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Experiments on underdoped cuprate superconductors suggest an intricate relation between the normal-state Nernst effect and stripe order: The Nernst signal appears enhanced near 1/8 hole doping and its onset temperature scales with the stripe-ordering temperature over some range of doping. Here, we employ a phenomenological quasiparticle model to calculate the normal-state Nernst signal in the presence of stripe order. We find that Fermi pockets caused by translational symmetry breaking lead to a strongly enhanced Nernst signal, with a sign depending on the modulation period of the ordered state and other details of the Fermi surface. This implies differences between antiferromagnetic and charge-only stripes. We also analyze the anisotropy of the Nernst signal and compare our findings with recent data from La₁₋ₓNdₓ0.₄SrₓCuO₄ and YBa₂Cu₃O₉.

I. INTRODUCTION

The pseudogap regime of cuprate superconductors has remained mysterious despite more than two decades of intense research. Among the various proposed explanations for the observed suppression of spectral weight below the doping-dependent pseudogap temperature $T^*$ are phase-incoherent Cooper pairing, symmetry-breaking orders competing with superconductivity, exotic fractionalized states, and short-range singlet correlations as precursor to the half-filled Mott insulator.

Nernst effect measurements have been established as an interesting probe of pseudogap physics. The Nernst signal, measuring the transverse voltage induced by a thermal gradient, is typically small in conventional metals. Large positive Nernst signals are known to arise from the motion of vortices in type-II superconductors. In underdoped cuprates, with experiments performed on a variety of different families, the Nernst signal has been found to rise upon cooling, with an onset temperature significantly above the superconducting $T_c$ (although it is difficult to define a sharp onset). The data have been commonly interpreted as evidence for fluctuating Cooper pairs above $T_c$; this interpretation appears supported by the observation of fluctuating diamagnetism which often varies in proportion to the Nernst coefficient. As function of doping, the onset temperature of the Nernst signal is maximum around 10%–15% doping and appears to lie below the $T^*$ line identified by other probes, in particular for doping $x < 10%$. A plausible conclusion is that fluctuating Cooper pairs do not account for all of the cuprate pseudogap. On the theory side, the Nernst signal arising from Gaussian (i.e. amplitude) pairing fluctuations has been calculated and theoretical treatments of short-lived vortex (i.e. phase) fluctuations have been put forward as well. Meanwhile it has also been established that a large Nernst signal can occur in metals with a small Fermi energy, in particular in the presence of electron and hole pockets. In underdoped cuprates, this situation has been discussed especially in a scenario of $d$-density wave order.

Recently, a more detailed investigation of the Nernst effect in the La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (or “214”) family revealed a new piece of information: In La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, which is known to display static stripe order below a temperature $T_{ch}$, an additional (positive) peak or shoulder in the temperature dependence of the Nernst signal could be identified, located at an elevated temperature and distinct from the low-temperature signal ascribed to superconducting fluctuations. As this high-temperature feature appears to follow the charge-ordering temperature $T_{ch}$ upon variation of the doping level, it has been attributed to a Fermi-surface reconstruction due to density-wave order.

A Fermi surface reconstruction due to density-wave order also appears as a candidate explanation for quantum oscillations, observed in large fields on underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ samples. Indeed, neutron-scattering experiments indicate field-induced commensurate spin-density wave order in this cuprate family. On the theory side, concrete symmetry-breaking patterns have been proposed to explain the observed quantum oscillations. Among the various ordering phenomena, stripe order plays a prominent role: While first established in certain 214 cuprates and initially considered to be special to this family, signatures of (possibly fluctuating or ordered) stripes have meanwhile been found in a variety of cuprates over a significant doping range.

Recent transport phenomenology in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ at hole concentration $x = 0.12$ has shown close similarities to the 214 cuprates, including a sign change of the Seebeck coefficient at $T \approx 50$ K and a strongly enhanced normal-state Nernst signal accessed by strong magnetic fields of up to 28 T. Interestingly, the sign of the normal-state Nernst effect is negative even at lowest temperatures, and theoretical explanations of how the sign of the Nernst coefficient is related to Fermi-surface reconstruction are lacking.

Taken together, these developments suggest that density-wave order plays a vital role in the phenomenology of underdoped cuprates. It is thus of timely importance to clarify which experimental results can be understood in terms of density-wave order of conventional...
quasiparticles, and where physics beyond the quasiparticle picture needs to be invoked. In this paper we shall present a theoretical calculation of the normal-state Nernst signal in the presence of uni-directional spin and charge density wave (i.e. stripe) order.

In fact, in Ref. 31 it was argued that charge-density-wave fluctuations were important for the pseudogap Nernst signal, and a general hydrodynamic discussion was presented at moderately high temperatures above a charge-ordering critical point. However, a specific comparison with experiment requires that we go to lower temperatures and consider the coherent dynamics of electronic quasiparticles. Such an analysis was provided for the electron-doped cuprates in Ref. 31, where it gave a tronic quasiparticles. Such an analysis was provided for the electron-doped cuprates in Ref. 31 where it gave a good account for the experimental observations.

It is the purpose of the present paper to apply such a quasiparticle analysis to the hole-doped case, by combining a mean-field description of stripe order with a Boltzmann approach to transport. At low temperatures, the normal-state Nernst signal varies linearly with $T$, and we shall discuss the sign and magnitude of this piece in connection with the Fermi-surface pockets induced by the density-wave order. The focus will be on order with real-space periods 4 (8) and 8 (16) in the charge (spin) sector, being appropriate for 1/8-doped La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ close to $y = 6.5$, respectively. In the light of a recent experiment which examined the spatial anisotropy of the Nernst coefficient in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ we shall calculate this quantity for thermal gradients both perpendicular and parallel to the stripes.

We note that recent papers have provided a detailed discussion of the effect of stripe order on quantum oscillations and the Hall effect using mean-field models similar to ours below. For both observables, reasonable agreement with experiment was pointed out, and we refer the reader to those papers for details. Below, we shall make use of the results of Refs. 31,32 when appropriate.

II. MODEL AND FORMALISM

To calculate the normal-state quasiparticle Nernst effect, we consider electrons moving on a square lattice of unit lattice constant, with the two-dimensional dispersion given by

$$\varepsilon_k = -2t_1(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - 4t_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y - 2t_3(\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y). \quad (1)$$

For all numerical calculations, we will use the parameters $t_1 = 0.38$ eV, $t_2 = -0.32t_1$, and $t_3 = -0.5t_2$ chosen to reproduce the Fermi surface measured in photoemission experiments. The two-dimensional electron density is $n = 1 - \varepsilon_\pi$ per unit cell. We shall assume a quasiparticle description with the dispersion (1) to be a reasonable approximation in the regimes of interest, i.e., either at low temperatures and strong fields or above the superconducting $T_c$ at smaller fields. Effects of pseudogap physics beyond quasiparticles, like phase-fluctuating Cooper pairs, will be briefly discussed in Sec. V.

A. Stripe order

The term “stripe” shall be used synonymously for uni-directional spin-density and charge-density wave order. A spin density wave (SDW) is specified by a vector order parameter $\phi_s(R, \tau)$, $\alpha = x,y,z$, and the spin density modulation is given by

$$\langle S_\alpha(R, \tau) \rangle = Re [e^{iQ \cdot R} \phi_s(R, \tau)] \quad (2)$$

with ordering wavevector $Q_s$. As charge density wave (CDW) we will denote a state with modulations in observables $\rho$ which are invariant under spin rotation and time reversal, such as site or bond charge density, kinetic energy, or pairing amplitude. A CDW is described by a scalar order parameter $\phi_c(R, \tau)$, such that

$$\langle \rho(R, \tau) \rangle = \rho_0 + Re [e^{iQc\cdot R} \phi_c(R, \tau)] \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_0$ is the background density. If the SDW order in Eq. (2) is collinear, it has an associated spin-singlet order parameter, i.e. it induces a CDW with wavevector $Q_c = 2Q_s$.

Historically, incommensurate SDW order in cuprates was first found in neutron scattering experiments on La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, with wavevectors $Q_{ss} = 2\pi(0.5 \pm \epsilon_s, 0.5)$ and $Q_{sy} = 2\pi(0.5, 0.5 \pm \epsilon_s)$. Corresponding charge order at $Q_{cx} = 2\pi(0, \pm \epsilon_c, 0)$ and $Q_{cy} = 2\pi(0, 0, \pm \epsilon_c)$, with $\epsilon_s = 2\epsilon_c$, was detected as well. Subsequently, such stripe order, with co-existing SDW and CDW, was also established to exist in La$_{1.8-x}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ and La$_{2-x}$Ba$_x$CuO$_4$. Whereas in La$_{2-x}$Ba$_x$CuO$_4$ the order is confined to a narrow doping range around $x = 1/8$, it appears to extend from low doping up to 20% in La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.8-x}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. In La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ with $x < 0.13$ and in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.35}$,
quasi-static SDW order was found\cite{10,11,12} while for larger doping incommensurate dynamic spin fluctuations exist.\cite{23,24,25} In both cases, strong magnetic fields applied to superconducting samples can enhance and even induce SDW order\cite{22,45,46} suggesting a competition between SDW and superconducting orders. Static order in the charge sector has not been detected in La$_2$-xSr$_x$CuO$_4$, while reports on charge order in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ remained controversial.\cite{20,21} Using scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) techniques, static short-range bond-centered modulations in the charge sector have been detected on the surface of Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ and Ca$_{2-x}$Na$_x$CuO$_2$Cl$_2$.\cite{31,32} The modulation period was close to four lattice spacings, similar to the charge order in striped compounds with doping $x \geq 1/8$.

The STM data appear to be well described by modulations in the kinetic-energy terms,\cite{50} which moreover appear to have a large d-wave component.\cite{51} Note that in both Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ and Ca$_{2-x}$Na$_x$CuO$_2$Cl$_2$ the charge order appears to exist without long-range magnetic order, although spin-glass-like magnetism has been reported in Ca$_{2-x}$Na$_x$CuO$_2$Cl$_2$.\cite{52}

With regard to Nernst effect and quantum oscillation measurements, we may expect modulations in the spin sector to be important for the Fermi-surface reconstruction, as SDW order occurs in both 214 and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ compounds in strong fields.

### B. Mean-field theory

The ordered states shall be described in a mean-field picture, where quasiparticles with the dispersion\cite{33} are subject to a periodic modulation in the site chemical potential or bond kinetic energy. Philosophically, we assume that both the quasiparticles and the modulation arise from a microscopic Hubbard or t–J model at intermediate or strong coupling. Suitable self-consistent mean-field calculations have been reported in the literature, with results which appear broadly consistent with the experimental phenomenology (for a review, see e.g. Ref. 27). Here, we will use an appropriate combination to combine this previous knowledge with experimental input (e.g. on the wavevector and magnitude of modulations), and hence we will add the periodic modulations to the quasiparticle Hamiltonian “by hand”, i.e., without performing a self-consistent evaluation. We note that SDW order can in principle be obtained in a controlled manner at weak coupling\cite{23,24,25} whereas CDW order in cuprates is likely a strong-coupling phenomenon, with additional stabilization by lattice degrees of freedom.\cite{33,27}

In the spin sector, we shall restrict our attention to collinear order. Such order leads to a scattering potential $V_S$ that connects a quasiparticle with momentum $k$ with all quasiparticle momenta $k \pm nQ$ for integer $n$. (The same applies to charge order with wavevector $Q_C$ and a scattering potential $V_C$.) As has been discussed for Cr, the Fermi surface reconstruction due to collinear SDW order is caused by a hierarchy of gaps of order $2\Delta_m \sim 2V_s^m/m$–1 opening at the crossing points of bands $\varepsilon_{k+nQ}$ and $\varepsilon_{k+(n\pm m)Q}$, where $V_s$ is the amplitude of the spin potential.\cite{53} As long as $V_s, V_C \ll t$, the Fermi surface is well described by including the lowest-order gap only, and we will neglect all matrix elements with $m > 1$ in the scattering potentials $V_s$ and $V_C$. In the mean-field Hamiltonian, we shall use the following terms describing the density waves; cartoons pictures of the resulting stripe order are shown in Fig. 1.

#### Charge density wave

A CDW is described by

$$\hat{V}_1 = \sum_{k,\sigma} (V_s(k)\epsilon^\dagger_{k+Q,\sigma}c^\dagger_{k\sigma} + \text{h.c.})$$

where $V_s(k)$ is in general complex. For the site-centered case, we modulate the on-site (Hartree-Fock) chemical potentials such that maxima/minima are located on lattice sites, i.e., with a real $V_s(k) \equiv -V_s$. A bond-centered CDW with on-site modulations is characterized by $V_s(k) \equiv -V_s e^{-iQ_s k/2}$; for modulations in the kinetic energy with primarily d-wave form factor we have $V_s(k) = -\delta t(k_\perp) e^{-iQ_s k/2}$; in both cases $Q_s = (Q_x,0)$. In the following, we shall primarily consider the latter d-wave bond modulations, which arise in a scenario of valence-bond solid formation,\cite{27,41} and have been argued\cite{28} to be consistent with the STM data of Ref. 29.

#### Collinear spin density wave

Choosing the spin quantization axis in z direction, we have in general

$$\hat{V}_2 = \sum_{k,\sigma} \sigma (V_s(k)\epsilon^\dagger_{k+Q,\sigma}c^\dagger_{k\sigma} + \text{h.c.})$$

A site-centered SDW has again a real $V_s(k) \equiv V_s$, whereas a bond-centered SDW is captured by $V_s(k) \equiv -V_s(1 + e^{-iQ_s/2})(2 \cos(Q_x/2))$ where $Q_s = (\pi \pm Q_x,0)$. The complex phases of the mean fields in Eqs. 4 and 5 have been chosen such that the resulting order parameters $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are in-phase. Moreover, with positive $V_s$ (site-centered) and positive $\delta t$ (bond-centered) the resulting modulations are such that the electron density is small where the magnitude of the magnetic moment is small (i.e. near the anti-phase domain walls)\cite{28} as in Fig. 1.

As the uni-directional density waves break the 90° rotation symmetry of the underlying square lattice, the s-wave and d$_{x-y}^2$ representations of the point group mix. This implies that the solution of a mean-field Hamiltonian with modulated on-site potentials (which may be dubbed s-wave) will also contain symmetry-compatible modulations on the bonds, with inequivalent horizontal and vertical bonds (i.e. a d-wave component). Vice versa, the solution of a mean-field Hamiltonian with d-wave bond modulations will display a finite on-site charge density modulation. Also, solving a Hamiltonian with a collinear SDW modulation only will lead to a CDW with $Q_s = 2Q_x$. 

It is the off-diagonal component \( \hat{\alpha} \) which relates electrical currents and fields to thermal currents and gradients. To measure this quantity, appropriate boundary conditions for the currents and applied fields have to be obeyed. The Nernst response is defined as the electrical field induced by a thermal gradient in the absence of an electrical current, and is given in linear response by the relation \( \mathbf{E} = - \nabla \nabla T \). In absence of charge current (i.e. when \( J = 0 \)), Eq. (6) yields:

\[
\mathbf{E} = \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \hat{\alpha} \nabla T.
\]

Therefore, the Nernst signal defined as the transverse voltage \( E_y \) generated by a thermal gradient \( \nabla T \) reads

\[
\nabla y = - \frac{\sigma_{xx} \alpha_{yx} - \sigma_{yx} \alpha_{xx}}{\sigma_{xx} \sigma_{yy} - \sigma_{xy} \sigma_{yx}} \nabla T
\]

and \( \nabla y \) is obtained from \( x \leftrightarrow y \). For a magnetic field \( B = B \hat{z} \) in \( z \) direction, the Nernst coefficient is usually defined as \( \nu_{yx} = \nabla y / B \), which tends to become field-independent at small \( B \). We employ a sign convention such that the vortex Nernst coefficient is always positive. This is achieved by the experimentally used convention that the three vectors \( \hat{E}, \nabla T \) and \( \hat{B} \) form a right-handed system for the measurements of both \( \nu_{yx} \) and \( \nu_{zx} \). In general, the Nernst signal can be negative or positive, for example if it is caused by the flow of charged quasiparticles.

We assume that the low-temperature DC transport can be described by the Boltzmann equation in relaxation-time approximation:

\[
\nabla x = \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar c} (\mathbf{v}_k \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla_k + \frac{1}{\tau_k} \mathbf{f}_k =
\]

\[
- \epsilon \mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{E} - (\epsilon_k - \mu) \mathbf{v}_k \frac{\nabla T}{T} \left( - \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial \epsilon_k} \right). \tag{9}
\]

The right-hand side has been linearized in both temperature gradient and electric field, assuming that those are weak and spatially uniform. The solution of Eq. (9) is the deviation \( g(k) \) of the non-equilibrium distribution function \( f(k) \) from the equilibrium Fermi distribution \( f_0(k) = (1 + \exp[\beta (\epsilon_k - \mu)])^{-1} \). We further assume, as is appropriate for low temperatures, that the relaxation is mainly due to randomly distributed impurities with a low density\(^{51}\) leading to a constant relaxation time \( \tau_k = \tau_0 \). This approximation is known to fail in presence of antiferromagnetic fluctuations, which lead to interaction induced drag between quasiparticles.\(^{52}\) Therefore, the assumption of a single-particle relaxation rate is restricted to temperatures below the ordering temperatures of spin and charge order.

From Eq. (9), the non-equilibrium distribution function \( g(k) \) is now readily obtained as

\[
g_k = A_k^{-1} \left[ - \epsilon \mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{E} - (\epsilon_k - \mu) \mathbf{v}_k \frac{\nabla T}{T} \right] \left( - \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial \epsilon_k} \right). \tag{10}
\]

C. Semiclassical transport

The Nernst effect is measured as a transverse electrical response to a thermal gradient, which can also generate a longitudinal electrical voltage known as thermopower. In experiment, the electric field can be applied by allowing for a weak spatial dependence in the chemical potential \( \mu \) (which is then, formally, the electrochemical potential) with \( 2e \mathbf{E} = - \nabla \mu \), while the temperature gradient describes a similar weak spatial dependence in \( T \). The interplay of electrical and thermal effects necessarily implies three conductivity tensors \( \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\alpha} \), and \( \hat{\kappa} \), which relate charge current \( \mathbf{J} \) and heat current \( \mathbf{Q} \) to electric field, \( \mathbf{E} \) and thermal gradient, \( \nabla T \) vectors:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{J} \\
\mathbf{Q}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{\sigma} & \hat{\alpha} \\
T \hat{\alpha} & \hat{\kappa}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{E} \\
- \nabla T
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}
\]
where the operator

$$A_{k} = \left[ -\frac{e}{\hbar c} (v_{k} \times B) \cdot \nabla_{k} \frac{1}{\hbar c} \right]$$

(11)

has been defined. From the solution (10), the electrical and thermal currents \(J\) and \(Q\) can be calculated as

$$J = -e \sum_{k} v_{k} g_{k}$$

$$Q = \sum_{k} v_{k} (\epsilon_{k} - \mu) g_{k}.$$  

(12)

According to Eq. (11), the transport tensors are determined from

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2e^{2}}{T} \sum_{k} v_{k}^{\mu} A_{k}^{-1} v_{k}^{\nu} \left( -\frac{\partial f_{k}^{0}}{\partial \epsilon_{k}} \right)$$

$$\alpha_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2e^{2}}{T} \sum_{k} v_{k}^{\mu} (\epsilon_{k} - \mu) A_{k}^{-1} v_{k}^{\nu} \left( -\frac{\partial f_{k}^{0}}{\partial \epsilon_{k}} \right).$$

(13)

In the usual manner, \(A_{k}^{-1}\) can be arranged as a perturbative expansion in the magnetic field \(B\) (Ref. 72) in order to obtain transport coefficients that do not depend on \(B\). For this purpose we define \(A_{k} = K_{k} + M_{k}^{B}\) where \(K_{k} = \tau_{k}^{-1}\) and \(M_{k}^{B}\) the rest. Then

$$A_{k}^{-1} = K_{k}^{-1} - K_{k}^{-1} M_{k}^{B} K_{k}^{-1} + O(B^{2})$$

(14)

The diagonal entries in Eq. (13) are obtained from the zeroth order in \(B\) in Eq. (14), while the lowest-order contribution to the off-diagonal coefficients arises from the linear order in \(B\) in the expansion (14). To this accuracy, the expressions (13) can be simplified in form of the expressions

$$\alpha_{xx} = \frac{2e^{2}}{T} \sum_{k,n} \frac{\partial f_{k}^{0}}{\partial \epsilon_{n}(k)} \epsilon_{n}(k) \tau_{0} (v_{k}^{x})^{2}$$

$$\alpha_{xy} = \frac{2e^{2}}{3hc} \sum_{k,n} \frac{\partial f_{k}^{0}}{\partial \epsilon_{n}(k)} \epsilon_{n}(k) \tau_{0} \left[ v_{k}^{y} \frac{\partial v_{k}^{y}}{\partial k_{x}} - v_{k}^{x} \frac{\partial v_{k}^{y}}{\partial k_{y}} \right]$$

$$\sigma_{xx} = -\frac{2e^{2}}{T} \sum_{k,n} \frac{\partial f_{k}^{0}}{\partial \epsilon_{n}(k)} \tau_{0} (v_{k}^{x})^{2}$$

$$\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{2e^{2}}{3hc} \sum_{k,n} \frac{\partial f_{k}^{0}}{\partial \epsilon_{n}(k)} \tau_{0} \left[ v_{k}^{y} \frac{\partial v_{k}^{y}}{\partial k_{x}} - v_{k}^{x} \frac{\partial v_{k}^{y}}{\partial k_{y}} \right]$$

(15)

which is the result we employ in the rest of the paper. On general grounds, the Hall conductivities obey \(\sigma_{xy} = -\sigma_{yx}\). Such a relation does not hold for \(\alpha_{xy,yx}\) in general. In the low-T limit of the Boltzmann Eq. (15), however, \(\alpha_{xy} = -\sigma_{yx}\) follows from Eq. (16).

It is important to note that the transport quantities in Eq. (15) describe transport within a single layer of a cuprate sample only. Apart from weak interlayer coupling (which we shall neglect here), the most important aspect of multiple layers is in the stripe directions. In 214 cuprates with a LTT lattice structure, like \(\text{La}_{1.6-x}\text{Nd}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_{4}\), the stripe orientation of neighboring layers is believed to follow the low-temperature tetragonal (LTT) distortion in-plane pattern and hence alternates from layer to layer. Thus, transport quantities have to be averaged over neighboring layers, in order to obtain the correct bulk transport coefficients. In contrast, rotation symmetry breaking in \(\text{YBa}_{2}\text{Cu}_{3}\text{O}_{y}\) compounds is expected to have the same orientation in all layers, due to the presence of \(\text{CuO}\) chains in this material. Consequently, a single-layer description of transport is sufficient. In the following, we shall discuss both the single-layer Nernst coefficients \(\nu_{yx,xy}\) as well as a symmetrized version \(\nu = (\nu_{xy} + \nu_{yx})/2\) obtained from averaging over layers.

Let us make a few more remarks on the validity of the transport equations (15). A more extensive discussion can be found in Ref. 31. By neglecting the energy dependence of the relaxation time (23), one neglects contributions to the Nernst signal which are proportional to the energy derivative of the relaxation time, defined by the derivative with respect to the position of the Fermi surface, \(\partial \tau/\partial \mu |_{E_{F}}\). This can be seen from the Mott relation

$$\alpha_{ij} = -\frac{\pi^{2} k_{B}^{2} T}{3 e} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial \mu} |_{E_{F}}$$

(16)

which is valid at temperatures sufficiently below the Fermi temperature. By employing the Mott relation in equation (6), one can see that a sizeable contribution to the Nernst signal from an energy dependence of the relaxation time requires that \(\sigma_{xx} \alpha_{yx}\) and \(\sigma_{yx} \alpha_{xx}\) have the same order of magnitude. From experiments on the hole-doped cuprates, it is known that the contribution of \(-\alpha_{yx}/\sigma_{yx}\) is dominating the low-temperature Nernst signal in order of magnitude (23) although this signal is dominated by the vortex contribution. In the electron-doped cuprates, magnetic fields can suppress the vortex contribution to the Nernst signal with a Nernst signal that remains dominated by the contribution of \(\sigma_{yx} \alpha_{xx}/(\sigma_{xx} \sigma_{yy})\). This and it appears reasonable to neglect an energy dependence of the relaxation time. In addition, various contributions of interband transitions to quasiparticle transport are neglected in the transport equations (15). These can result from thermal excitations, magnetic breakdown or also scattering on impurities. We will discuss corrections due to these effects where necessary. In general, such effects are small in the experimentally relevant regimes as long as stripe order induces band gaps of order 0.1 eV.

In order to integrate the transport equations (15) we calculated the first-order and second-order partial derivatives of the eigenvalues for each \(k\)-point of the reduced Brillouin zone by an iterative procedure (23) and discretized the Brillouin zone integrals with a mesh around the Fermi surface of an energy width proportional to temperature and extrapolated the result to zero temperature. In this limit, it follows from Eq. (16) that the Nernst signal becomes linear in temperature, with a prefactor controlling
sign and magnitude of the Nernst signal. The relaxation rate $\tau_0^{-1}$ remains a parameter in this low-temperature calculation, with the Nernst signal being proportional to $\tau_0$. Below we shall briefly discuss the temperature dependence of the Nernst signal as well; there we will employ suitable phenomenological parametrizations of $\tau(T)$.

III. NERNST EFFECT FROM STRIPE ORDER FOR $x \geq 1/8$

As discussed in Sec. II A for 214 cuprates with doping level $x \geq 1/8$ the experimentally detected modulation in the spin sector is characterized by $\epsilon_s \simeq 1/8$, i.e. the magnetic ordering wavevector is $Q^s \simeq \pi(3/4, 1)$. In this section, we shall investigate in detail the Fermi-surface reconstruction and the arising Nernst signal as functions of various modulation strengths, keeping $Q_s$ fixed at $Q^s$.  Wavevectors corresponding to longer modulation periods and doping $x < 1/8$ will be discussed in Sec. IV.

By using the stripe-induced scattering potentials defined above, the quasiparticle dispersions needed for a semiclassical calculation can be obtained by numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix. The quasiparticle bands are spin degenerate because the paramagnetic (antiferromagnetic) stripe-states are invariant under global spin-flips (global spin-flips plus a translation by one lattice spacing along the stripe-direction). Thus the spatially averaged quantities, including the quasiparticle dispersions, cannot depend on the electron spin. The general form of the Hamiltonian matrix for period-8 stripe order is (with $Q^c = \pi(1/2, 0)$)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_k & V^c_0 & 0 & V^c_0 & 0 & V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 \\
V^c_0 & \epsilon_{k+\left(\frac{\pi}{4},0\right)} + V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 & 0 & V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 \\
0 & V^c_0 & \epsilon_{k+(\pi,0)} + V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 & 0 & V^c_0 & 0 \\
V^c_0 & 0 & V^c_0 & \epsilon_{k+(\frac{\pi}{4},\pi)} + V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 & V^c_0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & V^c_0 & V^c_0 & \epsilon_{k+(\frac{3\pi}{4},\pi)} + V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 & V^c_0 \\
V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 & 0 & V^c_0 & \epsilon_{k+(\frac{\pi}{4},\pi)} + V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 \\
0 & V^c_0 & V^c_0 & 0 & V^c_0 & V^c_0 & \epsilon_{k+(\frac{\pi}{4},\pi)} + V^c_0 & 0 \\
0 & V^c_0 & 0 & 0 & V^c_0 & V^c_0 & V^c_0 & \epsilon_{k+(\frac{\pi}{4},\pi)} + V^c_0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(17)

For brevity, in this matrix we dropped the momentum dependence in the scattering potentials. Of course, these potentials in some cases depend on momentum, and this dependence is easily obtained by labeling a potential connecting energies with momenta $k + \bf{q}$ and $k + \bf{q} + Q^s_{\parallel}$ with the momentum $k + \bf{q}$ in the matrix (17). In Fig. 1 the spin and charge distributions corresponding to both bond-centered and site-centered period-8 stripe order are sketched. Without loss of generality, we shall choose spin potentials with $V^s_0 > 0$. Using the conventions given below Eqs. 4-5, and $V^s_0$ being real, it follows from the modulation of the chemical potential corresponding to Fig. 1 that $V^s_0(k) \equiv -V^s_0 < 0$ for site modulations, i.e., the s-wave part of the charge order. Its d-wave part, described by bond modulations $\delta t$, will be chosen such that sites with large spin density are connected by horizontal bonds (dimers), Fig. 1, which implies $\delta t > 0$.

A. Fermi-surface reconstruction

The particular geometry of the Fermi surface resulting from the diagonalization of Eq. 17 strongly influences the Nernst signal. Typically, open electron orbits tend to give small contributions to the Nernst signal, since they constrain the electronic motion mostly along one spatial direction and lead to a small transverse flow of carriers, as we also checked numerically. This can be understood from the expressions for the electrical and the thermoelectric Hall conductivity in Eq. 15. Their size is proportional to mass terms that measure the band curvature, which tends to be small for open orbits as compared to closed orbits.

Concerning the Nernst signal as resulting from closed electron orbits, a large Nernst signal resulting from quasiparticles usually requires the existence of oppositely charged carriers, as it is strictly zero in the simple Drude model as already noted by Sondheimer. Generally, in any realistic system, such a cancellation will be incomplete. As has been discussed in Ref. 23,34, for the formation of closed electron orbits in the Fermi surface, a finite spin-stripe potential is required, see Fig. 2. Charge stripe order can only produce hole-like pockets which eventually vanish in the limit of large charge stripe potential. Electron-like pockets pinch off at the zone boundary in presence of finite spin stripe order, becoming smaller upon increasing spin stripe potential.

Thus, order in the spin sector seems crucial to produce a sizable Nernst signal. These aspects motivate that we concentrate in the following on pure spin stripe order (in the sense that charge order is only parasitic, see the discussion in Sec. IB). Later on, we also study modifications due to charge stripe order. The impact of charge order on Fermi surfaces as resulting from pure spin stripes.

\[\ldots\]
FIG. 2: Fermi surfaces for the bond-centered period-8 stripe states with a) pure bond modulation, $\delta t = 0.05$ eV, and b) pure spin modulation, $V_s = 0.09$ eV, plotted in the first quadrant of the Brillouin zone of the underlying square lattice. The Fermi surfaces are qualitatively equivalent to those obtained from site-centered spin or charge potentials. Without spin order (case a), besides open orbits only small hole-like closed orbits with a large aspect ratio are present. Spin order (case b) induces both hole-like and electron-like closed orbits.

FIG. 3: Fermi surfaces for the bond-centered period-8 stripe states with combined spin and charge modulation, plotted in the first quadrant of the Brillouin zone of the underlying square lattice. a) $V_s = 0.09$ eV, $\delta t = 0.02$ eV. b) $V_s = 0.09$ eV, $\delta t = 0.055$ eV. With increasing bond modulation, the small hole-like pockets shrink (case a) and disappear (case b).

is illustrated in Fig. 3. For very large charge potential, the electronic motion is directed along the stripe direction, and closed electron orbits break up even in presence of sizeable spin stripe potentials, as can be seen from Fig. 4.

**B. Nernst effect from spin modulations**

Based on the above Fermi-pocket analysis, we consider a situation of spin-driven stripe order first, i.e., our mean-field Hamiltonian has modulated spin-dependent chemical potential as in Eq. (5). (For a modulation period of 8, this will induce weak charge order with period 4.)

1. Nernst signal as function of modulation strength

To set the stage, we concentrate on the Nernst signal near $1/8$ doping, where the strong positive enhancement is observed in experiments on La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ [17].

FIG. 4: As in Fig. 3 but for site-centered period eight stripe order. a) $V_s = 0.1$ eV, $V_c = 0.1$ eV. b) $V_s = 0.1$ eV, $V_c = 0.15$ eV. As above, with increasing charge modulation the Fermi pockets disappear in favor of open one-dimensional orbits.

FIG. 5: Nernst effect for period-8 antiferromagnetic stripes at doping $x = 1/8$ as function of the spin modulation; the results are identical for the site-centered and bond-centered cases. The Nernst coefficient becomes negative at $V_s \simeq 0.1$ eV, corresponding to maximal local moments of $2\mu_B \langle S_z \rangle \simeq 0.3\mu_B$. Here and in the following, $\nu_{xy}$ is Nernst signal for $\vec{\nabla}T \parallel \hat{x}$, i.e., run along $\hat{y}$, such that $\nu_{xy}$ $(\nu_{yx})$ is defined with $\vec{\nabla}T$ parallel (perpendicular) to the stripes.

Our result for the Nernst coefficient is shown in Fig. 5; note that for our Hamiltonian the results for $\nu/T$ do not depend on whether the spin stripes are site-centered or bond-centered, as the eigenvalues of the matrix (17) do not depend on the complex phase of $V_s$ if $V_c = 0$. For small values of the spin potential, the Nernst coefficient is positive and highly enhanced in comparison to the non-ordered state. For larger spin-stripe potentials, the Nernst coefficient becomes negative and then again positive for even larger spin stripe potentials. These changes can be traced back to the stripe-induced changes of Fermi pockets: Upon increasing $V_s$, the small hole pockets (see e.g. Fig. 2b) disappear at the maximum of $\nu/T$ in Fig. 5 whereas the remaining open orbits split and form pockets at the minimum of $\nu/T$ (not shown). The spatial anisotropy of the Nernst signal is small for all $V_s$. To connect the parameter $V_s$ to experiments, the or-
dered magnetic moment may be used. Experimentally, the maximum moment in the stripe structure at doping 1/8 in 214 compounds has been estimated to be half of that of the undoped parent compound (roughly 0.34μμB or ⟨Sz⟩ = 0.15) with different experimental techniques giving somewhat different results. (It can be expected that the moment is smaller away from x = 1/8.) In YBa2Cu3Oy, ordered magnetism in zero field is only observed for y ≤ 0.45, but the order appears significantly field-enhanced.32 (Based on the neutron-scattering and μSR data of Ref. 22 one may estimate the moment to be 0.05μμB at zero field and 0.07μμB at 15 T.) In our mean-field calculation, we find that ⟨Sz⟩max = 0.15 corresponds to a scattering potential Vx ≃ 0.1 eV for both bond and site-centered stripes. This value of Vx is close to the maximum in the Nernst coefficient, and values of Vx beyond this maximum correspond to unrealistically strong magnetic order.

2. Nernst signal as function of doping

We continue to study the doping dependence of the Nernst coefficient, for dopings x ≥ 1/8 where the stripe period is doping-independent. Stripe order is maximally stable near x = 1/8. Experimentally, an extrapolation of the magnetic ordering temperature in La1.6−xNd0.4SrCu4O8 yields a critical doping xc = 0.24 where spin stripe order is suggested to vanish.17,66 The simplest model assumption is then a mean-field dependence of the spin stripe order parameter, φs ∝ √x − xc for x < xc at low T. As the order parameter is linearly proportional to the modulation potential Vx, we shall employ

Vx(x) = V0√(1−x/xc),

for x below xc = 0.24 and Vx = 0 elsewhere, while keeping the ordering wavevector fixed at Qx. The amplitude V0 is set by the maximal local moment at x = 1/8, and we choose it such that ⟨Sx⟩max = 0.15 at this doping. In Fig. 4 we display the doping evolution of the Nernst coefficient resulting from these assumptions, i.e., the doping axis in this figure corresponds to a variation of both the band filling and the stripe amplitude. As expected from the data in Fig. 3, an enhanced positive Nernst coefficient occurs over a large doping range, with a maximum at 1/8 doping, and little difference between site-centered and bond-centered spin stripes. In the overdoped region, the Nernst coefficient becomes negative, as is also observed in experiment13. At lowest temperatures, the overall behavior agrees therefore well with the experimental observations in La1.6−xNd0.4SrCu4O8.17

Close to the critical doping x ≈ xc, modifications of these results due to magnetic breakdown have to be considered. If modifications of the band structure by magnetic fields are neglected, the transmission amplitude is analogous to Zener breakdown26 and is given by the expression

\[ \alpha = \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\Delta^2}{\hbar e B |v_x v_y|} \right], \]

where the Fermi velocities vx, vy ≃ vF are taken at the related crossing point of the bare bands and Δ = 2Vx is the gap induced by SDW order. Using the mean field dependence18 of the SDW gap, the doping range where the transmission amplitude is of O(1) is of the order

\[ \Delta x \approx \frac{\hbar v_F^2 B}{(V_0^2) x_c} \approx 5.3 \times 10^{-3} B x_c, \]

where we employed V0 = 0.15 eV and the universal Fermi velocity28 vF = 2.3 × 107 cm/s. Considering magnetic fields of O(10 T), this doping range is well separated from the important value x = 1/8.

3. Nernst signal as function of temperature

We now turn to the temperature dependence of the Nernst coefficient. In order to analyze how our quasiparticle calculation compares with experiment, we model the effects of finite temperature by a temperature-dependent spin stripe potential V0√(T−T/Tsp), with Tsp ≃ 60 K at x = 1/8 in La1.6−xNd0.4SrCu2O4 as observed by neutron scattering.69 In addition, we model the temperature dependence of the quasiparticle scattering rate by various parameterizations, e.g. by the linear behavior τ−1 = a + bT, with a = 0.7 K, such that τ−1(T = 0) ≃ 2T0−1(T = 70 K).24 (Here, a = τ0−1 remains a free parameter.) Since the Nernst coefficient is proportional to the relaxation time, this temperature dependence has no major influence on the overall shape of the coefficient. Our numerical results show a peak in the Nernst coefficient at around T = 20 K. Comparing this peak with the peak
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FIG. 7: Temperature dependence of the Nernst coefficient for period-8 antiferromagnetic stripes. Upon increasing temperature, the Nernst coefficient increases strongly to a large positive value which becomes maximal at around 20 K. Slightly below the ordering temperature $T_{sp} \approx 60$ K, the coefficient becomes negative, as observed in experiment. The different scattering rates have been parameterized with $a = \tau^{-1}$, $b = a/70$ K and $c = a/800$ K$^2$, and we set $V_0 = 0.1$ eV.

structure of height 50 nV/(KT) observed in Nernst measurements in La$_{1.8-x}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_x$CuO$_{4}$, our calculation requires a reasonable relaxation time $\tau \approx 0.5\hbar/(k_B T)$ to reproduce this peak height if the scattering rate is assumed to be proportional to temperature, as observed experimentally in most parts of the Brillouin zone.

For a comparison to experiments, it has also to be considered that a positive rise in the Nernst coefficient is already observed at twice the charge ordering temperature, $T = 2T_{ch}$, Therefore, it appears that already stripe fluctuations can enhance the Nernst coefficient.

C. Nernst effect from charge modulations

Long-range static charge order has been observed mainly in 214 cuprates, using neutron and x-ray scattering. In addition, short-range static modulations in the charge sector have been detected on the surface of underdoped Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ and Ca$_{2-x}$Na$_x$CuO$_2$Cl$_2$. However, reliable information about the amplitude of the charge modulation is lacking: Most scattering experiments are not directly sensitive to the charge modulation, with the exception of resonant soft x-ray scattering on La$_{1.5}/8$Ba$_{1/8}$CuO$_4$ whose quantitative analysis (which gave a factor of 4 modulation of oxygen hole densities) is, however, model dependent. From the STM data, one may infer a typical modulation amplitude in the charge sector of $\pm 20\ldots 30\%$, if the contrast in the tunneling asymmetry is interpreted as density modulation.

Charge order (i.e. order in the spin-singlet sector) may exist without spin order, both at $T = 0$ and at finite temperatures. The latter is clearly seen e.g. in La$_{1.8-x}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ in the temperature range between $T_{ch} \approx 80$ K and $T_{sp} \approx 45$ K near $x = 1/8$.

In this subsection, we consider the effect of charge-only modulations on the Nernst coefficient. As discussed in Sec. II B, the charge sector may be described by modulated on-site potentials for site-centered stripes or by a spatially modulated hopping amplitude (describing bond order) in the case of bond-centered stripes. Sample results for the Nernst coefficient are shown in Fig. 8.

A few remarks are in order. First, charge order with charge modulation below 30% cannot produce closed electron orbits, as shown in Fig. 2, and only hole-like orbits emerge. For site-centered stripes, this was already stated in Ref. 22. The direction-averaged Nernst coefficient shown in Fig. 8 is negative (or positive, but small) for both site-centered and bond-centered charge order. Overall, the magnitude of the signal is also rather small.
for reasonable potential strengths ($\delta t = 0.055$ eV leads to 20% (30%) modulation of vertical (horizontal) bond density, while site-centered stripes with $V_c = 0.1$ eV lead to 30% modulation of charge density). Thus, it cannot account for the positively enhanced Nernst coefficient which has been measured in presence of stripe order. One interesting feature of Fig. 8 is the large anisotropy of $\nu$ in the range $\delta t \approx 0.06 \ldots 0.08$ eV. This can be traced back to elongated hole pockets as in Fig. 2, which exist in this parameter range. Everywhere else the Nernst anisotropy is moderate or small.

D. Combined spin and charge modulations

We are thus lead to consider the effects of combined spin and charge stripe order. Adding charge order on top of spin stripe order has the effect of breaking up closed electron orbits into open orbits for sufficiently strong charge order, see Fig. 4. It is therefore natural to expect that transport properties resulting from pure spin stripe order will qualitatively change if charge stripe order becomes too strong. For on-site modulations, a quantitative measure for charge modulation is the relative local deviation from the mean conduction electron density. In the site-centered case, a deviation of 20% corresponds to $V_c = 0.07$ eV in presence of a spin potential of $V_s = 0.1$ eV. It turns out that the Nernst coefficient remains strongly enhanced for charge potentials of up to about $V_c = 0.05$ eV, while the coefficient becomes very small or negative for stronger charge potentials, see Fig. 9. This behavior would therefore be compatible with the normal-state Nernst coefficient in La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ if charge order leads only to modulations of 15% or below in the charge sector. A similar behavior is obtained for bond-centered spin stripes with additional bond modulations, shown in Fig. 10. For a strong bond modulation of $\delta t = 0.055$ eV with a kinetic energy modulation of about 20 – 30%, the Nernst coefficient is negative only in a small range of spin stripe potential, Fig. 10h. Finally, if the spin stripe potential is larger than $V_s = 0.1$ eV (as is required to produce a maximal local moment of $0.2\mu_B$ or more), the Nernst coefficient is positive also for the large bond modulation of $\delta t = 0.055$ eV. In order to account for the observed positive normal-state Nernst coefficient, this behavior suggests rather a bond-centered nature of charge order in La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ if the modulation in the charge sector exceeds 15%.

IV. NERNST EFFECT BELOW DOPING $x = 1/8$

The underdoped regime of the cuprates with hole dopedings below $x = 1/8$ is of interest for various reasons. First of all, the ordering wave vector in stripe-ordered 214 compounds is strongly doping dependent, $\epsilon_s \approx x$, in contrast to the constant modulation period observed for $x \geq 1/8$. In addition, recent high magnetic field experiments on underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ at hole doping of about $x = 0.1$ have reported quantum oscillations, interpreted in terms of multiple small Fermi pockets and a negative Hall coefficient.

Very recently, also a negative normal-state Nernst coefficient has been reported in underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$, which, moreover, was found to display a strong spatial
anisotropy. We note that in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$, tendencies toward stripe order appear weaker than in 214 materials. While incommensurate low-energy spin fluctuations have been observed over a large doping range of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$, the Nernst coefficient is positively enhanced. (V$_s$ = 0.1 eV corresponds to an ordered moment of $\simeq 0.3\mu_B$. For bond modulations $\delta t \lesssim 0.05$ eV, the Nernst coefficient can remain positive, see panel b).

In the following, we consider two cases of stripe order with collinear spin order of periods 10 and 16 in order to analyze the normal-state Nernst coefficient corresponding to far underdoped samples. Period 10 is motivated by the doping level $x = 0.1$ where quantum oscillations have been reported, period 16 is motivated by the neutron scattering work on YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ with $y = 6.45$ where incommensurate correlations at $\vec{Q}_s = 2\pi (0.5 \pm \epsilon_s, 0.5)$ with $\epsilon_s \simeq 0.06$ were detected. As before, we neglect the interlayer hopping part of the dispersion as well as effects of bilayer splitting and the ortho-II potential. (Note that various experiments have been performed on non-ortho-II ordered samples, e.g. quantum oscillations have been reported for such samples.)

Following Ref. [24] we will approximate the experimentally detected incommensurability $\epsilon_s = 0.06$ by the rational value 1/16 in order to obtain the reconstructed Fermi surface from the eigenvalues of a finite matrix. In this approximation, gaps of order $2\Delta_{m} \sim 2V_s^{m}/v^{m-1}$ with $m > 1$ are neglected. For experimentally relevant field strengths of 10 T or more, these gaps are broken through if $V_s < t \sim t_1$ and can indeed be neglected. This is especially the case for the ratio $V_{s} = t_{1}/6$ used in Ref. [24] for which the transmission amplitude through the $m = 3$ gap in $B = 20$ T is $\simeq 94.1\%$ (according to formula (19), using $v_{F} = 2.3 \times 10^{6}$ cm/s). In addition, we neglect also all other gaps with $m > 1$. These are either broken through by magnetic breakdown for $m > 2$ or they do not lead to closed orbits ($m = 2$), as discussed in Ref. [24].

Including both spin and charge order to our modelling leads to the $16 \times 16$ Hamiltonian matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_{k} & V_{s}^{*} & V_{s}^{*} & \ldots & V_{c} & V_{s} \\
V_{s} & \epsilon_{k+Q_{s}} & V_{s}^{*} & \ldots & 0 & V_{c} \\
V_{c} & V_{s} & \epsilon_{k+2Q_{s}} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
V_{s}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \epsilon_{k+14Q_{s}} & V_{s}^{*} \\
V_{s}^{*} & V_{c}^{*} & 0 & \ldots & V_{s} & \epsilon_{k+15Q_{s}}
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}
$$

Again, momentum dependence of the scattering potentials has been dropped in Eq. (13.9) and can be restored by labeling a potential connecting energies with momenta $k+q$ and $k+q+Q_{s}/s$ with the momentum $k+q$ in the matrix (20). Results for the Nernst coefficient of period-16 spin stripe order are shown in Fig. 11. The
modulation parameter \( V_s \) can again be connected to the magnitude of ordered moment. As stated above, the experimentally detected moment increases from 0.05 \( \mu_B \) at zero field to 0.07 \( \mu_B \) at 15 T which suggests that in field of 50 T as applied in quantum oscillation measurements an ordered moment of significantly above 0.1 \( \mu_B \) may be reached. Note that the maximum local moment in a collinear stripe structure is larger than the one inferred from neutrons which averages over the oscillation period.

Taken together, we consider values of \( V_s \gtrsim 0.07 \) to be appropriate to cause a negative Nernst signal. From the experimental results reported in Ref. 29 and our results in Fig. 11 we then would infer that field strengths of around 20–30 T are sufficient to produce a large negative normal-state Nernst coefficient in underdoped \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y \). We assume that effects of Landau quantization are negligible in this regime.

### B. Period-10 stripe order

Assuming \( \epsilon_s = x \) for doping \( x < 1/8 \) (as observed in 214 cuprates), a doping of \( x = 0.1 \) corresponds to \( \mathbf{Q}_s = \pi(4/5,1) \), leading to period-10 spin stripe order. In this case, it is not possible that both charge and spin modulations have extrema positioned on the bond centers, and we will assume a site-centered stripe geometry in the following. The Hamiltonian matrix corresponding to this type of order is thus analogous to the model formulated in Eq. (20) and can be expressed by a \( 10 \times 10 \) matrix with the appropriate ordering wavevector. We neglect a corrugation of the Fermi surface along the \( z \)-direction, which has so far only been observed in YBCO. Typical Fermi surfaces resulting from this model are described in Fig. 14.

The Nernst coefficient resulting from pure spin stripe order shows a change to negative sign at a spin potential strength of \( V_s \simeq 0.09 \text{ eV} \) corresponding to a maximal local moment of 0.25 \( \mu_B \), remaining negative up to a maximal ordered moment of 0.4 \( \mu_B \), see Fig. 12 a). The negative sign can be explained by the shrinkage of the small electron-like pockets shown in Fig. 14 upon increasing \( V_s \) above \( V_s = 0.1 \text{ eV} \), leading to a dominance of the closed hole-like orbits. As we checked numerically, for these orbits \( \alpha_{xx} \sigma_{xy} \gg \alpha_{xy} \sigma_{xx} \). Since both \( \alpha_{xx} \) and \( \sigma_{xy} \) are positive for hole-like carriers, the resulting Nernst coefficient has to be negative. Adding charge order has the effect to finally eliminate the electron-like orbits (see Fig. 14 b). This stabilizes a negative Nernst coefficient for charge potentials corresponding to up to 30% charge modulation, see Fig. 12 b).

A discussion of the finite temperature properties of the Nernst coefficient is analogous to the case of period eight stripe order. Assuming a mean-field dependence \( V_s(T) = V_0 \sqrt{1-T/T_{sp}} \) with \( V_0 = 0.12 \text{ eV} \) and \( T_{sp} = 50 \text{ K} \) taken from neutron scattering experiments,\(^{29}\) the resulting Nernst coefficient shows the two sign changes depicted in Fig. 13. These features are robust against specific parameterizations of the quasiparticle scattering rate \( \tau^{-1} \), as long as its temperature dependence is not too strong. In conclusion, in underdoped \( \text{La}_{1-x}\text{Nd}_{x}\text{Sr}_x\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_y \) and \( \text{Nd}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_y \) samples with hole concentrations of about \( x = 0.1 \) our result predicts a negative peak in the Nernst coefficient as a function of temperature. To observe this peak, eventually large magnetic fields have to be applied in order to increase spin stripe order and to decrease vortex contributions to the Nernst coefficient.

### V. INFLUENCE OF PSEUDOGAP AND LOCAL PAIRING

The model calculations presented so far have assumed the existence of metallic quasiparticles, with a large Fermi surface in the underlying symmetry-unbroken state. In underdoped cuprates, pseudogap phenomena are prominent in the temperature range \( T_c < T < T^* \) where \( T^* \) is the pseudogap temperature. According to photoemission experiments on \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) the...
Fermi surface is partially gapped, with Fermi arcs remaining near the Brillouin zone diagonals. In stripe-ordered \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) only nodal points appear to survive as low-energy excitations below the stripe-ordering temperature.

Although many theories have been proposed to explain the pseudogap regime — ranging from phase-fluctuating preformed Cooper pairs over competing orders to Mott physics and strong short-range antiferromagnetic fluctuations — its origin is still unclear. As already mentioned in the introduction, experimental data suggests that phase-fluctuating Cooper pairs alone cannot fully account for the observed pseudogap phenomena. With a lack of satisfactory descriptions of the pseudogap phase, we restrict ourselves to a qualitative discussion in the following.

Regarding the relation between pseudogap and enhanced Nernst coefficient at intermediate temperatures, different scenarios are conceivable, namely pseudogap and Nernst coefficient may be caused by (i) the same or (ii) different phenomena. While the resistively defined pseudogap temperature seems to coincide with the onset of a rapid change in the Nernst coefficient for dopings above 1/8, the normal-state Nernst coefficient is distinctly peaked near this doping, whereas the pseudogap continuously increases as the doping is reduced. We interpret this as evidence for scenario (ii). Then, the effect of translational symmetry breaking on the Nernst coefficient may be investigated, without fully accounting for (other) possible sources of pseudogap phenomena — this is the logic underlying the approach presented in this paper. (Note there is little doubt that the experimentally seen strong enhancement of the Nernst coefficient at temperatures near \( T_c \) is caused by superconducting fluctuations.)

In strong magnetic fields and at low temperatures, it is conceivable that the dominant source of corrections to the quasiparticle picture is given by phase-fluctuating pairing, with the phase incoherence becoming maximal near \( H_{c2} \). One possible explanation how phase fluctuations of the superconducting order parameter are compatible with most of the phenomenology of the under-doped cuprates has been recently invoked in Ref. [58]. In particular, in strong magnetic fields, appropriate to recent measurements of the Nernst and Hall effects, the influence of phase fluctuations of the superconducting order parameter was argued to lead mainly to a quasiparticle renormalization. The scattering of the quasiparticles on a fluctuating \( d \)-wave order parameter is described by the self-energy correction \[ \Sigma(k,\omega) = \Delta_0^2 \frac{-i\omega + \varepsilon_k}{\omega^2 + \varepsilon_k^2 + \pi T^2} \]  

where \( \Gamma \) is the phase decoherence rate of the order parameter amplitude \( \Delta_0 \) and \( \Delta_0 q = \frac{\Delta_0}{2}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y) \). This correction leads to the renormalized quasiparticle dispersion \[ E(k) = \varepsilon_k + \Sigma(k,\omega = 0) \]

\[ E(k) = \varepsilon_k \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta_0^2}{\varepsilon_k^2 + \pi T^2} \right) . \]

Remarkably, the original Fermi surface remains unchanged, and only renormalization of band masses and quasiparticle velocities by a factor \( 1 + \Delta_0^2/(\varepsilon_k^2 + \pi T^2) \) occurs. Since \( \Gamma \) is of the order \( \Delta_0^{-1} \) near \( H_{c2} \), we may expect no qualitative change of transport properties due to phase incoherent pairing at magnetic fields of the order \( H_{c2} \).

A final remark on Fermi surfaces: While various photoemission experiments suggest truncated Fermi surfaces in the form of arcs in the pseudogap regime, other experiments allow for an interpretation in terms of Fermi pockets, which may be the result of symmetry-broken states.
(as, in our case, stripes). The issue of arcs vs. pockets is not settled, however, it has been proposed that both matrix-element effects and disorder are responsible for the invisibility to photoemission of parts of the pockets.

VI. SUMMARY

We have calculated the normal-state Nernst coefficient in cuprates in the presence of stripe-like translational symmetry breaking. The calculations were based on a simple quasiparticle picture, combined with a Boltzmann equation approach. The results demonstrate the role of the symmetry pockets for a large quasiparticle Nernst coefficient. The existence of such pockets depends on details of the symmetry breaking order: in particular charge order alone does not easily generate pockets, but spin order is required. Depending on both spatial period and amplitude of the stripe order, both positive and negative Nernst coefficients can be generated, with sign changes as function of the stripe amplitude which can be traced back to topological changes of the Fermi surface.

A robust positive Nernst signal was mainly found for period-8 modulated antiferromagnetic order with \( Q_s = \pi(3/4, 1) \), appropriate for cuprates with doping levels \( x \geq 1/8 \), as long as the magnetic order is not assumed to be unrealistically strong. For small charge modulation, there is little qualitative difference between bond-centered and site-centered stripes; for larger charge modulation, site-centered stripes tend to destroy hole-like orbits and induce a negative Nernst coefficient. Charge order alone generates a small and typically negative Nernst coefficient. Finally, open orbits contribute a small Nernst signal only; because off-diagonal transport coefficients are small for quasi-one-dimensional bands.

The single-layer Nernst signal was naturally found to be anisotropic, but the anisotropy was small, \( \nu_{yx}/\nu_{xy} \in [0.5, 2] \), for most parameter sets. Exceptions were states with period-4 modulated charge order shown in Fig. 8. In these cases, hole pockets with large aspect ratios as shown in Fig. 2) can be present in the Fermi surface and can lead to large anisotropies of the Nernst signal.

A. Relation to experiments

Let us connect these results to experimental ones for the Nernst coefficient in cuprates. Clearly, both quasiparticles and phase-fluctuating pairing will contribute to the Nernst coefficient, with the latter not being part of the calculation presented in this paper. This pairing-induced piece of the Nernst coefficient has been studied before, and is believed to dominate in a temperature region near the superconducting \( T_c \), whereas an extra piece has been identified at elevated temperatures in \( \text{La}_{1.6-x}\text{Nd}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4 \). Our positive quasiparticle Nernst coefficient for period-8 stripes, Figs. 3 and 7 is in qualitative agreement with these experimental results. As function of temperature, the quasiparticle Nernst signal peaks below the charge ordering temperature \( T_{ch} \), vanishes linearly as \( T \to 0 \) and becomes negative at high \( T \), Fig. 7. (Adding a pairing-induced positive peak at low \( T \) would give a temperature dependence similar to experiment.) Experimentally, the temperature maximum of the extra piece in the Nernst signal appears to be above \( T_{ch} \), which may be explained in terms of strong precursor stripe fluctuations not captured in our mean-field theory. The doping dependence of the quasiparticle Nernst signal in the doping range \( 0.12 < x < 0.24 \), Fig. 6, is in qualitative agreement with experiment as well.

For magnetic modulation periods larger than 8 sites, the quasiparticle Nernst signal displays sign changes as function of the modulation amplitude. From this, we predict sign changes in the Nernst signal as function of temperature (in compounds with well-established stripe order) or as function of applied field (if the order is primarily field-induced). Indeed, in a recent experiment on \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y \) at \( y = 6.67 \), corresponding to a doping level of 0.12, the Nernst effect at a field of 28 T was found to be negative in the low-temperature limit. The signal showed substantial field dependence for smaller fields, with large positive contributions near \( T_c \) due to superconducting fluctuations, but those have been argued to be negligible in the regime above 25 T. Assuming that such fields induce sizeable SDW order with a modulation period larger than 8 (note that the observed spin correlation do not follow the relation \( x \approx \nu_{xy} \), these findings could be consistent with our calculations. Clearly, experiments on more underdoped \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y \) samples are called for.

The huge anisotropy of the Nernst signal, found recently in \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y \) at intermediate temperatures, cannot be easily explained in terms of magnetic stripe order near a van-Hove singularity appears more appropriate, while stripe order may set in at lower temperatures (where indeed the experimental Nernst anisotropy decreases).
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Our sign of $V_c$ is different from that in Refs. 23,34. With the sign used there, the electron density comes out to be enhanced (instead of suppressed) in the antiphase domain walls of the magnetic stripe order. See also: J. Lin and A. J. Millis, preprint arXiv:0908.2633.

One could go beyond the assumption of a constant $\tau_0$ by employing a golden-rule calculation of the scattering rate from point-like static impurities. As a result, $\tau$ would increase in the ordered state and would acquire some energy dependence (due to gap opening). However, as the quasiparticle Nernst coefficient appears to be dominated by band-structure effects, we neglect this physics here.
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