \), inclined by an angle \( i \) from the line of sight. The jet has constant ejection velocity \( v_e \) and precession velocity \( \omega \), and the position angle of the precession axis is \( \phi \). The black line on Fig. 3a corresponds to \( \theta = 22.5^\circ, i = 80^\circ, \omega = 1.5 \text{ arcmin yr}^{-1}, v = 180 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \) and \( \phi = -36.5^\circ \) (Gómez et al. 1997). As discussed by Gómez et al. (1997), this model shows that successive episodes of enhanced mass-loss occurred in PV Cep roughly every 2,000 years.

An asymmetry in the distribution of the HH groups is immediately apparent in Fig. 3a. For instance, while the C-F pair is expected to have been created during the same enhanced mass-loss episode, the symmetric model shown in Fig. 3a would ascribe them ages differing by about 1,000 yr. A similar situation occurs for the B-E pair. Of course, this age inconsistency is simply a different way of re-expressing the bisector conclusions of GA2004. Because of the asymmetry in the distribution of the HH groups, the segments joining C to F and B to E do not pass through the current position of the driving source. The situation can be significantly improved by relaxing slightly
the symmetry of the jet. As an example, we show in Fig. 3b a model identical to that of Gómez et al. (1997) for the northern part of the flow, but slightly different for the southern counterpart. Specifically, the velocity of the southern jet is 10% larger than that of the northern jet, and the southern half of the jet precesses around an axis misaligned by $10^\circ$ relative to the symmetry axis of the northern jet. Such a model represents a somewhat better fit to the positions of the southern HH groups, and ascribes the same age to the B and E groups (4,900 yr) and to the C and F groups (6,900 yr). Moreover, the bisector of segments joining pairs of same-age positions on either sides of the jet are progressively displaced towards the east as larger ages are considered. In particular, the bisectors at ages 4,900 yr and 6,900 yr are very nearly coincident with the measured bisectors of the B-E and C-F pairs (Fig. 3b).

The model showed in Fig. 3b certainly represents an oversimplification of the true structure of the jet in PV Cep, and is clearly not unique. It does demonstrate, however, that a slightly asymmetric jet can provide a better description of the structure of the flow than a symmetric one, and could readily explain the bisector results of GA2004 with no need for a large stellar velocity. It would be interesting to investigate more realistic numerical models of bipolar jets encountering asymmetric surroundings. In particular, it would be useful to know in what domain of the parameter space they can produce bisector results similar to those found in PV Cep by GA2004.

It is worth mentioning that the gas immediately south of PV Cep appears to be significantly denser than the gas immediately north of it (Arce & Good-
man 2002a, 2002b). Thus, one might expect the southern lobe to be slower than the northern lobe and not the other way around, as our asymmetric model would suggest. The distribution of the gas surrounding PV Cep, however, is likely to be quite asymmetric. For instance, knot C (to the north) is known to entrain a massive molecular gas shell, whereas no entrained gas is associated with its southern counterpart knot F (Arce & Goodman 2002a, 2002b). This suggests that, on large scales, the density of molecular material north of PV Cep might be higher than south of it. This is reversed compared to the density distribution in the immediate surroundings of PV Cep.

In addition to their bisector results, GA2004 mention two pieces of evidence supporting the idea that PV Cep might be a run-away star. The first one is the existence of a tail of red-shifted gas located to the east of PV Cep. The second is the wiggling of the southern jet seen at small scales. These two effects have not been reported in other outflow systems, and it is unclear if they have a direct relation to the asymmetry of the jet in PV Cep. It certainly would be worthwhile to search for these effects in other outflow systems (symmetric or not) and to investigate numerically in what kind of situation they would tend to develop.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Comparing two VLA observations separated by 10.5 years, we have measured the proper motion of the young star PV Cep. This measurement shows that PV Cep is essentially stationary with respect to its surroundings, and is not a fast-moving run-away star, as suggested by GA2004. The jet morphological characteristics that led GA2004 to conclude that PV Cep might be a run-away star might instead result from an asymmetry in the jet itself. GA2004 had built a case against PV Cep reflected in the title of their paper: “PV Cephei: young star caught speeding?”. Given the new evidence presented here, that accusation is now under question.
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