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Huntingtin facilitates polycomb repressive complex 2
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Huntington’s disease (HD) is caused by expansion of the polymorphic polyglutamine segment in the huntingtin protein. Full-length huntingtin is thought to be a predominant HEAT repeat α-solenoid, implying a role as a facilitator of macromolecular complexes. Here we have investigated huntingtin’s domain structure and potential intersection with epigenetic silencer polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), suggested by shared embryonic deficiency phenotypes. Analysis of a set of full-length recombinant huntingtins, with different polyglutamine regions, demonstrated dramatic conformational flexibility, with an accessible hinge separating two large α-helical domains. Moreover, embryos lacking huntingtin exhibited impaired PRC2 regulation of Hox gene expression, trophoblast giant cell differentiation, paternal X chromosome inactivation and histone H3K27 tri-methylation, while full-length endogenous nuclear huntingtin in wild-type embryoid bodies (EBs) was associated with PRC2 subunits and was detected with trimethylated histone H3K27 at Hoxb9. Supporting a direct stimulatory role, full-length recombinant huntingtin significantly increased the histone H3K27 tri-methylase activity of reconstituted PRC2 in vitro, and structure–function analysis demonstrated that the polyglutamine region augmented full-length huntingtin PRC2 stimulation, both in HdhQ111 EBs and in vitro, with reconstituted PRC2. Knowledge of full-length huntingtin’s α-helical organization and role as a facilitator of the multi-subunit PRC2 complex provides a novel starting point for studying PRC2 regulation, implicates this chromatin repressive complex in a neurodegenerative disorder and sets the stage for further study of huntingtin’s molecular function and the impact of its modulatory polyglutamine region.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1993, genetic studies identified the CAG trinucleotide repeat mutation that causes Huntington’s disease (HD) (1). This dominantly inherited disorder is characterized by loss of brain neurons, especially in the striatum, and the inexorable onset of motor, cognitive and behavioral symptoms (2). The HD mutation comprises expanded versions of a polymorphic CAG repeat that elongate a variable polyglutamine segment in the huntingtin protein, from the normal range (8–37 residues) to 38 or more residues (1). This polymorphic polyglutamine segment is thought to confer a subtle structural alteration and gain of huntingtin function (3,4). Indeed, genotype–phenotype studies have demonstrated that the polyglutamine region normally modulates huntingtin function in cellular energy metabolism (3,5,6) and the expression of expanded polyglutamine tracts within the endogenous huntingtin protein is associated with dominant phenotypes in model systems (7,8). However, while polyglutamine, alone or embedded in short polypeptides, exhibits striking physical properties (9,10), typically measured in aggregation assays (11), little is known of the molecular impact of the polyglutamine region on the structure and function of the full-length huntingtin protein, though this information is needed to fully understand huntingtin biology and the trigger of HD pathogenesis.

Full-length huntingtin is now thought to comprise a large α-helical solenoid (repeated units arranged in a continuous superhelix/coil) (12) rather than a globular protein, as its ∼3144 amino acid length was predicted to be entirely spanning by loosely conserved HEAT/HEAT-like repeats (13). Indeed HEAT repeats, curling anti-parallel α-helical units, were first recognized within huntingtin (14) and were named for huntingtin and for elongation factor 3 component eIF3k, protein phosphatase 2A regulatory subunit PR65/A and target of rapamycin TOR1 (14), though this structural element now defines a larger class of proteins (15). HEAT and HEAT-like repeats may augment other motifs (e.g. eIF3k and TOR1) or may encompass the entire protein (e.g. PR65/A and importin-β), stacking to confer dramatic conformational flexibility and multi-contact protein interaction topologies suited to the role of these α/α-solenoid molecules as facilitators of dynamic multi-subunit complexes. PR65/A, for example, facilitates diverse phosphatase holoenzymes involved in cell signaling and metabolism (16), while importin-β serves distinct nuclear transport complexes that engage a variety of cargos (17,18).

The emerging molecular view of huntingtin as a predominant HEAT/HEAT-like α-helical facilitator protein is supported by the results of studies of the full-length protein. For example, endogenous full-length murine huntingtin exhibited distinct subcellular epitope patterns, implying multiple alternate conformations (8,19), and the first circular dichroism spectra of recombinant full-length human huntingtin denoted a predominantly α-helical molecule (20). Moreover, while the specific players in most cases remain to be identified, huntingtin is thought to be multi-functional, participating in diverse subcellular processes ranging from vesicle trafficking to energy metabolism and gene transcription (21).

As an approach to defining huntingtin’s essential functional molecular interactions in a mammalian system, we, and others, are studying the consequences of targeted inactivation of the murine HD gene. Huntingtin was initially shown to be required in the extraembryonic tissue (22) to bypass a block early in embryonic development just before head-fold formation (23–25). Our subsequent analysis of huntingtin null embryos then revealed a constellation of other morphological and molecular phenotypes, including anterior streak and mesoderm patterning deficits, failure to properly silence growth (e.g. Nodal, Fig8) and transcription (e.g. Evx1, T) factor genes, that also were reminiscent of embryos deficient in polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), due to loss of core components Ezh2 (26), Suz12 (27) or Eed (28), thereby implying a possible intersection of huntingtin with this epigenetic silencer (29).

Here we have investigated the α-solenoid view of the HD protein, by determining the domain organization of a set of full-length recombinant human huntingtins and by utilizing these reagents, in conjunction with targeted mutations at the murine HD locus that probe the endogenous protein, to examine the specific hypothesis that huntingtin may assist PRC2. The results of our analysis nominate huntingtin as a novel α-solenoid stimulator of this multi-subunit histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27) methyltransferase complex (30–33).

RESULTS

Recombinant full-length huntingtin hinged α-helical domain structure

To determine whether full-length huntingtin might fulfill the flexible segmental organization of an α/α-solenoid protein, we analyzed a set of full-length (>3144 residues) recombinant human FLAG-tag huntingtins, with polyglutamine tracts of 23, 32 or 43 residues. The proteins were expressed in Sf9 insect cells from Baculovirus vectors, generated as described in Materials and Methods, and, though large, each recombinant protein was enriched by column chromatography from Sf9 insect cell extracts (Materials and Methods), yielding a sharp elution peak (see Materials and Methods, Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). As illustrated for Q23-huntingtin (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1), the enriched protein was full-length (∼350 kDa) and of high purity, yielding a single Coomassie blue stained band that immunoblot revealed was detected by N- and C-terminal huntingtin antibodies.

Analysis of the recombinant protein by circular dichroism produced spectra that confirmed a predominant α-helical structure (data not shown), as previously reported (20). To investigate the protein’s overall domain organization, FLAG-tag huntingtin was examined by negative stain electron microscopy (EM). Analysis of about 10 000 interactively selected Q23-huntingtin particles revealed 100 structurally distinguishable classes (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2A), illustrated by the representative class averages shown in Figure 1A. These structural classes were also similar for Q32 huntingtin and Q43 huntingtin (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2B), revealing that the polyglutamine region did not overtly alter huntingtin’s remarkable conformational variability. Consistent with a flexible segmental α-helical domain organization, limited tryptic digestion of the recombinant protein readily yielded two large domains (∼150 kDa and
Impaired PRC2 function in the absence of huntingtin

The hypothesis that huntingtin may facilitate PRC2 was first explored in vivo by examining huntingtin null Hdh<sup>ex2;5</sup> homozygote embryos and cultured EBs for molecular epigenetic phenotypes reported in PRC2-deficient embryos (26–28, 36). Though Hdh<sup>ex2;5</sup> homozygote embryos at embryonic stage E7.5 have previously been shown to properly localize stage-appropriate markers such as Otx2, Hnf3β and Hesx1 (29), the results of whole mount in situ hybridization, in Figure 2A, revealed that huntingtin null embryos failed to properly repress PRC2 regulated Hox gene expression, as evidenced by ectopic Hoxb1, Hoxb2 and Hoxb9 mRNA. Moreover, female huntingtin null embryos exhibited decreased differentiation of trophoblast giant cells, marked by PL-1 mRNA expression, which normally requires proper obligatory silencing of the paternally inherited X chromosome. Indeed, in the absence of huntingtin, female embryos inheriting a paternally transmitted X chromosome marked by a GFP-transgene, displayed inappropriate reactivation of GFP-signal in cells of

~200 kDa fragments) (Fig. 1B) and mass spectrometry (Supplementary Material, Tables S1 and S2) located a major accessible hinge region between residues 1184–1254. Notably, the smaller fragments produced by continued digestion (Fig. 1B) revealed that the extreme N-terminus, with its polyglutamine segment, was buried within the 150 kDa domain, on an initially inaccessible ~60 kDa subdomain. This was consistent with the results of CHOP, for structural domains (34, 35), which predicted residues 124–971 in a super-helical architecture, with significant similarity (2.5e<sup>−20</sup>) to PR65/A (PDB I.D. 1b3u).

Multiple sequence alignment of eight representative chordate huntingtins (sea squirt to human) (see Materials and Methods), summarized in Figure 1C and the phylogram in Figure 1D, revealed that the conservation of the unstructured hinge segment and pattern of α-helical structure were conserved through 500 million years of evolution, strongly implying that huntingtin’s overall modular organization and conformational flexibility are critical for its biological function.
the extra-embryonic tissue, though random X chromosome inactivation in the embryo proper appeared to be normal. Investigation of histone H3K27 methylation in Hdhex4/5 (KO) huntingtin null embryos was not properly silenced and restricted to the posterior, as observed for wild-type Hdh+/Hdh+ (WT) embryos (top). Decreased placental lactogen (PL-1) mRNA signal (purple) in bisected decidua revealed fewer giant trophoblast cells in female embryos lacking huntingtin (KO), compared with null male (KO) or wild-type (WT) embryos of either gender (bottom). Female embryos, at the indicated developmental stages (ectoplacental cone, Reichert’s membrane removed), show aberrant Xp GFP-transgene reactivation in the Hdhex4/5/Hdhex4/5 (KO) extraembryonic tissue (Exem), compared with Hdh+/Hdh+ (WT) tissue, while random inactivation in the embryo proper (Em) appeared normal (right). (B) Confocal images of immunostained sections of day 4 Hdh+/Hdh+ (WT) and Hdh4/5/Hdh4/5 (KO) huntingtin null EBs revealed decreased histone H3K27me3 signal in the latter, whereas knock-in Hdh+/HdhQ111 (KI) EBs, expressing huntingtin with a 111 residue polyglutamine segment exhibit increased histone H3K27me3 signal. Immunoblot of nuclear extracts and plot of histone H3K27me3/H3 band intensity ratio, normalized to wild-type (white bar), confirmed significantly (P < 0.016) decreased histone H3K27me3 in Hdhex4/5/Hdhex4/5 (KO) extracts (black bar) and significantly (P < 0.044) increased histone H3K27me3 in knock-in Hdh+/HdhQ111 (KI) extract (grey bar), compared with wild-type (n = 4).

Figure 2. Huntingtin stimulated PRC2 during development. (A) Whole mount in situ hybridization Hoxb1, Hoxb2, Hoxb9 mRNA expression in E7.5 Hdh+/Hdh+ (KO) huntingtin null embryos was not properly silenced and restricted to the posterior, as observed for wild-type Hdh+/Hdh+ (WT) embryos (top). Decreased placental lactogen (PL-1) mRNA signal (purple) in bisected decidua revealed fewer giant trophoblast cells in female embryos lacking huntingtin (KO), compared with null male (KO) or wild-type (WT) embryos of either gender (bottom). Female embryos, at the indicated developmental stages (ectoplacental cone, Reichert’s membrane removed), show aberrant Xp GFP-transgene reactivation in the Hdhex4/5/Hdhex4/5 (KO) extraembryonic tissue (Exem), compared with Hdh+/Hdh+ (WT) tissue, while random inactivation in the embryo proper (Em) appeared normal (right). (B) Confocal images of immunostained sections of day 4 Hdh+/Hdh+ (WT) and Hdh4/5/Hdh4/5 (KO) huntingtin null EBs revealed decreased histone H3K27me3 signal in the latter, whereas knock-in Hdh+/HdhQ111 (KI) EBs, expressing huntingtin with a 111 residue polyglutamine segment exhibit increased histone H3K27me3 signal. Immunoblot of nuclear extracts and plot of histone H3K27me3/H3 band intensity ratio, normalized to wild-type (white bar), confirmed significantly (P < 0.016) decreased histone H3K27me3 in Hdhex4/5/Hdhex4/5 (KO) extracts (black bar) and significantly (P < 0.044) increased histone H3K27me3 in knock-in Hdh+/HdhQ111 (KI) extract (grey bar), compared with wild-type (n = 4).
Full-length huntingtin stimulated PRC2 tri-methyltransferase activity

Developing day 4 EBs were chosen as a system amenable to biochemical analysis, to evaluate the possibility that full-length huntingtin might intersect with PRC2 in the nucleus. Full-length huntingtin was detected by immunoblot analysis in nuclear, as well as cytoplasmic extracts (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3C), and antibody reagent AP194, which immunostained nuclear though not cytoplasmic conformations of full-length huntingtin (8), revealed huntingtin in the nuclei of cells in all three germ-layers, with Ezh2-stain, especially in the outermost endodermal cells (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, the results of analysis of nuclear extracts by gel filtration chromatography demonstrated that full-length huntingtin was co-eluted with PRC2 subunits Ezh2 and Suz12 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3D). Analysis by co-immunoprecipitation, with specific antibody reagents, yielded a proportion of full-length huntingtin, with Ezh2 and Suz12, as revealed by immunoblot analysis of the precipitated proteins shown in Figure 3B. In addition, as summarized in Figure 3C, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), with anti-huntingtin or anti-histone H3K27me3, enriched Hoxb9 sequences from wild-type, though not from huntingtin null day 4 EB nuclei, thereby placing huntingtin at Hoxb9 chromatin in wild-type cells and supporting a functional role for huntingtin in stimulating histone H3K27 trimethylation.

This interpretation was confirmed by the results of in vitro experiments, to determine whether recombinant full-length human huntingtin would interact with and alter the histone H3K27 methyltransferase activity of reconstituted PRC2 in a previously reported in vitro assay (30–33) (see Materials and Methods). As shown in the immunoblot in Figure 4A, full-length FLAG-tag Q23 huntingtin added to recombinant PRC2 was co-immunoprecipitated with Ezh2 and Suz12, and, as illustrated in Figure 4B, the recombinant protein significantly increased PRC2-specific histone H3K27 methylation, as judged by the intensity of bands of incorporated tritium. The stimulatory effect of full-length huntingtin, compared with reactions without huntingtin or with control peptides, was observed over a range of huntingtin (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4A) and nucleosomal array (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4B) concentrations. Furthermore, consistent with the finding that huntingtin was needed to stimulate tri- but not di-methylation of histone H3K27 in vivo (Fig. 2B, Supplementary Material, Fig. S3B), the results of immunoblot analysis of the in vitro PRC2 reaction products demonstrated that recombinant huntingtin specifically enhanced histone H3K27 tri-methylation but not di-methylation (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4C).

The polyglutamine region modulated huntingtin PRC2 stimulation

Functional interactions involving globular proteins are typically validated by structure–function experiments that entail targeted disruption of a single point-to-point protein interaction motif. However, consistent with previous evidence of
EBs, the cells of pared with wild-type EBs, and in contrast to huntingtin-null huntingtin and tri-methylated histone H3K27 at di-methylated H3K27 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3B). Immunoblot analysis, as shown in Figure 2B, though not of tri-methylated histone H3K27, by immunostaining and shown in Figure 4C, in the summarized in Figure 3C. Consistent with these findings, as mapped by the methods that determine the sites of docking-interactions between globular proteins (37).

Therefore, in the absence of detailed knowledge of huntingtin’s likely complex interactions with PRC2, and perhaps its chromatin substrate, we assessed the potential impact of huntingtin’s only known naturally occurring functional polymorphism. The polyglutamine region has been shown to subtly but significantly modulate the consequences of endogenous full-length murine and human huntingtin in vivo (5,6). Moreover, our analysis of recombinant full-length huntingtins demonstrated that the polymorphism, even into the expanded HD range, did not overtly alter the protein’s overall structure (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2), consistent with the finding that this modulatory region did not impair (38) and indeed was not needed for huntingtin’s early developmental activity (5).

The potential effect of the polyglutamine region on PRC2 activity was first assessed by analysis of day 4 EBs expressing endogenous murine huntingtin with 111-glutamines, from the previously described HdhQ111 knock-in allele (19,39). Compared with wild-type EBs, and in contrast to huntingtin-null EBs, the cells of HdhQ111/Q7 EBs exhibited elevated levels of tri-methylated histone H3K27, by immunostaining and immunoblot analysis, as shown in Figure 2B, though not di-methylated H3K27 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3B). Moreover, ChIP analysis revealed increased enrichment of huntingtin and tri-methylated histone H3K27 at Hoxb9, as summarized in Figure 3C. Consistent with these findings, as shown in Figure 4C, in the in vitro assay with reconstituted PRC2, full-length recombinant human proteins with polyglutamine segments longer than 23-residues (32- and 43-residues) progressively increased huntingtin stimulation of histone H3K27 methylation. Thus, in both the cell-based and the molecularly-defined structure–function experiments, the impact of the polyglutamine modulatory region confirmed huntingtin’s role in facilitating the PRC2 histone H3K27 methyltransferase complex.

DISCUSSION

The expansion of the polymorphic polyglutamine region in the huntingtin HEAT repeat protein is the root genetic cause of HD pathogenesis. Despite this compelling reason, and though this ancient protein is of interest because it is the founding member of a growing class of HEAT repeat proteins, huntingtin’s molecular organization and function have attracted relatively little attention. The results of our genetic structure–function experiments extend the single report of native huntingtin’s predominant α-helical nature (20), by demonstrating features consistent with a flexible α-solenoid organization and by providing strong empirical support for huntingtin as a dynamic facilitator of at least one multifunctional macromolecular complex, the PRC2 methyltransferase.

Probing the structural organization of full-length native recombinant human huntingtin by circular dichroism spectroscopy, we confirmed the protein’s previously reported predominant α-helical nature (20). However, in contrast to that report, our purification strategy yielded a sharp chromatographic peak comprising only full-length huntingtin, without the reported ~220 kDa piece of huntingtin (starting at residue 622), which we speculate may have arisen from proteolysis of partially denatured protein produced by the harsher purification conditions utilized in that study. Limited proteolysis of the native full-length recombinant huntingtin initially yielded two major products, an N-terminal
~150 kDa segment (ending at residue 1184) and an ~200 kDa C-terminal segment (starting at residue 1254), implying a domain organization comprising two nearly equal sized α-helical arms, separated by an accessible hinge region. Continued digestion of these domains yielded additional bands, including an ~60 kDa N-terminal fragment bearing the polyglutamine region that implied cleavage within an unstructured region located at ~residue 500. Thus, though it may be susceptible to proteolytic cleavage when huntingtin is denatured, this sub-domain was buried within the 150 kDa N-terminal arm of the native protein, likely because this domain may assume a super-helical structure resembling the PR65/A α-solenoid (PDB ID, 1b3u).

The segmental α-helical domain organization and the conformational flexibility of full-length huntingtin, revealed by negative stain EM, are general structural features expected of a predominant HEAT repeat α/α-solenoid protein. However, high-resolution analysis will be needed to prove the continuous helical structure of the molecule. Indeed, though the elongated and often curved shapes formed by stacking of adjacent HEAT and HEAT-like repeats are similar for different HEAT repeat proteins, the precise contours are determined by the amino acid sequences of these degenerate structural elements. To date, crystal structures for the first 60 amino acids of huntingtin (encoded by exon 1) have been analyzed, directly demonstrating the α-helical secondary structure of the first 17 amino acids, the structural variability of the abutting 17 residue polyglutamine segment and helical arrangement of the adjacent polyproline rich segment (40). Knowledge of the domain organization of native huntingtin, which was not accurately predicted using various pieces of the protein (41), should now spur efforts to determine the higher-order structure of the functional protein.

In support of huntingtin’s role as a facilitator, implied by its predominant HEAT domain structure, the lack of huntingtin led to impaired PRC2 epigenetic gene and chromatin silencing function in embryos and impaired reestablishment of global histone H3K27 tri-methylation in developing EBs, whereas full-length recombinant human huntingtin specifically stimulated the tri-methyltransferase activity of reconstituted PRC2. Furthermore, as implied by co-immunoprecipitation of the full-length endogenous and recombinant proteins with core PRC2 members, huntingtin’s direct role in stimulating PRC2, in vivo and in vitro, was confirmed by the progressive effect of huntingtin’s polymorphic polyglutamine region, previously recognized as a modulatory segment of full-length endogenous murine (5) and human (6) huntingtin.

Though our data reveal that huntingtin’s role in facilitating PRC2 tri-methyltransferase activity is important for normal murine embryonic development, the timing and duration of this interaction, as well as the subset of PRC2-target genes that may, like Hoxb9, be modulated, are areas that remain to be investigated. Though it is not clear exactly how huntingtin may interact with PRC2 and/or its nucleosomal histone substrate, it is unlikely to stimulate PRC2 in the same manner as PHF1, a recently reported globular PRC2 accessory protein that is thought to contact the Ezh2 catalytic subunit via its PHD finger domains (42,43). Indeed, as discussed earlier, full-length huntingtin’s proposed α-helical solenoid structure promises to offer a novel mode of PRC2 regulation.

It seems reasonable, from the striking alternate sub-cellular epitope patterns of the full-length endogenous protein, that this will entail dramatic conformational switches and complex contacts along the topological contours formed by stacking of the protein’s adjacent HEAT/HEAT-like repeats, as reported for other predominant α-solenoid proteins (16–18). The availability of a system for purifying native full-length recombinant huntingtin, and empirical knowledge of its domain organization, should now enable high-resolution structural studies to determine the details of huntingtin’s functional molecular interactions with PRC2/chromatin, including the potential structural role of the modulatory polyglutamine region.

In summary, the proposal that full-length huntingtin comprises a large hinged α-helical solenoid, which serves as a facilitator of the PRC2 complex, now provides a nuanced view of the molecule and its polymorphic polyglutamine region, thereby setting the stage for defining other functional complexes that full-length huntingtin may assist. Furthermore, it provides novel starting points for understanding the in vivo regulation of mammalian PRC2 and suggests that enhanced activity of this epigenetic regulator merits investigation as a potential contributor to HD neurodegeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human FLAG-huntingtin insect vector expression clones

pFASTBAC1 vector (Invitrogen), with a FLAG-tag sequence adjacent to the unique BamHI site, was cleaved and a 10 kb Eagl–BissIII fragment encoding human huntingtin (23 glutamines) (Genbank accession number L12392) was inserted. pFASTFLAGHttQ23 encodes FLAG-tag-KGERGAASRPEAGS RCMPRAEGETA peptide in frame with the 3144 amino acid huntingtin sequence (FLAG-Q23 huntingtin), pALHDQ32, pALHDQ33 encoding full-length human FLA G-Q32 and -Q43 huntingtins, respectively, were generated in pFASTBAC1, modified to insert a polylinker containing FLAG, 6X hisidine tag sequence and TEV protease recognition site, between the unique BamHI–KpnI sites. Ncol–Xhol HD cDNA fragments, encoding huntingtin amino acids 1–171 with different size polyglutamine tracts (Q32, Q43) (10,44) were inserted between the unique Ncol and Xhol sites, followed by in frame insertion of a 9046 bp human HD cDNA Xhol–SacII fragment, encoding human amino acids 172–3144 (10,44). All clones were verified by full DNA sequence analysis.

FLAG-huntingtin purification

FLAG-tag huntingtin was expressed from pFASTFLAGHttQ23 in the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression system (Invitrogen). The SF9 cell lysate, generated by freeze/thawing in buffer A (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol and complete protease inhibitors), was spun at 15 000 rpm (2 h). The supernatant was incubated with M2 anti-FLAG beads (Sigma) (2 h, 4°C). FLAG-huntingtin was eluted with buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol) containing 0.4 mg/ml FLAG peptide and loaded onto a calibrated Superose 6™
10/300 column, equilibrated with 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl. FLAG-huntingtin eluted discretely and was estimated to be at least 90% pure by Coomassie staining. Recombinant FLAG-Q32 and -Q43 huntingtins were purified in exactly the same manner. Comparisons of huntingtins with different polyglutamine sizes were performed with an equal amount of each protein, as judged by Bio-Rad DC protein assay and R-250 Coomassie blue staining of bands on 6% SDS–PAGE, which controlled for potential differences in purity and confirmed equal amounts of protein. The molarity for all huntingtins was calculated using a molecular weight of 350 kDa deduced from the human cDNA sequence (GenBank accession number L12392).

**EM and image processing**

Samples were prepared by negative staining with 0.75% (w/v) uranyl formate as described previously (45). Images were collected with a Tecnai T12 electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR) equipped with a LaB6 filament and operated at an acceleration voltage of 120 kV. Images were recorded on imaging plates at a nominal magnification of 67 000x and a defocus value of −1.5 μm using low-dose procedures. Imaging plates were read out with a Ditabis micron imaging plate scanner (DITABIS Digital Biomedical Imaging System AG, Pforzheim, Germany) using a step size of 15 μm, a gain setting of 20 000 and a laser power setting of 30%. 2 × 2 pixels were averaged to yield a pixel size of 4.5 Å on the specimen level. Using BOXER display (EMAN software package) (46), 10 061 particles interactively selected from 53 images were windowed into 64 × 64 pixel images using the SPIDER software package (47), which was also used for all other image processing procedures. The particles were rotationally and translationally aligned and subjected to 10 cycles of multireference alignment, with K-means classification, specifying 100 output classes, after each round. The references of multireference alignment were randomly selected and translationally aligned and subjected to 10 cycles of K-means classification database, to identify potential structural domain homologues. FoldIndex was also used to predict unfolded human huntingtin structure (54).

**Huntingtin structure prediction and evolutionary conservation**

Human huntingtin amino acid sequence (Homo sapiens; NP_002102) was analyzed for predicted secondary structure using: NORSp (48) and PROF (Profile network prediction HeiDelberg) (49) from the PredictProtein server (http://www.predictprotein.org/) (50). Huntingtin orthologues for the HeiDelberg) (49) from the PredictProtein server (http://www.predictprotein.org/) (50). Huntingtin orthologues for the human huntingtin (Hdhex4/5) and chick (Hdh) were calculated for predicted secondary structure, with searches of the CATH protein structure classification database, to identify potential structural domain homologues. FoldIndex was also used to predict unfolded human huntingtin structure (54).

**Mice and embryos**

Wild-type and Hdh<sup>ex4/5/Hdh<sup>ex4/5</sup> embryos were obtained from timed matings of Hdh<sup>ex4/5/Hdh<sup>+</sup></sup> heterozygote mice and genotyped by PCR assay as described (23). The day of plug was defined as E0.5. GFP X chromosome transgene mice were from The Jackson Laboratory (strain 003116).

**In situ hybridization**

Dissected embryos and decidua were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C brought through a sucrose gradient (15% sucrose, 30% sucrose), embedded in OCT and sectioned at 10 μm. RNA in situ hybridizations, performed as reported (55), were with antisense PL-1 probe synthesized with Sp6 and anti-sense Hox probes generated as described previously (56).

**Embryonic stem cell and EB tissue culture**

Wild-type and huntingtin null Hdh<sup>ex4/5/Hdh<sup>ex4/5</sup></sup> ES cells, reported previously (23), as well as Hdh CAG knock-in Hdh<sup>+</sup>/Hdh<sup>Q111</sup> ES cells (19) were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in ESCM medium [DMEM, 15% FBS, penicillin streptomycin, glutamine, non-essential amino acids, 0.7% β-mercaptoethanol, 10<sup>6</sup> U/l ESGRO (Chemicon-Millipore)]. EB formation was in ESCM without ESGRO (LIF) on untreated polystyrene plates.

**EB immunocytochemistry**

EBs were fixed, embedded and sectioned as earlier. PBS washed sections, blocked with 10% goat serum in PBST (0.1% Triton) were incubated with primary antibody (overnight, 4°C) in PBST/0.1% goat serum. Secondary antibody was in PBST/0.1% goat serum. Mounting was in Vectashield containing DAPI (Vector labs). Primary antibodies: tri-methyl histone H3K27 (Upstate Biotechnology, Inc.), di-methyl histone H3K27 (Abcam), EzH2 (BD Transduction labs) and huntingtin AP194 (Dr A. Sharp).
Immunoblots

Proteins were transferred from SDS–PAGE to nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell), blocked in 10% non-fat powered milk in TBS-T (50 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween 20). Primary antibody incubation was overnight (4°C). Incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) was 1 h (room temperature). Signal was detected by ECL chemiluminescence (PerkinElmer) with autoradiographic film (Hyperfilm ECL; Amersham Bioscience). Additional primary antibodies were: huntingtin mAb2166 (Chemicon-Millipore), Suz12 (Upstate Biotechnology, Inc.), polyglutamine mAb 1F8 (10), histone H3 (Abcam), α-tubulin (Sigma) and fibrillarin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Subcellular fractionation

Subcellular fractions were prepared as reported (57). Washed cell pellets were homogenized in buffer [20 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF and complete protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science)], using 10 strokes with a glass Dounce pestle. Supernatant from the 1000 g spin (10 min, 4°C) was the cytoplasmic fraction. Nuclear extract was generated from the pellet by resuspending in ELB buffer (250 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and complete protease inhibitors), sonicating (3 times, 10 s pulses), and saving the supernatant of a 14 000 g spin (10 min, 4°C). Protein concentration for this experiment and all others was measured with Bio-Rad DC (Detergent Compatible) (Bio-Rad).

Immunoprecipitation

Immunoprecipitation assays entailed: (i) incubation (RT for 2 h) of nuclear lysate (500 μg) and mAb2166 or control IgG from mouse serum (Sigma) or (ii) incubation (at 37°C for 2 h) of purified PRC2 complex (2.5 μg) and FLAG-huntingtin (1.25 μg) with mAb2166 or anti-Ezh2. Protein A Sepharose beads (Roche) were added for 1 h (4°C), washed (8 times) in ELB plus (250 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 50 mM HEPES, 5 mM EDTA) and boiled for 5 min in reducing SDS sample buffer to remove the complexes from the beads.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation and quantitative PCR

ChIP assays were performed using the Agilent mammalian ChIP-on-chip protocol as specified by the manufacturer (Agilent Technologies), except for huntingtin immunoprecipitation, where mAb 2166 was incubated with chromatin for 2 h at room temperature, to reduce non-specific background. Antibodies were anti-histone H3K27me3 (Abcam ab6002) antibody, anti-huntingtin (Millipore mAb 2166) and control IgG (Sigma).

Purified input of chromatin (25 ng) or immunoprecipitated (IP) DNA (100 ng) from ChIP were used as a template in 50 μl reactions containing 25 μl of 2X SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and 10 pmol of each primer. PCR reactions were performed with iCycler thermal cycler (Bio-Rad) and as follows: 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 54°C for 15 s and 72°C for 15 s. All PCRs were performed in triplicate and threshold amplification cycle numbers (Ct) using iCycler software were used to calculate IP DNA quantities as percentages of corresponding inputs using the following equation: IP DNA as a percentage of input = \( \frac{2^{(\text{Ct}_{\text{IP}}) - \text{Ct}_{\text{input}}}}{1} \times 100 \). Statistics were analyzed using Student’s t-test. Hox B9 promoter primer sequences for q-PCR were: left primer 5'-TGCCCTTATGAGCAGCTAT AA-3' and right primer 5'-GGCTCTTCTGATCCTTTT-3'.

Acid precipitation of histones

Cells were lysed in 5–10 volumes of lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl), hydrochloric acid was added to 0.2 M final concentration, incubated (30 min, ice) and the extract spun at 11 000 g (10 min, 4°C). The supernatant was dialyzed twice against 20 volumes of 0.1 M acetic acid (1 h) and then dialyzed three times against 20 volumes of water. The acid precipitated proteins were loaded on 12% SDS–PAGE gel for immunoblot.

Size exclusion chromatography fractionation

Day 4 EB nuclear lysates were subjected to size exclusion chromatography on a pre-calibrated (with size standards listed in legend) Superose-6™ HR 16/60 column, equilibrated with 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5 containing 1 mM MgCl2, 150 mM NaCl and 0.5 mM DTT. Fractions (1.5 ml) were collected. Blue Dextran was used as void volume marker and was eluted at fraction number 26.

Cell-free assay for PRC2 histone H3 methyltransferase activity

Pre-assembled human PRC2 complex, FLAG-EED, EZH2, SUZ12 and RbAp48 proteins, was purified, from Sf9 cells co-infected with the cognate pFastBac1 constructs, by M2 anti-FLAG bead affinity column and Superose 6™ gel filtration chromatography (equilibrated with 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 10% glycerol). The molarity was calculated using a MW of 270 kDa, which assumes one copy of each subunit per complex. The G5E4 nucleosomal array was assembled with Xenopus recombinant histones and G5E4 DNA fragments containing 12 nucleosomal positioning sequences, as reported (58,59).

The reconstituted PRC2 activity assay was optimized from a previous method (33). A reaction mixture of 15 μl comprised: 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.3, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 μM 3H-SAM, 4 nM PRC2 and 0.025–0.1 μM nucleosomal array. Incubations were at 30°C for 30 min, unless otherwise stated. For comparison of huntingtin’s with different polyglutamine tracts, reactions were performed at 30°C with 2 nM of each protein for 30 min. Sample buffer was added to stop the reactions, which were subjected to 12% SDS–PAGE, transferred to Immobilon-P™ membrane (Millipore), and exposed to phosphorimager screen. The 3H-H3K27 bands detected with Typhoon (ImageQuant as software, GE Healthcare Life Science) were quantified with Quantity One software.
(Bio-Rad). For the scaled up cold assay, a 60 μl reaction included: 100 nm Tris–HCl pH 8.3, 1 mm DTT, 2 μM SAM, 50 mm PRC2 and 0.1 μM nucleosomal array, with or without 40 nm FLAG-huntingtin (4 h, 30℃). Bands on immunoblots were scanned with GS-800 Calibrated Densitometer (Bio-Rad). All values were expressed as mean ± 1 SD. The statistical significance was determined by Student’s t-test.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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