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OBJECTIVE — To examine whether day napping or short night sleeping is associated with higher risk of diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — This was a prospective study of hours of day napping and night sleeping assessed in 1996–1997 in relation to diabetes diagnosed between 2000 and 2006 (n = 10,143) among 174,542 participants in the National Institutes of Health (NIH)-AARP Diet and Health Study. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CI were derived from multivariate logistic regression models.

RESULTS — Longer day napping was associated with a higher risk of diabetes. After adjustment for potential confounders, ORs were 1.23 (95% CI 1.18–1.29) for those reporting <1 h and 1.55 (95% CI 1.45–1.66) for those reporting ≥1 h of napping compared with individuals who did not nap (P_trend < 0.0001). For night sleeping, with 7–8 h as the referent, the OR was 1.46 (95% CI 1.31–1.63) for <5 h, 1.11 (1.06–1.16) for 5–6 h, and 1.11 (0.99–1.24) for ≥9 h. In both analyses, additional adjustment for BMI only modestly attenuated the associations. Further analysis showed a statistically significant interaction between hours of napping and sleeping on diabetes (P_interaction < 0.0001). Among participants with no napping, only short night sleeping was associated with higher occurrence of diabetes, whereas among those with ≥1 h of napping, both long and short sleeping was associated with higher risk.

CONCLUSIONS — Day napping and short night sleeping are associated with higher risk of diabetes. The association between sleep duration and diabetes may be modified by napping habit.
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lowed for the day napping question: sleeping during a typical 24-h period over hours spent on day napping and night participants were asked the number of

Exposure assessment
At the risk factor survey in 1996–1997, participants were asked the number of hours spent on day napping and night sleeping during a typical 24-h period over the past 12 months. Five choices were allowed for the day napping question: none, <1 h, 1–2 h, 3–4 h, or ≥5 h. For night sleeping, the answer included four categories: <5 h, 5–6 h, 7–8 h, and ≥9 h. The risk factor questionnaire also asked participants to recall how often they participated in light physical activities (such as tennis, biking, or swimming) in the past 10 years with six possible answers: none, rarely, weekly but <1 h/week, 1–3 h/week, 4–7 h/week, and >7 h/week. Finally, the risk factor questionnaire asked participants whether blood relatives of their immediate family (father, mother, brother, or sister) had diabetes.

The amount of coffee or alcohol consumption and total calorie intake were derived from the dietary survey in 1995–1996. In addition, the survey collected basic demographic and lifestyle information such as date of birth, sex, race, education level, marital status, and smoking habit. Further, participants were asked to self-evaluate their health status as excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor. Finally, participants also reported weight in pounds (0.45 kg) and height in inches (2.54 cm). BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters.

Ascertainment of diabetes
As part of the 1995–1996 dietary survey, participants were asked whether they had ever been told by a doctor that they had diabetes. A similar question was also asked on the follow-up questionnaire in 2004–2006 with categorical choices of the year of first diagnosis: before 1985, 1985–1994, 1995–1999, and 2000 to present. These questions did not differentiate type 2 from type 1 diabetes. However, in adults, ~90–95% of all diagnosed diabetes is type 2 diabetes (11). Because the current study included only older adults and adults with incident cases of diabetes diagnosed after 2000, we believe that most of the participants in the current analysis should have type 2 diabetes.

Statistical analysis
Multivariate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs were derived from logistic regression models. In the napping analysis, participants reporting no napping were used as the reference group and those with ≥1 h of napping were grouped together because only 0.2% of the participants reported ≥2 h of napping. For the sleeping analysis, we used 7–8 h of sleep as the referent because it was reported by most participants (60.1%) and is considered optimal sleep length for adults. Potential confounders included age in 5-year groups for the risk factor survey, sex, race (white vs. nonwhite), education level (<8 years, 8–11 years, 12 years or completed high school, post–high school or some college, college and postgraduate), marital status (married or living as married, widowed, divorced, separated, or never married), smoking status (never smokers; past smokers: ≥35, 30–34, 20–29, 10–19, or 1–9 years since last smoking; and current smokers: 1–10, 11–20, or ≥20 cigarettes/day), coffee consumption (0, <1, 1, 2–3, or >3 cups/day), alcohol consumption (0, <1, 1–1.9, 2–2.9, or ≥3 drinks/day), general health status (excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor), family history of diabetes (yes vs. no), and total energy intake (quintiles). Because obesity and lack of physical activity may be in the pathway between napping and sleeping habits and diabetes, we first fitted the regression models without these variables and then added them individually or simultaneously. In the napping analysis, statistical significance for a linear trend was tested by assigning a value to each category of the napping variable (0 for no napping, 0.5 for <1 h, and 1.5 for ≥1 h) and including it as a continuous variable in the regression model. No such tests were conducted for the sleeping analysis as its relation to diabetes was not linear.

We conducted two additional analyses to examine the robustness of our findings. First, we limited the analysis to participants who met all of the following criteria: self-evaluated excellent or very good health, never smoked or stopped smoking >10 years ago, nonobese (BMI <30 kg/m²), and >1 h of moderate to vigorous physical activities per week. Presumably, this population represented a fairly healthy population at baseline and therefore association identified or confirmed in this group would be less likely to be attributed to poor health status or reverse causality. The second were subgroup analyses according to age (<60, 60–64, and ≥65 years), sex, education level (below high school vs. high school or more), general health status ("excellent or very good," “good,” and “fair or poor”), BMI (12.0–24.9, 25.0–29.9, or ≥30.0 kg/m²), smoking status (never vs. ever), and family history of diabetes (yes vs. no). When possible, detailed values of the stratifying variables were adjusted along with all other confounders to minimize the possibility of residual confounding.

Finally, we conducted a joint analysis by combining categories of day napping with those of night sleeping, using participants with no napping and 7–8 h of night sleeping as the referent. We further examined the statistical interaction between these two variables by including a multiplicative interaction term in the regression model. All statistical analysis was performed by using SAS (release 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and the significance tests were two-tailed with α = 0.05.

RESULTS — Table 1 shows population characteristics according to napping or sleeping duration. Compared with individuals who reported no napping, nappers were more likely to be older men, nonwhites, and current smokers and to report a family history of diabetes and higher calorie intake, but they were less likely to drink coffee or alcohol and to report excellent or very good health status or 7–8 h of night sleep. As expected, nappers had higher BMI and were less physically active. For night sleeping, participants with 7–8 h of sleep seemed to have the demographic and lifestyle characteristics that were often associated with favorable health outcomes. Compared with this group, individuals with <5 h of sleeping were more likely to be women, nonwhites, and current smokers and to report a higher BMI or ≥1 h of day napping, a family history of diabetes, and higher calorie intake. They were, however, less likely to be high school graduates, married or living as married, or past smokers or to report regular drinking of coffee or alcohol, excellent or very good health status, or regular physical activities.

Duration of day napping in 1996–1997 was associated with higher risk of
diabetes after 2000 in a dose-response manner (Table 2). Compared with participants who reported no napping, for those with <1 h of napping the multivariate OR was 1.23 (95% CI 1.18–1.29) and for those with ≥1 h of napping it was 1.35 (1.45–1.66) ($P_{trend} < 0.0001$). The association was essentially unchanged after further adjustment for physical activities. It was moderately attenuated after adjustment for BMI alone or simultaneously with physical activities.

Table 1—Population characteristic according to hours of day napping and night sleeping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day napping</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>&lt;1 h</th>
<th>≥1 h</th>
<th>Night sleeping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n*</td>
<td>94,165</td>
<td>67,520</td>
<td>12,335</td>
<td>3,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>63.4 ± 5.2</td>
<td>63.5 ± 5.3</td>
<td>62.2 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (%)</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whites (%)</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>87.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or more (%)</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or couples (%)</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past smokers (%)</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers (%)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2 cups of coffee/day (%)</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 drink of alcohol/day (%)</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SD for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables. $N = 174,344$. Hours of day napping and night sleeping, age, physical activity, and family history of diabetes were from risk factor survey in 1996–1997; all other covariates were collected at the dietary survey in 1995–1996. *The final number of participants for individual variables varies because of missing values.

Table 2—ORs (95% CI) of diabetes diagnosed after 2000 according to hours of day napping or night sleeping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day napping*</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>&lt;1 h</th>
<th>≥1 h</th>
<th>Night sleeping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n of cases</td>
<td>4,465</td>
<td>4,463</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic model†</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.23 (1.18–1.29)</td>
<td>1.55 (1.45–1.66)</td>
<td>1.46 (1.31–1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ physical activities</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.23 (1.18–1.29)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.42–1.64)</td>
<td>1.47 (1.31–1.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ BMI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.16 (1.11–1.21)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.28–1.47)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.19–1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ physical activities and BMI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.16 (1.11–1.21)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.27–1.46)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.20–1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n‡</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.16 (1.06–1.28)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.10–1.63)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.97–1.93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are ORs (95% CI). *All $P_{trend} < 0.0001$ in the day napping and diabetes analysis with the exception of sensitivity analysis ($P_{trend} = 0.0002$). No trend test was conducted for hours of night sleeping because the relationship was not linear. †The basic model included the following covariates: age, sex, race, education, marital status, smoking, coffee and alcohol consumption, calorie intake, family history of diabetes, and general health status. The sensitivity analysis was based on the full model and was limited to participants who met all of the following criteria: excellent or very good health status, never smokers or stopped >10 years ago, nonobese (BMI <30 kg/m²), and >1 h of moderate to vigorous physical activities per week in the past 10 years.
The OR between ≥1 h versus no napping decreased from 1.55 to 1.37 after further adjustment for BMI and to 1.36 with simultaneous adjustment of BMI and physical activities. Nevertheless, in both models, the association between napping and diabetes remained statistically significant.

The association between hours of night sleeping and diabetes appeared to be nonlinear (Table 2). With participants of 7–8 h of night sleep as the referent, the multivariate OR was 1.46 (95% CI 1.31–1.63) for those reporting <5 h of night sleep, 1.11 (1.06–1.16) for those reporting 5–6 h, and 1.11 (0.99–1.24) for those reporting ≥9 h. As for the napping analysis, although the adjustment for physical activity variables made little difference, additional controls for BMI alone or with physical activities moderately attenuated this association.

The associations between hours of day napping or night sleeping and diabeties were both confirmed among participants who were presumably healthy at the baseline risk factor survey (Table 2) and in various subgroup analyses (supplementary Table, available in an online appendix at http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/dc09-1143/DC1). There was a statistically significant interaction between hours of day napping and night sleeping on diabetes (Pinteraction < 0.0001) (Fig. 1). Day napping was associated with higher diabetes risk in a dose-response manner within each subgroup of night sleeping duration (all P trend < 0.05). However, the relationship between night sleeping and diabetes depended on the hours of day napping. Among participants who reported no napping, only short sleepers had a higher risk of diabetes. In contrast, among participants with ≥1 h of napping, both short and long hours of night sleep were associated with higher diabetes risk. Overall, participants with no napping and 7–8 h of night sleep had the lowest risk of diabetes, whereas individuals who napped ≥1 h during the day but slept <5 h at night had the highest risk.

CONCLUSIONS — In this large prospective study, we confirmed the previously reported association between short sleeping and higher risk of incident diabetes. More importantly, we observed a higher diabetes risk among day nappers and evaluated the combined effects of day napping and night sleeping on the risk of diabetes. The napping-diabetes relation-
edge, this is the first prospective study suggesting that day napping may be an independent risk factor for diabetes. Day napping has been linked to diabetes cross-sectionally, which was interpreted by the authors as a consequence of diabetes (8,9,15). The current analysis was prospective with cases of diabetes diagnosed at least 3 years after the exposure assessment; therefore, our finding is less compatible with the possibility of reverse causation. Furthermore, this association cannot be explained by compensational napping for short night sleeping as it appeared within each subgroup of sleeping duration. Finally, this association persisted in all confounder subgroups and in the sensitivity analysis and therefore alleviated concerns about substantial influences from confounding or biases due to poor health status.

Habitual napping is prevalent among older adults. In some cultures such as the Chinese, napping is often considered as a healthy lifestyle for older adults (16). Day napping has been investigated in the context of its potential affects on night sleeping. Most previous studies showed that napping was not related to night sleeping duration or quality but rather was affected by individuals’ health status (7–9,17). On the other hand, day napping itself may also have independent health consequences that have not yet been well investigated (18). Several studies have examined napping in relation to overall or cause-specific mortalities, but the results are inconsistent (16,19–21). In our study, day napping was associated with higher risk of diabetes even among individuals who appeared to be healthy at baseline. This finding underlines the needs for further investigations into the potential health consequences of napping among older adults.

The major strengths of the current study include its large sample size, prospective design, detailed epidemiologic profiles, and thorough statistical analyses. Our study also has several limitations. First, in such a large cohort, we had to rely on self-reports to identify patients with clinically diagnosed diabetes. Okura et al. (22) found that self-reported diabetes had a satisfactory agreement (κ = 0.76) with medical records, but it had a low sensitivity (66%). Further, without annual glucose tolerance screening, underdiagnosis of type 2 diabetes in our study population is also a concern. Therefore, diabetes in some participants might never have been identified or reported, and this possibility might have introduced bias if the identification of diabetes was differentially associated with napping or sleeping duration. In addition, participants with undiagnosed preclinical diabetes at baseline or in early follow-up might alter their sleeping or napping habits as a result of the underlying disease. To minimize potential bias from this source, we excluded participants with diabetes diagnosed in the first 3 years of follow-up and conducted sensitivity analysis among apparently healthy individuals.

Second, we did not collect data on the quality of night sleeping such as sleep fragmentation or on diseases such as obstructive sleep apnea or depression that themselves may be associated with napping or sleep duration and risk of diabetes (6,7,23–25). In particular, napping is associated with obstructive sleep apnea that may in turn increase the risk of type 2 diabetes (23,25). Although the napping–diabetes association persisted in the apparently healthy population as evident in the sensitivity analysis, we could not exclude the possibility that napping may be a marker of other health conditions that increase the risk of diabetes.

Third, as in other large prospective cohorts (2,5), information on hours of napping and sleeping was self-reported and therefore misclassifications are likely. However, with the prospective design, exposure misclassification was probably nondifferential with respect to the outcome and might thus have attenuated the true relationship. Fourth, the current analyses were limited to participants of the follow-up survey in 2004–2006 and therefore included only ~50% of eligible participants of the risk factor survey. Selection bias could have been introduced if napping or sleeping was associated with participation in the follow-up survey differentially by diabetes status. Finally, although we have controlled for and stratified by a variety of potential confounders, the study is observational in nature, and we could not exclude the possibility of residual confounding from unmeasured or inadequately measured confounders. Adjustment for BMI modestly attenuated the associations. It is possible that a more precise measurement of adiposity may further attenuate the results. However, given the strong statistical significance, it seems unlikely that obesity entirely explains these associations.

In summary, this large prospective study among U.S. older adults shows that long day napping (≥1 h) and short night sleeping (<5 h) are associated with a higher risk of diabetes. These results may in part be explained by obesity or weight gain, and we could not exclude the possibility that napping was a marker of other health conditions that increase the risk of diabetes. Further, the impact of sleeping duration on diabetes may depend on individuals’ napping habits. Future prospective or mechanistic studies are needed to confirm these findings and to elucidate underlying mechanisms.
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