We describe neutron scattering, NMR relaxation, and thermal transport properties of $Z_2$ spin liquids in two dimensions. Comparison to recent experiments on the spin $S = 1/2$ triangular lattice antiferromagnet in $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$ shows that this compound may realize a $Z_2$ spin liquid. We argue that the topological ‘vison’ excitations dominate thermal transport, and that recent thermal conductivity experiments by M. Yamashita et al. have observed the vison gap.

In this paper, we will compare these observations with the $Z_2$ spin liquid state originally proposed in Refs. 12, 13, 14. The low energy excitations of this state are described by a $Z_2$ gauge theory, and the spinful excitations are constructed from $S = 1/2$ quanta (the spinons) which carry a $Z_2$ electric charge. Crucial to our purposes here are vortex-like spinless excitations which carry $Z_2$ magnetic flux, later dubbed ‘visons’ [16]. A number of solvable models of $Z_2$ spin liquids, with spinon and vison excitations, have been constructed [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. We propose here that it is the visons which dominate the thermal transport in $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$, and the gap $\Delta_v$ is therefore identified with a vison energy gap, $\Delta_v$. If our interpretation is correct, the vison has been observed by M. Yamashita et al. [4].

Our proposal requires that the density of states of low energy vison excitations is much larger than that of all other excitations. A model appropriate to $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$ is the triangular lattice $S = 1/2$ antiferromagnet with nearest neighbor two-spin exchange ($J_2$) and plaquette four-spin ($J_4$) exchange which was studied by Liming et al. [23]. They found antiferromagnetic order at $J_4 = 0$ (as in earlier work [24]), and a quantum phase transition to a spin liquid state with a spin gap around $J_4/J_2 \approx 0.1$. Notably, they found a very large density of low-lying spin singlet excitations near the transition. We propose here that $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$ is near this quantum phase transition, and identify these singlets with visons which have a small gap and bandwidth, both much smaller than the spin exchange $J_2 \approx 250K$. We will argue below that at $T \ll J_2$, and comparable to the vison bandwidth, visons will dominate the thermal transport.

Further support for the proximity of a magnetic ordering quantum critical point comes from [11] the closely related series of compounds X[Pt(dmit)$_2$]$_2$. By varying the anisotropy of the triangular lattice by varying X, we obtain compounds with decreasing magnetic ordering critical temperatures, until we eventually reach a compound with a spin gap and valence bond solid (VBS) order [25]. In between is the compound [26] with X=EtMe$_3$P which has been proposed to be at the quantum critical point [11], and has properties similar to $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$. Fi-
nally, series expansion studies also place the triangular lattice antiferromagnet near a quantum critical point between magnetically ordered and VBS states.

A description of the NMR experiments requires a theory for the spinon excitations of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid. The many models of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquids [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22] have cases with either fermionic or bosonic spinons. While we do not find a satisfactory explanation for the NMR with fermionic spinons, we show that a model [12, 13, 14] of bosonic spinons in a spin liquid close to the quantum phase transition to the antiferromagnetically ordered state (as found in the model of Liming et al. [23]) does naturally explain the $T$ dependence of $1/T_1$. We shall show below that the quantum critical region for this transition leads to $1/T_1 \sim T^0$ with the exponent $\bar{\eta} = 1.37$, reasonably close to the measured value $a = 1.5$. It is important to note that the vison gap, $\Delta_v$, remains non-zero across this magnetic ordering critical point [33]. Consequently, our interpretation of the experimental critical form

$$
\chi(k, \omega) = \frac{A}{(c^2 k^2 - \omega^2)^{1-\eta/2}},
$$

where the exponent $\bar{\eta}$ is related to the scaling dimension of the composite spin operator $\sim z_\alpha \sigma^\alpha_i \sigma^\alpha_j$ ($\sigma$ are the Pauli matrices), and is known with high precision from field-theoretic studies $\bar{\eta} = 1.374(12)$ and Monte Carlo simulations $\bar{\eta} = 1.373(2)$. The overall amplitude $A$ is non-universal, but the same $A$ will appear in a number of results below. Integrating Eq. (2) over all $k$, we obtain the local susceptibility $\chi_L(\omega)$, which is also often measured in scattering experiments, again at $g = g_c$ and $T = 0$

$$
\text{Im} \chi_L(\omega) = \frac{A \text{sgn}(\omega) \sin(\pi \bar{\eta}/2)}{\pi \bar{\eta}/2} |\omega|^{\bar{\eta}}. 
$$

Let us now move into the spin liquid state, with $g > g_c$, where the spinons have an energy gap $\Delta_z$. The critical results in Eqs. (2) and (3) will apply for $|\omega| \gg \Delta_z$, but for $|\omega| \sim 2\Delta_z$, we will have spectra characteristic of the creation of a pair of spinons (we set $\hbar = 1$, although it appears explicitly in a few expressions below). Computing the pair creation amplitude of non-interacting spinons, we obtain a step-discontinuity threshold at $\omega = \sqrt{c^2 k^2 + 4 \Delta_z^2}$ (at $T = 0$). However, the spinons do have a repulsive interaction with each other, and this reduces the phase space for spinon creation at low momentum, as described in the supplementary material; the actual threshold behavior is:

$$
\text{Im} \chi(k, \omega) = \frac{A C \text{sgn}(\omega) \theta (|\omega| - \sqrt{k^2 + 4 \Delta_z^2})}{\ln^2 \left( \frac{\omega^2 - k^2 - 4 \Delta_z^2}{16 \Delta_z^2} \right)},
$$

where $C$ is a universal constant; to leading order in the $1/N$ expansion, $C = N^2/16$. We can also integrate the $k$-dependent generalization of Eq. (4) to obtain a threshold behavior for the local susceptibility at $2\Delta_z$: $\text{Im} \chi_L(\omega) \sim \text{sgn}(\omega)(|\omega| - 2\Delta_z)/\ln^2(|\omega| - 2\Delta_z)$. (ii) NMR relaxation. Turning to the NMR relaxation rate, we have to consider $T > 0$, and compute

$$
\Gamma = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{k_B T}{\omega} \text{Im} \chi_L(\omega).
$$

This is far more subtle than the computations at $T = 0$, because we have to compute the damping of the quantum critical excitations at $T > 0$ and extend to the regime $\omega \ll T$. From general scaling arguments [32], we have

$$
\Gamma = \frac{A}{c^2}(k_B T)^\Psi \Phi(\Delta_z/(k_B T)),
$$

where $\Phi$ is a universal function. The computation of $\Phi$ for undamped spinons at $N = \infty$ is straightforward,
and unlike the case for confining antiferromagnets [32], yields a reasonable non-zero answer: \( \Phi(y) = [4\pi e^{y/2}(1 + \sqrt{4 + e^y})]^{-1} \). However, the \( 1/N \) corrections are singular, because \( \Gamma \) has a singular dependence upon the spinon lifetime. A self-consistent treatment of the spinon damping is described in the supplementary material, and leads to the quantum-critical result (\( \Delta_z = 0 \)):

\[
\Phi(0) = \frac{(\sqrt{5} - 1)}{16\pi} \left( 1 + 0.931 \ln \frac{N}{N} + \ldots \right). 
\]

(iii) Thermal conductivity. We now turn to the thermal transport co-efficient measured in the recent revealing experiments of Ref. 4. We consider the contribution of the spinons and visons in turn below, presenting further arguments on why the vison contribution can dominate in the experiments.

(iii.a) Spinons. For agreement with the NMR measurements of \( 1/T \), we need the spinons to be in the quantum critical regime, as described above. Therefore, we limit our considerations here to the quantum critical thermal conductivity of the spinons, \( \kappa_z \), with \( \Delta_z = 0 \). This can be obtained from the recent general theory of quantum critical transport [32] which yields

\[
\kappa_z = s c^2 \tau_{Z \text{imp}},
\]

where \( s \) is the entropy density of the spinons, and \( 1/\tau_{Z \text{imp}} \) is the spinon momentum relaxation rate, with the \( T \) dependence

\[
\tau_{Z \text{imp}} \sim T^{2/\nu - 3}.
\]

Here \( \nu \) is the critical exponent of the \( O(4) \) model [34], \( \nu = 0.749(2) \), and so \( \tau_{Z \text{imp}} \sim T^{-0.33} \). The two dimensional entropy density can be obtained from the results of Ref. 32:

\[
s = \frac{3N\zeta(3)k_B^2T^2}{2\pi\hbar^2c^2}\left[ \frac{4 - 0.3344}{N} + \ldots \right],
\]

where \( \zeta \) is the Riemann zeta function. We estimate the co-efficient in Eq. 9 in the supplementary material using a soft-spin theory with the spinons moving in a random potential, \( V(r) |z_c|^2 \), due to impurities of density \( n_{\text{imp}} \) each exerting a Yukawa potential \( V_q = V_z/(q^2 + \mu^2) \); this leads to

\[
\kappa_z \sim \frac{N^2\hbar^4k_B^4T^2T_z}{a m_{n_{\text{imp}}}V_z^2} \times \left( \frac{T}{T_z} \right)^{2/\nu - 3}.
\]

Here \( a \) is the spacing between the layers, and \( T_z \) is the spinon bandwidth in temperature units and is proportional to the spinon velocity \( a \).

(iii.b) Visons. The visons are thermally excited across an energy gap, \( \Delta_v \), and so can be considered to be a dilute Boltzmann gas of particles of mass \( m_v \). We assume there are \( N_v \) species of visons. The visons see the background filling of spins as a magnetic flux through the plaquette on the dual lattice, and hence the dynamics of visons can be well described by a fully-frustrated quantum Ising model on the honeycomb lattice. Detailed calculations show that there are four minima of the vison band with an emergent \( O(4) \) flavor symmetry at low energy [17], therefore \( N_v = 4 \). As with the spinons, the visons are assumed to scatter off impurities of density \( n_{\text{imp}} \) with, say, a Yukawa potential \( V_q = V_z/(q^2 + \mu^2) \). We use the fact that at low \( T \), and for a large vison mass \( m_v \), the visons are slowly moving. So each impurity scattering event can be described by a \( T \)-matrix = \( [m_v \ln(1/k)/\pi]^{-1} \) characteristic of low momentum scattering in two dimensions. Application of Fermi’s golden rule then yields a vison scattering rate \( 1/\tau_{Z \text{imp}} = \pi^2 n_{\text{imp}}/(m_v \ln^2(1/k)) \). This formula becomes applicable when \( \ln(1/k) \times V_z/(\hbar^2 \mu^2/2m_v) \gg 1 \) i.e. the impurity potential becomes nonperturbative.

We can now insert this scattering rate into a standard Boltzmann equation computation of the thermal conductivity \( \kappa_v = 2k_B T n_{\text{vis}} \tau_{Z \text{imp}}/m_v \), where \( n_{\text{vis}} \) is the thermally excited vison density and the typical momentum \( k \sim (m_v k_B T)^{1/2} \), to obtain

\[
\kappa_v = \frac{N_v m_v k_B^3 T^2 \ln^2(T_v/T) e^{-\Delta_v/(k_B T)}}{4\pi\hbar^3 n_{\text{imp}} a}.
\]

Here \( T_v \) is some ultraviolet cutoff temperature which can be taken as the vison bandwidth. Note that for a large density of states of vison excitations, i.e. a large \( m_v \), the prefactor of the exponential can be large. Similar calculations will not lead to a logarithmic divergence for the critical spinon \( z \) due to the positive anomalous dimension of \( |z|^2 \), and therefore the impurity scattering of spinons is perturbative for \( V_z/(\hbar \mu)^2 < 1 \).

Using Eq. (12), we fit the thermal conductivity measured by M. Yamashita et al. in Ref. 4 by tuning parameters \( T_v \) and \( \Delta_v \). The best fit values are \( T_v = 8.15K \), and \( \Delta_v \equiv \Delta_{\text{vis}} = 0.238K \), as shown in Fig. 1. For consistency check, we calculate the ratio between the thermal conductivities contributed by spinons and visons using Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) and assuming moderate spinon
impurity strength $V_z/(c\mu h)^2 \sim 1$:

$$
\frac{\kappa_z}{\kappa_v} \sim \frac{k_B T_z}{m_c e^2} \left( \frac{T}{T_z} \right)^{2/\nu - 3} \frac{1}{(\ln T_c/T)^2} e^{\Delta_v/k_B T} \sim \frac{T_v}{T_z} \left( \frac{T}{T_z} \right)^{2/\nu - 3} \frac{1}{(\ln T_c/T)^2} e^{\Delta_v/(k_B T)}. \quad (13)
$$

We plot this ratio in Fig. 2 with $T_z \sim J_2 = 250$ K and other parameters as above, for the experimentally relevant temperature between 0.1 K and 0.6 K; we find consistency because $\kappa$ is dominated by the vison contribution. The vison dispersion is quadratic above the vison gap, and this leads to a $T$-independent $\gamma = C_p/T$ when $T > \Delta_v$, as observed in experiments [3]. Our estimate of the vison bandwidth, $T_v$, is also consistent with a peak in both $C_p$ [3] and $\kappa$ [4] at a temperature close to $T_v$.

The vison gap, $\Delta_v$, obtained here is roughly the same as the temperature at which the $1/T_1$ of NMR starts to deviate from the low temperature scaling of Eq. (6) [2]. When $T$ is above $\Delta_v$, thermally activated visons will proliferate. We discuss a theory of the spin dynamics in this thermal vison regime in the supplement, and find a $1/T_1$ with a weaker $T$ dependence compared to that present for $T < \Delta_v$. These observations are qualitatively consistent with the NMR data for $0.25 < T < 10$ K [2].

Ref. [4] also measured the thermal conductivity, in an applied field $H$ up to 10 T. There was little change in $\kappa$ for $H < 4$ T. As $H$ couples to the conserved total spin, it only appears as an opposite “chemical potential” term for $z_{\alpha}$, modifying the temporal derivative $(\partial \epsilon + (H/2)\sigma^z)z)/(\partial \sigma - (H/2)\sigma^z)z$. At the quantum critical point, this term will induce a condensate of $z$ i.e. a non-colinear magnetically ordered state. We do not expect a significant difference in the thermal conductivity of the gapless spinons versus gapless spin-waves across this second order transition. We conjecture that the change at 4 T is associated with a vison condensation transition to a valence bond solid, as the field scale is or order the energy scales noted in the previous paragraph. This transition is possibly connected to the $H$-dependent broadening of the NMR spectra [2].

We have described the properties of a $Z_2$ spin liquid, on the verge of a transition to an magnetically ordered state. We have argued that the quantum critical spinons describe the NMR observations [2], while the visons (with a small energy gap and bandwidth) dominate the thermal transport [4].
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This supplement presents additional details on computations in the main text. The large-$N$ expansion of the nonlinear $\sigma$ model field theory of the transition between the $Z_2$ spin liquid and the phase with non-collinear magnetic order is presented in Section I. The thermal conductivity of the spinons is considered in Section II, and the NMR relaxation rate at temperatures above the vison gap is discussed in Section III.

I. LARGE-$N$ EXPANSION OF NONLINEAR $\sigma$ MODEL

The phase transition between a non-collinear Néel state and spin liquid state can be described by the O(4) nonlinear $\sigma$ model as in Eq. (1) in the main text

$$S = \frac{1}{8g} \int d^2 r d\tau |\nabla_r \sigma_\alpha|^2 + c^2 |\nabla_r z_\alpha|^2, \quad \chi(x, \tau) \sim \Pi(x, \tau) = \langle \sigma_\alpha(x, \tau) \sigma_\beta(x, \tau) z_\alpha^*(0, 0) z_\beta^*(0, 0) \rangle. \quad (3)$$

The correlation function $\Pi(k, \omega)$ for the O(4) field can then be calculated using the large-$N$ expansion. The framework of the expansion can be set up in the disordered phase as follows. First, we rewrite the constraint as a path integral over a Lagrangian multiplier field $\lambda$

$$S = \frac{N}{2g} \int d^2 r d\tau |\nabla_r z_\alpha|^2 + c^2 |\nabla_r z_\alpha|^2 + i\lambda(|z_\alpha|^2 - 1). \quad (4)$$

Here the coupling constant $g$ is rescaled from that in Eq. (1) in the main text to show the $N$ dependence in the large-$N$ limit explicitly. Integrating out the $n$ field in the above action, the path integral over $\lambda$ becomes

$$Z = \int D\lambda \exp \left[ -\frac{N}{2} \left( \text{Tr} \ln(-c^2 \nabla^2 - \partial_r^2 + i\lambda) - \frac{i}{g} \int d\tau d^2 x \lambda \right) \right]. \quad (5)$$

Therefore, in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the path integral is dominated by the contribution from the classical path, along which $\lambda$ becomes a constant given by the saddle point equation

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_\omega \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\omega^2 + c^2 k^2 + m^2} = \frac{1}{g}, \quad (6)$$

where $m^2 = i\lambda$, and $m = \Delta_\alpha^{(0)}$ is the spinon gap in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

At the $N = \infty$ order, the $\lambda$ field is treated as a constant, and the theory contains only free $z_\alpha$ field with mass gap $\Delta_\alpha$. The full large-$N$ expansion is obtained by including fluctuations of $\lambda$ controlled by the action in Eq. (5): the $N^{-n}$ order expansion corresponds to a $n$-loop correction.

The spin correlation function in Eq. (3) can be calculated from the $\Pi(k, \omega)$ correlation function for the $z_\alpha$ field using the large-$N$ expansion. At $N = \infty$ order, the correlation function is given by a bubble diagram of two free propagators,

$$\Pi_0(k, \nu) = \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_\nu \frac{G_0(p, \nu) G_0(p+k, \nu + i\omega_n)}{\beta}, \quad (7)$$

FIG. 1: Bubble diagram for the correlation function of $\Pi$. The solid line represents propagator given by equation (8).
where \( G_0(p, i\omega_n) \) is the free propagator of \( z_\alpha \) field

\[
G_0(p, i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{c^2 p^2 + \omega_n^2 + m^2}.
\] (8)

At the \( 1/N \) order, the contribution from the fluctuation of the \( \lambda \) field needs to be included at one-loop level. There are two corrections that need to be included for the bubble diagram: the self-energy correction and the vertex correction.

First, the bare propagator in Eq. (7) needs to be replaced by a propagator with a self-energy correction at one-loop level,

\[
G(k, i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{\omega_n^2 + c^2 k^2 + m^2 + \Sigma(k, i\omega_n)},
\] (9)

where the self-energy has two parts. The first part comes from an insertion of \( \lambda \) propagator on \( z_\alpha \) propagator shown in Fig. 2.

\[
\Sigma(k, i\omega_n) = 2 \frac{1}{N} \beta \sum_{\nu_n} \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} G_0(k, i\nu_n) G_0(p, i\nu_n) - G_0(k, i\omega_n),
\] (10)

The second contribution is given by Fig. 3 and the total self-energy is

\[
\Sigma(k, i\omega_n) = \tilde{\Sigma}(k, i\omega_n) - \frac{1}{\Pi_0(0, 0)} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\nu_n} \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} G_0(p, i\nu_n) \tilde{\Sigma}(k, i\nu_n) G_0(p, i\nu_n).
\] (11)

In addition to including the self-energy in the propagators of \( \Pi(k, i\omega_n) \), the vertex correction (see Fig. 4) also needs to be included.

\[
\Pi^{(1\nu)}(k, i\omega_n) = \frac{2}{N} \beta^2 \sum_{\nu_n, \epsilon_n} \int \frac{d^2 p d^2 q}{(2\pi)^4} G_0(p, i\nu_n) G_0(p + q, i\nu_n + i\epsilon_n) G_0(p + q + k, i\nu_n + i\epsilon_n + i\omega_n) G_0(p + q + k, i\nu_n + i\epsilon_n + i\omega_n).
\] (12)

**A. Local susceptibility**

In this section we consider the behavior of the imaginary part of dynamical susceptibility at the threshold to creating two spinon excitations. At \( N = \infty \) and zero temperature, the integral in the expression of \( \Pi(k, i\omega_n) \) can be evaluated analytically from Eq. (7), and the result is

\[
\Pi_0(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi \sqrt{c^2 k^2 - \omega^2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{c^2 k^2 - \omega^2}}{2m} \right).
\] (13)

The real and imaginary part of above equation have the following asymptotic behavior when \( \omega \) is just above the threshold

\[
\text{Re} \Pi_0(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \ln \left( \frac{\omega^2 - c^2 k^2 - 4m^2}{16m^2} \right),
\] (14)

and

\[
\text{Im} \Pi_0(k, \omega) = \frac{\text{sgn}(\omega)}{8\sqrt{\omega^2 - c^2 k^2}} \theta(\omega - \sqrt{c^2 k^2 + 4m^2}).
\] (15)

Naturally, Eqs (13) and (15) are connected by a Kramers-Kronig relation.
In order to relate this result for $\Pi$ to the physical spin correlation function $\chi$, we need to insert the proportional constant in Eq. (13). Combined with the spectral weight from higher loop corrections, this gives the constant $A$ appearing in Eq. (2) in the main text. The mass gap of the spinon $\Delta^2_z = m$ also receives higher loop corrections and becomes $\Delta_z$ in general. In addition, near the threshold, the factor of $\sqrt{\omega^2 - c^2k^2}$ is approximately $2\Delta_z$. Therefore the above equation can be rearranged into

$$\text{Im}\chi(k, \omega) = \frac{AN^2\text{sgn}(\omega)\theta(\omega - \sqrt{c^2k^2 + 4\Delta^2_z})}{16\Delta_z} \frac{\ln^2\left(\frac{\omega^2 - c^2k^2 - 4\Delta^2_z}{16\Delta^2_z}\right)}{\ln^2\left(\frac{\omega^2 - c^2k^2 + 4\Delta^2_z}{16\Delta^2_z}\right)}.$$ (20)

The overall scaling $\text{Im}\chi \sim \Delta_z^{-1}$ is a result at $N = \infty$, and shall be refined to $\text{Im}\chi \sim \Delta_z^{-\bar{\eta}}$ when higher loop corrections are included, where $\bar{\eta}$ is the scaling component appearing in Eq. (2) in the main text. With this correction, the above equation becomes

$$\text{Im}\chi(k, \omega) = \frac{AN^2\text{sgn}(\omega)\theta(\omega - \sqrt{c^2k^2 + 4\Delta^2_z})}{16\Delta_z^{2-\bar{\eta}}} \frac{\ln^2\left(\frac{\omega^2 - c^2k^2 - 4\Delta^2_z}{16\Delta^2_z}\right)}{\ln^2\left(\frac{\omega^2 - c^2k^2 + 4\Delta^2_z}{16\Delta^2_z}\right)},$$ (21)

which is the same as Eq. (4) in the main text with $C = N^2/16$.

### B. Relaxation rate at order $1/N$

In this section we calculate the relaxation rate at $1/N$ order, at finite temperature above the critical point. We will show that, in the leading order of $1/N$ expansion, there is a singular term proportional to $\ln N/N$.

Following Eq. (5) in the main text, we calculate

$$\Gamma = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \text{Im}\chi_L(q, \omega).$$ (22)

The singularity arises from the $1/N$ self-energy, by replacing $\chi_L$ with $\Pi$ calculated with the full Green’s function in Eq. (13).

$$\Pi(q, i\omega_n) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\nu_n} G(k, i\nu_n)G(k + q, i\nu_n + i\omega_n).$$ (23)

In the critical region, temperature is the only energy scale. Therefore we have set $\beta = 1$ in the above equation, and in the remainder of this subsection.

Plugging Eq. (23) into (22), we obtain

$$\Gamma = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \int \frac{d^2q d^2k}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{\nu_n} \text{Im}G(k, i\nu_n)G(k + q, i\nu_n + i\omega_n).$$ (24)
Using the frequency summation identity
\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \text{Im} \sum_{\nu_n} G_1(i\nu_n)G_2(i\nu_n + i\omega_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \text{Im} G_1(\epsilon)\text{Im} G_2(\epsilon) \frac{1}{2\sinh^2 \frac{\epsilon}{2}},
\]  
(25)
and changing the variable in the second integral from \(q\) to \(k + q\), we obtain
\[
\Gamma = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \frac{A(\epsilon)^2}{2\sinh^2 \frac{\epsilon}{2}},
\]  
(26)
where
\[
A(\epsilon) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \text{Im} G(k, \epsilon),
\]  
(27)
and the Green's function includes self-energy correction at \(1/N\) order
\[
G(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{i^2k^2 + m^2 - \omega^2 + \Sigma(k, \omega)}. \tag{28}
\]
Below we will see that the imaginary part of the self-energy leads to a \(\ln N/N\) term, which is more divergent than the \(1/N\) correction from the real part. So if we ignore the real part of the self-energy for the moment, the imaginary part of Green's function is
\[
\text{Im} G(k, \omega) = \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma(k, \omega)}{(c^2k^2 + m^2 - \omega^2)^2 + [\text{Im} \Sigma(k, \omega)]^2}, \tag{29}
\]
where \(\Sigma(k, \omega)\) is of order \(1/N\). For the case that \(k^2 + m^2 - \omega^2 \neq 0\), the integrand can be expanded to the order of \(1/N\) by ignoring the \(\text{Im} \Sigma\) term in the denominator. Therefore, we expand \(\text{Im} \Sigma\) around the quasiparticle pole \(ck_0 = \sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2}\):
\[
\Sigma(k, \omega) = \Sigma(k_0, \omega) + \Sigma'(k_0, \omega)(k^2 - k_0^2) + O((k^2 - k_0^2)^2); \tag{30}
\]
the integral of the second term does not have a singularity because \(k^2 - k_0^2\) is an odd function, and higher order terms are also not singular. Hence these terms result in regular corrections of the order \(1/N\). However, integrating the constant term is divergent near the pole if the \(\text{Im} \Sigma\) term is ignored. Therefore it needs to be put back and the most divergent term in \(A(\omega)\) is
\[
A(\omega) \sim \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma(k_0, \omega)}{c^2(k - k_0)^2 + [\text{Im} \Sigma(k_0, \omega)]^2}, \tag{31}
\]
and the result of this integral is
\[
A(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{8c^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \arctan \left[ \frac{\omega^2 - m^2}{\text{Im} \Sigma(\sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2}, \omega)} \right]. \tag{32}
\]
The function \(A(\omega)\) can be expanded to the first two orders of \(\text{Im} \Sigma\) as
\[
A(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{4c^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma(\sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2}, \omega)}{\omega^2 - m^2}. \tag{33}\]
Plugging this into Eq. (20), we obtain
\[
\Gamma = \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{m}^{\infty} \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\epsilon/2)} \times \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma(\sqrt{\epsilon^2 - m^2}, \omega)}{\epsilon^2 - m^2} \right]. \tag{34}
\]
The first term resembles the relaxation rate in the \(N = \infty\) limit, and the second term yields a \(1/(N \ln N)\) correction because the integrand diverges when \(\epsilon \to m\)
\[
\Gamma^{(1)} \sim \frac{1}{16\pi c^2} \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma(0, m)}{2m \sinh^2(m/2)} \ln \text{Im} \Sigma(0, m). \tag{35}\]
Here \(m\) is the mass gap of spinon in the critical region at \(\beta = 1\). In the \(N = \infty\) limit it can be evaluated analytically
\[
m = \Theta = 2 \ln \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2}.
\]
At order \(1/N\) it has been calculated that[4]
\[
\frac{1}{\tau} = -\frac{\text{Im} \Sigma(0, m)}{2m} = 0.904 \frac{\ln N}{N}.
\]
Thus we obtain
\[
\Gamma^{(1)} \sim \frac{0.904}{16\pi c^2 \sinh^2 \Theta/2} \frac{1}{N} \ln N
\]
\[
= \sqrt{5} - 1 \frac{0.931 \ln N}{16\pi c^2} \frac{N}{N}. \tag{36}\]
This is the \(\ln N/N\) correction in Eq. (7) in the main text.

II. SPINON THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY

The general equation for thermal conductivity at 2+1d CFT was given in Eq. 8 in the paper:
\[
\kappa_z = sc^2 \tau_{\text{imp}}. \tag{37}
\]
The entropy density \(s\) is given in the paper by Eq. (10). Based on simple scaling arguments, the leading order scaling behavior of momentum relaxation rate \(1/\tau_{\text{imp}}\) reads[4]:
\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{imp}}} \sim |V_{\text{imp}}|^2 T^{d+1-2/\nu}, \tag{38}
\]
with random potential \(V(r)\) coupling to \(|z|^2\), and \(V_{\text{imp}}\) is defined as \(V(r)V(r') = V_{\text{imp}}^2 \delta^2(|r - r'|).\) For a randomly distributed impurity with Yukawa potential \(V_q =
$V_z/(q^2 + \mu^2)$ and density $n_{\text{imp}}$, we can identify $V_{\text{imp}}^2 \sim n_{\text{imp}} V_z^2/\mu^4$. Compensating the dimension by inserting the spinon bandwidth $T_z$ and other physical constants, we obtain the equation for the thermal conductivity of spinons (Eq. 11 in the paper):

$$\kappa_z \sim \frac{N c^2 \hbar k^4 T^2 T_z}{a n_{\text{imp}} V_z^2} \times \left( \frac{T}{T_z} \right)^{2/\nu-3}. \quad (39)$$

Notice that this equation is only applicable to the case with $1/\tau_{\text{imp}} \ll T$.

### III. THERMAL PROLIFERATION OF VISONS

In this section we discuss the regime $T > \Delta_v$, where the visons have thermally proliferated. As noted in the paper, at these temperatures the $1/T_1$ NMR relaxation rate is observed to have a plateau [3]. We believe this is a general feature of a dense vison regime: the presence of visons makes it harder for the spinons to propagate independently, and so a vector spin model (which has a $T$-independent NMR relaxation rate [4]) becomes more appropriate.

Here we will illustrate this qualitative idea in a specific model. Rather than thinking about this as high $T$ regime for visons, imagine we reach this regime by sending $\Delta_v \rightarrow 0$ at fixed $T$. In other words, we are in the quantum critical region of a critical point where the vison gap vanishes leading to phase with the visons condensed. We have already argued in the paper that the spinons are also in the quantum critical region of a transition where the spinons condense. Thus a description of the spin dynamics in the regime $T > \Delta_v$ is provided by the quantum criticality of a multicritical point where both the spinons and visons condense. A general theory of such multicritical points has been discussed in a recent work by two of us [2]. The NMR relaxation is then given by $1/T_1 \sim T^{\eta_{\text{mc}}}$, where $\eta_{\text{mc}}$ is the anomalous dimension of the magnetic order parameter at the spinon-vison multicritical point.

Our only present estimates of $\eta_{\text{mc}}$ come from the $1/N$ expansion, and so it is useful to compare estimates of anomalous dimensions in this expansion at different quantum critical points. For the regime, $T < \Delta_z$, discussed in the main paper, the NMR relaxation is controlled by the theory in Eq. (1) describing the condensation of the spinons alone. Here we have $1/T_1 \sim T^\eta$ where

$$\eta = 1 + \frac{64}{3\pi^2 N}. \quad (40)$$

In the higher temperature regime, $T > \Delta_z$, we have $1/T_1 \sim T^{\eta_{\text{mc}}}$, and the same $1/N$ expansion for this exponent at the multicritical point where both spinons and visons condense yields [2]

$$\eta_{\text{mc}} = \eta - \frac{256}{3\pi^2 N} \times \frac{1}{1 + 256k^2/\left(\pi^2 N^2\right)}. \quad (41)$$

Here $k$ is the level of the Chern-Simons theory describing the multicritical point. The large $N$ expansion is performed with $k$ proportional to $N$, and the physical values are $k = 2$ and $N = 4$.

The key point is that $\eta_{\text{mc}} < \eta$. Hence $1/T_1$ will have a weaker dependence on $T$ for $T > \Delta_z$ than for $T < \Delta_z$.