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Minireview

Moderate- to low-risk variant alleles of cutaneous malignancies and nevi: lessons from genome-wide association studies

Durga Udayakumar*† and Hensin Tsao*†‡

Abstract

Cutaneous malignancies, especially malignant melanoma, exhibit great genetic heterogeneity. As a result, some individuals and families have particularly increased risk due to genetic predisposition to the disease. The susceptibility alleles range from rarely occurring, heritable, high-risk variants to ubiquitously occurring low-risk variants. Although until now the focus has been mostly towards the familial high-risk genes, the development of genome-wide association studies has uncovered a number of moderate- to low-risk predisposition alleles. The ability to specifically identify genetic variation associated with visible pigmentation traits and disease risk has provided a much richer view of the genetics of cutaneous malignancies. In this review, we provide an update on the recently identified risk loci. Existing clinical data, combined with vast genome information, will provide a better understanding of the biology of disease, and increased accuracy in risk prediction.

Introduction

Cutaneous malignancies such as highly invasive melanoma, and the keratinocyte carcinomas, such as basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), have become increasingly common in recent decades. According to the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program, it is estimated that 74,610 men and women will be diagnosed with skin cancer in 2009 in the United States, and mortality is estimated to be 11,590 (excluding BCC and SCC) [1]. For melanoma alone, the diagnosis is estimated to be 68,720, and 8,650 [2]. BCC is the most common type of skin cancer and accounts for 80% of all skin cancers. Nearly half of people with BCC have recurrent tumors within five years of development of the primary tumor.

Like most cancers, the risk factors for cutaneous malignancies include both genetic and environmental components. The notion that melanoma has a genetic predisposition was first put forth in 1820 by William Norris, who claimed it has ‘a strong tendency to hereditary predisposition’ [3]. Later studies reported correlation between the prevalence of familial multiple melanomas and the occurrence of ‘atypical nevi (moles)’, and they were described as familial atypical multiple-mole melanoma (FAMMM) syndrome or BK mole syndrome [4,5]. Advances in linkage analysis in the late 1980s to early 1990s directed much attention towards identifying high-risk, high-penetration loci associated with familial predisposition to melanoma. Several groups identified germline mutations of the p16INK4a (now cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A, CDKN2A) gene on chromosome 9p21, and established the molecular basis of hereditary melanoma in a subset of melanoma-prone kindreds (highlighted in [6]). Subsequently, Zuo and colleagues [7] identified heritable alterations in the CDK4 gene in a few families that lacked germline CDKN2A mutations. The mutation rates of high-risk heritable alleles are less prevalent, although the biological relevance of these mutations is well characterized (reviewed in [8-10]). A study in three continents including a large population demonstrated that mutations in CDKN2A account for disease susceptibility in 20 to 57% of melanoma families [11].

With the completion of the Human Genome Project and the HapMap and the recognition that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are found in the genome at fixed locations, it became feasible to perform large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in order to systematically search for other low- to moderate-risk-conferring alleles that could contribute to sporadic cutaneous melanoma (CM). In this review, we provide an
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update on newly identified genetic variants associated with melanoma risk, pigmentation phenotypes such as skin color, hair color and sun sensitivity, and development of cutaneous nevi (CN count). A recent article examining BCC is also considered, since susceptibility to melanoma may also result in high-risk predisposition to other such forms of cutaneous malignancies [12].

Risk variants of cutaneous malignancies
Association with pigmentation phenotype and risk of cutaneous melanoma

A comprehensive meta-analysis by Gandini and colleagues [13] revealed a direct link between pigmentation and melanoma risk. There are more than 120 genes involved in regulating pigmentation status, among which melanocortin-1-receptor (MC1R) is a key regulator. Many variants of this gene sequence are implicated in melanoma risk (reviewed in [14,15]). MC1R triggers a cAMP-dependent intracellular response that leads to the production of dark eumelanin in preference over red pheomelanin (Figure 1); eumelanin is thought to provide greater protection against ultraviolet radiation (UVR) than pheomelanin [16,17]. The presence of germline MC1R variants correlates with skin/hair color and poor tanning ability (80% in individuals with red hair color (RHC) and/or fair skin, 20% in individuals with brown or black hair, and less than 4% in people with good tanning response) and increases CM risk by 3.9-fold [18]. Raimondi and colleagues [19] reviewed all MC1R variants and classified them based on RHC phenotype: (a) four ‘R’ MC1R variants (p.Asp84Glu, p.Arg151Cys, p.Arg160Trp, and p.Asp294His) strongly associated with the RHC phenotype; (b) two less frequent ‘R’ alleles (p.Arg142His and p.Ile155Thr) based on strong familial association with RHC phenotype; and (c) three ‘r’ alleles (p.Val60Leu, p.Val92Met, and p.Arg163Gln) with weaker association with the RHC phenotype. Except for p.Val60Leu and p.Val92Met, all other variants appear significantly associated with melanoma risk, with odds ratios (ORs) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) ranging from 1.42 (1.09 to 1.85) for p.Arg163Gln to 2.45 (1.32 to 4.55) for p.Ile155Thr. Two studies estimated the penetrance of MC1R variants to be approximately 84% [20,21]. There is also some early evidence that MC1R status is associated with BRAF mutagenesis, suggesting a predisposition to develop BRAF-driven tumors in individuals carrying these variants [22,23].

Another GWAS identified variants associated with hair and eye pigmentation, skin sensitivity to sun and freckling among 2,986 Icelanders [24]. Shortly thereafter, the same group discovered another two coding variants in TPCN2 (two-pore segment channel 2) that were associated with hair color, and a variant at the ASIP locus (which encodes the Agouti protein) that showed strong association with sun sensitivity, freckling, and red hair - phenotypic features similar to those in individuals carrying variants in MC1R [25]. In 2008, a much larger study examined 11 SNPs from eight pigmentation-associated loci (SLC24A4 (solute carrier family 24), KITLG (KIT ligand), 6p25.3, TYR (tyrosinase), OCA2 (oculocutaneous albinism II), TPCN2 (two-pore segment channel 2), ASIP (Agouti signal protein), TYRP1 (tyrosinase-related protein 1)) for CM and BCC risk. Three cohorts (810 individuals with CM and 36,723 non-CM controls from Iceland; 1,033 CM cases and 2,650 controls from Sweden and 278 CM cases and 1,297 controls from Spain) were tested for melanoma risk effects. A two-SNP haplotype was identified at the ASIP locus that showed strong association with CM (combined OR = 1.45; \(P = 1.2 \times 10^{-9}\)), while an additional non-synonymous coding variant in TYR (combined OR = 1.21; \(P = 2.8 \times 10^{-7}\)) and a non-coding SNP at the TYRP1 locus were also associated significantly with melanoma risk (rs1408799; combined for all three samples, OR = 1.15; \(P = 0.00043\)) [26]. A second independent GWAS based in Australia also found evidence of a melanoma risk locus at the ASIP locus on chromosome 20q11 [27].

A recent GWAS based on population samples collected across European populations living at different latitudes has uncovered additional melanoma risk loci with suggestive biological consequences [28], although these are yet to be validated. The result was a joint effort from ten GenoMEL groups with 1,650 cases and 1,065 controls. The team identified five loci on chromosomes 2, 5, 9, 11 and 16, with at least one SNP at each locus with genomewide significance \((P < 5 \times 10^{-7})\). Three of these loci were replicated in an independent cohort of 1,163 cases and 903 controls. The strongest association was seen in chromosome 16q, with one new SNP (rs8059973, OR = 0.74), which was different from two other previously reported SNPs on the same locus with association to hair color and skin pigmentation (rs258322, rs4785763) [29]. The rs8258322 SNP (OR (95% CI) = 1.16 × 10^{-12} to 2.54 × 10^{-7}) was comparable to the MC1R variant previously described [21] in terms of increased cutaneous melanoma risk, though the location of the SNP is distant from the MC1R locus per se. In addition, a chromosome 11 SNP near the TYR gene showed evidence of association with melanoma (rs1393350; \(P = 2.41 \times 10^{-4}\)). A third region on chromosome 9p21 also showed significant association with melanoma. The implicated SNP (rs7023329, \(P = 4.03 \times 10^{-7}\)) is near, but distinct from, the high-risk CDKN2A locus; it appears to be more physically aligned with the methylthioadenosine phosphorylase (MTAP) gene that flanks the CDKN2A region. These novel loci will be a key addition to the pigmentation variants of TYR previously identified by deCODE genetics [26].

The GWAS analyses also uncovered some risk variants whose genetic interactions are more complex. Interestingly, in the large Icelandic-based GWAS, MC1R variants conferred little or no increased risk of CM among the
Icelandic population, whereas significant risks for CM were observed in both the Swedish and Spanish cohorts [25]. These findings suggest that RHC variants are not the only genetic risk factors for melanoma in the Icelandic cohort. This is consistent with an earlier study showing that some MC1R variants conferred melanoma risk but were not associated with hair and skin color phenotypes [21]. Table 1 summarizes the known relationships between various GWAS loci and their associated pigmented and cancer phenotypes.

**Variants associated with risk of basal cell carcinoma**

BCC is the most common cancer and is sporadic in nature, although inherited forms have been described in the case...
of nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS). This is characterized by rapid development of numerous BCCs in young patients, with a median age of 20 years [30,31]. Susceptibility to BCC has been shown to be strongly associated with variants on chromosomes 1p36, 1q42, and 5p15 [32]. Notably, some of the sequence variants responsible for BCC appear to be independent of pigmentation traits; in fact, the 5p15 variant at the \( TERT \)-\( CLPTM1L \) (telomerase reverse transcriptase-cleft lip and palate transmembrane 1-like) locus is protective against melanoma. The deCODE group also identified three SNPs associated with non-melanoma skin cancer but not melanoma or pigmentation: (a) rs11170164 in the keratin 5 (\( KRT5 \)) gene with a G138E substitution (combined OR 1.35, \( P = 2.1 \times 10^{-9} \)) conferring susceptibility to BCC; (b) rs2151280 on chromosome 9p21 near the \( CDKN2A/B \) locus; and (c) rs157935 on chromosome 7q32 [12].

### Risk variants for cutaneous nevi
Melanocytic nevi (or ‘moles’) represent both precursors and markers of melanoma risk [33,34]. The genetics of melanocytic nevus formation appear to be independent of pigment traits; in fact, the 5p15 variant at the \( TERT \)-\( CLPTM1L \) (telomerase reverse transcriptase-cleft lip and palate transmembrane 1-like) locus is protective against melanoma. The deCODE group also identified three SNPs associated with non-melanoma skin cancer but not melanoma or pigmentation: (a) rs11170164 in the keratin 5 (\( KRT5 \)) gene with a G138E substitution (combined OR 1.35, \( P = 2.1 \times 10^{-9} \)) conferring susceptibility to BCC; (b) rs2151280 on chromosome 9p21 near the \( CDKN2A/B \) locus; and (c) rs157935 on chromosome 7q32 [12].

### Summary
Large-scale GWASs have identified a large number of moderate- to low-risk melanoma variants in different cohorts over the past few years. The information gained

---

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosomal region/locus (SNP region) [Reference]</th>
<th>Pigmentation status</th>
<th>Cancer phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( MC1R ) (RHC, NRHC) multiple SNPs [18,19,28]</td>
<td>Blonde/red hair, freckling</td>
<td>Skin sensitivity, CM, BCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TYR ) (rs1126809, rs1042602, rs1393350) [24,26,28,29]</td>
<td>Blue versus green eyes, freckling</td>
<td>Skin sensitivity, CM, BCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TYRP1 ) (rs1408799) [25,26]</td>
<td>Blonde hair (weak), brown eyes, green eyes (weak)</td>
<td>CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ASIP ) haplotype (rs1015362[G], rs4911414[T]) [25,26]</td>
<td>Red and blonde hair, Freckling</td>
<td>CM, BCC, skin sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TPCN2 ) (rs35264875[T], rs3829241[A]) [25]</td>
<td>Blonde hair versus brown</td>
<td>CM and BCC (weak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( SLC24A4 ) (rs12896399) [24]</td>
<td>Blonde hair, green eyes brown eyes (weak)</td>
<td>Skin sensitivity (weak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( KITLG ) (rs12621256) [24]</td>
<td>Blonde hair</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6p25.3 (rs1540771) [24]</td>
<td>Blonde hair, freckling</td>
<td>Skin sensitivity (weak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( OCA2 ) (rs1667394, rs7495174) [24]</td>
<td>Blonde hair, green and brown eyes</td>
<td>Skin sensitivity (weak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9p21 adjacent to ( MTAP ) (rs7023329) [28]</td>
<td>Blonde hair, green and brown eyes, freckling</td>
<td>Skin sensitivity, CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9p21 adjacent to ( CDKN2A/B ) [12]</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>BCC, no association to CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( KRT5 ), G138E substitution [12]</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>BCC, no association to CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 7q32 ) adjacent to ( KLF14 ) [12]</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>BCC, no association to CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( MTAP ) rs4636294 ref [37]</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PLA2G6 ) (rs2284063) [37]</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>CM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCC, basal cell carcinoma; CM, cutaneous melanoma; NRHC, non-red hair color variants; RHC, red hair color variants.
from these studies advances melanoma risk prediction more than ever. Although interesting in its own right, the GWAS results are unlikely to impact clinical management at the current time. Lower-risk alleles compete against other behavioral risk factors that may modulate one’s overall likelihood of developing melanoma and other forms of skin cancer. It is also somewhat ironic that high-power genetic approaches have recovered strong allelic signals in pathways that dictate pigmentation - a phenotype well known for decades to confer cancer risk. The recent harvest of GWAS analyses provides a fundamental element for risk prediction but further research is needed. Commercial interest in utilizing GWAS results should be viewed with some trepidation, as it may lead to a false sense of security, or anxiety. However, the promise of this new information is a clearer explanation of gene-gene and gene-environment interactions that will undoubtedly emerge over the next decade.
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