Association of Expired Nitric Oxide with Occupational Particulate Exposure
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Accessibility
Particulate air pollution has been associated with adverse respiratory health effects. This study assessed the utility of expired nitric oxide to detect acute airway responses to metal-containing fine particulates. Using a repeated-measures study design, we investigated the association between the fractional concentration of expired nitric oxide (FENO) and exposure to particulate matter with an aerodynamic mass median diameter of ≤ 2.5 µm (PM2.5) in boilermakers exposed to residual oil fly ash and metal fumes. Subjects were monitored for 5 days during boiler repair overhauls in 1999 (n = 20) or 2000 (n = 14). The Wilcoxon median baseline FENO was 10.6 ppb (95% confidence interval (CI): 9.1, 12.7) in 1999 and 7.4 ppb (95% CI: 6.7, 8.0) in 2000. The Wilcoxon median PM2.5 8-hr time-weighted average was 0.56 mg/m3 (95% CI: 0.37, 0.93) in 1999 and 0.86 mg/m3 (95% CI: 0.65, 1.07) in 2000. FENO levels during the work week were significantly lower than baseline FENO in 1999 (p < 0.001). A significant inverse exposure–response relationship between log-transformed FENO and the previous workday’s PM2.5 concentration was found in 1999, after adjusting for smoking status, age, and sampling year. Each 1 mg/m3 incremental increase in PM2.5 exposure, log FENO decreased by 0.24 (95% CI: –0.38, –0.10) in 1999. The lack of an exposure–response relationship between PM2.5 exposure and FENO in 2000 could be attributable to exposure misclassification resulting from the use of respirators. In conclusion, occupational exposure to metal-containing fine particulates was associated with significant decreases in FENO in a survey of workers with limited respirator usage. Key words: air pollutants, epidemiology, nitric oxide, occupational, particulate matter. Environ Health Perspect 111:676–680 (2003). doi:10.1289/ehp.5880 available via http://dx.doi.org [Online 31 October 2002]
of oil-fired boilers. Twenty subjects were monitored in June 1999, and 14 subjects, including two from 1999, were monitored in October 2000. Self-administered questionnaires were used to obtain information on medical history, including respiratory symptoms and diseases, smoking history, and occupational history.

**FENO collection.** FENO samples were collected before and after work shifts each day during a 5-day sampling period. Baseline FENO samples were collected before the work shift on the first day of the work week, after 1–2 days away from work. The offline collection and measurement of FENO were in accordance with American Thoracic Society (ATS) recommendations (ATS 1999). Subjects were asked to refrain from smoking in the 1 hr preceding NO sampling. Subjects wore nose clips and tidal breathed for 30 sec through an apparatus containing two one-way valves with a NO-scrubbing filter attached to the intake limb to prevent sample contamination by ambient NO. Subjects then inhaled the intake limb to prevent sample contamination.

Subjects wore nose clips and tidal breathed for 30 sec through an apparatus containing two one-way valves with a NO-scrubbing filter attached to the intake limb to prevent sample contamination by ambient NO. Subjects then inhaled the intake limb to prevent sample contamination.

**Spirometry.** Spirometry was conducted before the work shift on the first and last day of sampling using a MicroPlus spirometer (Micro Direct Inc., Auburn, ME). Subjects performed a minimum of three acceptable forced vital capacity (FVC) maneuvers. The reproducibility standards required that the two highest forced expiratory volume in 1 sec (FEV₁) values be within 10% or 0.2 L of each other. The highest FEV₁ and FVC values from any of the maneuvers were used in the analysis.

**Exposure assessment.** Subjects were randomly selected to wear personal exposure monitors (PEMs) during their work shift. Workplace particulate samples were collected from 19 of the 20 subjects in 1999 and from all 14 subjects in 2000. The number of workdays each subject wore the PEM varied from 5 study days to none. On average, each subject was monitored 2 to 3 times throughout the week. The model 200 PEM (MSP Corp., Minneapolis, MN) with a 2.5 μm impactor cutsize was used in line with a Gilian GilAir® pump (Sensidyne Inc., Clearwater, FL) calibrated at a flow rate of 4 L/min. The air sample was collected on a polytetrafluoroethylene membrane filter (Gelman Laboratories, Ann Arbor, MI) placed within the PEM. The PEMs were placed on the lapels of the subjects, near their breathing zone. The mass collected on the filter was divided by the air volume sampled to calculate the gravimetric PM₂.₅ concentration.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 6.12 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and S-Plus2000 for Windows (MathSoft Inc., Cambridge, MA). Two-sample t-tests and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were performed to compare the baseline characteristics of the population in the 2 sampling years. Paired t-tests were performed to compare prework FENO and spirometry values from baseline (day 1) to day 5 of sampling, days where corresponding FENO and spirometry measurements were both collected. The strength of the association between the changes in prework FENO and the changes in spirometric values from baseline to day 5 was determined using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient.

Linear models were constructed to investigate the association between log-transformed FENO values and PM₂.₅ exposure. A linear model with independent and identically distributed errors was used because the repeated within-subject FENO measurements were found to be uncorrelated (Kleinbaum et al. 1998). Although FENO data collection was complete, PM₂.₅ concentration data were missing. However, the PM₂.₅ sampling data were missing at random because subjects were randomly selected each day to wear exposure monitors. Therefore, all analyses were restricted to subjects who had both FENO and the corresponding PM₂.₅ concentrations on a given day. Including baseline data, there were a total of 50 complete measurements in 1999 and 46 complete measurements in 2000. FENO values were log-transformed to improve normality. The models were adjusted for self-reported current cigarette smoking status (yes/no), age, and sampling year. In addition, an interaction term between sampling year and PM₂.₅ exposure was included in the model. The level of significance for all analyses was 0.05.

**Results**

**Description of study population.** Population demographic data are summarized in Table 1. The study population consisted of 32 men, 31 of whom were white (97%). Thirteen of the 32 subjects (41%) were current cigarette smokers. Their ages ranged from 18 to 59 years, with 2 weeks to 40 years of boilermaking experience. Twenty subjects were sampled in 1999, and 14 subjects, including two that were monitored in 1999, were sampled in 2000. Of the 32 subjects, six subjects entered the cohort on the second day of sampling because they had not attended work the previous day. Three subjects dropped out of the study after the fourth day of sampling; two subjects were transferred to a different work shift, and one subject did not come to work on the last day of sampling.

Six of the 32 subjects (19%) had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), as defined by ATS (1995). Five subjects had chronic bronchitis, as diagnosed by a physician or with symptoms as defined by ATS (1995). One subject had emphysema diagnosed by a physician. None of the subjects with COPD were on medications that could influence expired NO levels. All analyses were performed initially with the total cohort, and then analyses were rerun after excluding the subjects with COPD. Because the results from the two analyses did not differ significantly, the final results included all 32 subjects.

The baseline spirometry results are summarized in Table 1. Only subjects with reproducible FEV₁ on both days that spirometry was performed were included in the spirometry analyses. None of the demographic information was significantly different between those who had reproducible spirometry and those who did not.

**Table 1. Study population characteristics by sampling year.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study population characteristics</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of current smokers</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of subjects with COPD</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 45.4 ± 12.0</td>
<td>41.5 ± 11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>18–59</td>
<td>20–55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years as boilermaker</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 21.7 ± 12.9</td>
<td>17.4 ± 13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.04–40</td>
<td>0.08–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of subjects with complete spirometry data</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD baseline percent predicted FEV₁ &lt; 70%</td>
<td>95.8 ± 11.3</td>
<td>92.8 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD baseline percent predicted FVC &lt; 70%</td>
<td>95.4 ± 14.6</td>
<td>93.6 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD baseline percent FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>79.5 ± 7.0</td>
<td>79.3 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes two subjects that were also monitored in 1999. *Includes one subject that was also monitored in 1999. *Includes only subjects with reproducible spirometric values on both days that spirometry was performed. *Spirometric predictions were based on predicted normal values by Hankinson et al. (1999).
those who did not. The mean baseline percent predicted FEV1 was 95.8% (SD 11.3) in 1999 and 92.8% (SD 9.2) in 2000. The mean baseline percent predicted FVC was 95.4% (SD 14.6) in 1999 and 93.6% (SD 8.1) in 2000. The mean baseline percent predicted FEV1 and FVC values were not statistically different in the two sampling years ($p < 0.2$).

**Baseline measurements of FENO.** The baseline measurements of FENO are shown in Table 2. Baseline measurements were taken on average 2 days away from work in 1999 and 1 day away from work in 2000. Wilcoxon confidence intervals (CIs) and corresponding medians are presented because of the positively skewed distribution of FENO. In the 1999 cohort, the median baseline FENO was 8.8 ppb (95% CI: 7.0, 13.6) for smokers and 12.2 ppb (95% CI: 9.8, 15.9) for nonsmokers. In the 2000 cohort, the median baseline FENO was 7.6 ppb (95% CI: 6.5, 8.3) for smokers and 7.4 ppb (95% CI: 6.2, 8.6) for nonsmokers. The median baseline FENO across the two sampling years was significantly different for nonsmokers ($p = 0.002$) but not for smokers ($p < 0.20$).

**Exposure assessment.** The occupational PM$_{2.5}$ exposures for the 1999 and 2000 survey periods are shown in Table 3. The mean sampling time was 8.8 hr (SD 1.2) in 1999 and 10.9 hr (SD 1.3) in 2000. The difference in the average time monitored in the two sampling years was due to the difference in work shift length. During the overhaul in 1999, the boilermakers worked 10-hr shifts, whereas in 2000 most of the boilermakers worked 12-hr shifts. To account for this difference in work shift length, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were standardized to 8-hr time-weighted averages (TWA$s$). The Wilcoxon median PM$_{2.5}$ 8-hr TWA was 0.56 mg/m$^3$ (95% CI: 0.37, 0.93) in 1999 and 0.86 mg/m$^3$ (95% CI: 0.65, 1.07) in 2000. The median PM$_{2.5}$ 8-hr TWA$s$ were marginally different in the two sampling years ($p = 0.06$).

In 1999, 85% of the subjects stated in the questionnaires that they wore respirators while performing boiler maintenance and repair. However, it was noted by the field team that the actual use of respirators while working was limited because of the high temperatures and limited ventilation inside the power plant.

**Table 2. Baseline FENO measurements (ppb) by sampling year and cigarette smoking status.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling year</th>
<th>No. subjects</th>
<th>Median$^a$</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>(9.1, 12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonsmokers$^a$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>(6.7, 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonsmokers$^a$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Wilcoxon median. $^b$Nonsmokers include ex-cigarette smokers and never smokers.

**Table 3. Occupational PM$_{2.5}$ exposure by sampling year.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Mean ± SD sampling time, hr</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Wilcoxon median.

**Table 4. Changes in FENO and spirometric parameters from baseline (day 1) to day 5 by sampling year.$^a$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1999 ($n = 14^b$) Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>2000 ($n = 9^b$) Mean (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in FENO (ppb)</td>
<td>$-5.5^c$ (–8.8, –2.1)</td>
<td>$+1.0^c$ (–0.2, 2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>$-0.17^c$ (–0.24, –0.09)</td>
<td>$-0.05^c$ (–0.19, 0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in FVC (L)</td>
<td>$-0.14^c$ (–0.23, –0.04)</td>
<td>$+0.02^c$ (–0.18, 0.22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Change = day 5 – day 1. $^b$n = number of subjects. Includes only subjects with complete data (FENO and reproducible spirometric values on both days 1 and 5).

A similar trend was seen in the mean change in FEV1 and FVC. The mean change in FEV1 was $-0.17$ L (95% CI: $-0.24$, $-0.09$) for 1999 and $-0.05$ L (95% CI: $-0.19$, 0.09) for 2000. Likewise, the mean change in FVC was $-0.14$ L (95% CI: $-0.23$, $-0.04$) for 1999 subjects and $+0.02$ L (95% CI: $-0.18$, 0.22) for 2000 subjects. Compared with baseline levels, the FENO, FEV1, and FVC values were significantly lower on day 5 in the 1999 subjects ($p < 0.01$). In contrast to 1999 data, the FENO, FEV1, and FVC values from day 5 did not differ statistically from the baseline measurements in 2000. The changes in FENO, FEV1, and FVC values did not differ by smoking status.

Baseline-adjusted changes were used to determine the correlation between FENO and spirometric parameters. In both 1999 and 2000, the changes in FENO were significantly correlated to the changes in FEV1 $(r = 0.51$, $p = 0.01)$ and moderately correlated with changes in FVC $(r = 0.39$, $p = 0.07)$.
with a change of -0.22 (95% CI: -0.36, -0.08) in log FENO. Residual analysis indicated that there were two subjects with standardized residuals greater than 2. After excluding the two potential statistical outliers, log FENO decreased by 0.19 (95% CI: -0.32, -0.05) for each 1 mg/m³ of PM2.5 exposure. Although the two outlying subjects increased the magnitude of the association between PM2.5 exposure and log FENO, their influence was marginal.

For the subjects sampled in year 2000, there was no association between PM2.5 exposure on the previous workday and preshift log FENO. After adjusting for cigarette smoking status, age, and sampling year, the PM2.5 regression coefficient was 0.02 (95% CI: -0.15, 0.18).

### Discussion

In the present study, short-term occupational exposure to particulates was associated with a significant decrease in FENO and spirometric indices. A significant inverse exposure–response association between log FENO and PM2.5 8-hr TWA exposure was found. However, these associations were seen only in subjects tested in 1999. In the group of boilermakers sampled in 2000, there was no change in FENO or spirometric indices, and no exposure–response relationship between log FENO and PM2.5 exposure.

A possible explanation for the lack of change in FENO and spirometric parameters, and lack of an exposure–response relationship between PM2.5 exposure and FENO in the 2000 subjects could be attributable to respirator use. During the sampling week in June 1999, temperatures near 100°F (38°C) inside the power plant because of a heat wave and limited ventilation. The difficult environmental conditions might have prevented the boilermakers from wearing their respirators. In contrast, the climate was much cooler during the sampling period in October 2000, making the use of respirators more tolerable. Because the half-mask respirators used by the boilermakers had a particle filter efficiency greater than 99% for particles with an aerodynamic mass median diameter of 0.3 µm, respirator use would have significantly decreased the exposure to particulates during the sampling year 2000. The reduced particulate exposure might explain the lack of a difference between baseline FENO, FEV1, and FVC measurements and measurements taken during the work week in 2000.

Previous studies have shown that particulate air pollution is associated with an increase in expired NO levels (Steerenberg et al. 2001; Van Amsterdam et al. 1999). In a study by Steerenberg et al. (2001), exposure to particulate air pollution was associated with an increase in FENO. Although the results of our study are consistent with the results from Steerenberg et al., there are several important differences in the two studies. First, Steerenberg et al. used particulate matter with an aerodynamic mass median diameter of ±10 µm (PM10) as the marker for particulate exposure, whereas we used PM2.5. Our study chose PM2.5 because fine particles have been found to have a stronger association with respiratory health effects than coarse particles with larger aerodynamic mass median diameters (Schwartz and Neas 2000). Another difference between the studies is that Steerenberg et al. studied the effects of particulate exposure from urban air pollution, whereas we studied the effects of particulates from ROFA and various boilermaking tasks such as welding and burning. Unlike ambient air, ROFA and metal fumes contain significant amounts of transition metals, including vanadium, nickel, and iron. In addition, the levels of exposure from the two aerosols were different. Typical urban air has a PM2.5 concentration of approximately 10–30 µg/m³, whereas the median PM2.5 level from the occupational particulate exposure in our study was 560 µg/m³.

Other studies have observed that exposure to DEP, another component of ambient air, was associated with increased expired NO levels in mice (Lim et al. 1998; Sagai and Ichinose 1995). Lim et al. found that DEP exposure increased the level of constitutive NOS in the airway epithelium and inducible NOS in the macrophages of mice. However, another study observed that DEP reduced endothelial NOS activity in the bronchi of healthy rabbits (Muto et al. 1996). The source of the increased NO is relevant because the effect of NO may differ depending on whether it is produced by inducible or constitutive NOS. Takano et al. (1999) showed that NO produced from inducible NOS might enhance the DEP-induced inflammatory response, whereas NO derived from constitutive NOS might play a protective role against airway inflammation.

Exposure to cigarette smoke also is known to induce acute airway inflammation. However, in contrast to the results from air pollution and DEP, cigarette smoking consistently results in decreased expired NO levels (Kharitonov et al. 1995; Yates et al. 2001). One hypothesis for the reduction in expired NO is that the levels of NOS are reduced from decreased transcription of NOS. A study by Su et al. (1998) observed that cigarette smoke specifically affected constitutive NOS activity. After exposure to cigarette smoke extract, the presence of endothelial NOS and endothelial NOS mRNA was reduced in the pulmonary artery endothelial cells from pigs. The decrease in endothelial NOS activity caused by cigarette smoke extract was found to be time and dose dependent.

A recent study by Huang et al. (2002) found that ROFA instilled intratracheally into isolated perfused rabbit lungs resulted in reduced NO production, as determined by decreases in nitrite/nitrate accumulation. Huang et al. also observed that NO production was reduced after exposure to vanadium, indicating that the transition metal component of ROFA may be responsible for the decreased NO production. Huang et al.
hypothesized that the inhibition of NO production by ROFA might be related to a reduction in respiratory NOS activity, as shown in studies with cigarette smoke exposure. Therefore, the decrease in FENO observed in the boilmakers in our study might be due to a reduction in constitutive NOS activity resulting from ROFA and other metal-containing fine particulate exposure. Given the potential protective role of NO from constitutive NOS, the decreased NO levels might have been a contributing factor to the increased inflammatory and respiratory symptoms seen in our previous studies on boilmakers exposed to ROFA and other particulates (Hauser et al. 1999; Woodin et al. 2000).

In conclusion, we found an inverse exposure–response relationship between FENO and PM$_{2.5}$ in exposed workers. The results from our study show greater consistency with the studies on exposure to cigarette smoke than to those of ambient air pollution. cigarette smoke contains a significant concentration of transition metals, similar to ROFA and metal fumes (Chiba and Masironi 1992; Dreher et al. 1997). Further studies are needed to determine if the metal component of PM$_{2.5}$ is specifically responsible for the decline in FENO.

Expired NO previously has been found to be a sensitive and practical marker in the assessment of inflammatory lung diseases in a clinical setting. This study shows that FENO can be used to detect acute airway responses to metal-containing fine particulate matter in an occupational setting.
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