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Phthalates are a class of organic chemicals that have been used as plasticizers for polyvinylchloride formulations since the 1930s. These chemicals have been widely used in food packaging, medical devices and medications, toys, and building materials, as well as cosmetics and personal care products commonly used by women, such as perfume, lotion, and nail polish (Blount et al. 2000b). There is increasing concern that phthalates may have negative effects on human fertility and reproductive health, although there have been few studies on this topic. Moreover, most of the human studies suggesting an effect of phthalates on reproductive health have focused on males and have found deleterious effects on testicular function and spermatogenesis (Hauser and Calafat 2005).

Several studies in experimental animals suggest that phthalates possess endocrine-disrupting properties and can adversely affect reproductive function by antagonizing or altering the effects of endogenous sex steroid hormones (Agarwal et al. 1985, 1989; Arcadi et al. 1998; Berman and Laskey 1993; Davis et al. 1994a, 1994b; Lamb et al. 1987; Laskey and Berman 1993; Lovekamp and Davis 2001), and an emerging literature has begun to identify potential effects on female animals. Specifically, studies of sexually mature rats have found that exposure to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) and one of its metabolites, mono(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP), is associated with smaller preovulatory follicles, anovulation or delayed ovulation, longer estrous cycles, decreased synthesis of estradiol, decreased serum progesterone levels, and increased serum follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels (Davis et al. 1994a, 1994b; Lovekamp and Davis 2001). In addition, studies in pregnant and pseudopregnant rats have found associations between exposures to dibutyl phthalate (DBP) and benzyl butyl phthalate (BBzBP) and impaired implantation in mated females (Ema et al. 1998, 2000), suggesting a possible link between phthalates and alterations in the endogenous hormonal milieu. In these studies, monobutyl phthalate (MBP), the main metabolite of DBP, and other phthalate metabolites, including monoester phthalate, monophenyl phthalate, and monophenyl phthalate, had no effect on estradiol synthesis (Lovekamp and Davis 2001).

Given that some phthalates have antiestrogenic activities (Davis et al. 1994a, 1994b; Lamb et al. 1987), and some have been shown to have weak estrogenic activity in vitro (Harris et al. 1997; Picard et al. 2001), there is biologic plausibility for an effect of phthalates on the incidence of hormone-responsive conditions such as endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata (fibroids). Endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata are common disorders among reproductive-age women and are associated with considerable morbidity, including pelvic pain, menorrhagia, and infertility (Eskenazi and Warner 1997; Schwartz et al. 2000; Velesel et al. 1995). Endometriosis is characterized by growth beyond or outside the uterus of tissue resembling the endometrium. Uterine leiomyomata are benign neoplasms composed of smooth muscle cells, growing within or around the myometrium. Prevalence estimates of clinically relevant endometriosis and leiomyomata in premenopausal women are approximately 10% and 30%, respectively (Baird et al. 2003; Missmer and Mohllajee 2008; Schwartz et al. 2000). Both conditions are leading indications for hysterectomy in the United States (Farquhar and Steiner 2002).
Wilcox et al. (1994) and are associated with significant costs for lost productivity and hospitalization, accounting for several billion dollars annually in the United States for health care alone (Flynn et al. 2006; Simoens et al. 2007). Although the etiologies of both conditions remain poorly understood, there is evidence that they are influenced by endogenous sex steroid hormones (Eskenazi and Warner 1997; Schwartz et al. 2000).

Four epidemiologic studies have assessed phthalate exposures in relation to these conditions, and their findings suggest increased risk with higher levels of exposure to some phthalates (Cobellis et al. 2003; Itoh et al. 2009; Luisi et al. 2006; Reddy et al. 2006). However, these studies were small (with 15–57 cases), adjusted for few if any potential confounders, and recruited controls from clinical settings, a potential source of bias. Moreover, most of these studies relied on plasma or serum levels of phthalate diesters and monoesters as biomarkers of exposure. These exposure measures have two critical limitations. First, measurements of diesters in any biologic medium are prone to contamination from phthalate-containing laboratory supplies and other sources. Second, monoester measurements in blood are also susceptible to contamination, because serum enzymes can hydrolyze diesters in the blood to their respective monoesters after the specimen has been collected (Kato et al. 2003). The potential for contamination generally decreases when measuring phthalate monoester metabolites in urine. In assessing exposure to DEHP, the potential for contamination is virtually eliminated when measuring the metabolites produced via enzymatic oxidation (Kato et al. 2004).

Therefore, we examined the association of phthalate exposures with endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata, using cross-sectional data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 1999–2004. In addition, for 838 women, we examined urinary concentrations of two oxidative metabolites of DEHP, which were measured in NHANES 2001–2004.

**Materials and Methods**

We used data from three cycles (1999–2000, 2001–2002, 2003–2004) of NHANES [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2009] to examine the association between urinary phthalate metabolites and previously diagnosed endometriosis or uterine leiomyomata. Briefly, NHANES is an ongoing cross-sectional national survey conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics at the CDC, designed to collect data on the health and nutritional status of the civilian, noninstitutionalized U.S. population. Data estimates are sampling-probability based and are representative of the U.S. population. NHANES collects data through questionnaires, physical examinations, and laboratory tests. The assessment includes both a household interview and mobile examination component (MEC), during which blood and urine are collected. Sociodemographic information and medical histories of the survey participants and their families were collected during the household interviews (CDC 2009). All participants provided written informed consent, and the NCHS obtained institutional review board approval to conduct the survey.

**Study population.** NHANES 1999–2004 was conducted in 87 locations throughout the United States and included examinations of 31,126 people of all ages (15,942 females). A random one-third subset of participants was selected by NHANES for phthalate metabolite measurements, thereby retaining the representative aspect of these data (CDC 2009).

Women participating in the MEC assessment and who completed the reproductive health questionnaire (given to all female participants ≥12 years of age) were eligible for our analyses (n = 10,946). Questions pertaining to endometriosis and leiomyomata were restricted to women 20–54 years of age, further restricting the eligible population to 4,094 women. Of these women, 1,379 were included in the one-third subset of participants whose urinary specimens were analyzed for phthalates. We further excluded the 127 women whose urinary creatinine concentrations were <30 or >300 mg/dL (Silva et al. 2004). An additional 25 women were missing data on key covariates, leaving 1,227 women for our analyses of endometriosis concentrations. Of these women, six were missing responses pertaining to uterine leiomyomata, and thus our analyses of this outcome included 1,221 women. Because menopausal status is unlikely to influence the measurement of urinary phthalate metabolites, we retained women who were postmenopausal at the time of the MEC interview. Our analyses of two oxidative metabolites of DEHP (assessed in NHANES 2001–2004) in association with endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata, included 838 and 835 women, respectively.

Women who were excluded from our main analyses did not differ substantially from included women with respect to a range of demographic, lifestyle, and reproductive health factors. For example, these groups were similar in age (35.6 vs. 35.7 years), age at menarche (12.6 vs. 12.6 years), parity status (25% vs. 27% nulliparous), and race/ethnicity (19% vs. 21% African American).

**Assessment of exposure to phthalates.** We examined the metabolites of four prevalent phthalates measured in the three consecutive NHANES cycles: MEHP, a monoester metabolite of DEHP; MBP, the main metabolite of BzBP. In the 2001–2002 and 2003–2004 cycles, concentrations of mono-n-butyl phthalate (MnBP) and mono-isobutyl phthalate were measured separately, and we summed these concentrations to obtain total MBP concentration for these cycles. Data for other phthalate metabolites—monoclohexyl phthalate, mono-n-octyl phthalate, and monoisononyl phthalate—were also available for the three NHANES cycles. However, these metabolites were detected in <10% of the samples examined and were not included in our analyses.

Urinary concentrations of additional phthalate metabolites were introduced in the 2001–2002 cycle. Of particular interest to our study were concentrations of the two oxidative metabolites of DEHP: mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate (MEHHP) and mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxoheptyl) phthalate (MOEHP). These metabolites are produced only via enzymatic oxidation and are not produced in the environment. Moreover, their concentrations in urine are often at least an order of magnitude greater than concentrations of MEHP, making them potentially more sensitive measures of exposure to DEHP. Therefore, we also examined these two oxidative metabolites for data in the 2001–2002 and 2003–2004 cycles to evaluate the consistency of the findings for MEHP. As a summary measure of DEHP exposure, we computed the molar sum concentration of MEHP, MEHHP, and MOEHP. In exploratory analyses, we evaluated a fourth metabolite of DEHP, the oxidative metabolite, mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate (MECPP), which was measured only in the 2003–2004 cycle.

Urine specimens for analyses, including phthalate metabolites and creatinine concentrations, were collected from each participant during one of three daily examination periods (0830–1200, 1230–1600, or 1630–2000 hours) (Silva et al. 2004). After collection, urine specimens were aliquoted and stored cold (2–4°C) or frozen until they were shipped to the various laboratories for analysis (Silva et al. 2004). Urine specimens were analyzed for creatinine using a Beckman Synchron AS/ASTRA clinical analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Brea, CA) at the University of Minnesota Medical Center (Silva et al. 2004). Samples collected for phthalate metabolite measurements were shipped on dry ice to the CDC’s National Center for Environmental Health. Urine samples were stored frozen at or below −20°C until analyzed. The samples were analyzed by solid-phase extraction followed by isotope-dilution high-performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry as previously described (Blount et al. 2000a; Silva et al. 2003). To correct for urine dilution, we divided all phthalate metabolite concentrations by urinary creatinine concentration (Jackson 2004).
1966) and used the resulting creatinine-corrected concentrations for our primary analyses. Concentrations below the limit of detection (LOD) were replaced with the LOD divided by the square root of 2 (Hornung and Reed 1990). For any given urinary metabolite, the proportion of women in our study who had concentrations < LOD ranged from 0.2% to 20.5%.

Assessment of endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata. We classified each woman’s history of endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata according to her response to two questions: a) “Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that you had endometriosis?” b) “Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that you had uterine fibroids?” Women who answered positively to either of these questions were further queried regarding their age at first diagnosis (open-ended question) (CDC 2009).

Assessment of covariates. Data on age at menarche, gravidity, parity, current pregnancy status, breast-feeding, use of hormonal contraception, menopausal status, and gynecologic surgeries were obtained from the NHANES reproductive health questionnaire. We obtained data on age and race/ethnicity from the NHANES home interview.

Statistical analysis. We conducted all statistical analyses using SAS-callable SUDAAN, release 9.2.2 (RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC). Unless specified, our analyses accounted for the complex sampling design of and nonresponse to the NHANES through use of sampling weights and sample design variables. Sampling errors were estimated using the Taylor series linearized method (CDC 2005).

We computed geometric mean phthalate metabolite concentrations within diagnosis groups, testing differences by case status using linear regression models of log-transformed phthalate concentrations. Within quartiles of each phthalate metabolite and within case status for each of the two outcomes, we determined means and frequencies of various characteristics, testing differences using linear and logistic regression models. To evaluate the association between exposure to phthalates and the two outcomes, we used multiple logistic regression to derive adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) across quartiles of each phthalate metabolite concentration in relation to endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata. Tests for trend were calculated by including in the regression model a single variable coded as the median of each exposure quartile (Breslow and Day 1987). We conducted separate analyses for each phthalate metabolite and for each outcome, comparing women who reported a history of the outcome with those who did not. Because endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata share some common hormonal characteristics, we also analyzed a combined end point defined as having a history of one or both conditions, allowing for increased statistical power, the caveat being that associations could be diluted if the phthalate-related etiologies differ by outcome. Because the distributions of the urinary phthalate concentrations were strongly right-skewed, we also conducted analyses in which we compared women in the highest quartile of each metabolite with those in the lowest three quartiles.

As potential confounders, we examined variables that were associated with urinary phthalate concentrations in our study population and are also considered well-established risk factors for the outcomes of interest. Final models were adjusted for age (years) at time of NHANES urine sample collection, race/ethnicity (African American, white, other), age at menarche (years), and both current pregnancy and breast-feeding status. Because of the possibility that hysterectomy, nulliparity, and oral contraceptive use could be consequences of the outcomes, we did not adjust for these variables. For models involving only 2001–2004 data, we did not adjust for breast-feeding status, because very few women in this population (n = 28) reported breast-feeding. We were unable to consider infertility history, because these data were not publicly available.

The exposure interval directly reflected by urinary phthalate concentrations is quite short. To evaluate the associations between phthalate exposures and the two outcomes in a manner that better reflected the exposures that were actually measured, we conducted secondary analyses that excluded women who had the diagnosis of interest or a hysterectomy > 10 years before their NHANES evaluation [leaving n = 1,146 (47 cases) and 1,134 (96 cases) for analyses of endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata, respectively] and > 7 years before [leaving n = 1,128 (38 cases) and 1,112 (79 cases) for endometriosis and leiomyomata, respectively]. For the analyses of uterine leiomyomata and the combined end point, we had sufficient cases to additionally make these exclusions on the basis of a 5-year interval [leaving n = 1,096 (67 cases) for leiomyomata analyses].

We performed several sensitivity analyses. In parallel to our primary analyses of creatinine-corrected urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations, we conducted analyses using raw phthalate metabolite concentrations as the measures of exposure and then included urinary creatinine as an additional term in the logistic regression models. We also reanalyzed the data, allowing for the inclusion of the 127 women with very low (< 30 mg/dL) or very high (＞ 300 mg/dL) urinary creatinine concentrations. Finally, although our analyses of MEHP and MEOHP constitute a sensitivity analysis of the MEHP analyses, for enhanced comparability, we evaluated MEHP over the same period in which the oxidative metabolites were assessed (2001–2004).

We considered a p-value < 0.05 to be statistically significant. For all analyses, we used creatinine-corrected urinary concentrations of the phthalate metabolites, unless stated otherwise.

Results
Among the 1,227 women included in our analysis, the unweighted percentages of those 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, and 50–54 years of age were, respectively, 33.8%, 27.1%, 27.8% and 11.3%. Eighty-seven women (7%) reported a previous diagnosis of endometriosis, 151 (12%) reported a previous diagnosis of uterine leiomyomata, and 201 (16%) reported a previous diagnosis of either condition [34 (3%) had both conditions]. Women with a history of endometriosis reported that their diagnoses occurred < 1 year to 34 years before their NHANES evaluation (median, 9 years). For uterine leiomyomata, this range was < 1 to 36 years (median, 7 years).

Table 1 lists the geometric mean urinary concentrations of the phthalate metabolites. Urinary concentrations of MBP were somewhat higher among women with endometriosis, and women with endometriosis or leiomyomata had significantly lower concentrations of MEHP than did women without these conditions (p = 0.03).

Women in the highest quartiles of urinary MEP were significantly more likely to be non-Hispanic black or Mexican American than were women in the lowest quartiles (Table 2). Women in the lowest MEP quartile were more likely to be currently breast-feeding than were women in the higher quartiles. Women in the highest quartile of urinary MBrp were significantly younger, less likely to be Mexican-American, and more likely to be pregnant than were women in the lower quartiles. None of the characteristics varied significantly across quartiles of urinary MEHP, but some patterns emerged in data on the DEHP oxidative metabolites (data not shown). For example, compared with the lowest quartiles of urinary MEOHP, women in the highest quartile were more likely to be non-Hispanic white (p = 0.02).

Women who reported a history of endometriosis were significantly older, more likely to be non-Hispanic white, and less likely to be non-Hispanic black or Mexican American than were noncases (Table 2). They were also significantly less likely to have been currently breast-feeding. Women who reported a history of uterine leiomyomata were also significantly older than noncases, but in contrast to the race/ethnicity pattern for endometriosis, leiomyomata cases were significantly more likely than noncases to be non-Hispanic black. Nulliparity and current use of oral contraceptives were significantly less common among leiomyomata cases than among noncases.
History of hysterectomy was common among women who reported either condition. Urinary concentration of MBP was weakly associated with increased odds of both endometriosis (highest vs. lowest three quartiles: OR = 1.36; 95% CI, 0.77–2.41) and leiomyomata (highest vs. lowest three quartiles: OR = 1.56; 95% CI, 0.93–2.61) (Table 3). When we examined the outcomes as a combined end point, the OR comparing the highest versus lowest three quartiles of urinary MBP was 1.71 (95% CI, 1.07–2.75). Urinary concentration of MEHP was inversely associated with both endometriosis (highest vs. lowest three quartiles: OR = 0.44; 95% CI, 0.19–1.02) and leiomyomata (highest vs. lowest three quartiles: OR = 0.63; 95% CI, 0.35–1.12). For endometriosis, ORs decreased significantly with progressively higher levels of MEHP (p_trend = 0.05). For the outcomes combined, we found statistically significant inverse associations corresponding to both the contrast between highest and lowest three quartiles of MEHP (OR = 0.59; 95% CI, 0.37–0.95) and the trend across increasing quartiles of MEHP (p_trend = 0.03).

The associations of urinary MBP and MEHP concentrations with these outcomes either remained unchanged or became stronger when we excluded women whose diagnoses or hysterectomy occurred further in the past. For MBP and leiomyomata, when we excluded women whose leiomyomata diagnosis or hysterectomy occurred > 7 years before their NHANES examination, the OR for women in the highest quartile of MBP concentration versus those in the lowest three quartiles increased to 1.86 (95% CI, 0.94–3.68). The corresponding OR for the combined outcome increased to 2.16 (95% CI, 1.23–3.77), with similar findings with exclusions based on a 5-year interval from diagnosis. For MEHP and endometriosis, when we excluded women whose diagnosis or hysterectomy occurred > 7 years before their NHANES examination, the OR for women in the highest quartile of MEHP concentration versus those in the lowest three quartiles decreased to 0.19 (95% CI, 0.05–0.78). For analyses of MEHP and the combined outcome, when we made exclusions based on a 5-year interval, the findings were consistent with those from our main analyses.

In analyses of the oxidative metabolites of DEHP (MEHHP and MEOHP), we found associations that were consistent with that between MBP and endometriosis, but not with that between MEHP and leiomyomata (Table 4). Specifically, the OR of endometriosis for women in the highest quartile of MEHHP concentration versus those in the lowest three quartiles was 0.46 (95% CI, 0.18–1.21), and the comparable ORs for MEOHP and the molar sum of MEHP, MEHHP, and MEOHP also indicated an inverse association. In contrast, concentrations of the oxidative metabolites and the molar sum were not consistently associated with leiomyomata. Results for the highest versus the lowest three quartiles of MECPP, measured only in 2003–2004, were largely consistent with

---

**Table 1.** Geometric mean urinary creatinine and creatinine-corrected urinary concentration of phthalate metabolites, by diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phthalate metabolites (ng/mg)</th>
<th>All women (n = 1,227)</th>
<th>Women with endometriosis (n = 87)</th>
<th>Women with uterine leiomyomata (n = 151)</th>
<th>Women with neither condition (n = 1,000)</th>
<th>Percentage of all women with levels &lt; LODb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>106.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>108.4 (8.3)</td>
<td>114.0 (5.8)</td>
<td>107.2 (3.0)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td>26.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>29.0 (4.1)</td>
<td>27.1 (2.6)</td>
<td>25.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEHP</td>
<td>3.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.1)*</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEHHP</td>
<td>14.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>14.4 (2.5)</td>
<td>13.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>14.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEHHP</td>
<td>18.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>16.5 (2.8)</td>
<td>20.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>19.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEOHP</td>
<td>13.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>11.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>13.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>13.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aNumbers of women with and without a diagnosis do not sum to the total (1,227) because 34 women have both diagnoses, and data on diagnosis of uterine leiomyomata are missing for three women. bPercentages for MEHHP and MEOHP are based on the 2001–2004 sample population (n = 838). c= 838, 57, 113, and 689 for all women, women with endometriosis, women with uterine leiomyomata, and women with neither condition, respectively. *Significantly higher (p < 0.05) than among women with endometriosis or uterine leiomyomata.

**Table 2.** Characteristics of the study population, by quartile of creatinine-corrected urinary phthalate concentration and by diagnosis status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile</th>
<th>Range (ng/mg)</th>
<th>Mean%</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic black</th>
<th>Mexican American</th>
<th>Other/mixed</th>
<th>Nulliparous</th>
<th>Currently pregnant</th>
<th>Currently breastfeeding</th>
<th>Age at menarche (years)</th>
<th>Currently uses oral contraceptives</th>
<th>History of hysterectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
<td>0.0–15.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2–1.4</td>
<td>15.7–25.3</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5–3.2</td>
<td>25.4–41.3</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3–6.3</td>
<td>210–517</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4–538</td>
<td>518–29,986</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>15.8*</td>
<td>11.2*</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
<td>0.2–7.7</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.7*</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2–7.7</td>
<td>7.8–13.1</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8–13.1</td>
<td>13.2–23.2</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.3–500</td>
<td>259–1,152</td>
<td>35.9*</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>5.8*</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>9.5*</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Different across the quartiles or by diagnosis, p < 0.05.

---
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the pattern of these findings, with OR = 0.49 (95% CI, 0.12–1.93) for endometriosis (57 cases) and OR = 1.63 (95% CI, 0.89–2.96) for uterine leiomyomata (113 cases).

Urinary concentrations of MEP and MBzP were not appreciably associated with risk of endometriosis or leiomyomata. Because current pregnancy was more common with higher urinary MBzP and less common in both outcomes, we repeated analyses of MBzP excluding pregnant women, but the results were similar.

Moreover, our results overall did not change after further adjustment for parity or use of oral contraceptives or when we excluded women who used oral contraceptives. They also did not change substantially when we replaced the creatinine-corrected urinary phthalate concentrations with uncorrected concentrations or when we included women with extreme creatinine concentrations.

**Discussion**

In this large nationally representative cross-sectional study, in which we used urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations as biomarkers of exposure to four parent phthalates, we observed weak positive associations for urinary MEP, and weak inverse associations for urinary MEHP, in relation to self-reported history of endometriosis and leiomyomata. Our analyses of the two oxidative metabolites of DEHP—MEHHP and MEQHP—yielded findings that were consistent with our findings for MEHP with respect to endometriosis, but not with respect to uterine leiomyomata. We found little evidence for associations of urinary MEP and MBzP with each of these outcomes.

Our results for MEHP and endometriosis do not agree with findings from the three case–control studies on this subject, all which identified positive associations between markers of DEHP exposure and risk of endometriosis. Cobelli et al. (2003) reported higher plasma DEHP concentrations [median, 0.57 µg/mL; interquartile range (IQR), 0.06–1.23] among women with endometriosis than among controls (0.18 µg/mL; IQR, 0.0–0.44; p = 0.005). However, plasma MEHP concentrations were generally lower and did not differ between the outcome groups: for cases the median MEHP was 0.38 µg/mL (IQR, 0.1–0.97), and for controls the median was 0.58 µg/mL (IQR, 0.34–0.71). Our MEHP results also diverge from a subsequent case–control study by Reddy et al. (2006), in which women with laparoscopy-confirmed endometriosis had significantly higher plasma concentrations of DEHP compared with controls, and concentrations appeared more elevated with increasingly severe stage of endometriosis. In a third case–control study by Itoh et al. (2009),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Adjusted ORs of endometriosis or uterine leiomyomata by concentration of creatinine-corrected urinary phthalate metabolite, in quartiles (1999–2004).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MBP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cases/n</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endometriosis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uterine leiomyomata</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endometriosis or uterine leiomyomata</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest Q</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q, quartile.

*Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, age at menarche, current pregnancy status, and current breast-feeding status.
Cases/12/223 - MEOHP OR 95% CI 
0.60 (0.24–1.50) 
Cases/-0.96 (0.63–1.47) 
0.97 (0.55–1.71) 
et al. (2003) 
Cases/feeding status created model instability. 
before anesthesia for laparoscopy, when the 
et al. (2003) 
affected by supplies used in very recent medical 
procedures associated with the clinical condi 
tions, all three case–control studies enrolled women with laparoscopically 
confirmed endometriosis. Cases in the Cobellis 
study were collected immediately 
hysterectomy-confirmed cases of leiomyomata 
were more likely 
early age at menarche and nulliparity, which 
levels didn't significantly differ between leiomyomata 
cases and controls (Jung et al. 2004). Several epidemiologic studies show an 
increased risk of leiomyomata associated with reproductive and hormonal factors, including 
early age at menarche and nulliparity, which 
Table 4. Adjusted^a ORs of endometriosis or uterine leiomyomata by concentration of creatinine-corrected urinary phthalate metabolites of DEHP (2001–2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endometriosis (n = 838)</th>
<th>MEHP Cases/n OR 95% CI</th>
<th>MEHPH Cases/n OR 95% CI</th>
<th>MEOHX Cases/n OR 95% CI</th>
<th>Molar sum of MEHP, MEHPH, and MEOHX Cases/n OR 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowest three quartiles</td>
<td>45/615 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>47/628 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>46/627 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>47/629 1.00 Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest quartile</td>
<td>12/223 0.60 (0.24–1.50)</td>
<td>10/210 0.46 (0.18–1.21)</td>
<td>11/211 0.62 (0.27–1.44)</td>
<td>10/209 0.48 (0.18–1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine leiomyomata (n = 835)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest three quartiles</td>
<td>91/612 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>87/626 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>84/625 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>86/627 1.00 Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest quartile</td>
<td>22/223 0.68 (0.32–1.37)</td>
<td>26/209 0.97 (0.55–1.71)</td>
<td>29/210 1.43 (0.87–2.37)</td>
<td>27/208 1.08 (0.60–1.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriosis or uterine leiomyomata (n = 835)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest three quartiles</td>
<td>115/612 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>116/626 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>113/625 1.00 Reference</td>
<td>115/627 1.00 Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest quartile</td>
<td>31/223 0.69 (0.38–1.25)</td>
<td>30/209 0.69 (0.34–1.12)</td>
<td>33/210 0.96 (0.63–1.47)</td>
<td>31/208 0.77 (0.46–1.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aAdjusted for age, race/ethnicity, age at menarche, and current pregnancy status. Further adjustment for current breastfeeding status created model instability. ^Ranges for MEH groups (ng/mg): 0.2–6.3 (lowest three quartiles) and 6.4–58 (highest quartile); ranges for MEHHP groups (ng/mg): 0.5–31.9 (lowest three quartiles) and 32.6–1885 (highest quartile); ranges for MEOH groups (ng/mg): 0.6–31.9 (lowest three quartiles) and 21.4–1115 (highest quartile); and ranges for molar sum of MEH, MEHHP, and MEOH (nmol/mg): 0.6–27.5 (lowest three quartiles) and 27.5–1904 (highest quartile).
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suggests that increased menstrual cycling is a risk factor (Schwartz 2001; Wise et al. 2004).

Similarly, endometriosis is associated with abnormal steroid production and activity (Dizerega et al. 1980; Eskenazi and Warner 1997), with diagnoses occurring postmenarchally (Houston 1984) and symptoms abating after menopause. Endometriotic implants are dependent on estrogen for their maintenance and growth (Dizerega et al. 1980; Gurates and Bulun 2003), and reduction of estradiol production, via either surgical (oophorectomy) or hormonal (GnRH agonist analogues) intervention, causes atrophy of endometriosis lesions and is effective in treating pain symptoms. High-dose synthetic progestins are effective in the treatment of endometriosis through suppression of luteinizing hormone and FSH secretion that inhibits estradiol production, direct antioestrogenic effects on the lesions, and induction of pseudo-decidualization (Taylor and Lebovic 2009). Estradiol production is up-regulated in endometriotic implants, whereas estradiol metabolism is impaired because of a deficiency of the enzyme 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (Gurates and Bulun 2003). Also, the progesterone receptor subtype B is deficient in endometriosis tissue (Artia et al. 2000). In epidemiologic studies, endometriosis is positively associated with early age at menarche, shorter cycle length, decreased parity, and not breastfeeding (Missmer et al. 2004a), all of which can affect menstrual cycling and lifetime cumulative exposure to estrogens.

Nonetheless, although our findings for DEHP’s oxidative metabolites provided support for an inverse association between DEHP exposure and endometriosis, they raised doubts about an association with leiomyomata. In the subset of women evaluated in 2001–2004, we compared findings for urinary concentrations of MEHHP and MEOHP with findings for MEHP, and both MEHHP and MEOHP were detectable in nearly all specimens in our study, including those in which MEHP was undetectable. The associations of the two oxidative metabolite concentrations with endometriosis were inverse and remarkably similar in magnitude to the corresponding association for MEHP. However, the associations between the oxidative metabolites and uterine leiomyomata were not consistent with the inverse (albeit nonsignificant) corresponding association for MEHP. The association for MEHHP was essentially null [OR = 0.97 (95% CI, 0.55–0.71)] for women in the highest quartile vs. the lowest three quartiles], and although the associations for MEOHP and MECPP suggested a positive (nonsignificant) association, further analyses at alternative threshold concentrations were more consistent with null associations [e.g., OR = 0.92 (95% CI, 0.39–2.15) comparing highest sextile of urinary MEOHP vs. the lowest five]. Although these findings emanated from a subset of participants, they suggest that the inverse association between MEHHP and leiomyomata may be a chance finding.

Our study has three principal limitations that warrant consideration. First, with its cross-sectional design, the assessment of exposure to phthalates may have occurred several years after diagnosis of the outcomes. It is uncertain whether diagnosis would directly influence urinary phthalate concentrations by altering the metabolism of phthalates, although recent data from 60 women in the Early Pregnancy Study indicate little variation in urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations across phases of the menstrual cycle (Baird et al. 2009), suggesting that changes in a woman’s endogenous hormonal milieu (which might occur with age, oral contraceptive use, or hysterectomy) have minimal effects on phthalate metabolism. However, it remains possible that diagnoses could indirectly affect urinary phthalate concentrations via exposures resulting from diagnosis, as described above. Moreover, given the short half-lives of the metabolites, it seems unlikely that diagnosis-related procedures occurring more than a few days before the NHANES examination would be an important source of exposure. Moreover, although medications have recently been identified as an important source of DBP and DEP exposures (Hauser et al. 2004; Hernández-Díaz et al. 2009), medications typically indicated for endometriosis and leiomyomata are not likely to contain these compounds because they are not coated for timed release. Even in the absence of reverse causation, the increasing interval between the relevant and measured exposures is a form of measurement error—nondifferential with respect to exposure or disease status—that could attenuate the observed associations. The associations between MBP and uterine leiomyomata and between MEHP and endometriosis became stronger when we confined analyses to women whose diagnoses were influenced by degree of phthalate exposure, and such nondifferential misclassification would have attenuated our findings.

Finally, an important limitation of our study is that measures of both outcomes were based solely on self-report of physician diagnosis. Prevalence estimates of these conditions in our study population are similar to studies based on hospital-discharge and prospective cohort data (Eskenazi and Warner 1997; Missmer and Cramer 2003; Schwartz and Marshall 2000; Wise et al. 2005). Two large prospective studies of self-reported leiomyomata that validated the reports against medical records indicated a low proportion of false positives (Marshall et al. 1997; Wise et al. 2004); therefore, specificity of diagnosis is likely to be high. False reports of endometriosis diagnosis may occur more frequently (Missmer et al. 2004b), but the proportion of undiagnosed endometriosis cases is likely to be smaller (Zondervan et al. 2002). For both outcomes, it seems unlikely that misclassification of disease status was influenced by degree of phthalate exposure, and such nondifferential misclassification would have attenuated our findings. Our findings for endometriosis may have been further influenced by phthalate effects that are specific to different types of underlying pathology. Estrogen-related risk factors appear to vary by endometriosis that is concurrent with and independent of infertility (the latter which is often accompanied by pain) (Missmer et al. 2004a, 2004b). However, in the absence of data on infertility and symptomatic pain, the findings reflect an averaged association of the phthalate measures with these two subgroups.

In addition to our study’s strengths in its exposure measurements, our study also benefited from its large sample size, its consideration of several important potential confounders, and its representativeness of the general population of reproductive-age women living in the United States. In conclusion, we have identified preliminary evidence...
suggesting that exposure to DBP may be asso-
ciated with increased risk of endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata, and that exposure to DEHP may be associated with reduced risk of these conditions. These findings warrant investigation in future prospective studies.
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