Identification of Small Molecule Inhibitors of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Exoenzyme S Using a Yeast Phenotypic Screen
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Abstract

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic human pathogen that is a key factor in the mortality of cystic fibrosis patients, and infection represents an increased threat for human health worldwide. Because resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to antibiotics is increasing, new inhibitors of pharmacologically validated targets of this bacterium are needed. Here we demonstrate that a cell-based yeast phenotypic assay, combined with a large-scale inhibitor screen, identified small molecule inhibitors that can suppress the toxicity caused by heterologous expression of selected *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ORFs. We identified the first small molecule inhibitor of Exoenzyme S (ExoS), a toxin involved in Type III secretion. We show that this inhibitor, exosin, modulates ExoS ADP-ribosyltransferase activity *in vitro*, suggesting the inhibition is direct. Moreover, exosin and two of its analogues display a significant protective effect against *Pseudomonas* infection *in vivo*. Furthermore, because the assay was performed in yeast, we were able to demonstrate that several yeast homologues of the known human ExoS targets are likely ADP-ribosylated by the toxin. For example, using an *in vitro* enzymatic assay, we demonstrate that yeast Ras2p is directly modified by ExoS. Lastly, by surveying a collection of yeast deletion mutants, we identified Bmh1p, a yeast homologue of the human FAS, as an ExoS cofactor, revealing that portions of the bacterial toxin mode of action are conserved from yeast to human. Taken together, our integrated cell-based, chemical-genetic approach demonstrates that such screens can augment traditional drug screening approaches and facilitate the discovery of new compounds against a broad range of human pathogens.
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Introduction

Microbial resistance flourishes in hospitals and community settings, and represents a major threat to human health worldwide [1,2]. Despite the threat, drug discovery methods have failed to deliver new effective antibiotics [3]. This problem is likely to worsen because major pharmaceutical and biotech companies are withdrawing from antibacterial drug discovery [4]. To address the challenge of developing new antibiotics and managing microbial resistance, alternative strategies are needed to define and inhibit pharmacologically validated targets [5]. Several lines of evidence support the hypothesis that hakers yeast *S. cerevisiae* can contribute during early stages of antimicrobial development. Because many essential molecular mechanisms of cells are conserved, we hypothesized that bacterial virulence proteins could act similarly in both yeast and human cells. Indeed, the study of virulence proteins in *S. cerevisiae* has proved an effective alternative and proxy for a human model of bacterial infection [6,7,8]. In addition, *S. cerevisiae* is well-suited for screening small molecule inhibitors to inhibit overexpressed proteins [9,10], and to discover molecules that disrupt protein-protein interactions [11]. Finally, the arsenal of available yeast functional genomics tools provides a powerful means to study the targets and pathways modulated by drugs (reviewed in [12]). Together, these observations support the idea that compound screening in *S. cerevisiae* is a powerful tool to isolate small molecule inhibitors against potential drug targets of human pathogens.

In antibacterial drug discovery, a particular concern is the emergence of multidrug resistant strains that require several drugs for efficient disease management. This problem is exacerbated in immunocompromised patients [13]. For example, *P. aeruginosa* affects immunocompromised individuals afflicted with cystic fibrosis and is the primary Gram-negative causative agent of nosocomial infections [14]. *P. aeruginosa* is resistant to the three...
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Author Summary

Microbial resistance to antibiotics is a serious and growing threat to human health. Here, we used a novel approach that combines chemical and genetic perturbation of bacterial cells to find new targets that might be effective in controlling infections caused by the opportunistic human pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa. P. aeruginosa is the primary cause of mortality with cystic fibrosis patients and has demonstrated an alarming ability to resist antibiotics. In this study, we identified the first small molecule inhibitors of ExoS, a toxin playing a pivotal role during P. aeruginosa infections. One of these compounds, exosin, likely works by modulating the toxin’s enzymatic activity. We further show that this inhibitor protects mammalian cells against P. aeruginosa infection. Finally, we used yeast functional genomics tools to identify several yeast homologues of the known human ExoS targets as possible targets for the toxin. Together, these observations validate our yeast-based approach for uncovering novel antibiotic targets. These compounds can be used as starting point for new therapeutic treatments, and a similar strategy could be applied to a broad range of human pathogens like viruses or parasites.

Results

Identification of P. aeruginosa Drug Targets Modulating S. cerevisiae Growth

We developed a yeast-based strategy where S. cerevisiae was initially used to identify P. aeruginosa PAO1 virulence factors or essential ORFs that inhibit yeast growth (Figure 1A). These particular genes were selected because they provide an attractive starting point to develop antibacterial drugs. Accordingly, we developed a list of 505 potential drug targets of P. aeruginosa (Table S1) [35,36,37]. These bacterial ORFs were individually transferred into the yeast expression vector, pYES-DEST52 where the GAL1 promoter controlled their expression. Transformed yeast growing on 2% glucose served as control (i.e., wild type growth) because in these conditions, the expression of the exogenous bacterial genes is repressed. Expression of these genes was induced by growing the yeast on selective solid medium containing 2% galactose + 2% raffinose (Figure 1B). The experiment was repeated (four times), and involved inoculating yeast cultures at different dilutions and spotting variable volumes of culture on agar plates in an attempt to increase the consistency of this test.

Of the 505 P. aeruginosa ORFs screened, nine strongly or partially impaired the yeast growth when overexpressed (Figure 1C). Five of these are essential genes, including 1) the ribonuclease III – PA0770, 2) two probable transcription regulators - PA0906 and PA1520, 3) the transcription termination factor Rho – PA5239 and 4) a hypothetical protein – PA2191. Interestingly, each of these four toxins are secreted or translated by the type II (ExoA) or type III secretion system (ExoS, ExoT, ExoY) and each act within the infected host cell. By comparing the phenotype of yeast harboring the empty vector, we could assess the relative strength of the Pseudomonas gene overexpression effect and classify them into three groups (Figure 1C – right panel). Firstly, ExoA, ExoY, PA1520 and PA2702 strongly inhibited S. cerevisiae growth. Secondly, ExoS, ExoT, PA0906 and transcription termination factor Rho showed...
an intermediate growth impairment whereas expression of ribonuclease III weakly affected yeast fitness.

Yeast Growth Inhibition Is Mediated by Exoa and Exos
ADPRT, and Exoy Adenylate Cyclase Activities

To assess the influence of ExoA, ExoY and ExoS enzymatic activities on yeast growth, catalytic mutants were assayed. Residues important for the enzymatic activity of ExoA (E553A), ExoY (K81M) and ExoS (R146W and E379A + E381A) were previously reported [21,38,39,40] and served to guide our mutant construction (Figure 2A).

Compared to the empty vector control, overexpression of active ExoA-wt and ExoY-wt induced a severe growth defect (Figure 2B – top and middle panels) whereas expression of the enzymatically inactive ExoA-ADPRT mutant and ExoY-AC mutant did not. This observation suggests that ExoA and ExoY toxicity is conferred by their ADPRT and AC activities, respectively. Moreover, whereas ExoS-wt expression reduced yeast growth, this dominant negative effect was totally abolished when expression of the ExoS-GAP and ExoS-ADPRT mutants were simultaneously induced, indicating one or both ExoS enzymatic activities are causative for the yeast growth defect (Figure 2B – bottom panel). Because normal growth was observed only for the ExoS ADPRT domain mutant and not for the GAP mutant, this suggests that ExoS ADPRT enzymatic activity is responsible for the yeast toxicity consistent with previous observations [41]. Taken together, these observations attribute the yeast growth inhibition to the ExoA-ADPRT, ExoY-AC and ExoS-ADPRT activities and

Figure 1. Overview of the yeast based approach to find inhibitors against the human pathogenic bacteria P. aeruginosa and phenotypes of the bacterial ORFs causing synthetic lethality in yeast. (A) S. cerevisiae W303-1A was utilized to identify P. aeruginosa PAO-1 virulence factors or essential ORFs that inhibit yeast growth. P. aeruginosa ORFs that inhibited yeast growth when individually overexpressed are prioritized based on biological relevance. Genes of interest are subsequently screened for inhibitors by overexpressing the bacterial ORFs and assaying for restoration of yeast growth in the presence of small molecules. Finally, in vitro and in vivo experiments demonstrate that the inhibitor directly modulates the bacterial protein biological activity. (B) Yeasts harboring the plasmid with the P. aeruginosa ORFs were grown overnight in liquid media. The cultures were then robotically diluted 10, 100 and 1000 times before their transfer in duplicate on solid media containing either glucose (Control 100% growth - left panel) or galactose + raffinose (Induction of bacterial gene expression - right panel). Cell growth was compared to the yeast with the empty vector (negative control - red circle) and to the yeast harboring the vector pRS316 encoding the toxic gene TUB2 (positive control – green circle). Phenotype of yeast with the plasmid pYES-DEST52 coding for a Pseudomonas toxic gene is marked by a blue square. The plasmid pYES-DEST52 was selected based on its strong promoter GAL1 combined to its high copy number 2 μ origin of replication for a maximal protein expression. (C) Nine P. aeruginosa ORFs inhibiting yeast growth when overexpressed. Yeast were transformed with the pYES-DEST52 yeast expression vector encoding the nine bacterial ORFs, grown overnight and individually spotted in duplicate as a 10 fold serial dilution on plates containing either glucose (left panel) or galactose + raffinose (right panel).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000005.g001
validate the three toxins as appropriate drug target candidates for further study. Due to its critical role in the initial steps of chronic infections of immuno-compromised patients and in the pathogenesis of acute \textit{P. aeruginosa} infections, ExoS was selected for interrogation using our yeast-cell based inhibitor screen.

Exoenzyme S ADP-Ribosylates Identical Targets in Both Human and Yeast

To demonstrate that yeast can serve as a model system to mimic human cells during infection, we asked if these bacterial toxins modulate the biological activity of conserved eukaryotic targets. Following binding of \textit{P. aeruginosa} to human cells, the bacteria inject ExoS directly into the cytoplasm where it inhibits the activity of several targets by ADP-ribosylation. Therefore, overexpressing yeast homologues of ExoS human targets should restore yeast growth by titrating the toxin’s enzymatic activity (Figure 3A). To test our hypothesis, forty-six yeast members of the Ras superfamily and cyclophilins were individually overexpressed in yeast in the presence of ExoS (Table S2).
We first verified that individually, the overexpressed yeast proteins did not impair yeast growth. To accomplish this, cells were cultivated on galactose + raffinose in absence of copper such that only the yeast over-expressed candidates, but not ExoS, were expressed (Figure 3B – top right panel). Yeast genes whose overexpression was toxic were eliminated from our analysis. In parallel, cells were grown on galactose + raffinose in presence of copper to assess the rescuing effect of yeast gene overexpression in the presence of the toxic ExoS (Figure 3B – bottom right panel). The ExoS expression vector was transformed in wild-type, bmh1Δ and bmh2Δ yeast backgrounds. In absence of BMH1, but not BMH2, ExoS did not display any toxicity in yeast (left panel). Additionally, the vectors encoding ExoS and Bmh1p were cotransformed. Bmh1p overexpression restored ExoS toxicity in a yeast bmh1Δ background (right panel).

We previously described, ExoS is a direct target of ExoS both in yeast and human. (A) In mammalian cells, ExoS inactivates several targets. A similar mechanism could explain the observed growth defect in S. cerevisiae. In that case, overexpression of the yeast homologues of the ExoS human targets should restore yeast growth by titrating the toxin enzymatic activity. (B) In the presence of ExoS, yeast Ras2p overexpression reverts yeast growth to a level comparable to the one with the yeast harboring the empty vector. Overnight cultures of the yeast transformed with empty vector, the vector encoding ExoS alone or exoenzyme S with the yeast ORFs were adjusted to the same cell density (10⁶ cells/ml). The rescuing effect due to the yeast ORFs expression was estimated by spotting a 10 fold serial dilution of the yeast cultures on agar containing glucose - copper (upper panels) or galactose + raffinose + copper (lower panels). (C) Bmh1p acts as ExoS cofactor in yeast. The ExoS expression vector was transformed in wild-type, bmh1Δ and bmh2Δ yeast backgrounds. In absence of BMH1, but not BMH2, ExoS did not display any toxicity in yeast (left panel). Additionally, the vectors encoding ExoS and Bmh1p were cotransformed. Bmh1p overexpression restored ExoS toxicity in a yeast bmh1Δ background (right panel).

ExoS ADP-ribosylates Ras2p in vitro. Ras2p was incubated with ExoS and [32P]NAD in presence or absence of the yeast activator protein Bmh1. The samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and incorporation of radioactive ADP-ribose analysed by phosphorimaging. The upper bands are caused by ExoS auto-ribosylation and served as a positive control. Due to the nature of the ExoS purification, the top band corresponds to the full-length ExoS and the lower band represents the auto-ribosylation of a truncated form of ExoS.

Figure 3. Ras is a direct target of ExoS both in yeast and human. (A) In mammalian cells, ExoS inactivates several targets. A similar mechanism could explain the observed growth defect in S. cerevisiae. In that case, overexpression of the yeast homologues of the ExoS human targets should restore yeast growth by titrating the toxin enzymatic activity. (B) In the presence of ExoS, yeast Ras2p overexpression reverts yeast growth to a level comparable to the one with the yeast harboring the empty vector. Overnight cultures of the yeast transformed with empty vector, the vector encoding ExoS alone or exoenzyme S with the yeast ORFs were adjusted to the same cell density (10⁶ cells/ml). The rescuing effect due to the yeast ORFs expression was estimated by spotting a 10 fold serial dilution of the yeast cultures on agar containing glucose - copper (upper panels) or galactose + raffinose + copper (lower panels). (C) Bmh1p acts as ExoS cofactor in yeast. The ExoS expression vector was transformed in wild-type, bmh1Δ and bmh2Δ yeast backgrounds. In absence of BMH1, but not BMH2, ExoS did not display any toxicity in yeast (left panel). Additionally, the vectors encoding ExoS and Bmh1p were cotransformed. Bmh1p overexpression restored ExoS toxicity in a yeast bmh1Δ background (right panel). (D) ExoS ADP-ribosylates Ras2p in vitro. Ras2p was incubated with ExoS and [32P]NAD in presence or absence of the yeast activator protein Bmh1. The samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and incorporation of radioactive ADP-ribose analysed by phosphorimaging. The upper bands are caused by ExoS auto-ribosylation and served as a positive control. Due to the nature of the ExoS purification, the top band corresponds to the full-length ExoS and the lower band represents the auto-ribosylation of a truncated form of ExoS.

We first verified that individually, the overexpressed yeast proteins did not impair yeast growth. To accomplish this, cells were cultivated on galactose + raffinose in absence of copper such that only the yeast over-expressed candidates, but not ExoS, were expressed (Figure 3B – top right panel). Yeast genes whose overexpression was toxic were eliminated from our analysis. In parallel, cells were grown on galactose + raffinose in presence of copper to assess the rescuing effect of yeast gene overexpression in the presence of the toxic ExoS (Figure 3B – bottom right panel). Comparing yeast growth to the cell harboring the empty vector and yeast expressing ExoS alone (Figure 3B – bottom left panel), ten yeast genes were found to rescue ExoS toxicity (Table S3). Subsequently, only yeast genes demonstrating a strong growth rescue phenotype (such as RAS2) were analyzed further whereas genes showing weak rescue (such as YPT1) were not studied further (Figure 3B). S. cerevisiae possesses two homologues of the human Ras protein (Ras1p and Ras2p). Interestingly, Ras2p was found among these ten ORFs, i.e. overexpression of Ras2p but not Ras1p rescued ExoS-induced toxicity (Figure 3B). As previously described, ExoS requires Factor Activating Exoenzyme S (FAS) for its ADPRT activity [26]. FAS is a member of the 14-3-3 protein family which has two yeast homologues, the Brain Modulosignalin Homolog (Bmh) 1 and 2. Accordingly, ExoS toxicity was assessed in the absence of Bmh1p or Bmh2p. As detected by the increase in yeast growth, ExoS-induced toxicity was diminished in cells lacking Bmh1p but not in
those lacking Bmh2p (Figure 3C – left panel). In a *bml1A* yeast background, the toxic effect of ExoS was again restored when introducing BMH1 in the presence of the toxin (Figure 3C – right panel). Together, these data imply that Bml1p acts as ExoS cofactor in yeast.

To better understand the mechanism of this toxicity, we demonstrated that yeast Ras2p was a direct target of ExoS and that Bml1p was the ExoS cofactor in yeast, using a biochemical assay. To that end, an ADP-ribosyltransferase enzymatic assay was performed using the radioactive substrate [32P]-NAD, purified *P. aeruginosa* ExoS, yeast Ras2p and Bml1p. Autoradiographic analysis showed that radioactive ADP-ribose was incorporated in Ras2p (Figure 3D). Moreover, in absence of Bml1p, no ADP-ribosylation was observed. These data reveal that in *vivo*, Ras2p is directly ADP-ribosylated by ExoS with Bml1p as a cofactor.

Taken together, these results allow us to conclude the following; (i) in yeast, the growth inhibitory effect observed in the presence of the *P. aeruginosa* ExoS is mediated by its ADPRT activity; (ii) this growth inhibition is due, at least in part, to the inactivation of the yeast protein Ras2p by ADP-ribosylation; (iii) ExoS ADPRT activity is activated by the yeast cofactor Bml1p. Most significantly, conservation of several toxin targets from yeast to human, such as Ras2p, Rsr1p, Ypt52p and Cpr6p, suggests that *P. aeruginosa* ExoS acts in a related manner in both organisms.

E216-5303 Modulates Exoenzyme S ADPRT Activity through Competitive Inhibition

The sensitivity and specificity of our yeast-based assay allowed us to use *S. cerevisiae* to detect potential inhibitors of the three selected *P. aeruginosa* drug targets. Because ExoS-wt inhibited yeast growth when overexpressed, we reasoned that any molecule that inhibits this enzyme should restore yeast growth (Figure 1A). Because we were unable to find any inhibitors when the bacterial toxin was expressed using the strong promoter GAL1, we exchanged the GAL1 promoter with the copper inducible promoter *CUP1* which allows a titrable expression of the toxins. Expression from this promoter decreases the toxin level in yeast and renders the conditions of the yeast screen less stringent. Over 56,000 compounds, primarily synthetic small molecules, were tested against ExoS. Effect of the compounds was compared to the yeast growth in absence of compound (as control for inhibition) and to the cells dividing in absence of toxin (as a control for growth). With this strategy, we uncovered six potential inhibitors, Diosmin, Everninic acid, Flavokawain B, 0469-0796, 4296-1011 and E216-5303 based on their ability to restore yeast growth (Figure 4A).

To determine if the observed yeast growth recovery was due to a direct modulation of the compounds on ExoS ADPRT activity, an *in vitro* fluorescent ADPRT enzymatic assay was performed. Diosmin, 4296-1011, Everninic acid and E216-5303 modulated ExoS ADPRT activity and their IC50 values were determined as 3, 6, 21 and 23 μM respectively (Figure 4A). Due to their intrinsic fluorescence, Flavokawain B and 0469-0706 effects could not be tested in our enzymatic assay. Because, only exosin protected CHO cells from lysis during *P. aeruginosa* infection in cell culture (data not shown) it was therefore selected for additional studies. Exosin acts as competitive inhibitor against the NAD+ substrate of ExoS as the Vmax values were largely unaffected, whereas the Km values increased from 9 to 30 μM (Figure 4C). The Kf value (dissociation constant for a competitive inhibitor) was 33.0 ± 3.0 μM for exosin (Figure 3D), which agrees favourably with the IC50 value for this compound (Figure 4B). Thus, the drug-like compound exosin directly modulates ExoS ADPRT activity *in vitro* via competitive inhibition. Therefore, exosin seems to restore ExoS dependent yeast growth defect by directly inhibiting ExoS ADPRT activity.

Exosin Protects Exoenzyme S Induced Cytotoxicity in CHO Cells

To determine if the small molecule inhibitor, exosin, could modulate the viability of CHO cells during *P. aeruginosa* infection, apoptotic CHO cells and living CHO cells were distinguished using the exclusion dye 7-AAD. Here, CHO cells were exposed to *P. aeruginosa* with or without the small molecule inhibitor for 2 hours, and the fraction of apoptotic cells was measured by 7-AAD staining and flow cytometry.

The mean fluorescent intensities of 7-AAD were plotted as a histogram (Figure S1). When compared to the mean fluorescent intensity from the red peak (background fluorescent intensity - 7.42; n = 3) and from the blue peak (control for *P. aeruginosa* infection - 18.2; n = 3), the green (20 μM), orange (40 μM), and light blue (80 μM) peaks gave mean fluorescent intensities of 13.4, 11.3, and 10.3, respectively, indicating that exosin exerted its effect in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, a higher inhibitor dose reduced the number of cells undergoing apoptosis, reflecting a better protective effect. Similar observations were made in dot plots (Figure 5A). In the presence of 80 μM exosin, a significant increase in the percentage of living cells (79.35%; n = 3) was observed with the serious reduction of dead cells (20.31%; n = 3), compared to the infected CHO cells without inhibitor, 0 μM (49.72% and 50.28%, respectively). However, the protective effect of exosin at a concentration of 80 μM was not observed when CHO cells were infected by the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 strain, a strain expressing ExoT, ExoY and the phospholipase exoenzyme U (ExoU) but not ExoS, indicating the specificity of the compound exosin against ExoS only (Figure 5A – lower panel).

In the CHO cell infection assay, the protective effect of exosin was monitored during an early stage of infection by detecting the number of dying and dead CHO cells using flow cytometry. Moreover, the effect of the inhibitor at the late stage of infection was assessed by the quantification of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) released from the population of lysed CHO cells. Four hours after *P. aeruginosa* PAK infection (Figure 5C) revealed a 6.9% decrease in lysis upon addition of 20 μM exosin, a 13.9% lysis reduction in the presence of 40 μM final of inhibitor and a 12.9% reduction at 80 μM. However, the protective effect of exosin at a concentration of 80 μM was not observed when CHO cells were infected by the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 strain that translocates ExoU instead of ExoS (Figure 5C). Together, these data strongly support the conclusion that the inhibitor exosin is specific for ExoS and is able to reduce ExoS cytotoxicity against mammalian cells.

The *P. aeruginosa* PAK viability was tested by measuring optical density of cultures in the presence of 20, 40 and 80 μM of inhibitor over a period of 10 hours. Addition of exosin did not affect *Pseudomonas* growth, further confirming the specificity of exosin for ExoS in CHO cells (Figure S2).

Pre-Selection of Exosin Analogues in *S. cerevisiae*

Given the specificity of exosin, we screened 50 structural analogues of this compound in yeast to find molecules with increased potency against ExoS ADPRT activity. Seven analogues with an improved effect were found (Figure 4E – exosin-5138, exosin-5316 and the compounds marked by an asterisk). According to the flow cytometry results, all of these compounds protected CHO cells when infected with *P. aeruginosa* in cell culture (data not shown). However, only exosin-5138 and exosin-5316 showed a protective effect when monitored with the LDH assay. Therefore, only these two compounds were used for further
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A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIOSMIN</td>
<td>3 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVERINIC ACID</td>
<td>21 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAVOKAWAIN B</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0469-0706</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4296-1011</td>
<td>6 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E216-5303</td>
<td>23 µM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Not Determined

B

\[ IC₅₀ = 23 \, \mu M \]

C

\[ 1/K_r = \frac{1}{V_{max}} \, \text{in mM}^{-1} \]

D

\[ K_r = 33.0 \, \mu M \]

E

Exosin analogues and their effect on yeast growth

F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analogue</th>
<th>Yeast growth recovery</th>
<th>Inhibitory concentration 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exosin</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>24 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exosin-5138</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>12 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exosin-5316</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>63 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exosin-5340</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>129 µM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
investigation. Exosin-5340 had no protective effect in yeast or in the CHO cell infection assay and served as a negative control. Importantly, results obtained from the yeast studies revealed the importance of the para position of the nitrobenzyl ring for the inhibitory activity of the compounds (Figure 4G). The three different analogues, exosin-5138, exosin-5316 and exosin-5340, were then selected for quantification of the yeast growth recovery and for IC_{50} determination. Exosin-5130 showed 36.8% recovery,
almost double the protective effect of exosin whereas exosin-5340 conferred no protection (Figure 4F). The last analogue exosin-5316 (with 27.4% recovery) demonstrated a protective effect almost equal to the original compound (20.5% recovery). The fluorescent ADPRT enzymatic assay revealed that the three analogues directly modulate ExoS ADPRT activity in vitro (Figure 4F). The IC50 for each compound was calculated and these values paralleled the effect of the small molecules in yeast, most strongly for exosin-5138 and exosin-5340, and to a lesser extent for exosin-5316.

We extended our studies of these analogs in mammalian cells. For this purpose, protection provided by exosin-5138 and exosin-5516 was assessed in the CHO cell toxicity assay. Using the flow cytometry as described earlier, a strong protective effect of exosin-5138 was observed (Figure 5A – left panel). Dot plots of exosin-5138 showed a large reduction in dead cells at a compound concentration of 80 μM (Figure 5A – right panel). Exosin-5138 decreased dead cells by 80 and 80 μM of inhibitor, respectively (Figure 5B). In the LDH assay, exosin-5138 reduced cell lysis by 9.34, 18.61 and 24.64% in presence of the compound at 20, 40 and 80 μM of inhibitor, respectively (Figure 5C) demonstrating an improved efficacy of exosin-5138 against ExoS cytotoxicity versus the original hit.

In addition, as shown by flow cytometry, exosin-5316 exerted a protective effect (Figure 5A). The number of dead/dying CHO cells was detectably lower upon addition of the analogue exosin-5316 compared to the original hit (p<0.05) (Figure 5C). The IC50 for each compound was calculated and these values paralleled the effect of the small molecules in yeast, most strongly for exosin-5138 and exosin-5340, and to a lesser extent for exosin-5316.

Conservation of ExoS Biological Activity in Both Human and Yeast

High conservation of basic molecular and cellular mechanisms between yeast and human cells highlights S. cerevisiae as an ideal model organism to study mammalian diseases and their underlying pathways [46,47]. Indeed, several reports have shown that this conservation can be used to decipher bacterial toxins mode of action [6,7,48]. Here, the toxicity caused by ExoS, ExoY and ExoS overexpression in yeast is mediated by their enzymatic activity (Figure 2B). Interestingly, ExoS ADPRT activity alone is sufficient to induce yeast cell growth arrest. This last observation inspired us to study ExoS yeast toxicity into more detail.

Once translocated in human host cells via P. aeruginosa type III secretion system, ExoS inhibits several cellular targets. Its GTPase activating protein activity reorganizes the actin cytoskeleton through RhoA, Rac1 and Cdc42 inactivation. In contrast, ExoS ADPRT activity inactivates a wide range of proteins such as several members of the Ras family and related proteins, cyclophilin A and the Ezrin/Radixin/Moesin (ERM) proteins. Since ExoS inactivates all its protein targets, overexpression of the yeast homologues of the known human targets should restore yeast growth by titrating ExoS enzymatic activity. The ERM proteins play a role during cell polarity establishment of multi-cellular organisms and no homologues were found in yeast. Therefore, only yeast members on the Ras superfamily and cyclophilin family were induced in our overexpression study. Globally, no yeast homologue of the human RhoA, Rac1 or Cdc42 rescued ExoS-induced toxicity indicating that, together with the results obtained in the ExoS mutagenesis experiments, the ExoS GAP activity does not play a role in ExoS yeast growth inhibition. Four homologues of the human ExoS targets Ras, Rap1b, hRab and Cyclophilina were identified as the yeast Ras2p, Ras1p, Ypt52p and Cpr6p, respectively. Arf1p, a member of the Sar/Arf family and homologue of the human Arf1 protein, rescued yeast expressing ExoS. Arf1p is involved in the retrograde transport of vesicles from the trans Golgi to the plasma membrane. Biological relevance of Arf1p as a direct target of ExoS is questionable since, during P. aeruginosa infection, ExoS trafficking occurs from the plasma membrane to the perinuclear region [49]. In our system, ExoS is...
encoded by a plasmid, thus deregulation of the retrograde transport could prevent ExoS reaching its plasma membrane targets (e.g. Ras2) and explain why Arf1p overexpression allowed yeast to divide in the presence of ExoS. No homologues of Cin4p (Sac/Arf family), Cin1p, Cpr7p, Cpr8p exist in human confounding the interpretation of these results.

ExoS overexpression in the absence of Bmh1p, the yeast homologue of the ExoS cofactor FAS, was not toxic to yeast (Figure 3C). In vitro enzymatic assay demonstrated that ExoS ADP-ribosylates Ras2p with Bmh1p as a cofactor (Figure 3B). Interestingly, substitution of the yeast Ras2p by the constitutively ribosylated Ras2p with Bmh1p as a cofactor (Figure 3B). Additionally, previous results showed that a deletion mutant of ExoS (lacking aa 51–72 membrane localization domain), which cannot ADP-ribosylate Rasp in vivo, is nevertheless as cytotoxic as wild type ExoS in CHO cells, indicating that Ras ADP-ribosylation is dispensable for ExoS virulence [50]. These observations suggest that, in yeast, the observed growth inhibition may be due to the cumulative inhibitory effects of ExoS on already known targets and/or due to the additional inactivation of an unknown key protein(s).

Yeast as a Tool for Drug Screening and Prioritization

ExoS plays a pivotal role in the establishment of P. aeruginosa chronic infections and during acute P. aeruginosa pathogenesis. For that reason, this toxin was selected in our yeast phenotypic system to find inhibitors capable of modulating its enzymatic activity. Here, we report the isolation of exosin, the first inhibitor of ExoS ADPRT activity using a yeast cell-based screen. Six compounds were isolated from a library of 56,000 compounds and all rescued ExoS induced toxicity in yeast (Figure 4A). This relatively small number of hits is likely due to several reasons; both chemical and biological. The compound library, though selected for its diversity, nonetheless samples a limited range of chemical space. Furthermore, of 6,000 compounds that were randomly picked from the library and tested against ExoS and ExoY in two different yeast genetic backgrounds (the wild-type and the pdr1A+pdr3A strains), no difference in potency was observed, suggesting that the yeast genotype did not substantially influence the number of hits obtained in our screen.

In the enzymatic and the CHO cells infection assays, only exosin modulated ExoS biological activity. The apparent inactivity of the five other small molecules can likely be explained by two arguments. First, the five inhibitors could exert their effect on molecules or pathways modulated by ExoS without directly inhibiting ExoS ADPRT activity. Furthermore, because these five compounds exerted no protective effect in the CHO cell infection assay we predict they act on yeast specific pathways. A second explanation could be that the effect observed in yeast requires metabolism of the compound and is not an effect of the original compound itself.

Our results revealed that compounds derived from natural products were more bioactive on yeast (3 primary hits out of 500 natural products). However, the only hit conferring protection during infection of CHO cells by P. aeruginosa belongs to the class of the drug-like synthetic compounds (3 primary hits out of 53,000 compounds). Thus, there is certainly much more chemical space that can be probed using both natural and synthetic compounds.

E216-5303 Mode of Action

Exosin was shown to directly interact with ExoS in vitro as a competitive inhibitor against the NAD+ substrate of ExoS ADPRT activity with comparable IC50 and Kᵢ values indicating that exosin likely binds to the NAD+-pocket within the ADPRT domain of ExoS. This was substantiated by our observation of a similar inhibitory effect of exosin on the ADPRT activity of ExoA (IC50 = 17 μM; data not shown). Unfortunately, no high-resolution structure has been determined for the ADPRT domain of ExoA; however, ExoA is a well-characterized ADPRT enzyme for which there is a crystal structure of the ADPRT catalytic domain in complex with substrates and inhibitors [51,52,53]. By analogy with the recent X-ray co-crystal structure of ExoA with PJ34 [51], the benzylmorpholine ring of exosin might be expected to intercalate into the nicotinamide pocket within ExoA. In this scenario, the inhibitor amide should form an H-bond with enzyme. Presently, the site of contact within the ExoS active site for the alkyl group on the exosin nitrobenzyl moiety (shown by an arrow in Figure 4G) is not known; however, a single ring is required for in vivo activity and an ethyl is preferred over a methyl at the alkylation site. In summary, although we lack atomic resolution, it appears likely that the in vivo inhibitory activity of exosin against ExoA toxicity is due to a direct interaction of the inhibitor with the ADPRT domain of the toxin.

Conclusion

Using a yeast cell-based screen, the first known inhibitor of the P. aeruginosa ExoS, called exosin, was isolated and several analogues of the original hit were characterized. This work was facilitated by the partial conservation of the proteins inactivated by ExoS in both human and yeast. Thus, S. cerevisiae is a powerful tool to study bacterial toxins and to identify their corresponding inhibitors. Future studies could extend a similar approach to a broad range of human pathogens such as viruses and bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Strains. S. cerevisiae W303-1A (MATa his3 ade2 leu2 trp1 can1) and 14328-pdr1+pdr3 (Mata his3 met15 ura3 pdr1::Nat pdr3::KanMX) were propagated at 30°C on yeast-peatone-dextrose (YPD) or synthetic dextrose (SD) minimal medium missing the appropriate amino-acid. P. aeruginosa strains PAK [54] and PA14 [55] were routinely grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani broth.

Constructs. The 505 ORFs coding the Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 drug targets, contained in the entry vector pDONR201 [56], were individually subcloned in the yeast expression vector pYES-DEST52 (Invitrogen) by LR reaction according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Invitrogen). Proper integration of the ORFs in pYES-DEST52 was checked by PCR using the forward primer pDONR201-F (5'-TCGGTTAAGCTAGCTGGATCC-3') and reverse primer pDONR201-R (5'-ATGATCTTTAGACGAC-3').

ExoA-E553D enzymatic mutant was PCR amplified from Pseudomonas aeruginosa genomic DNA using the primers pYES-DEST52-top (5'-AGCAGTTTGATACAAAAAAGCACGCTCC GAAGAGATACCAGCTGACCCCAATTGGATCC-3') with ExoA-E553D-Top (5'-GGGCCACGCGAGATAGTG TGGTCACCAGCGCCCTCCT-3') and ExoA-E553D-Top (5'-GGAAGGCCGGGCGCCTGGAACACATCCTCGGCTGG CC3') with pYES-DEST32-Top (5'-GATGATGCGCCGCTTCTGATGGAGAATCT-3') and reverse primer pDONR201-R (5'-GACATCAGGCTGGTTTAAAACCATGTTGATTGTGAT GATGACCAGG-3'). The different PCR products were subsequently recombinationally cloned into pYES-DEST32 (Invitrogen).

ExoS-R146K enzymatic mutant was PCR amplified from Pseudomonas aeruginosa genomic DNA using the primers ExoS-top (5'-TCGGATCCACTAGTAAACGGCCCGAGGAGTGTGC TGGAATATCTGCAATTTCAAATGCCCTAGC-3') with ExoS-
R146K-Bot (5'-CCAGAGGCAGCTGCTAGCAGCCAGCCAGCCACCATCTCGCCGTG-3') and ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3'). The different PCR products were subsequently recombinationally cloned into pYES2 (Invitrogen).

ExoS-E379A+Ex81A enzymatic mutant was PCR amplified from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomic DNA using the primers ExoS-top-ivrec (5'-TCCGATCCTAAGTAGAAGCCGCAAGCTTAGG-3') and ExoS-E379A+Ex81A-Top (5'-CTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-E379A+Ex81A-Top (5'-CTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-E379A+Ex81A-Top (5'-CTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3'). The different PCR products were subsequently recombinationally cloned into pYES2 (Invitrogen).

ExoS-R146K+Ex81A double mutant was PCR amplified from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomic DNA using the primers ExoS-top-ivrec (5'-TCCGATCCTAAGTAGAAGCCGCAAGCTTAGG-3') and ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3').

The different PCR products were subsequently recombinationally cloned into pYES2 (Invitrogen).

ExoS-R146K+Ex81A double mutant was PCR amplified from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomic DNA using the primers ExoS-top-ivrec (5'-TCCGATCCTAAGTAGAAGCCGCAAGCTTAGG-3') and ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoS-R146K-Top (5'-CCGGATCCACTAGTAACGGCCGCCAGTGTGCTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3').

The different PCR products were subsequently recombinationally cloned into pYES2 (Invitrogen).

ExoY-K81M enzymatic mutant was PCR amplified from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomic DNA using the primers ExoY-topivrec (5'-TCCGATCCTAAGTAGAAGCCGCAAGCTTAGG-3') and ExoY-K81M-Top (5'-CTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoY-K81M-Top (5'-CTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3') with ExoY-K81M-Top (5'-CTGTTCAATGCGGCCCTCTAGATGCATGCTCGAGC-3'). The different PCR products were subsequently recombinationally cloned into pYES2 (Invitrogen).

ExoA, ExoS and ExoY was PCR amplified from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomic DNA and recombinationally cloned into pDH105 using the oligonucleotides PA1148-pDH105ivrec-Top (5'-AGGCCAAGATTAACAGAGAAAGGCAAAGGGTTCTAGAAGTTTACCCGAGCTGACATTCGACGACCCCTATG-3') and PA1148-pDH105ivrec-Top (5'-AGGCCAAGATTAACAGAGAAAGGCAAAGGGTTCTAGAAGTTTACCCGAGCTGACATTCGACGACCCCTATG-3') and PA1148-pDH105ivrec-Top (5'-AGGCCAAGATTAACAGAGAAAGGCAAAGGGTTCTAGAAGTTTACCCGAGCTGACATTCGACGACCCCTATG-3').

Identification of Small Molecule Inhibitors

**Pseudomonas aeruginosa ORF Screening**

*S. cerevisiae* strain W303-1A harboring the plasmid pYES-DEST52 coding each of the 505 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01 drug targets was grown overnight in SD-Ura to maintain selection of the plasmid. Yeast culture was directly submitted to 3 steps of a ten fold dilution using the liquid handling robot Q-Bot (Genetix). The non-diluted and diluted cultures were then immediately inoculated in duplicate on solid medium containing either glucose-Ura (repressing conditions) or galactose-raffinose-Ura (inducing conditions). Plates were incubated at 30°C and monitored for yeast growth defect after 2 and 3 days. Growth was compared to the fitness of yeast harboring the empty vector and to the yeast harboring the toxic pRS316-TUB2 vector [58].

**Compound Screening**

The LOPAC library (1,280 compounds, Sigma-Aldrich), the SPECTRUM library (2,000 compounds, MicroSource Discovery Inc.) and a ChemDiv library (53,000 compounds) were screened at a final concentration of 50 μM. *S. cerevisiae* strain 14328-pdr1+pdr3 harboring the plasmid pDH105-exoA, pDH105-exoS or pDH105-exoY was grown overnight in SD -Leu to maintain selection of the plasmid and were diluted to a cell density of 5×10⁵ cells/ml. Addition of 0.9 mM (ExoA and ExoY) or 1.5 mM (ExoA) of CuSO₄ induced the expression of the toxin in yeast, the cultures were then aliquoted into wells of 96-well plates and compounds were added. Plates were incubated at 30°C and inspected for yeast growth recovery after 24 and 48 hours. As a control, cells containing the empty vector pDH105 were similarly grown, diluted and inoculated with copper and 0.5% DMSO.

**CHO Cells Toxicity Assay**

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells toxicity assay was performed as previously described with minor modifications [59]. CHO cells were routinely grown in F-12 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 mM glucose. Prior to infection, confluent CHO cells were washed and incubated with F-12 containing 1% FBS and 2 mM glucose. *P. aeruginosa* was grown overnight in LB, subcultured into fresh LB, and grown to mid-log phase. 2.5×10⁵ CHO cells per well were infected with *P. aeruginosa* at an initial multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 in duplicate. After 2 hours infection, CHO cells were harvested by trypsinization and resuspended in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution + 1% bovine serum albumin. Induced cell death was measured by flow cytometry after 7-AminoActinomycin D (10 μg/ml) staining, using a Beckman-Coulter EPICS Elite flow cytometer. Culture supernatants from a second duplicate of CHO cells were collected after 4 hours of infection and centrifuged for 10 min at 3,220 g and sediment bacteria and CHO cells. Lactose dehydrogenase (LDH) activity in the supernatant and CHO cells were assayed with a Roche LDH kit in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Percent LDH release was calculated relative to the uninstructed control, which was set at 0% LDH release, and that of cells lysed in absence of inhibitor, which was set at 100% LDH release.

**Protein Purifications**

Recombinant yeast FAS, human Ras, yeast Ras2p, yeast Bmh1p and Bmh2p were cloned into pEGX (GE Healthcare), transformed in *E. coli* BL21 and purified according to manufacturer’s instructions. Recombinant ExoS was purified by gel filtration and ion exchange chromatography as previously described [60].
In vitro ADPRT Enzymatic Assay

To monitor incorporation of ADP-ribose into yeast Ras2, 50 nM of purified ExoS was added to a 20 µl reaction mixtures containing 1 µM of Bmh1, 20 µM of yeast Ras2, 2 mM of MgCl2 and 200 mM of sodium acetate (pH 6.0). The reaction was initiated by adding 2 µl of ExoS radioactive substrate, nicotine-amine adenine [adenylate-32P] dinucleotide (32P-NAD) (1000 Ci/mmol, GE Healthcare). Reaction mixtures were incubated for 0 to 30 min at 30°C. The reaction was terminated with 2× Laemml sample buffer, resolved by a 15% SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by autoradiography using a Typhoon Trio Workstation (GE Healthcare). The auto-ADP-ribosylation of ExoS served as a positive control in each reaction and reaction missing FAS served as a negative control.

Kinetic Analysis of ExoS ADPRT Activity

The NAD+-dependent ADPRT assay of ExoS was performed at 30°C with ExoS at 50 nM in the presence of 1 µM of FAS and 20 µM of yeast Ras2 in 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 200 mM sodium acetate, pH 6.0 while the concentration of c-NAD+ varied between 0 and 75 µM. The reaction was initiated with the addition of 50 nM (final conc.) ExoS in an Ultravette (Brand Scientific) 70 µL cuvette and the transferase reaction was monitored by recording the time-dependent change in fluorescence intensity with a PTI AlphaScan-2 fluorimeter (PTI Inc., New Jersey) with 305 nm and 405 nm excitation and emission, respectively. The data were analyzed by nonlinear curve fitting using the Michaelis-Menten equation (OriginLab v6.1; Northampton, MA) and also by linear regression analysis of both the Hanes-Woolf and the Lineweaver-Burk (LB) plots. The Ki values were determined for various inhibitor compounds (1.4% DMSO, 20% CuSO4, 20% MgCl2, 20% MgO, 20% NaCl) and also by linear regression analysis of both the Hanes-Woolf and the Lineweaver-Burk (LB) plots. The Ki values were determined by nonlinear regression curve fitting using Origin 6.1 [51].

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Inhibitors reduce P. aeruginosa cytotoxic effect during CHO cell infection. CHO cells were infected by P. aeruginosa PAK or PA14 (MOI 10) as described in Figure 5A. Mean fluorescence of the total CHO cells was calculated for the inhibitors as represented in the histograms. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000005.s001 (101.02 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Exosin does not affect P. aeruginosa PAK viability. P. aeruginosa PAK overnight culture was inoculated at a cell density of 2.5×104 or 2.5×105 cells/ml, and grown in the presence of 20, 40 and 80 µM of inhibitor exosin. Growth curves were calculated for bacteria dividing in the presence of DMSO (control for growth), of the antibiotics Penicillin/Streptomycin (control for growth inhibition) or exosin over a period of 10 hours. These results are representative of 3 independent experiments. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000005.s002 (101.02 MB TIF)

Table S1 List of the tested P. aeruginosa essential genes (PA number) and tested P. aeruginosa virulence genes (PA number) Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000005.s003 (0.07 MB XLS)

Table S2 RAS superfamily and Cyclophilins Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000005.s004 (0.04 MB XLS)

Table S3 Yeast genes Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000005.s005 (0.03 MB XLS)
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