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Abstract

Integrating conjugative elements (ICEs) are a class of bacterial mobile genetic elements that disseminate via conjugation and then integrate into the host cell genome. The SXT/R391 family of ICEs consists of more than 30 different elements that all share the same integration site in the host chromosome but often encode distinct properties. These elements contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance genes in several gram-negative bacteria including Vibrio cholerae, the agent of cholera. Here, using comparative analyses of the genomes of several SXT/R391 ICEs, we found evidence that the genomes of these elements have been shaped by inter-ICE recombination. We developed a high throughput semi-quantitative method to explore the genetic determinants involved in hybrid ICE formation. Recombinant ICE formation proved to be relatively frequent, and to depend on host (recA) and ICE (s065 and s066) loci, which can independently and potentially cooperatively mediate hybrid ICE formation. s065 and s066, which are found in all SXT/R391 ICEs, are orthologues of the bacteriophage λ. Red recombination genes bet and exo, and the s065/s066 recombination system is the first Red-like recombination pathway to be described in a conjugative element. Neither ICE excision nor conjugative transfer proved to be essential for generation of hybrid ICEs. Instead conjugation facilitates the segregation of hybrids and could provide a means to select for functional recombinant ICEs containing novel combinations of genes conferring resistance to antibiotics. Thus, ICEs promote their own diversity and can yield novel mobile elements capable of disseminating new combinations of antibiotic resistance genes.
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Introduction

Mobile genetic elements, including bacteriophages, conjugative plasmids and integrating conjugative elements (ICEs), are key mediators of bacterial genome evolution [1]. These elements can rapidly spread in bacterial populations and often confer to host bacteria selectable traits that are advantageous in particular environments or enable adaptation to new ecological niches. Transfer of ICEs and plasmids from donor to recipient bacteria occurs via conjugation, a process that requires direct cell-to-cell contact [2,3]. Conjugative transmission of ICEs and plasmids has limited the clinical usefulness of many antibiotics, since these mobile elements are potent vectors for dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial populations [2,4–7].

ICEs integrate into and replicate along with the host cell chromosome, whereas plasmids exist as extra-chromosomal (usually circular) autonomously replicating DNA molecules. ICEs can excise from the donor cell chromosome and form circular molecules that are thought to be the substrates for the conjugative machinery. Similar to most conjugative plasmids [8], ICE conjugative DNA transfer is thought to be initiated at a specific cis-acting site (oriT) required for efficient translocation of the DNA to the recipient cell through the mating bridge. Within the recipient cell, host enzymes are thought to convert the translocated single-stranded DNA into double-stranded DNA that is circularized. An element-encoded recombinase (integrase) enables the integration of the ICE into the chromosome of the new host [2,9–11].

ICEs are widespread among diverse taxonomic groups of bacterial species and are able to transfer between genetically unrelated bacteria [5,10–12]. The SXT/R391 family of ICEs, which is one of the largest and most diverse set of ICEs studied, includes elements that have been detected in clinical and environmental isolates of several species of γ-proteobacteria from four continents over the past 40 years [13–20]. In Asia and Africa, this family of ICEs has played an important role in the spread of genes conferring resistance to multiple antibiotics in Vibrio cholerae, the causative agent of cholera [17,19,21–23]. Currently, nearly all isolates of V. cholerae from cholera patients from these two continents harbor SXT, a prototypical member of the SXT/R391 family originally isolated from a 1992 Indian V. cholerae O139 isolate, or a closely related ICE [17–19,24–26].

The ICEs of the SXT/R391 family are grouped together because they all encode a highly conserved integrase (Int) that mediates the elements’ site-specific integration into the host genome in the 5’ end of pPF, a conserved gene encoding the peptide chain release factor RF3 [27]. Based on knowledge of the
Author Summary

Integrating and conjugative elements (ICEs) are a class of mobile elements found in diverse bacteria. ICEs of the SXT/R391 family have enabled the dissemination of genes conferring resistance to antibiotics among several important pathogens, including *Vibrio cholerae*, the agent of cholera. Here, using comparative analyses of the genomes of several SXT/R391 ICEs, we found that these elements are mosaics that have been shaped by inter-ICE recombination. We developed a plate-based method for semi-quantitative analyses of the genetic requirements for hybrid ICE formation. We discovered that hybrids form at relatively high frequencies and that both host and ICE genes can function independently and potentially cooperatively to mediate hybrid formation. The ICE-encoded recombination genes, which are found in all SXT/R391 ICEs, are related to genes that mediate recombination in bacteriophages, but have not been described previously in conjugative elements. Conjugative ICE transfer was not required for hybrid ICE formation but facilitates the segregation of hybrids. Thus, ICEs promote their own diversity and the generation of recombinant ICEs can yield novel mobile elements capable of disseminating new combinations of antibiotic resistance genes.

The ~100-kb genomes of several SXT/R391 ICEs [15,28–31], in addition to the conserved integrase gene (int), these elements all contain a conserved set of ~24 genes that mediate their common functions that include: excision/integration, conjugative transfer and regulation [5]. Distinct variable regions that confer element-specific phenotypes, such as synthesis of the second messenger c-di-GMP or resistance to antibiotics or heavy metals are interspersed within this conserved and syntenous SXT/R391 backbone (see Figure 1A) [5,15,22,30,32].

In some cases, SXT/R391 ICEs do not exclude one another and can be present in the same host [33–35], providing the opportunity for the generation of recombinant ICEs. For example, R391, the other prototypical member of the SXT/R391 family, which was originally derived from a 1967 South African *Providencia rettgeri* isolate, and SXT can reside together in the same host [33]. A cell that contains one of these two ICEs can acquire a copy of the other ICE, yielding tandem arrangements of SXT and R391 in the host chromosome [33]. Tandem repeat structures are often excellent substrates for recombination [36] and exconjugants derived from donor strains containing such tandem arrays sometimes contain hybrid ICEs with genes from both R391 and SXT [37].

The molecular mechanisms that enable the formation of hybrid ICEs, which may contain novel combinations of genes conferring resistance to antibiotics, have not been addressed. However, two genes, s065 and s066, which are highly conserved (≥96% identity) among all known SXT/R391 ICEs could contribute to the formation of hybrid ICEs. These genes encode proteins that are similar to the recombinase Bet (71% similarity and 55% identity) and the double-strand specific 5’ to 3’ exonuclease Exo (38% similarity and 26% identity) that are encoded by the temperate bacteriophage λ and several other phages [38] (Figure 1C). In λ, Bet and Exo, along with the Gam protein constitute an efficient recA-independent recombination system known as Red. Classic studies by Stahl and colleagues revealed many of the key features of the λ Red recombination system. They showed that efficient Red-mediated homologous recombination between λ chromosomes was almost entirely dependent on DNA replication [39], which generates a significant population of λ DNA with double-stranded breaks that serve as substrates for Red. Using replication-blocked crosses of phage λ chromosomes containing a single double-stranded cut, Stahl et al proposed that λ Red mediates recombination by a strand annealing mechanism [40]. Red Exo degrades 5’ ends of linear double-stranded DNA, creating 3’ single-stranded overhangs that can serve as templates for Red Bet to pair with complementary single-stranded DNA targets [41]. Red Gam (for which there is no SXT-encoded homologue) inactivates the *E. coli* exonuclease V (RecBCD), thereby protecting the ends of linear double-stranded DNA from degradation [41,42]. Besides providing significant amounts of double-stranded breaks, replication also provides a single-stranded DNA target for strand annealing on the lagging strand that is exposed by a passing replication fork [43]. Ordinarily, λ recombination is RecA-independent; however, when DNA replication is blocked, λ Red can also mediate efficient recombination via a strand invasion mechanism that is dependent upon RecA function [40,44]. Poteete et al suggested that the strand invasion pathway is a RecA-dependent salvage pathway for aborted Red-mediated recombination [45]. In recent years, the λ Red system has proven to be extremely useful for genetic engineering of *Escherichia coli* and closely related species [46–49]; however, investigation of the function of the Red pathway in its natural context, cells undergoing the λ lytic cycle, has several technical challenges [48]. To our knowledge, λ Red-like recombination systems have not been described previously in conjugative elements.

Here, we found that the genomes of SXT/R391 ICEs appear to be routinely shaped by inter-ICE recombination. We explored the role of the SXT and R391 bet and exo homologues (s065 and s066) and that of recA, a key host recombination gene, in the formation of hybrid ICEs. To accomplish this, we created a high throughput semi-quantitative screening assay that enabled the visual identification of exconjugant colonies containing hybrid ICEs. We found that recA mediated the formation of the majority of hybrid ICEs. Both s065 and s066 also contribute to the formation of hybrid ICEs and in the absence of recA, s065 and s066 appear to mediate the formation of nearly all hybrid ICEs. Conjugation was not essential for the formation of hybrid ICEs, suggesting that conjugative transfer acts as a means to segregate hybrid elements into new host cells. Thus, both host- and element-encoded recombination systems promote the formation of the mosaic genomes of SXT/R391 ICEs.

Results

Evidence for recombination between SXT/R391 ICEs

When the genomes of SXT [28] and R391 [29] were originally reported, it appeared that the variable regions in this family of ICEs (shown as colored bars underneath the set of shared genes within the gray rectangle in Figure 1A) were element-specific [50]. However, examination of the growing number of sequenced SXT/R391 ICE genomes suggests that even though some variable regions may be element-specific, others are shared by two or more ICEs (e.g. see ICE*Pda*Spa1 and ICE*Sxt*Po1 in Figure 1A), suggesting that this family of ICEs undergoes recombination. Close analysis of conserved regions of these elements also suggested that recombination between SXT/R391 ICEs has shaped their genomes. Pairwise alignments of the genome sequence of ICE*Pda*Spa1, an ICE derived from the fish pathogen *Photobacterium damselae* subsp. *psicida*, with that of SXT or R391 revealed that the majority of conserved sequences are only 95–97% identical, but that the 11.5-kb *atl*-*tnp*B and 0.6-kb s021-rum*B regions of ICE*Pda*Spa1 and SXT are nearly 100% identical (Figure 1B). These comparisons suggest that a relatively recent
recombination event within the 5' end of the truncated copy of
rumB occurred between precursors of ICEPdaSpa1 and SXT, and
support the idea that SXT/R391 ICE genomes are mosaics that
have been sculpted by inter-ICE recombination. Exchange of
DNA segments between these ICEs occurs when these elements
are present in the same host cell. The tandem arrays that these
ICEs can form in the host chromosome likely provide a suitable
substrate for such recombination events to occur.

Detection of hybrid ICE formation
We developed a high throughput conjugation-based semi-
quantitative screen to assess the genetic requirements for the
formation of hybrid ICEs. The assay employs donor cells
bearing tandem copies of modified SXT and R391 and was
designed to distinguish between exconjugant colonies contain-
ing SXT-R391 tandem arrays, hybrid elements or single
parental elements (Figure 2). The phenotypic markers lacZ and
galK were inserted between traG and exo in SXT and between
traG and merR in R391, respectively (Figure 1A and Figure 2).
The position of these two loci, near the right ends of the elements,
is remote from the antibiotic resistance markers that are found near the left ends of SXT (sulII dfr18) and R391 (aph)
(Figure 1A and Figure 2), thereby maximizing the
opportunity to detect recombination events occurring within
tandem arrays. Both lacZ and galK were placed under control of the P_tra promoter to enable high-level β-galactosidase and

Figure 1. Evidence suggesting that recombination occurs between SXT/R391 ICEs. (A) The middle gray box represents the set of genes (arrows) conserved in the 4 SXT/R391 genomes shown. Gray ORFs represent genes of unknown function, white ORFs represent genes of known function [28,52,53], and black ORFs correspond to s065 and s066. Below, variable ICE regions are shown with colors according to the elements in which they were originally described: SXT [28] (blue), R391 [29] (red), ICEPdaSpa1 [15] (green), and ICESPuPO1 [30] (purple). (B) A close-up of the attL-s025 region of ICEPdaSpa1 (accession number AJ870986) is shown in the upper left. The variation of percentage of identity was plotted using a Multi-LAGAN pairwise comparison [63] of this ICEPdaSpa1 region with the corresponding regions of SXT (accession number AY055428) and R391 (accession number AY090559) and the mVista visualization module [64] with a sliding window of 100 bp. The minimum width and the minimum percent
conservation identity that must be maintained over that width for a region to be considered conserved were set at 100 bp and 70% respectively. The dark gray area highlights the large nearly identical region conserved between SXT and ICEPdaSpa1. (C) A comparison of s065 and s066, which are present in all SXT/R391 ICEs, to the bacteriophage λ. Red genes (numbers represent % similarity between S065 and Bet, and S066 and Exo, respectively) is shown in the upper right, dfr18 encodes trimethoprim resistance; floR encodes chloramphenicol resistance; strAB encodes streptomycin resistance; sulII encodes sulfamethoxazole resistance; tetAR encodes tetracycline resistance; aph encodes kanamycin resistance; and mer encodes mercury resistance.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000775.g001
galactokinase activities in a lacI background. *Escherichia coli* strains containing tandem arrays of these labeled ICEs were used as donors in mating assays using ΔgalK lacZ lacI::Tn derivatives of *E. coli* MG1655 as recipient strains. Exconjugants were isolated on MacConkey indicator agar plates supplemented with galactose and X-Gal (MCGX) along with the antibiotics sulfamethoxazole (Su) and trimethoprim (Tm) to select for SXT and R391. Using this medium, we expected to infer the ICE content of each exconjugant colony from its color and resistance phenotypes (e.g., Figure 2), and to thereby determine the percentage of exconjugants containing hybrid elements. PCR analyses confirmed our expectations regarding the presence of hybrid ICEs in red colonies on Su-Tm medium and blue colonies on Kn medium (Figure 2 and data not shown). However, PCR analyses also revealed that a subset of purple exconjugant colonies contained tandem arrays consisting of a hybrid ICE coupled to a parental ICE. Thus, our method for enumeration of recombinant ICEs formed in these assays (e.g., as red colonies in Figure 2) understates the true frequency of recombination events.

In pilot experiments, we found that the percentage of hybrid ICEs detected was influenced by which ICE’s antibiotic resistance markers were selected. A higher percentage of exconjugants harboring a hybrid ICE was isolated on Su-Tm (6.75%) than on Kn (2.70%). This is probably a consequence of the fact that the R391 transfer frequency is about 10-fold higher than that of SXT, and hence a high frequency of colonies containing hybrids are likely to contain R391 as well, and thus cannot be distinguished from strains containing tandem arrays (39.2% tandem arrays on Su-Tm vs 10.4% on Kn). Consequently, in most subsequent studies of the genetic requirements for hybrid ICE formation, we used donors harboring SXT-R391 arrays and Su Tm to select for hybrid-harboring exconjugants; however, in some experiments we were unable to obtain SXT-R391 arrays with the desired deletions and in these cases we used donors containing R391-SXT arrays.

**recA** enables the formation of most, but not all, hybrid ICEs

We suspected that the host *recA* gene might play a key role in the generation of hybrid ICEs since the SXT and R391 genomes have
more than 95% identity over nearly 64 kb of DNA distributed in 11 segments ranging from 247 bp to 12,085 bp. Hybrid ICEs could form by RecA-mediated homologous recombination either in the donor cells prior to transfer or in recipient cells after transfer of both SXT and R391 from donor cells. We carried out conjugation experiments using recA+ (GG66) donor cells containing a tandem array of SXT and R391, and recA- (VB30) or recA+ (VB47) recipient cells (Table 1) to distinguish between these possibilities. However, since RecA is required in donor cells for SXT and R391 transfer, probably to alleviate the repression of expression of genes encoding the conjugative transfer machinery (tra genes), it was necessary to exogenously express SetC and SetD, the activators of the tra genes, in all recA donors [51]. Such exogenous activation of transfer genes generally induces a 10- to 100-fold increase in the frequency of ICE transfer ([51] and data not shown); however, since we compare the percentage of hybrids in different backgrounds, rather than the absolute frequency of hybrid formation, the increase in transfer frequency should not distort our results.

Deletion of recA from donor cells had a significant effect on the percentage of exconjugants found to contain hybrid ICEs. Conjugation assays with recA donors reduced the percentage of hybrids at least 5.6-fold relative to assays with WT donors, both when WT and recA recipient were used (p<0.001) (Figure 3A and 3B). In contrast, deletion of recA from the recipient cells did not have a significant effect on the percentage of exconjugant colonies containing a hybrid ICE when WT donor cells were used (Figure 3A). When recA donor cells were used, there was an ~2-

### Table 1. Strains of E. coli and plasmids used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or lasmid</th>
<th>Relevant genotype or phenotype*</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG18420</td>
<td>MG1655 lacZU118 lacI42::Tn10kan (Kn°)</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG18439</td>
<td>MG1655 lacZU118 lacI42::Tn10 (Tc°)</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB112</td>
<td>MG1655 Rf°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB38</td>
<td>CAG18439 galK (Tc°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB47</td>
<td>CAG18439 galK ΔrecA (Tc°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG55</td>
<td>VB112 ΔrecA (Rf°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG47</td>
<td>GG55 pV67 (Rf° Ap°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW220</td>
<td>CAG18439 pRC:5XT (Tc° Su° Tm°)</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J099</td>
<td>CAG18439 pRC:R391 (Tc° Kn°)</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB40</td>
<td>CAG18439 ΔlacZ pRC:5XT::lacZ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG13</td>
<td>CAG18439 ΔgalK pRC:R391::galK</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG66</td>
<td>VB112 pRC::(R391::galK-[SXT::lacZ] [Rf° Su° Tm° Kn°])</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG64</td>
<td>VB112 pRC::(R391::galK Δαr6868-[SXT::lacZ Δαr65])</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG65</td>
<td>VB112 pRC::(R391::galK Δαr69-[SXT::lacZ Δαr666])</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG93</td>
<td>VB112 pRC::(R391::galK Δ (αr68-αr69)-[SXT::lacZ Δ (s065-s066)]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG66</td>
<td>GG47 pRC::(SXT::lacZ[R391::galK] (Rf° Ap° Su° Tm° Kn°))</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG69</td>
<td>GG47 pRC::(SXT::lacZ Δαr655)-[R391::galK Δαr68]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG70</td>
<td>GG47 pRC::(SXT::lacZ Δαr668)-[R391::galK Δαr69]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG102</td>
<td>GG47 pRC::(SXT::lacZ Δ (s065-s066)-[R391::galK Δ (αr68-αr69)]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG125</td>
<td>VB38 pRC::(R391::galK Δmobil+[SXT::lacZ Δmobil]) (Tc° Su° Tm° Kn°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG171</td>
<td>VB38 pRC::(SXT::lacZ Δint)-[R391::galK Δint] (Tc° Su° Tm° Kn°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG185</td>
<td>VB38 pRC::(R391::galK+[SXT::lacZ] (Tc° Su° Tm° Kn°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG186</td>
<td>VB38 pRC::(SXT::lacZ)-[R391::galK] (Tc° Su° Tm° Kn°)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Relevant genotype or phenotype*</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKD3</td>
<td>Cm° template for one-step chromosomal gene inactivation</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKD4</td>
<td>Kn° template for one-step chromosomal gene inactivation</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVI36</td>
<td>Sp° template for one-step chromosomal gene inactivation</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pV40A</td>
<td>pV36 BamHILPm-r-lacK</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pV42B</td>
<td>pV36 BamHILPm-lacZ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAH57</td>
<td>oriT101 repA101+ c1858° Rβ2-xii-l-int. (Ts Ap°)</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pV67</td>
<td>pAH57 Δ(xii-l-int)-catDC (Ts)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH68</td>
<td>pAH57 Δ(xii-l-int)-cmvmer (Ts)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMobI-B</td>
<td>pBAD-TOPO mobI</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Addition of s065 and s066 of SXT correspond to orf68 and orf69 of R391, respectively, according to the annotation of both elements [28,29]. Ap°, ampicillin resistant; Cm°, chloramphenicol resistant; Kn°, kanamycin resistant; Rf°, rifampicin resistant; Su°, sulfamethoxazole resistant; Sm°, streptomycin resistant; Sp°, spectinomycin resistant; Tc°, tetracycline resistant; Tm°, trimethoprim resistant; Ts, thermosensitive.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000775.t001
fold reduction in the percent of exconjugants with hybrid elements in \textit{recA} recipients compared to WT recipients, which was not statistically significant (Figure 3B). Finally, deletion of \textit{recA} from both donors and recipients reduced the percentage of hybrid ICEs detected by more than 11-fold as compared to when \textit{recA} was present in both donor and recipient. Taken together, these observations suggest that \textit{recA}-mediated homologous recombination generates the majority of hybrid ICEs and that these recombination events happen both in donor and recipient strains. \textit{RecA}'s role is more readily discerned in donors; however, this may reflect a limitation of our assay in that conjugation facilitates detection of hybrids as discussed below. Notably, 0.60% of exconjugants contained hybrid ICEs even when both donors and recipients lacked \textit{recA} indicating that some hybrid ICEs are \textit{recA}-independent homologous recombination pathway (Figure 3B).

\textit{s065} and \textit{s066} promote hybrid ICE formation

We explored whether \textit{s065} and \textit{s066}, which encode a single-strand DNA recombinase (unpublished results and [38]) and a putative exonuclease respectively (Figure 1C), also influence the formation of hybrid ICEs, and whether they might account for \textit{recA}-independent generation of these elements. Donor strains harboring tandem arrays of \textit{Δs065}, \textit{Δs066}, or \textit{Δ(s065-s066)} deletion mutants of \textit{SXT} and \textit{R391} were used as donors in these assays. The recipient strains were either \textit{E. coli} \textit{VB38 (recA\textsuperscript{+})} or \textit{E. coli} \textit{VB47 (recA\textsuperscript{−})}. D/R + and − indicate the \textit{recA} genotype of the donor and recipient strains, respectively. SetDC was expressed from a plasmid when \textit{recA} donors were used. Bars represent the percentage of exconjugants containing hybrid ICEs and were calculated by dividing the number of exconjugants containing hybrid ICEs (red TcR SuR TmR CFU) by the total number of exconjugants (TcR SuR TmR CFU). The means and standard deviations obtained from at least three independent assays are shown and the number of colonies containing a hybrid ICE counted for each assay is presented in Table S2. Note the differences in the scale of the y-axis in panels A and B. One-way ANOVA with a Tukey-Kramer post-test was used to compare the means of hybrid ICE-containing exconjugant colonies. The confidence interval for the comparisons of mutant tandem arrays relatively to WT tandem arrays was \textit{P}<0.001, except \(\square\) which indicates \textit{P}<0.05 and • which indicates that the difference was statistically not significant. * indicates that the percentage of exconjugants bearing a hybrid ICE was below the limit of detection (<0.01%).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000775.g003

Figure 3. Involvement of \textit{recA}, \textit{s065}, and \textit{s066} in the formation of hybrid ICEs. \textit{recA\textsuperscript{+}} (A) or \textit{recA} (panel B) donor strains, which contained either wild-type (WT), \textit{Δs065}, \textit{Δs066}, or \textit{Δ(s065-s066)} SXT-R391 tandem arrays, were used as donors in these assays. The recipient strains were either \textit{E. coli} \textit{VB38 (recA\textsuperscript{+})} or \textit{E. coli} \textit{VB47 (recA\textsuperscript{−})}. D/R + and − indicate the \textit{recA} genotype of the donor and recipient strains, respectively. SetDC was expressed from a plasmid when \textit{recA} donors were used. Bars represent the percentage of exconjugants containing hybrid ICEs and were calculated by dividing the number of exconjugants containing hybrid ICEs (red TcR SuR TmR CFU) by the total number of exconjugants (TcR SuR TmR CFU). The means and standard deviations obtained from at least three independent assays are shown and the number of colonies containing a hybrid ICE counted for each assay is presented in Table S2. Note the differences in the scale of the y-axis in panels A and B. One-way ANOVA with a Tukey-Kramer post-test was used to compare the means of hybrid ICE-containing exconjugant colonies. The confidence interval for the comparisons of mutant tandem arrays relatively to WT tandem arrays was \textit{P}<0.001, except \(\square\) which indicates \textit{P}<0.05 and • which indicates that the difference was statistically not significant. * indicates that the percentage of exconjugants bearing a hybrid ICE was below the limit of detection (<0.01%).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000775.g003

Hybrid ICE Formation

s065 and \textit{s066} deletions became more pronounced. For example, when \textit{recA} donors and WT recipients were used, the percentage of exconjugants containing hybrid ICEs was reduced ~5 fold by deletion of \textit{s065} and/or \textit{s066}, and when both donors and recipients lacked \textit{recA}, the additional mutations reduced hybrid frequency more than 20-fold (Figure 3B). Presumably, the absence of \textit{recA}, which we have shown prevents formation of a majority of hybrid ICEs, allows the subler effects of \textit{s065} and/or \textit{s066} deletions to become more apparent. Our data suggest that both \textit{s065} and \textit{s066} contribute to hybrid ICE formation, and that they act in a non-redundant fashion with each other. Additionally, our finding that deletion of both \textit{s065} and \textit{s066} has an effect comparable to that of a single gene deletion indicates that their roles may be interdependent. Since formation of hybrid ICEs was scarcely detectable when both \textit{recA} and \textit{s065}/\textit{s066} were disrupted, it appears that \textit{s065} and \textit{s066} are required for the majority of \textit{recA}-independent hybrid ICE formation.

Comparisons of the percentages of hybrid formation shown in Figure 3 suggest that \textit{recA} and \textit{s065}/\textit{s066} may cooperate in generating hybrid ICEs. Approximately 37% of hybrid formation in donor cells is attributable to \textit{recA} as shown by the frequency of hybrid-bearing exconjugants (~2%) observed in the absence of \textit{s065} and/or \textit{s066} with \textit{recA} recipients (Figure 3A +/− all but black bar). When donor cells lack \textit{recA} and rely on the \textit{s065}/\textit{s066}-pathway for hybrid formation we found that 0.6% of exconjugants contained hybrids, i.e. 11% of total hybrid formation (Figure 3B −/− black bar). Taken together, these frequencies cannot account for the frequency of exconjugants harboring hybrids observed in the presence of both pathways (5.4%, Figure 3A +/− black bar). Thus, these two pathways, which can function independently, may also act synergistically to promote hybrid ICE formation.

However, given the variability in our data, particularly using \textit{recA\textsuperscript{+}} recipients, definitive evidence for interactions between these pathways is lacking.
Conjugation is not required for the formation of hybrid ICEs

In the experiments described above, we relied on conjugal transfer to identify hybrid ICEs in exconjugant colonies. However, our observation that some hybrids appear to form in recipient cells, after elements have transferred (as indicated by differences in hybrid formation in recA+ and recA− recipients) suggested that the conjugal process was not necessarily a component of hybrid formation. We took advantage of our previous observations that there is little, if any, conjugal transfer of SXT in broth culture [23], to begin to explore whether conjagation was required for hybrid ICE formation. We tested whether we could detect hybrid formation in a recA+ ΔgalK lacZ− TcR strain (GG185) bearing a wild-type R391-SXT array (the opposite array orientation as used above) in the absence of a recipient strain. GG185 was passaged with two subcultures in LB broth for 72 h (>100 generations) and then the culture was plated on MCGX indicator medium supplemented with Tc Su Tm, to identify SuR TmR hybrid ICEs (red colonies), or with Tc Kn, to identify KnR hybrid ICEs (blue colonies). KnR hybrid ICEs were detected (0.16% (red colonies), or with Tc Kn, to identify KnR hybrid ICEs (blue colonies). KnR hybrid ICEs were detected (0.16±0.05% of colonies) at this point but SuR TmR hybrids were barely detectable (Table 2). Detection of hybrid ICE formation using this experimental system requires marker loss. We observed greater loss of SXT (20.1±4.9%) than R391 (<0.02%) in this experiment, in accord with a previous report that the ICE located at the right end of the array is more frequently lost and that in this position, R391 is more stable than SXT [33]. Thus, the few detectable SuR TmR hybrids in this experiment likely reflect the lack of loss of R391 from the tandem array in GG185.

The detection of KnR hybrids during passage of GG185 in LB broth provides support for the idea that conjugation is not essential for hybrid ICE formation. However, it is possible that there is a low frequency of conjugal ICE transfer in broth cultures. To formally exclude a role for conjugation in hybrid ICE formation, we constructed a strain harboring an R391-SXT array where the ICEs were unable to transfer due to the deletion of mobI. MobilI is part of the SXT/R391 DNA processing machinery and is thought to recognize and act on oriT; deletion of mobI renders SXT and R391 non-transmissible but does not impair their excision (data not shown) or the formation of a functional conjugation apparatus [52]. We constructed a ΔmobI R391-SXT tandem array in a recA+ ΔgalK lacZ− TcR strain (VB830) (Table 1). The resulting strain (GG125) was cultivated for 6 days with two daily subcultures (>250 generations) in LB broth with tetracycline as the sole antibiotic. Serial passage allowed for the loss of unselected markers [37], thereby helping to reveal formation of possible hybrid ICEs.

The culture was plated at 24, 72, and 144 h post-inoculation on the indicator medium supplemented with the same antibiotics used above to identify hybrid ICEs. As noted above with GG185, loss of SXT from the R391-SXT array in GG125, yielding a single R391 (KnR) ICE, occurred much more frequently than the loss of R391 from this strain (Table 2). KnR hybrid ICEs were detectable at 24 h, when 0.11% of colonies contained a hybrid ICE, and by 144 h this percentage increased to 0.38% (Table 2). SuR TmR hybrid ICEs were only isolated after 144 h of culture and only 0.004% of colonies contained hybrids. Potential explanations for the different frequencies with which hybrids were observed are discussed below. However, the results from both selections clearly demonstrate that conjugation is not required for the formation of hybrid ICEs. Furthermore, using a variety of PCR assays (see [37]), three distinct ICE structures were identified among 19 of the KnR hybrids (data not shown). Thus, the hybrids identified in these experiments cannot be explained by clonal amplification of a single cell containing a hybrid ICE.

Excision is not required for hybrid ICE formation

Despite existing predominantly as chromosomally-encoded elements, the position of ICEs with respect to host chromosomes is highly dynamic. ICE-encoded int and xis genes allow them to excise from host chromosomes [53], and this event is thought to be an early step in conjugation. To assess whether extrachromosomal ICE DNA is a required substrate for hybrid ICE formation, we constructed a strain carrying a Δint SXT-R391 array (GG171) (Table 1). GG171 was used in assays similar to those described above for the ΔmobI array. After only 24 h of culture, 0.9% of colonies contained a SuR TmR mobI hybrid ICE, demonstrating that formation of recombinant ICEs does not depend on ICE excision. Thus, chromosomal tandem ICE arrays can serve as a recombination substrate.

Discussion

Comparative analyses of the genomes of several SXT/R391 ICEs revealed that these elements are mosaics that have been shaped by inter-ICE recombination (Figure 1A). The large set of core genes that are conserved among all SXT/R391 ICEs provides an ample substrate for inter-ICE recombination. Furthermore, the inherent ability of these elements to form tandem array structures [33,37] increases the opportunities for ICE recombination. Given the high degree of homology between SXT and R391, our finding that recA accounts for the generation of the majority of hybrid ICEs is understandable. However, s065 and s066, which are present in all SXT/R391 ICEs, also

Table 2. Percentage of colonies containing hybrid ICEs or single elements recovered over time from a strain initially harboring a wild-type R391-SXT, or a non-transmissible ΔmobI R391-SXT tandem array.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>% colonies selected on Kn</th>
<th>% colonies selected on Su Tm</th>
<th>Hybrids†</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>Hybrids†</th>
<th>Single</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG185</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.04±0.04</td>
<td>11.7±3.4</td>
<td>0.02±0.03</td>
<td>0.04±0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.16±0.05</td>
<td>20.1±4.9</td>
<td>0.03±0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG125 (ΔmobI)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.11±0.02</td>
<td>11.8±0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01b</td>
<td>0.02±0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.21±0.03</td>
<td>16.1±3.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01b</td>
<td>0.02±0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.38±0.07</td>
<td>22.6±1.2</td>
<td>0.004±0.007</td>
<td>0.09±0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†The numbers of colonies containing a hybrid ICE that were counted for each assay are presented in Table S3.

bDetection limit of the assay.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000775.s002
contribute to formation of recombinant ICEs. The contribution of these ICE λ, bet and exo homologues was easiest to discern in the absence of recA; in this context, s065 and s066 accounted for the formation of nearly all of the hybrids we detected. These two genes appear to function in the same recombination pathway, since deletion of s065, s066, or both genes resulted in similar reductions in hybrid formation. Neither ICE excision nor conjugative transfer proved to be essential for generation of hybrid ICEs; instead conjugation appears to facilitate the segregation of hybrids and may provide a means to select for functional recombinant ICEs.

In previous work, we used multiple PCR analyses to show that exconjugants derived from conjugations with donors bearing SXT-R391 arrays occasionally contained a hybrid ICE [37]. This technique was too cumbersome to enable either quantitative or genetic analysis of hybrid ICE formation. The high-throughput semi-quantitative detection method reported here enabled more sensitive analyses of the genetic determinants involved in hybrid ICE formation. Hybrid formation was relatively frequent, as we found that almost 7% of exconjugants selected on Su and Tm contained a recombinant ICE. Since some exconjugants scored as containing a parental ICE array (purple colonies in Figure 2) actually contained a hybrid ICE and a parental ICE, 7% is an underestimation of the true frequency of hybrid formation. Thus, formation of hybrid ICEs, which may have novel combinations of genes conferring resistance to antibiotics, may be fairly common.

While hybrid ICEs were readily detectable in exconjugants using our plate-based screening method, we found that they also form in cells containing tandem arrays of non-transmissible ICEs. Detection of non-transmissible hybrid ICEs seems to depend upon the rate of post-recombinational loss of one or the other ICE, as shown by the coincident increase over time of colonies harboring hybrids (Table 2). Different frequencies of KnR vs SuR. TmR hybrids formed from the non-transmissible R391-SXT array (Table 2). These differences are probably a consequence of the structure of the array used here. The relatively low frequency of hybrids in donors compared to exconjugants suggests that conjugation facilitated detection of hybrids by allowing for segregation of hybrid ICEs from parental ICEs. In nature, it is possible that conjugation serves to select for functional hybrids that are capable of transmission.

Our data indicate that both recA and s065/s066 can mediate hybrid formation independently, and potentially co-operatively as well. RecA’s role in homologous recombination has been the subject of extensive study; we assume its mechanism of action parallels that described in previous work. Our models for how s065 and s066 mediate hybrid ICE formation are largely based on prior studies of phage-borne s065 and s066 homologues. However, there is evidence that S065, like λ Bet, can mediate single-stranded DNA recombination [38] and our unpublished observations) and that S066 has double-stranded DNA exonuclease activity (Rory Watt, unpublished observations). Thus, it is reasonable to assume that S065 and S066 function in a similar fashion as Bet and Exo to promote ICE recombination. Double-stranded DNA ends are thought to be the principle substrate for the Red pathway in its natural context [10,54]; Exo is thought to digest the 5’ end of such double-stranded DNA breaks leaving a suitable single-stranded substrate for Bet recombination [55]. Double-strand breaks in ICE DNA could occur in the chromosomal ICE, the excised circular double-stranded ICE or the extrachromosomal circular double-stranded ICE after transfer but prior to re-integration. The latter molecule may be subject to host restriction endonucleases, generating suitable substrates for S066 and S065. Furthermore, DNA damaging agents (UV, antibiotics), which are known to trigger the conjugative transfer of SXT/R391 ICEs, also provide suitable substrates for recombination in the form of double-stranded DNA breaks. It also possible that single-stranded ICE DNA generated in donor cells and transferred to the recipient during conjugation can be a substrate for formation of hybrid elements.

There are particularities of the lifecycles of ICEs and lambdoid phages that suggest that their respective recombination systems may function differently. Unlike λ, which can replicate autonomously as double-stranded DNA (theta replication) during its lytic cycle, SXT/R391 ICEs do not seem to replicate autonomously. This difference likely decreases the opportunities for generating double-stranded breaks that have been shown to be a major substrate for λ Red functions [39,40,44]. In addition, the absence of a gap ortholog in SXT/R391 ICEs suggests that either RecBCD’s exonuclease activity has little impact on recombination catalyzed by S065/S066, i.e. double-stranded DNA extremities are not a significant substrate, or that ICEs encode an unrelated inhibitor of exonuclease V that remains to be indentified.

To our knowledge, the s065/s066 recombination system is the first Red-like recombination pathway to be described in a conjugative element. To date, Red-like recombination genesystems have been exclusively identified in prophages of both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [38]. Interestingly, s065 and s066 are part of the core genome found in all SXT/R391 ICEs. Their ubiquity in this family of mobile elements suggests that the generation of diversity via inter-ICE recombination is a key feature of this family of ICEs. The routine formation of tandem ICE arrays in fresh exconjugants [37] and the lack of exclusion between certain SXT/R391 ICEs [34,35] also suggests that the modus operandi of these elements includes recombination. Recombination is also a central feature of lambdoid phages (for review, see [56,57]) and Martinsohn et al recently proposed that the λ Red recombination pathway contributes to the mosaic genomes that characterize this family of bacteriophages [58]. Another striking parallel between SXT/R391 ICEs and lambdoid phages is that their transfer (by conjugation or transduction respectively) is greatly increased by damage to host DNA. Expression of s065 and s066, like that of exo and bet, increases with UV damage to the host (Mariam Quinones, unpublished results). Thus, like the λ Red recombination pathway [41], the s065/s066 recombination system may serve as a recombinational repair system to promote the formation of functional ICEs capable of exiting from a damaged host and re-establishing themselves in a new host.

While numerous questions regarding the action of S065 and S066 remain to be explored, collectively our findings suggest that these genes promote the plasticity of SXT/R391 ICE genomes. Besides enhancing inter-ICE recombination, it also possible that s065 and s066 enable the incorporation of exogenous genetic material into ICE genomes, such as the DNA shown in colors in Figure 1A. Lastly, we identified orthologs of s065 and s066 in IncA/C plasmids such as pIP1202 from Yersinia pestis biovar Orientalis, the causative agent plague. These conjugative plasmids have recently been found to be broadly disseminated among multiply drug resistant zoonotic pathogens [6]. It will be interesting to explore whether these s065/s066 orthologs contribute to the plasticity of this family of conjugative plasmids.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and media

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table 1. Bacterial strains were routinely grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth at 37°C in an orbital shaker and
maintained at −80°C in LB broth containing 15% (v/v) glycerol. Colonies harboring hybrid ICEs were screened by plating on MacConkey agar base (Difco) plates supplemented with 0.6% galactose, 50 mg/l X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside) (indicator medium MCGX) and the suitable antibiotics. Antibiotics were used at following concentrations: ampicillin (Ap), 100 mg/l; kanamycin (Km), 50 mg/l; rifampicin (Rf), 100 mg/l; spectominycin (Sp), 50 mg/l; sulfamethoxazole (Su), 160 mg/l; trimethoprim (Tm), 32 mg/l; tetracycline (Tc), 12 mg/l.

Plasmid construction

The oligonucleotides used for construction of plasmids are described in Table S1. Plasmids pVI67 and pVI68, designed to allow conditional expression of SetDC or IntSXT, were constructed by replacing the 1,367-bp EcoRI/NcoI fragment of pAH57 [59] with either a 942-bp EcoRI/NcoI fragment containing the setDC operon of SXT or a 1,367-bp EcoRI/Vol fragment containing intSXT, respectively. setDC and intSXT were amplified by PCR using primer pairs setDF/setCR and intSF/intSR, respectively, and the DNA of E. coli HB229 as a template. Both plasmids are temperature sensitive for replication and allow the expression of the cloned genes from the thermosensitive repressor cI857.

Plasmids pVI40A and pVI42B were templates used in the creation of PCR products for the insertion of lacZ and galK markers into SXT and R391 with the Datsenko and Wanner protocol [47]. These templates contain galK or lacZ both under control of Pgal, introduced into the BamHI site of pVI36 [52]. The Pgal-galK fragment was made by amplifying by PCR galK and the Pgal promoter sequence using primer pairs galK1F/galK1R and Plac3F/Plac3R, respectively, and the DNA of E. coli VB112 as a template. The resulting two fragments were fused using the Splicing by Overlap Extension protocol [60]. The Pgal-lacZ of pVI42B was amplified using DNA of E. coli VB112 as a template and primer pair lacZ1R/Plac3R, yielding strain VB112 as a template. The mixtures of all plasmids constructed for this study were sequenced by DNA Landmarks Inc (St-Jean-sur-Richelieu, QC).

Construction of chromosomal deletions and insertions

The oligonucleotides used for chromosomal deletions and insertions are described in Table S1. Deletion and insertion mutants were constructed by using the one-step chromosomal gene inactivation technique of Datsenko and Wanner [47]. All deletions were designed to be non-polar. The ΔgalK and ΔlacZ mutations were introduced in E. coli CAG18439 by using primer pairs galKWF/galKWR and lacZW-B/lacZW-F, and plasmids pVI36 and pKD4 as templates. The ΔrecA mutation was introduced in E. coli VB38 and VB112 using primer pair recA/WF/recA/WR and pVI36 as a template. The ΔgalK and ΔlacZ mutations of SXT and R391 in the tandem array by PCR amplification of the leftmost and rightmost ICE-chromosome junctions with primers pairs primer 6/ primer 4 and primer 8/ primer 9 described by Hochhut et al. [33].

Conjugation assays and detection of hybrid ICEs

Conjugation assays were performed by mixing equal volumes of overnight cultures of donor and recipient strains grown overnight at 37°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed in 1 volume of LB broth and resuspended in 1/20 volume of LB broth. The mixtures were then deposited on LB agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 6 hours. The cells were recovered from the plates in 1 ml of LB broth and serial dilutions were prepared. Donors, recipients and exconjugants were selected on LB agar plates containing appropriate antibiotics.

The setDC expression vector pVI67 was used in mating assays involving recA donor strains. In these experiments, donor strains were grown overnight at 30°C and then cultures were shifted to 42°C for 15 minutes prior to contact with the recipient strain, to induce expression of SetC and SetD.

MCGX indicator agar medium plates supplemented with appropriate antibiotics were used to determine whether SXT, R391, SXT-R391 tandem arrays, or hybrid elements were present in exconjugant colonies or in donor colonies in experiments assessing the necessity of conjugal transfer or excision in hybrid ICE formation. The hybrid ICE detection technique was validated by PCR screening of exconjugant colonies using the primer pairs VISLF/VISLR3, 10SF13/SXT1-13, YND2/ORF16, VISRF/ VISRR, VISLF/VISLR2, MER104A/ MER103B and VISRF/VISRR2 as described by Burris and Waldor [37].

Molecular biology methods

Plasmid DNA was prepared using a QiAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. All the enzymes used in this study were purchased from New England BioLabs. PCR assays were performed with the primers described in Table S1 in 20 μl reactions with 1 U of Tag DNA polymerase; 1 μl of a mixture of one colony resuspended in 10 μl of HyPure Molecular Biology Grade Water (HyClone) was used as a template in PCR.
reactions. The PCR conditions were as follows: (i) 3 min at 94°C; (ii) 30 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at the appropriated annealing temperature, and 1 minute/kb at 72°C; and (iii) 5 min at 72°C. When necessary, PCR products were purified using a QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. E. coli was transformed by electroporation as described by Dower et al [62] in a BioRad GenePulser Xcell apparatus set at 25 μF, 200 Ω and 1.8 kV using 0.1 cm gap electroporation cuvettes.
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Table S2 Number of colonies containing a hybrid ICE counted for each assay presented in Table 3. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000775.s003 (0.04 MB DOC)
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