Milk Fat Globule Epidermal Growth Factor–8 Blockade Triggers Tumor Destruction Through Coordinated Cell-autonomous and Immune-mediated Mechanisms
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Cancer pathogenesis involves not only the cell-autonomous defects that arise from alterations in oncogenes and tumor suppressors but also the impact of host antitumor responses (1). Cancer cells that have escaped immune control are selected for the ability to exploit factors present in the tumor microenvironment to further disease progression (2–4). Among this array of soluble moieties, inflammatory cytokines including TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β play key roles through triggering NF-κB–, STAT-3–, and MyD88–dependent pathways (5–8).

GM-CSF is another cytokine frequently produced in the tumor microenvironment, where it may contribute to either tumor protection or promotion (9). Through studies of GM-CSF-deficient mice, we identified milk fat globule epidermal growth factor–8 (MFG-E8) as a critical determinant of the pro- and antiinflammatory activities of the cytokine (10). MFG-E8 is a secreted phosphatidylserine-binding protein that signals through αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrins (9–12). Under steady-state conditions, GM-CSF induces MFG-E8 expression in mononuclear phagocytes, enabling the efficient uptake of apoptotic cells, the production of TGF-β and CCL22, and the maintenance of FoxP3+ T reg cells (10). Under conditions of cellular stress, however, the ligation of Toll-like receptors dampens MFG-E8 expression, whereupon GM-CSF elicits CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cells through an MFG-E8–independent pathway. Thus, the levels of MFG-E8 present in the tumor microenvironment might modulate the functions of GM-CSF during carcinogenesis.

In malignant melanoma, MFG-E8 expression is increased in tumor cells and/or infiltrating...
myeloid elements upon progression to the vertical growth phase, the stage in which melanoma cells acquire the competence for invasion and dissemination (12, 13). In a mouse melanoma model, MFG-E8 augmented tumorigenicity and metastatic capability through Akt- and Twist-dependent mechanisms (12). MFG-E8 enhanced melanoma cell resistance to apoptosis, induced an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, and stimulated invasion and angiogenesis. MFG-E8 also contributed to local immune suppression by evoking FoxP3+ T reg cell infiltrates and suppressing Th1 reactions and NK and CD8+ T cell cytotoxicity.

Because MFG-E8 is expressed at high levels in diverse tumor types (14, 15), including melanoma, this soluble protein might serve as a general target for cancer therapy. In contrast to most oncologic treatments, which primarily address either the tumor or host separately, MFG-E8 antagonists might affect both compartments. Indeed, shRNA knockdowns of MFG-E8 sensitized tumor cells to cytotoxic agents and small molecule inhibitors of receptor tyrosine kinases in vitro, whereas MFG-E8 blockade with a dominant-negative mutant potentiated tumor immunity generated with irradiated, GM-CSF–secreting tumor cell vaccines (10, 12). Based on these results, we hypothesized that systemic targeting of MFG-E8 might contribute to tumor destruction in several complementary ways. In this paper, we show that antibodies to MFG-E8 cooperate with conventional cancer therapies to effectuate sustained control of established mouse tumors through the coupling of cell-autonomous and host-mediated pathways.

RESULTS
Combinatorial therapy with systemic MFG-E8 blockade
To explore the therapeutic potential of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies, we first characterized the moderately immunogenic MC38 colon carcinoma model that is syngeneic to C57BL/6 mice. At 10 d after intradermal inoculation, when tumors were well established (~25 mm3), the systemic administration of gemcitabine, a cytotoxic agent with modest activity in patients with colon carcinoma (16), afforded a small delay in MC38 tumor growth in a dose-dependent fashion (Fig. 1 A). Although a blocking anti–MFG-E8 mAb (17) displayed minimal antitumor activity when infused alone, combination treatment with gemcitabine resulted in tumor regressions that were sustained throughout the duration of the study (4 mo). A rabbit polyclonal anti–MFG-E8 serum (18) showed comparable efficacy (Fig. 1 B), whereas an isotype control mAb was inactive (not depicted), establishing the specificity of the response. Synergistic antitumor effects were also obtained when the anti–MFG-E8 antibodies were administered beginning 3 or 7 d after gemcitabine but not when infused before chemotherapy, indicating that the sequence of the combination was important for activity (Fig. S1).

Systemic anti–MFG-E8 antibodies similarly enhanced the therapeutic potency of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and CPT-11 (Fig. 1 C), two agents frequently used in the treatment of advanced colon carcinoma patients (16). As with gemcitabine, these combination therapies achieved prolonged tumor control, in contrast to the limited impact of individual agents. MFG-E8 blockade also intensified the activity of an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor and an antivascular endothelial growth factor receptor–2 (VEGFR-2) mAb (Fig. 1 D). Additionally, a short course of radiation therapy directed toward subcutaneous MC38 lesions was rendered more efficacious when combined with anti–MFG-E8 antibodies (Fig. 1 E). Synergistic effects of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies and chemotherapy were similarly observed in the poorly immunogenic B16 melanoma model. In this system, combinations of MFG-E8 blockade with doxorubicin, etoposide, or dacarbazine achieved significant tumor control, although gemcitabine proved inactive (Fig. 1 F and not depicted). Moreover, the combined administration of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies and doxorubicin also triggered the destruction of established EL-4 thymomas (Fig. S2). Collectively, these experiments reveal the ability of systemic MFG-E8 blockade to intensify the antitumor effects of conventional oncologic therapies in diverse tumor models.

MFG-E8 blockade enhances drug-induced apoptosis
Because standard cancer treatments displayed only modest single-agent activity against various types of tumors, we wondered whether the anti–MFG-E8 antibodies might modulate tumor cell killing. In this context, we previously showed that MFG-E8 triggered Akt activation in tumor cells through αβ1 integrin signaling, which resulted in an attenuation of etoposide–induced death (12). In accordance with these findings, MFG-E8 was not detectable in MC38 and B16 cells at baseline, whereas cells that survived an overnight exposure to diverse chemotherapeutic drugs manifested significant MFG-E8 expression, which was evident intracellularly, at the surface membrane, and in culture supernatants (Fig. 2, A and B; and not depicted). γ irradiation also induced MFG-E8 surface expression on EL-4 thymoma (EG.7-OVA) cells (Fig. 2 C). Furthermore, stable drug-resistant variants of MC38 cells, which were generated through prolonged exposure to escalating concentrations of gemcitabine, CPT-11, or 5-FU in vitro, and similarly derived doxorubicin-resistant B16 cells demonstrated much higher levels of MFG-E8 compared with the parental tumor cells (Fig. 2 D and not depicted).

Consistent with an antiapoptotic function for surface and/or secreted MFG-E8, the addition of anti–MFG-E8 mAbs but not irrelevant control antibodies potentiated the killing of MC38 cells with gemcitabine and 5-FU, as revealed by the enhanced expression of annexin V (Fig. 2 E). The addition of rabbit polyclonal anti–MFG-E8 serum manifested comparable effects (unpublished data). The induction of apoptosis with the combined therapy also resulted in a loss of mitochondrial membrane potential (unpublished data). Moreover, the combination therapies triggered increased levels of tumor apoptosis in vivo. MC38 tumors harvested from mice that received gemcitabine plus anti–MFG-E8 antibodies showed enhanced caspase 3 activation as compared with tumors isolated from mice treated with either agent alone (Fig. 2 F). Similarly,
B16 melanomas manifested elevated caspase 3 activation after combined dacarbazine and MFG-E8 blockade. These results support the idea that MFG-E8 blockade compromises tumor cell viability in a cell-autonomous fashion, although the increased caspase activation in vivo might partially reflect the death of stromal elements within the tumor microenvironment. The anti–MFG-E8 antibodies may also attenuate tumor angiogenesis (18), which might contribute to tumor cell death as well. Collectively, these results reveal a role for MFG-E8 in mediating resistance to cytotoxic therapy and suggest that anti–MFG-E8 antibodies might serve as a complementary strategy to intensify drug-induced tumor cell killing.

Figure 1. MFG-E8 antibody blockade synergizes with cytotoxic therapies to mediate tumor destruction. (A) Established MC38 carcinomas (25 mm²) were treated with systemic gemcitabine (GEM) and/or an anti–MFG-E8 mAb, as indicated. (B) Same conditions as in A, but with rabbit anti–MFG-E8 sera. (C) Established MC38 carcinomas were treated with 5-FU or CPT-11 with or without anti–MFG-E8 mAb. (D) Established MC38 carcinomas were treated with anti–VEGFR-2 mAb DC101 or EGFR-TKI AG490 with or without anti–MFG-E8 mAb. (E) Established MC38 tumors were treated with local irradiation (XRT) with or without systemic anti–MFG-E8 mAb. (F) Established B16 melanomas (25 mm²) were treated with systemic doxorubicin, etoposide, or GEM with or without anti–MFG-E8 mAb. Each experiment was performed with five mice per group, and similar results were observed for each panel in five independent experiments. Shown are the means ± SEM per cohort for a representative experiment. *, P < 0.05 between a treatment group and control.
mice for tumor destruction with the combination therapy, although the antitumor effects became significant compared with gemcitabine alone 40 d after combined therapy even with CD8+ T cell depletion (Fig. 3 B). These findings suggest that the cell autonomous modes of tumor cell killing with MFG-E8 blockade are not sufficient to maintain durable control of the established cancers. Consistent with a key role for T cells in the generation of long-lived and specific protective immunity, treated mice rejected a subsequent lethal challenge with MC38 tumor cells but not B16 melanoma or MCA-205 fibrosarcoma cells (Fig. 3 C).

To characterize the T cell responses stimulated with treatment, we isolated tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes from regressing MC38 lesions. Although the proportions of total CD4+ and CD8+ T cells did not vary as a function of therapy, anti–MFG-E8 antibodies alone or in combination with CPT-11 significantly reduced the numbers of intratumoral Foxp3+ T reg cells (Fig. 4 A). Although chemotherapy alone had no impact on T reg cell numbers, combined treatment with anti–MFG-E8 antibodies decreased T reg cell numbers in the spleen as well (Fig. 4 A). The T reg cells that were recovered after MFG-E8 blockade, however, did not manifest impaired function on a per cell basis, as assessed in standard in vitro suppression assays (unpublished data). These findings extend our earlier demonstration that MFG-E8 is an important determinant of antitumor T reg cell numbers (10, 12).

The combination treatment, but not anti–MFG-E8 antibodies alone, markedly increased CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cell activation and function. This stimulation resulted in high levels of surface CD44, IFN-γ production, and tumor-specific cytotoxicity (Fig. 4, B–D). The combination therapy also augmented the total levels of circulating IgG2a and IgG2b antibodies, which might contribute to tumor destruction through Fc-dependent cytotoxicity (Fig. S3). These results highlight the synergy between systemic MFG-E8 blockade and chemotherapy for boosting multiple antitumor effector mechanisms.

**Anti–MFG-E8 antibodies enhance dendritic cell cross-presentation of tumor antigens**

Because MFG-E8 promotes the uptake of apoptotic cells by mononuclear phagocytes (10, 19–21), we next characterized the impact of chemotherapy and MFG-E8 blockade on mice for tumor destruction with the combination therapy, although the antitumor effects became significant compared with gemcitabine alone 40 d after combined therapy even with CD8+ T cell depletion (Fig. 3 B). These findings suggest that the cell autonomous modes of tumor cell killing with MFG-E8 blockade are not sufficient to maintain durable control of the established cancers. Consistent with a key role for T cells in the generation of long-lived and specific protective immunity, treated mice rejected a subsequent lethal challenge with MC38 tumor cells but not B16 melanoma or MCA-205 fibrosarcoma cells (Fig. 3 C).

To characterize the T cell responses stimulated with treatment, we isolated tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes from regressing MC38 lesions. Although the proportions of total CD4+ and CD8+ T cells did not vary as a function of therapy, anti–MFG-E8 antibodies alone or in combination with CPT-11 significantly reduced the numbers of intratumoral Foxp3+ T reg cells (Fig. 4 A). Although chemotherapy alone had no impact on T reg cell numbers, combined treatment with anti–MFG-E8 antibodies decreased T reg cell numbers in the spleen as well (Fig. 4 A). The T reg cells that were recovered after MFG-E8 blockade, however, did not manifest impaired function on a per cell basis, as assessed in standard in vitro suppression assays (unpublished data). These findings extend our earlier demonstration that MFG-E8 is an important determinant of antitumor T reg cell numbers (10, 12).

The combination treatment, but not anti–MFG-E8 antibodies alone, markedly increased CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cell activation and function. This stimulation resulted in high levels of surface CD44, IFN-γ production, and tumor-specific cytotoxicity (Fig. 4, B–D). The combination therapy also augmented the total levels of circulating IgG2a and IgG2b antibodies, which might contribute to tumor destruction through Fc-dependent cytotoxicity (Fig. S3). These results highlight the synergy between systemic MFG-E8 blockade and chemotherapy for boosting multiple antitumor effector mechanisms.

**Anti–MFG-E8 antibodies enhance dendritic cell cross-presentation of tumor antigens**

Because MFG-E8 promotes the uptake of apoptotic cells by mononuclear phagocytes (10, 19–21), we next characterized the impact of chemotherapy and MFG-E8 blockade on mice for tumor destruction with the combination therapy, although the antitumor effects became significant compared with gemcitabine alone 40 d after combined therapy even with CD8+ T cell depletion (Fig. 3 B). These findings suggest that the cell autonomous modes of tumor cell killing with MFG-E8 blockade are not sufficient to maintain durable control of the established cancers. Consistent with a key role for T cells in the generation of long-lived and specific protective immunity, treated mice rejected a subsequent lethal challenge with MC38 tumor cells but not B16 melanoma or MCA-205 fibrosarcoma cells (Fig. 3 C).

To characterize the T cell responses stimulated with treatment, we isolated tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes from regressing MC38 lesions. Although the proportions of total CD4+ and CD8+ T cells did not vary as a function of therapy, anti–MFG-E8 antibodies alone or in combination with CPT-11 significantly reduced the numbers of intratumoral Foxp3+ T reg cells (Fig. 4 A). Although chemotherapy alone had no impact on T reg cell numbers, combined treatment with anti–MFG-E8 antibodies decreased T reg cell numbers in the spleen as well (Fig. 4 A). The T reg cells that were recovered after MFG-E8 blockade, however, did not manifest impaired function on a per cell basis, as assessed in standard in vitro suppression assays (unpublished data). These findings extend our earlier demonstration that MFG-E8 is an important determinant of antitumor T reg cell numbers (10, 12).

The combination treatment, but not anti–MFG-E8 antibodies alone, markedly increased CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cell activation and function. This stimulation resulted in high levels of surface CD44, IFN-γ production, and tumor-specific cytotoxicity (Fig. 4, B–D). The combination therapy also augmented the total levels of circulating IgG2a and IgG2b antibodies, which might contribute to tumor destruction through Fc-dependent cytotoxicity (Fig. S3). These results highlight the synergy between systemic MFG-E8 blockade and chemotherapy for boosting multiple antitumor effector mechanisms.

**Anti–MFG-E8 antibodies enhance dendritic cell cross-presentation of tumor antigens**

Because MFG-E8 promotes the uptake of apoptotic cells by mononuclear phagocytes (10, 19–21), we next characterized the impact of chemotherapy and MFG-E8 blockade on
antigen-presenting cells. Although the combination treatment did not influence the proportions of macrophages (CD11b<sup>+</sup> Gr-1<sup>−</sup>) or myeloid-derived suppressor cells (CD11b<sup>+</sup> Gr-1<sup>−</sup>) that were isolated from regressing MC38 colon tumors (Fig. S4), the numbers of CD11b<sup>+</sup>, CD11c<sup>+</sup> dendritic cells were significantly increased, and these cells expressed high levels of the co-stimulatory molecule CD86 (Fig. 5 A). As bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) efficiently phagocytosed chemotherapy-exposed MC38 and B16 cells in vitro (Fig. S5), our analysis of the tumor infiltrates raised the possibility that dendritic cell capture of tumor cells in situ might be important for T cell priming.

To study the impact of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies on dendritic cell cross-presentation in more detail, we used EL-4 thymoma cells engineered to express OVA (EG.7-OVA) (22) and the syngeneic C57BL/6 mice harboring a transgenic TCR specific for an MHC class II–presented OVA peptide (OT-II) (23). BMDCs efficiently ingested irradiated EG.7-OVA cells, and this was only minimally blocked by an antibody to α<sub>i</sub> integrins or MFG-E8, indicating that this pathway was not required for tumor cell uptake in this system (Fig. 5 B and not depicted). Although anti–MFG-E8 antibodies did not affect the overall phagocytosis of irradiated EG.7-OVA cells, a blocking antibody to Fc receptors but not to α<sub>i</sub> integrins partially attenuated uptake. These results reveal that anti–MFG-E8 antibodies switch the receptor for MFG-E8–mediated tumor cell ingestion from α<sub>i</sub>β<sub>i</sub>/α<sub>β</sub> integrins to Fc receptors.

Consistent with the ability of activating Fc receptors to trigger immune stimulation (24), the opsonization of EG.7-OVA cells with anti–MFG-E8 antibodies enhanced dendritic cell stimulation of OT-II TCR transgenic CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. This activation resulted in increased production of IFN-γ (Fig. 5 C) but not IL-4 or IL-17 (not depicted). In contrast, anti–Fc receptor antibodies inhibited cross-priming, whereas anti–α<sub>i</sub> integrin antibodies augmented T cell responses when either irradiated EG.7-OVA cells alone or anti–MFG-E8 antibody opsonized, irradiated EG.7-OVA cells were fed to dendritic cells (Fig. 5 C). The anti–MFG-E8 antibodies also increased cross-presentation to MHC class I–restricted OT-I CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, resulting in increased IFN-γ production (Fig. S6). Collectively, these findings suggest that MFG-E8 blockade enhances Th1 antitumor responses.

To further examine the importance of MFG-E8 antibody–mediated cross-priming in vivo, we injected irradiated EG.7-OVA cells together with anti–MFG-E8 antibodies into the footpads of OT-I mice and measured OVA–specific T cell responses in the draining lymph nodes 5 d later. In some experiments, blocking anti-FcγR antibodies were coadministered to evaluate the role of FcR–mediated uptake for antigen presentation. Although the injection of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies enhanced specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell IFN-γ production, the concurrent administration of anti-FcγR antibodies substantially inhibited this response (Fig. 5 D). These results indicate that MFG-E8 blockade promotes cross-priming of antigen–specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells primarily through FcR–mediated antigen uptake.

**MFG-E8 modulates dendritic cell cytokine production**

To further clarify the mechanisms underlying T cell stimulation, we examined the impact of MFG-E8 on dendritic cell cytokine production after the uptake of dying tumor cells. The addition of rMFG-E8 protein increased dendritic cell IL-10 secretion, whereas anti–MFG-E8 antibodies reduced IL-10 but enhanced IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α production (Fig. 6 A). The effects of MFG-E8 on cytokine profiles were blocked with the RMV-7 anti–α<sub>i</sub> integrin antibody (unpublished data), confirming the importance of α<sub>i</sub>β<sub>i</sub> and α<sub>β</sub> integrins in this response.
To address the role of IL-12 in the immune stimulation with anti–MFG-E8 antibodies, we used IL-12p35–deficient mice in a series of in vivo and in vitro studies. The efficacy of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies and CPT-11 treatment against MC38 colon tumors was partially reduced in IL-12–deficient mice compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 6 B). Moreover, the anti–MFG-E8 antibody–mediated cross-presentation of EG.7-OVA cells to OT-II CD4+ T cells was slightly decreased with IL-12–deficient dendritic cells compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 6 C). These results suggest that IL-12 contributes to the anti–MFG-E8 antibody–triggered immunostimulation, but other cytokines and/or cell-surface molecules also play important roles.

DISCUSSION
Although substantial evidence demonstrates that cross talk between tumor cells and normal host elements is critical to carcinogenesis (25), most cancer therapies primarily target individual compartments. Small molecule inhibitors of oncogenic tyrosine kinases and antibody blockade of VEGF serve as prototypes of rationally designed agents that antagonize major pathogenic mechanisms in cancer cells and the host, respectively (26, 27). Although these treatments afford important clinical benefits, most patients achieve only partial responses and eventually succumb to progressive disease caused by the emergence of drug-resistant variants. However, analogous to the ways in which antimicrobial agents cooperate with host reactions to effectuate sterilizing immunity for some serious infections (28), cancer treatments might be significantly improved through concurrently targeting the tumor and host. The results presented in this paper illustrate the potential for systemic MFG–E8 antibody blockade in combination with conventional oncologic therapies to accomplish this dual targeting.

MFG–E8 promotes cancer progression through coordinated αvβ3 integrin signaling in tumor cells, vascular elements, and infiltrating myeloid cells (12). Although the administration of anti–MFG–E8 antibodies alone resulted in only modest tumor destruction and immune stimulation, the coupling...
of drug-induced tumor cell death to MFG-E8 blockade effectuated the sustained regressions of established colon carcinomas, melanomas, and lymphomas. A key component of this therapeutic synergy is the ability of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies to attenuate tumor cell resistance to cytotoxic treatments, likely because of the inhibition of Akt activation. Some degree of intrinsic tumor cell sensitivity to the cytotoxic agent appears necessary for this enhancement, though, because gemcitabine, which failed to provoke B16 cell death in vitro (unpublished data), proved inactive in vivo in combination with MFG-E8 blockade. An additional mechanism by which anti–MFG-E8 antibodies might increase tumor cell killing, particularly in conjunction with anti–VEGFR-2 antibodies, may involve a more robust inhibition of the tumor blood supply, as MFG-E8 is required for VEGF-induced angiogenesis (14, 18). Moreover, knockdown of MFG-E8 in MC38 carcinoma cells exposed to chemotherapy also reduced VEGF production (unpublished data).

Upon the induction of tumor cell death in vivo with cytotoxic treatments, MFG-E8 blockade favored the establishment of an immunogenic tumor microenvironment. This conversion reflected the dual capacity of anti–MFG-E8 antibodies to antagonize α3β1 integrin–driven immune suppression and to promote efficient Fc receptor–mediated dendritic cell cross-presentation. In this context, recent analysis of mice harboring a myeloid cell–specific KO of α4 integrins has underscored the importance of this receptor in attenuating inflammation (29), perhaps through the induction of Twist (12), an antagonist of the NF-κB pathway (30). Consistent with these results, we found that MFG-E8 blockade resulted in increased IL-12 production. This proinflammatory cytokine contributed to tumor protection, as revealed through studies of p35-deficient mice, although other factors such as IL-23, TNF-α, and type I IFNs may play important roles as well. Accumulating evidence has also highlighted the ability of mAbs to opsonize tumor cells for efficient cross-presentation by dendritic cells, thereby engendering potent antitumor immunity (31). Collectively, these factors may promote the development of dense intratumoral infiltrates composed of abundant CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cells but only limited FoxP3+ T reg cells. It is tempting to speculate that this broad T cell response may suppress the emergence of drug-resistant tumor cells and mediate long-term protection against tumor recurrence. In accordance with this idea, previous experimental and clinical studies have shown that a high ratio of effector T cells to T reg cells is tightly linked with sustained tumor destruction (32, 33).

Figure 5. Anti–MFG-E8 antibodies enhance dendritic cell cross-presentation of dying tumor cells. (A) Tumor-infiltrating cells were harvested from mice harboring MC38 carcinomas 4 d after the indicated treatment. The CD3+ and B220+ lymphocytes were excluded by gating, and the remaining forward/side scatter high cells were analyzed for CD11c, CD11b, and CD86 with flow cytometry (percentages are shown). Similar results were observed in three experiments. Shown to the right are the means ± SEM for five mice per group. *, P < 0.05. (B) BMDCs were co-cultured with PKH26-labeled EG.7-OVA cells (with or without opsonization with anti–MFG-E8 mAbs) and evaluated for phagocytosis. The impact of blocking antibodies to αv integrins and Fc receptors was determined (percentages are shown). Similar results were observed in three experiments, and the means ± SEM are shown. *, P < 0.05. (C) BMDCs that were loaded with EG.7-OVA cells as in B were co-cultured with OVA-specific TCR transgenic CD4+ T cells, and IFN-γ production was evaluated with flow cytometry (percentages are shown). The effects of anti–αv integrin and Fc receptor antibodies are shown. Similar results were observed in three experiments, and the means ± SEM are shown to the right. *, P < 0.05. (D) 106 irradiated EG.7-OVA cells per mouse were injected into the footpads of OT-I mice with anti–MFG-E8, anti–FcγR, and isotype control antibodies as indicated. The draining lymph nodes were harvested after 5 d, and CD8+ T cell IFN-γ production was determined with flow cytometry (percentages are shown). Similar results were observed in three experiments. Shown are the means ± SEM. * , P < 0.05.
In vivo tumor challenges. 6-wk-old C57BL/6, NOD-SCID, or IL-12 KO female mice were injected intradermally with $10^5$ MC38 colon carcinoma, B16 melanoma, EL-4 thymoma, or MCA205 fibrosarcoma cells. After the tumors grew to an approximate size of 25 mm$^2$, the mice were treated with various therapeutic regimens. For the MC38 tumors, mice received on days 10, 12, and 14 1 or 4 mg/ml gemcitabine, 5 mg/ml 5-FU, 1 mg/ml CPT-11, 40 mg/ml of the VEGFR-2 mAb DC101 (ImClone Systems), or the 40 mg/ml of the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG490 (MERCK). In some experiments, tumors were treated with five daily doses of irradiation (3 Gy at a rate of 4 Gy/min) using an isolator system. For the B16 tumors, mice were treated with 5 mg/ml doxorubicin, 2 mg/ml etoposide, or gemcitabine as described. For EL-4 thymoma cells, mice were treated with 5 mg/ml doxorubicin, as described. A hamster mAb against MFG-E8 (at 2 mg/ml; MBL International), an isotype control immunoglobulin IgG2a, or 1 mg/ml of rabbit polyclonal anti–MFG-E8 sera (provided by C. Thèry, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Paris, France) (17, 18) were administered concurrently with the cytotoxic therapies. In some studies, mice were also treated with depleting antibodies against CD4 (clone GK1.5), CD8 (clone 53-6.72), and NK1.1 (PK-136; American Type Culture Collection) at days $-5$, $-3$, and 0 relative to the cytotoxic therapy (40, 42). Tumor growth was monitored regularly and the products of the perpendicular diameters were recorded.

Apoptosis assays. Tumor cells were cultured in serum-free media and treated with various cytotoxic agents overnight with or without anti–MFG-E8 antibodies. To generate stable drug-resistant variants, MC38 cells were cultured in gradually increasing concentrations of gemcitabine (from 1 to 20 µg/ml) or CPT-11 (from 0.5 to 10 µg/ml) over $\approx 8$ wk. MFG-E8 expression was measured by flow cytometry using the hamster anti–MFG-E8 mAb followed by an anti-mouse IgG, as previously described (10). Secreted MFG-E8 levels

Figure 6. Anti–MFG-E8 antibodies modulate antigen-presenting cell cytokine profiles. (A) BMDCs were treated with recombinant MFG-E8 or anti–MFG-E8 mAbs, and cytokine production was determined with ELISA. Shown are the means ± SEM for three experiments. *, P < 0.05. (B) C57BL/6 wild-type or IL-12p35-deficient mice harboring established MC38 colon carcinomas (25 mm$^2$) were treated with systemic gemcitabine with or without anti–MFG-E8 mAb, as shown in Fig. 1 A. Shown are the means ± SEM for five mice per group. Similar results were observed in a second experiment. *, P < 0.05 between treated wild-type and IL-12 KO mice. (C) BMDCs from wild-type or IL-12-deficient mice were loaded with EG.7-OVA cells and co-cultured with CD4$^+$ T cells obtained from wild-type mice that were immunized with EG.7-OVA cells (five times). IFN-γ production was evaluated by flow cytometry (percentages are shown). Similar results were observed in a second experiment.
were measured with an ELISA according to the manufacture’s instruction (R&D Systems). Cell death was determined with annexin V and propidium iodide staining, or DiOC6 labeling to quantify the mitochondrial membrane potential, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BD). To measure tumor apoptosis in vivo, we treated established MC38 or B16 tumors (25 mm³) with 10 mg/ml gemcitabine or dacarbazine with or without anti–MFG-E8 antibodies, harvested the tumor 4 d after therapy, and measured caspase 3 activation in tumor homogenates with a colorimetric assay kit (Invitrogen).

**Immune assays.** Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were harvested with a cell gradient separation (Nocoprep; Axis-Shield), as previously described (10). Cell populations were characterized using mAbs against CD3, CD4, CD8, CD11b, CD11c, CD44, CD86, Gr-1, and Foxp3 (clone MF23). IFN-γ production was measured by intracellular flow cytometry, as previously described (10). Cytotoxicity was measured by incubating lymphoid cells isolated from draining lymph nodes with irradiated MC38 cells for 96 h and then testing lytic activity against 51Cr-labeled MC38 and B16 targets. The percent lysis was calculated as (experimental – spontaneous)/(maximum – spontaneous) × 100, using 5% Triton X and medium alone for determination of the maximum and spontaneous counts.

BMDCs were cultured from bone marrow precursors using GM-CSF–conditioned media for 7 d and were then treated with 100 ng/ml of recombinant MFG-E8 (R&D Systems), 20 µg/ml anti–MFG-E8 mAb (MBL International), or 20 µg/ml of polyclonal MFG-E8 antisera overnight. Culture supernatants were evaluated for IL-12, IL-23, TNF-α, and IL-10 levels with ELISAs.

**Cross-priming assays.** Day 7 BMDCs were also co-cultured with irradiated EG.7-OVA cells (1:10 ratio) that were labeled with PKH26 (Sigma-Aldrich) in 12-well round-bottom plates, and phagocytosis was determined with flow cytometry. In some experiments, the tumor cells were pretreated with 30 µg/ml anti–MFG-E8 mAb for 30 min before the co-culture. The impact of blocking antibodies to α, integrin I (clone RMV-7; Millipore) or Fc receptors (clone 2.4G2; BD) on tumor cell uptake was similarly evaluated. To antigen presentation by DCs, naive CD4+ T cells were isolated from the spleens of OT-I or OT-II mice by magnetic cell sorting (Miltenyi Biotech) and added to the tumor cell–loaded dendritic cells for 24 h. Intracellular IFN-γ expression in T cells was then determined by flow cytometry. For in vivo cross-priming assays, 10⁴ irradiated EG.7-OVA cells per mouse were injected into the footpads of OT-I mice with 1 µg/ml anti–MFG-E8 mAb, 1 mg/ml anti-FcR blocking antibody (clone 2.4G2), or isotype control. 5 d after the challenge, mice were sacrificed, and the draining lymph node cells were isolated and cultured with 10 µg/ml MHC class I–restricted OVA peptide for 7 d and were then treated with 100 ng/ml of recombinant MFG-E8 or control antibody. Culture supernatants were evaluated for IL-12, IL-23, TNF-α, and IL-10 levels with ELISAs.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired Student’s t test or one-way analysis of variance through all of the experimental procedures. Differences were considered significant when the p-value was <0.05.

**Supplemental material.** Fig. S1 presents a temporal relationship of anti–MFG-E8 antibody and chemotherapy administration in antitumor responses. Fig. S2 presents in vivo antitumor activities of anti–MFG-E8 antibody and doxorubicin against established EL-4 thymomas. Fig. S3 shows the humoral responses induced by in vivo treatment of anti–MFG-E8 antibody and chemotherapy. Fig. S4 shows the frequency of CD11b+ and CD11b– Gr-1+ myeloid cells at tumors with the treatments. Fig. S5 suggests the in vivo phagocytosis of apoptotic tumor cells by BMDCs. In Fig. S6, the effect of anti–MFG-E8 antibody was examined in mediating in vitro cross-presentation of OVA antigen to OT-I T cells by BMDCs. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20082614/DC1.
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