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COMBINATORIAL HOPF ALGEBRAS, NONCOMMUTATIVE HALL-LITTLEWOOD FUNCTIONS, AND PERMUTATION TABLEAUX

JEAN-CHRISTOPHE NOVELLI, JEAN-YVES THIBON, AND LAUREN K. WILLIAMS

Abstract. We introduce a new family of noncommutative analogues of the Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions. Our construction relies upon Tevlin’s bases and simple $q$-deformations of the classical combinatorial Hopf algebras. We connect our new Hall-Littlewood functions to permutation tableaux, and also give an exact formula for the $q$-enumeration of permutation tableaux of a fixed shape. This gives an explicit formula for: the steady state probability of each state in the partially asymmetric exclusion process (PASEP); the polynomial enumerating permutations with a fixed set of weak excedances according to crossings; the polynomial enumerating permutations with a fixed set of descent bottoms according to occurrences of the generalized pattern $2-31$.
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1. Introduction

The combinatorics of Hall-Littlewood functions is one of the most interesting aspects of the modern theory of symmetric functions [27]. These are bases of symmetric functions, depending on a parameter $q$ which was originally regarded as the cardinality of a finite field. They are named after Littlewood’s explicit realization of the Hall algebra in terms of symmetric functions, which gave meaning to arbitrary complex values of $q$ [24].

Combinatorics entered the scene with the observation by Foulkes [7] that the transition matrices between Schur functions and Hall-Littlewood $P$-functions seemed to be given by polynomials, which were nonnegative $q$-analogues of the well-known Kostka numbers, counting Young tableaux according to shape and weight. The conjecture of Foulkes was established by Lascoux and Schützenberger [21], who introduced the
charge statistic on Young tableaux to explain the powers of $q$. Almost simultane-
ously, Lusztig [25] obtained an interpretation in terms of the intersection homology
of nilpotent orbits, and it is now known that these Kostka-Foulkes polynomials are
particular Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials associated with the affine Weyl groups of
type $A$ [26]. But this was not the end of the story. Some ten years later, Kirillov
and Reshetikhin [16] discovered an interpretation of Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in
statistical physics, as generating functions of Bethe ansatz configurations for some
generalizations of Heisenberg’s XXX-magnet model, and obtained a closed expres-
sion in the form of a sum of products of $q$-binomial coefficients.

All of these results have been generalized in many directions. Generalized Hall al-
gebras (associated with quivers) have been introduced [36]. Ribbon tableaux [22] and
$k$-Schur functions [19] give rise to generalizations of the charge polynomials, some-
times interpretable as Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials [23]. Intersection homology has
been computed for other varieties. The Kirillov-Reshetikhin formula is now included
in a vast corpus of fermionic formulas, available for a large number of models [11].
However, the relations -if any- between these theories are generally unknown.

The present article is devoted to a different kind of generalization of the Hall-
Littlewood theory. It is by now well-known that many aspects of the theory of
symmetric functions can be lifted to Noncommutative symmetric functions, or quasi-
symmetric functions, and that those points which do not have a good analogue at this
level can sometimes be explained by lifting them to more complicated combinatorial
Hopf algebras. The paradigm here is the Littlewood-Richardson rule, which becomes
trivial in the algebra of Free symmetric functions, all the difficulty having been diluted
in the definition of the algebra [5].

A theory of noncommutative and quasi-symmetric Hall-Littlewood functions has
been worked out by Hivert [12]. Since there is no Hall algebra to use as a starting
point, Hivert’s choice was to imitate Littlewood’s definition, which can be reformu-
lated in terms of an action of the affine Hecke algebra on polynomials. By replacing
the usual action by a quasi-symmetrizing one, Hivert obtained interesting bases, be-
having in much the same way as the original ones, and were easily deformable with a
second parameter, so that analogues of Macdonald’s functions could also be defined
[13]. See also the interesting work of Bergeron and Zabrocki [3].

However, Hivert’s analogues of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials are just Kostka-
Foulkes monomials, i.e., powers of $q$, given moreover by a simple explicit formula. So
the combinatorial connections to tableaux, geometry and statistical physics do not
show up in this theory.

More recently, new possibilities arose with Tevlin’s [39] discovery of a plausible
analogue of monomial symmetric functions on the noncommutative side. Tevlin’s
constructions are incompatible with the Hopf structure (his monomial functions are
not dual to products of complete functions in any reasonable sense), so it seemed
unlikely that they could lead to interesting combinatorics. Nevertheless, Tevlin com-
puted analogues of the Kostka matrices in his setting, and conjectured that they had

1 There is no general agreement on the precise definition of a combinatorial Hopf algebra, see [1]
and [20] for attempts at making this concept precise, and [29, 31, 33] for more examples.
nonnegative integer coefficients. This conjecture was proved in [14], and turned out to be more interesting than expected. The proof required the use of larger combinatorial Hopf algebras, and led to a vast generalization of the Gennocchi numbers.

In this paper we give a new generalization of Hall-Littlewood functions, starting from Tevlin’s bases. We define q-analogues $S^I(q)$ of the products of complete homogeneous functions by embedding $\text{Sym}$ in an associative deformation of $WQSym$ and projecting back to $\text{Sym}$ by the map introduced in [14]. This defines a nonassociative q-product $\star_q$ on $\text{Sym}$, and our Hall-Littlewood functions are equal to the products

$$S^I(q) = S^{i_1} \star_q (S^{i_2} \star_q (\ldots (S^{i_r-1} \star_q S^{i_r}))).$$

These functions can be regarded as interpolating between the $S^I$ (at $q = 1$) and a new kind of noncommutative Schur functions (at $q = 0$), have nonnegative coefficients, which can be expressed in closed form as products of $q$-binomial coefficients, and have a transparent combinatorial interpretation. As a consequence, the basis $R_I(q)$, defined by Moebius inversion on the composition lattice, is also nonnegative on the same basis.

The really interesting phenomenon occurs with Tevlin’s second basis (denoted here and in [14] by $L_I$), an analogue of Gessel’s fundamental basis $F_I$. One can observe that the last column of the matrix $M(S, L)$ (which expresses $S^{1^n}$ in terms of the $L_I$’s) gives the enumeration of permutation tableaux [38] by shape. This observation can be easily shown, and one may wonder whether the expansion of $S^{1^n}(q)$ on $L_I$ gives rise to interesting $q$-analogues. This is clearly not the case (there are negative coefficients), but it turns out that introducing a simple $q$-analogue $L_I(q)$ of $L_I$, we obtain again nonnegative polynomials in the matrix $M(S(q), L(q))$. Finally, the matrix $M(R(q), L(q))$ gives the $q$-enumeration of permutation tableaux according to shape and rank, and another (yet unknown) statistic. Other (conjectural) combinatorial interpretations in terms of permutations or packed words are also proposed.

As permutation tableaux occur in geometry (they are a distinguished subset of Postnikov’s $\Gamma$-diagrams, which parameterize cells in the totally non-negative part of the Grassmannian [35]) and the $q$-enumeration of permutation tableaux is (up to a shift) counting cells according to dimension. Additionally, permutation tableaux occur in physics – Corteel and Williams [4] found a close connection to a well-known model from statistical physics called the partially asymmetric exclusion process, which in turn is related to the Hamiltonian of the XXZ quantum spin chain [6]. Therefore we may say that our new Hall-Littlewood functions have some of the features which were absent from Hivert’s theory. However, we do not have the algebraic side coming from affine Hecke algebras, and it is an open question whether both points of view can be unified.

We conclude this paper with exact formulas for the $q$-enumeration of permutation tableaux of types A and B, according to shape. In the type A case, by the result of

\[\text{Since many of our results and conjectures are stated in terms of permutations, one might be tempted to work only with FQSym, bypassing WQSym entirely. However, as was already clear in [14], one cannot make sense of the definition of Tevlin’s monomial basis $\Psi$ using FQSym.}\]
Corteel and Williams [4], this gives an exact formula for the steady state probability of each state of the partially asymmetric exclusion process (with arbitrary $q$ and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = 1$). Applying results of [8], this also gives an exact formula for the number of permutations with a fixed weak exceedance set enumerated according to crossings, and for the number of permutations with a fixed set of descent bottoms, enumerated according to occurrences of the pattern $2 - 31$.

Acknowledgments.- This work has been partially supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche, grant ANR-06-BLAN-0380. The authors would also like to thank the contributors of the MuPAD project, and especially those of the combinat package, for providing the development environment for this research (see [15] for an introduction to MuPAD-Combinat).

2. Notations and background

2.1. Words, permutations, and compositions. We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations of the theory of noncommutative symmetric functions [8, 5]. We shall need an infinite totally ordered alphabet $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < \cdots\}$, generally assumed to be the set of positive integers. We denote by $\mathbb{K}$ a field of characteristic 0, and by $\mathbb{K}(A)$ the free associative algebra over $A$ when $A$ is finite, and the projective limit $\text{proj lim}_B \mathbb{K}(B)$, where $B$ runs over finite subsets of $A$, when $A$ is infinite. The evaluation $\text{ev}(w)$ of a word $w$ is the sequence whose $i$-th term is the number of times the letter $a_i$ occurs in $w$. The standardized word $\text{Std}(w)$ of a word $w \in A^*$ is the permutation obtained by iteratively scanning $w$ from left to right, and labelling $1, 2, \ldots$ the occurrences of its smallest letter, then numbering the occurrences of the next one, and so on. For example, $\text{Std}(bbacab) = 341625$.

For a word $w$ on the alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$, we denote by $w[k]$ the word obtained by replacing each letter $i$ by the integer $i + k$. If $u$ and $v$ are two words, with $u$ of length $k$, one defines the shifted concatenation $u \bullet v = u \cdot (v[k])$ and the shifted shuffle $u \uplus v = u \mathfrak{w}(v[k])$, where $\mathfrak{w}$ is the usual shuffle product.

Recall that a permutation $\sigma$ admits a descent at position $i$ if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i + 1)$. Symmetrically, $\sigma$ admits a recoil at $i$ if $\sigma^{-1}(i) > \sigma^{-1}(i + 1)$. The descent and recoil sets of $\sigma$ are the positions of the descents and recoils, respectively.

A composition of an integer $n$ is a sequence $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ of positive integers of sum $n$. In this case we write $I \models n$. The integer $r$ is called the length of the composition. The descent set of $I$ is $\text{Des}(I) = \{i_1, i_1 + i_2, \ldots, i_1 + \cdots + i_{r-1}\}$. The reverse refinement order, denoted by $\succeq$, on compositions is such that $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \succeq J = (j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ iff $\text{Des}(I) \supseteq \text{Des}(J)$, or equivalently, $\{i_1, i_1 + i_2, \ldots, i_1 + \cdots + i_k\}$ contains $\{j_1, j_1 + j_2, \ldots, j_1 + \cdots + j_l\}$. In this case, we say that $I$ is finer than $J$. For example, $(2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2) \succeq (3, 2, 6)$. The descent composition $\text{DC}(\sigma)$ of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the composition $I$ of $n$ whose descent set is the descent set of $\sigma$. Similarly we can define recoil compositions.

If $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_q)$ and $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_p)$ are two compositions, then $I \cdot J$ refers to their concatenation $(i_1, \ldots, i_q, j_1, \ldots, j_p)$, and $I \triangleright J$ is equal to $(i_1, \ldots, i_q + j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p)$.

The major index $\text{maj}(K)$ of a composition $K = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ is equal to the dot product of $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ with $(r - 1, r - 2, \ldots, 2, 1, 0)$, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{r} (r - i)k_i$. 
2.2. Word Quasi-symmetric functions: WQSym. Let \( w \in A^* \). The packed word \( u = \text{pack}(w) \) associated with \( w \) is obtained by the following process. If \( b_1 < b_2 < \ldots < b_r \) are the letters occurring in \( w \), \( u \) is the image of \( w \) by the homomorphism \( b_i \mapsto a_i \). A word \( u \) is said to be packed if \( \text{pack}(u) = u \). We denote by \( PW \) the set of packed words. With such a word, we associate the polynomial

\[
M_u := \sum_{\text{pack}(w) = u} w.
\]

For example, restricting \( A \) to the first five integers,

\[
M_{13132} = 13132 + 14142 + 14143 + 24243 + 15152 + 15153 + 25253 + 15154 + 25254 + 35354.
\]

Under the abelianization \( \chi : K\langle A \rangle \to K[ X] \), the \( M_u \) are mapped to the monomial quasi-symmetric functions \( M_I \) (\( I = (|u|_a)_{a \in A} \) being the evaluation vector of \( u \)).

These polynomials span a subalgebra of \( K\langle A \rangle \), called \( \text{WQSym} \) for Word Quasi-Symmetric functions \([12]\). These are the invariants of the noncommutative version of Hivert’s quasi-symmetrizing action \([12]\), which is defined by \( \sigma \cdot w = w' \) where \( w' \) is such that \( \text{Std}(w') = \text{Std}(w) \) and \( \chi(w') = \sigma \cdot \chi(w) \). Thus, two words are in the same \( S(A) \)-orbit iff they have the same packed word.

The graded dimension of \( \text{WQSym} \) is the sequence of ordered Bell numbers (\([37, A000670]\)) 1, 1, 3, 13, 75, 541, 4683, 47293, 545835, \ldots. Hence, \( \text{WQSym} \) is much larger than \( \text{Sym} \), which can be embedded in it in various ways \([30, 31]\).

The product of the \( M_u \) of \( \text{WQSym} \) is given by

\[
M_u' M_u'' = \sum_{u \in u' \ast_W u''} M_u,
\]

where the convolution \( u' \ast_W u'' \) of two packed words is defined as

\[
u' \ast_W u'' = \sum_{v, w; u = v \cdot w \in PW, \text{pack}(v) = u', \text{pack}(w) = u''} u.
\]

For example,

\[
M_{11} M_{21} = M_{11121} + M_{1132} + M_{2221} + M_{2231} + M_{3321}.
\]

\[
M_{21} M_{121} = M_{12121} + M_{12131} + M_{12232} + M_{12343} + M_{13121} + M_{13232} + M_{13242} + M_{14232} + M_{23121} + M_{23131} + M_{23141} + M_{24131} + M_{34121}.
\]

2.3. Matrix quasi-symmetric functions: MQSym. This algebra is introduced in \([12, 5]\). We start from a totally ordered set of commutative variables \( X = \{ x_1 < \cdots < x_n \} \) and consider the ideal \( K[X]^+ \) of polynomials without constant term. We denote by \( K\{ X \} = T(K[X]^+) \) its tensor algebra. We will also consider tensor products of elements of this algebra. To avoid confusion, we denote by “•” the product of the tensor algebra and call it the dot product. We reserve the notation \( \otimes \) for the external tensor product.

A natural basis of \( K\{ X \} \) is formed by dot products of nonconstant monomials (called multiwords in the sequel), which can be represented by nonnegative integer matrices \( M = (m_{ij}) \), where \( m_{ij} \) is the exponent of the variable \( x_i \) in the \( j \)th factor
of the tensor product. Since constant monomials are not allowed, such matrices have no zero column. We say that they are \emph{horizontally packed}. A multiword \( m \) can be encoded in the following way. Let \( V \) be the support of \( m \), that is, the set of those variables \( x_i \) such that the \( i \)th row of \( M \) is non zero, and let \( P \) be the matrix obtained from \( M \) by removing the null rows. We set \( m = V^P \). A matrix such as \( P \), without zero rows or columns, is said to be \emph{packed}.

For example the multiword \( m = a \cdot ab^3e^2 \cdot a^2d \) is encoded by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 5 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Its support is the set \( \{ a, b, d, e \} \), and the associated packed matrix is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Let \( \text{MQSym}(X) \) be the linear subspace of \( \mathbb{K}\{X\} \) spanned by the elements

\[
MS_M = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{P}_k(X)} V^M
\]

where \( \mathcal{P}_k(X) \) is the set of \( k \)-element subsets of \( X \), and \( M \) runs over packed matrices of height \( h(m) < n \).

For example, on the alphabet \( \{ a < b < c < d \} \)

\[
\text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 030 \\ 001 \\ 050 \end{bmatrix}} = \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 030 \\ 001 \\ 050 \end{bmatrix}} + \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 030 \\ 001 \\ 050 \end{bmatrix}} + \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 030 \\ 001 \\ 050 \end{bmatrix}} + \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 112 \\ 030 \\ 001 \\ 050 \end{bmatrix}}
\]

One can show that \( \text{MQSym} \) is a subalgebra of \( \mathbb{K}\{X\} \). Actually,

\[
\text{MS}_P \text{MS}_Q = \sum_{R \in \text{shuffle}(P,Q)} \text{MS}_R
\]

where the \emph{augmented shuffle} of \( P \) and \( Q \), \( \text{shuffle}(P,Q) \) is defined as follows: let \( r \) be an integer between \( \max(p,q) \) and \( p + q \), where \( p = h(P) \) and \( q = h(Q) \). Insert null rows in the matrices \( P \) and \( Q \) so as to form matrices \( \hat{P} \) and \( \hat{Q} \) of height \( r \). Let \( R \) be the matrix \( (\hat{P},\hat{Q}) \). The set \( \text{shuffle}(P,Q) \) is formed by all the matrices without null rows obtained in this way.

For example:

\[
\text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 2100 \\ 0031 \end{bmatrix}} \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 31 \end{bmatrix}} = \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 2100 \\ 0031 \end{bmatrix}} + \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 2100 \\ 0031 \end{bmatrix}} + \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 2100 \\ 0031 \end{bmatrix}} + \text{MS}_{\begin{bmatrix} 2100 \\ 0031 \end{bmatrix}}
\]

2.4. \textbf{Free quasi-symmetric functions: \textbf{FQSym}}. The Hopf algebra \( \text{FQSym} \) is the subalgebra of \( \text{WQSym} \) spanned by the polynomials \( \sigma \)

\[
G_\sigma := \sum_{\text{Std}(w) = \sigma} M_w = \sum_{\text{Std}(w) = \sigma} w,
\]
The multiplication rule is, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_l$, 
\begin{equation}
G_\alpha G_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{k+l} : \gamma = u \cdot v, \text{Std}(u) = \alpha, \text{Std}(v) = \beta} G_\gamma.
\end{equation}

As a Hopf algebra, $\mathbf{FQSym}$ is self-dual. The scalar product materializing this duality is the one for which $(G_\sigma, G_\tau) = \delta_{\sigma, \tau^{-1}}$ (Kronecker symbol). Hence, $F_\sigma := G_{\sigma^{-1}}$ is the dual basis of $G$. Their product is given by 
\begin{equation}
F_\alpha F_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \alpha \otimes \beta} F_\gamma.
\end{equation}

2.5. Embeddings.

2.5.1. $\mathbf{Sym}$ into $\mathbf{MQSym}$. Recall that the algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions is the free associative algebra $\mathbf{Sym} = \mathbb{C}\langle S_1, S_2, \ldots \rangle$ generated by an infinite sequence of non-commutative indeterminates $S_k$, called complete symmetric functions. For a composition $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$, one sets $S^I = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_r}$. The family $(S^I)$ is a linear basis of $\mathbf{Sym}$. A useful realization, denoted by $\mathbf{Sym}(A)$, can be obtained by taking an infinite alphabet $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots \}$ and defining its complete homogeneous symmetric functions by the generating function
\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n S_n(A) = (1 - ta_1)^{-1} (1 - ta_2)^{-1} \cdots
\]

Given a packed matrix $P$, the vector of its column sums will be denoted by $\text{Col}(P)$. The algebra morphism defined on generators by
\begin{equation}
\beta : S_n \mapsto \sum_{\text{Col}(P) = (n)} \text{MS}_P
\end{equation}
is an embedding of Hopf algebras $[5]$. By definition of $\mathbf{MQSym}$, for an arbitrary composition, we have 
\begin{equation}
\beta(S^I) = \sum_{\text{Col}(P) = I} \text{MS}_P.
\end{equation}

2.5.2. $\mathbf{Sym}$ into $\mathbf{WQSym}$. The algebra morphism defined on generators by 
\begin{equation}
\alpha : S_n \mapsto \sum_{\text{Std}(u) = 12 \cdots n} \text{M}_u
\end{equation}
is also an embedding of Hopf algebras. For an arbitrary composition, 
\begin{equation}
\alpha(S^I) = \sum_{\text{DC}(u) \leq I} \text{M}_u.
\end{equation}
Indeed, when $\mathbf{Sym}$ is realized as $\mathbf{Sym}(A)$, the latter sum is equal to $S^I(A)$.

2.6. Epimorphisms. We shall also need to project back from the algebras $\mathbf{MQSym}$ and $\mathbf{WQSym}$ to $\mathbf{Sym}$. The crucial projection is the one associated with the (non-Hopf) quotient of $\mathbf{WQSym}$ introduced in [14].
2.6.1. **MQSym to WQSym.** To a packed matrix $M$, one associates a packed word $w(M)$ as follows. Read the entries of $M$ columnwise, from top to bottom and left to right. The word $w(M)$ is obtained by repeating $m_{ij}$ times each row index $i$.

Let $J$ be the ideal of $\text{MQSym}$ generated by the differences

$$\{\text{MS}_P - \text{MS}_Q | w(P) = w(Q)\}.$$  

Then the quotient $\text{MQSym}/J$ is isomorphic as an algebra to $\text{WQSym}$, via the identification $\overline{\text{MS}}_M = M_{w(M)}$. More precisely, $\eta: \overline{\text{MS}}_M \mapsto M_{w(M)}$ is a morphism of algebras.

2.6.2. **WQSym to Sym.** Let $w$ be a packed word. The *Word composition* ($\text{W-composition}$) of $w$ is the composition whose descent set is given by the positions of the last occurrences of each letter in $w$.

For example,

$$\text{WC}(1543421323) = (2, 3, 2, 1).$$

Indeed, the descent set is $\{2, 5, 7, 9, 10\}$ since the last 5 is in position 2, the last 4 is in position 5, the last 1 is in position 7, the last 2 is in position 9, and the last 3 is in position 10.

The following tables group the packed words in $\text{PW}_2$ and $\text{PW}_3$ according to their W-composition.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
3 & 21 & 12 & 111 \\
111 & 112 & 122 & 123 \\
121 & 211 & 132 & \\
212 & 213 & \\
221 & 312 & 321 & \\
21 & 12 & \\
11 & \\
\end{array}
\]

Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on packed words defined by $u \sim v$ iff $\text{WC}(u) = \text{WC}(v)$. Let $J'$ be the subspace of $\text{WQSym}$ spanned by the differences

$$\{M_u - M_v | u \sim v\}.$$  

Then, it has been shown \[14\] that $J'$ is a two-sided ideal of $\text{WQSym}$, and that the quotient $T'$ defined by $T' = \text{WQSym}/J'$ is isomorphic to $\text{Sym}$ as an algebra.

More precisely, recall that $\Psi_n$ is a *noncommutative power sum of the first kind* \[3\]. Tevlin defined the *noncommutative monomial symmetric functions* $\Psi_I$ \[39\] as quaside-terminants in the $\Psi_n$’s. We do not need the precise definition of $\Psi_I$ here, only the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** \[14\] $\zeta: \text{M}_u \mapsto \Psi_{\text{WC}(u)}$ is a morphism of algebras.

### 3. Quantizations and noncommutative Hall-Littlewood functions

In this section, we introduce a new $q$-analogue $S^I(q)$ of the basis $S^I$ of $\text{Sym}$, giving two different but equivalent definitions. When we examine the transition matrices between this new basis and other bases, we will see a connection to permutation tableaux and hence to the asymmetric exclusion process. The new basis elements $S^I(q)$ play the role of the classical Hall-Littlewood $Q'_\mu$ \[27\] Ex. 7.(a) p. 234], and of Hivert’s $H_I(q)$. 
3.1. The special inversion statistic. Let \( u = u_1 \cdots u_n \) be a packed word. We say that an inversion \( u_i = b > u_j = a \) (where \( i < j \) and \( a < b \)) is special if \( u_j \) is the rightmost occurrence of \( a \) in \( u \). Let \( \text{sinv}(u) \) denote the number of special inversions in \( u \). Note that if \( u \) is a permutation, this coincides with its ordinary inversion number.

3.2. Quantizing WQSym. Let \( M'_u = q^{\text{sinv}(u)} M_u \) and define a linear map \( \phi_q \) by \( \phi_q(M_u) = M'_u \). We define a new associative product \( \star_q \) on WQSym by requiring that

\[
M'_u \star_q M'_v = \phi_q(M_u M_v). \tag{20}
\]

For example, by (6), one has

\[
M'_11 \star_q M'_21 = M'_{1121} + M'_{1132} + M'_{2221} + M'_{2231} + M'_{3321} = qM_{1121} + qM_{1132} + q^3M_{2221} + q^3M_{2231} + q^5M_{3321}. \tag{21}
\]

This algebra structure on the vector space WQSym will be denoted by \( \text{WQSym}_q \).

3.3. Quantizing MQSym. Similarly, the \( q \)-product \( \star_q \) can be defined on MQSym, by requiring that the \( M'_M = q^{\text{sinv}(w(M))} M_M \) multiply as the \( \text{MS}_M \).

3.4. Two equivalent definitions of \( S_I(q) \). Embedding Sym into MQSym\(_q\) and projecting back to Sym, we define \( q \)-analogues of the products \( S_I \) by

\[
S_I(q) = \zeta \circ \eta(\beta(S_{i_1}) \star_q \cdots \star_q \beta(S_{i_r})). \tag{22}
\]

Equivalently, since under the above embeddings, the image of Sym in MQSym is contained in the image of WQSym, one can embed Sym into WQSym\(_q\) and project back to Sym, which yields

\[
S_I(q) = \zeta(\alpha(S_{i_1}) \star_q \cdots \star_q \alpha(S_{i_r})). \tag{23}
\]

3.5. The transition matrix \( M(S(q), \Psi) \). For any two bases \( F, G \) of Sym, we denote by \( M_n(F,G) \) the matrix indexed by compositions of \( n \), whose entry in row \( I \) and column \( J \) is the coefficient of \( G_I \) in the \( G \)-expansion of \( F_J \). We will give two combinatorial formulas (Propositions 3.1 and 3.2) and one recursive formula (Theorem 3.6) for the elements of the transition matrix \( M(S(q), \Psi) \), where the \( \Psi_I \)'s are Tevlin’s noncommutative monomial symmetric functions.

3.5.1. First examples. Let \( [n] \) denote the \( q \)-analogue \( 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \) of \( n \). The first transition matrices \( SP_n = M_n(S(q), \Psi) \) are

\[
\]
The coefficient of $\Psi_I$ in $S^J(q)$ will be denoted by $C^J_I(q)$.

### 3.5.2. Combinatorial interpretations

Recall that by Proposition 2.1, $\zeta \circ \eta$ is a morphism of algebras sending $\text{MS}_M$ to $\Psi_{\text{WC}(w(M))}$. Hence, our first definition of $S^J(q)$ gives the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ and $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ be two compositions. Let $M(I, J)$ be the set of integer matrices $M = (m_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq l; 1 \leq q \leq k}$ without null rows such that

$$\text{WC}(w(M)) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Col}(M) = J.$$  

Then

$$C^J_I(q) = \sum_{M \in M(I, J)} q^\text{inv}(w(M)).$$

For example, the six matrices corresponding to the coefficient $[4][3]/[2]$ of $M_4$ in row $(2, 1, 1)$ and column $(2, 2)$ are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

The corresponding statistics are

$$(27) \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$  

The second definition of $S^J(q)$ yields a different combinatorial description:

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $I$ and $J$ be two compositions and let $W(I, J)$ be the set of packed words $w$ such that

$$\text{WC}(w) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \text{DC}(w) \preceq J.$$  

Then

$$C^J_I(q) = \sum_{w \in W(I, J)} q^\text{inv}(w).$$

For example, the six packed words corresponding to the coefficient $[4][3]/[2]$ of $M_4$ in row $I = (2, 1, 1)$ and column $J = (2, 2)$ are

$$(30) 1123, 1213, 1312, 2213, 2312, 3312.$$  

These words are the column readings of the six matrices from (26). The first word has descent composition (4) and the others have descent composition (2, 2).
The \( q \)-product on Sym. To explain the factorization of the coefficients of the transition matrix \( M(S(q), \Psi) \), we need a recursive formula for \( S^J(q) \). This will be given in Theorem 3.6.

In WQSym, let

\[
\tilde{S}_n = \alpha(S_n) = \sum_{u:u\uparrow n} M_u
\]

where \( u \uparrow n \) means that \( u \) is a nondecreasing packed word of length \( n \), and define

\[
\tilde{S}^J = \tilde{S}_{j_1} *_q \ldots *_q \tilde{S}_{j_r}
\]

so that \( S^J(q) = \zeta(\tilde{S}^J) \). Let \( J = (j_1, \ldots, j_r) \) and set \( J' = (j_2, \ldots, j_r) \). Since \( *_q \) is associative in WQSym, we have

\[
S^{j_1, J'}(q) = \zeta(\tilde{S}^{j_1, J'}) = \sum_{u, v:u\uparrow j_1, DC(v) \leq J'} q^{\text{inv}(v)} \zeta(M_u *_q M_v).
\]

This expression can be simplified by means of the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( u \) be a nondecreasing packed word. Then

\[
\zeta(M_u *_q M_v) = \zeta(M_u *_q M_v')
\]

for all \( v' \) such that \( \text{WC}(v') = \text{WC}(v) \).

**Proof.** Since \( u \) is nondecreasing, each packed word \( z = x \cdot y \) appearing in the expansion of \( M_u *_q M_v \) is completely determined by the letters used in \( x \) and the letters used in \( y \). Looking at the packed words \( z \) and \( z' \) occurring in \( M_u *_q M_v \) and in \( M_u *_q M_v' \) with given letters used for their prefixes and suffixes, we have \( \text{inv}(z') = \text{inv}(z) + \text{inv}(v') - \text{inv}(v) \), whence the result.

For example,

\[
M_{11} *_q M_{12} = M_{1112} + q^2 M_{221} + q^2 M_{2213} + q^4 M_{3312}.
\]

\[
M_{11} *_q qM_{21} = qM_{112} + q^3 M_{2211} + q^3 M_{2221} + q^5 M_{3321} + q^5 M_{3321}.
\]

Let now \( \sigma: \text{Sym} \to \text{WQSym} \) be the section of the projection \( \zeta \) defined by

\[
\sigma(\Psi_I) = M_{1^{i_1} 2^{i_2} \ldots r^{i_r}}.
\]

We can define a (non-associative!) \( q \)-product on Sym by

\[
f *_q g = \zeta(\sigma(f) *_q \sigma(g)).
\]

Then Lemma 3.3 implies that

\[
S^I(q) = S^{i_1} *_q (S^{i_2} *_q (\ldots (S^{i_{r-1}} *_q S^{i_r}))).
\]
3.5.4. Closed form for the coefficients. From Lemma 3.3 we now have

\[ S_{j_1 \cdot j'}(q) = \zeta(S_{j_1 \cdot j'}(q)) = \sum_{u, v : u \uparrow j_1} C_{j'}_{\text{WC}(v)}(q) \zeta(M_u \ast_q M_v). \]

Note that \( \zeta(M_u \ast_q M_v) \) and \( \zeta(M_{u'} \ast_q M_{v'}) \) are linear combinations of disjoint sets of \( \Psi_K \) as soon as the nondecreasing words \( v \) and \( v' \) are different. So the computation of the coefficient \( C_{j'} \) boils down to the evaluation of

\[ \sum_{u: u \uparrow j_1} \zeta(M_u \ast_q M_v) = \zeta(S_{j_1 \cdot q} M_v), \]

where \( v \) is a nondecreasing word. Let us first characterize the terms of the product yielding a given \( \Psi_I \).

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( u \) be a nondecreasing word of length \( k \) over \( [1, r] \). Given a composition \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \) of length \( r \), there exists at most one nondecreasing word \( v \) over \( [1, r] \) such that \( uv \) is packed and \( \text{WC}(uv) = I \). Such a \( v \) exists precisely when \( u = u_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot u_k \) satisfies \( u_i < u_{i+1} \) for \( i \in \text{Des}(I) \).

In this case, let \( y = 1^i 2^i \cdot \cdots \cdot r^i \). Then \( \text{sinv}(uv) \) is equal to

\[ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (u_i - y_i). \]

This sum is also equal to

\[ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i - (k + \text{maj}(K)), \]

where \( K \) is the composition of \( k \) such that \( \text{Des}(K) = \text{Des}(I) \cap [1, k - 1] \).

**Proof.** The construction of \( v \) was already given in the proof of Theorem 6.1 of [14]. It comes essentially from the facts that the letters which should be used in \( v \) are determined by the letters used in \( u \), and that a word is uniquely determined by its packed word and its alphabet.

Now, for each letter \( x \) of \( u \), its contribution to \( \text{sinv}(uv) \) is given by the number of different letters strictly smaller than \( x \) appearing in \( v \). This is equal to \( u_i - y_i \). The sum of the \( y_i \) is \( k + \text{maj}(K) \). \( \square \)

For example, given \( I = 1221 \), there are 10 nondecreasing words \( u \) of \( [1, 4] \) of length 3 satisfying the conditions of the lemma. The following table gives the corresponding \( v \) and the \( \text{sinv} \) statistics.
of the products $uv$.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$122$</td>
<td>$334$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$123$</td>
<td>$224$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$124$</td>
<td>$223$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$133$</td>
<td>$224$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$134$</td>
<td>$223$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$144$</td>
<td>$223$</td>
<td>$4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$233$</td>
<td>$114$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$234$</td>
<td>$113$</td>
<td>$4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$244$</td>
<td>$113$</td>
<td>$5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$344$</td>
<td>$112$</td>
<td>$6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(44)

We are now in a position to compute

\[ \zeta(\tilde{S}^{|h|} \ast_q M_v) \]

when $v$ is a nondecreasing word.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $I$ be a composition of $k + n$ and let $I'$ be the composition of $n$ such that $\text{Des}(I') = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ satisfies $\{k + a_1, \ldots, k + a_s\} = \text{Des}(I) \cap [k + 1, k + n]$.

Let $v$ be the nondecreasing word of evaluation $I'$. The coefficient of $\Psi_I$ in $\zeta(\tilde{S}_k \ast_q M_v)$ is the $q$-binomial coefficient

\[ \left[ \begin{array}{c} k + r - s \\ r - s \end{array} \right]_q \]

(46)

where $r = l(I)$, $K$ is the composition of $k$ such that $\text{Des}(K) = \text{Des}(I) \cap [1, k - 1]$, and $s = l(K)$.

**Proof** – To start with, write

\[ \tilde{S}_k \ast_q M_v = \sum_w q^{\text{inv}(w)} M_w, \]

(47)

where $w$ runs over packed words of the form $w = u'v'$, with $u'$ nondecreasing and $\text{pack}(v') = v$. From Lemma 3.4 we see that in order to have $\text{WC}(u'v') = I$, $u' = x_1 \cdots x_k$ must be a word over the interval $[1, r]$ with equalities $x_i = x_j$ allowed precisely when cells $i$ and $j$ are in the same row of the diagram of $K$. The commutative image of the formal sum of such words, which are the nondecreasing reorderings of the quasi-ribbons of shape $K$ [11], is the quasi-symmetric quasi-ribbon polynomial $F_K(t_1, \ldots, t_r)$, introduced in [9]. Hence, the coefficient of $\Psi_I$ is

\[ q^{-\text{maj}(K)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k + r - s \\ r - s \end{array} \right]_q \]

(48)

given the generating function [10]

\[ \sum_{m \geq 0} t^m F_K(1, q, \ldots, q^{m-1}) = \frac{t^{l(K)} q^{\text{maj}(K)}}{(t; q)_{k+1}}. \]

(49)
The example presented in [44] corresponds to the case $I = (1, 2, 2, 1)$ and $i = 3$, so that $K = (1, 2)$.

We then find $\binom{3 + 4 - 2}{4 - 2}_q = \binom{5}{2}_q$, which indeed corresponds to the statistic in the last column of [44].

Summarizing the above discussion, we can now state the main result of this section:

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ and $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ be compositions of $n$. Then the coefficient $C_{i}^{j}(q)$ of $\Psi_I$ in $S^J(q)$ is given by the following rule:

(i) if $i_1 < j_1$, then $C_{i}^{j}(q) = C_{i_1+i_2, i_3, \ldots, i_k}^{j}(q)$,

(ii) otherwise,

\begin{equation}
C_{i}^{j}(q) = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
k + j_1 - 1 \\
j_1 
\end{array} \right] C_{i'}^{(j_2, \ldots, j_l)}(q)
\end{equation}

where the diagram of $I'$ is obtained by removing the first $j_1$ cells of the diagram of $I$.

\[\square\]

3.6. The transition matrix $M(R(q), \Psi)$.

3.6.1. $q$-deformed ribbons. We now define a $q$-ribbon basis $R_I(q)$ in terms of the $S^J(q)$’s by analogy to the relationship between the ordinary $R_I$’s and $S^J$’s:

\begin{equation}
R_I(q) := \sum_{J \leq I} (-1)^{l(J)-l(I)} S^J(q).
\end{equation}

The coefficient of $\Psi_I$ in the expansion of $R^J(q)$ will be denoted by $D_I^J(q)$.

3.6.2. First examples. We get the following transition matrices between $R(q)$ and $\Psi$ for $n = 3, 4$:

\[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
1 & q[3] & q+q^2 & . & q & q^2 & . & . \\
1 & q[3] & q+2q^2+q^3+q^4 & q^3[3] & q+q^2 & q^2+q^3+q^4 & q^3 & . \\
1 & q[3] & q+q^2 & . & q & q^2 & q^2+q^3+2q^4+q^5 & q^3 & . \\
\end{array}\]
3.6.3. Combinatorial interpretations. By definition of the transition matrix from \( S(q) \) to \( R(q) \), the matrices \( M(R(q), \Psi) \) can be described as follows:

**Proposition 3.7.** Let \( I \) and \( J \) be compositions of \( n \), and let \( W'(I, J) \) be the set of packed words \( w \) such that

\[
WC(w) = I \quad \text{and} \quad DC(w) = J.
\]

Then

\[
D_I^J(q) = \sum_{w \in W'(I, J)} q^{\text{sinv}(w)}.
\]

**Proof** – This follows directly from the combinatorial interpretation of \( C_I^J \) in terms of packed words (see Proposition 3.2).

In terms of \( MQSym \), this can be rewritten as follows:

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \( I \) and \( J \) be compositions of \( n \). Then \( D_I^J(q) \) is given by the statistic \( \text{sinv}(w(M)) \) applied to the elements \( M \) of the subset of \( M(I, J) \) where in each pair of consecutive columns, the bottommost nonzero entry of the left one is strictly below the top-most nonzero entry of the right one.

3.7. The transition matrix \( M(L(q), \Psi) \).

3.7.1. A new \( q \)-analogue of the \( L \) basis of \( \text{Sym} \). Let \( st(I, J) \) be the statistic on pairs of compositions of the same weight defined by

\[
st(I, J) := \begin{cases} 
\#\{(i, j) \in \text{Des}(I) \times \text{Des}(J) | i \geq j\} & \text{if } I \succeq J, \\
-\infty & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

We define a new basis \( L(q) \) by

\[
L_J(q) := \sum_{I=|J|} q^{st(I, J)} \Psi_I = \sum_{I \succeq J} q^{st(I, J)} \Psi_I.
\]

For \( q = 1 \), this reduces to Tevlin’s basis \( L_I \) (in the notation of [14]). Since \( M(L_J(q), \Psi) \) is unitriangular, \( L_I(q) \) is a basis of \( \text{Sym} \).

3.7.2. First examples. Here are the first transition matrices from \( L(q) \) to \( \Psi \):

\[
MLP_3 = M_3(L(q), \Psi) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
1 & q & \cdot & \cdot \\
1 & q & q^2 & q^3
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\text{MLP}_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
\]

Note that up to some minor changes (conjugation w.r.t. mirror image of compositions), these are the matrices expressing Hivert’s Hall-Littlewood $\tilde{H}_J$ on the basis $R_I$ [12]. This allows us to derive the expression of their inverse, that is, transition matrices from $\Psi$ to $L(q)$ (see [12], Theorem 6.6):

\[
\Psi_J = \sum_{I \succeq J} (-1/q)^{l(I) - l(J)} q^{-st'(I, J)} L_I(q),
\]

where $st'(I, J)$ is

\[
st'(I, J) := \begin{cases} \# \{(i, j) \in \text{Des}(I) \times \text{Des}(J) | i \leq j\} & \text{if } I \succeq J, \\
-\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

3.8. The transition matrix $M(S(q), L(q))$. The coefficient of $L_I(q)$ in $S^J(q)$ will be denoted by $E^J_I(q)$. In this section, we will see a connection to permutation tableaux and hence to the asymmetric exclusion process.

3.8.1. First examples. Here are the first transition matrices from $S(q)$ to $L(q)$:

\[
SL_3 = M_3(S(q), L(q)) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
. & 1 + q & 1 & 2 + q \\
. & . & 1 & 1 \\
. & . & . & 1 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
SL_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
. & 1 + q + q^2 & 1 + q & 2 + 2q + q^2 \\
. & . & 1 + q & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
. & . & . & 1 + q \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In fact the right-hand column of each of these matrices contains the (un-normalized) steady-state probabilities of each state of the partially asymmetric exclusion process (PASEP). More specifically, the steady-state probabilities of the states $\bullet\bullet$, $\bullet\circ$, $\circ\bullet$, and $\circ\circ$ (the states of the PASEP on 2 sites) are $\frac{1}{q^2}$, $\frac{q+2}{q^2}$, $\frac{1}{q^2}$, and $\frac{1}{q}$, respectively; compare this with the right-hand column of $SL_3$. The steady-state probabilities of
\[ \cdots, \cdots \cdots, \cdots, \cdots, \cdots, \cdots, \cdots \cdots \] are given by the right-hand column of \( SL_4 \). This will be proved in Section 4, building on work of \[4 \].

Note that since all coefficients of the matrix \( SP_n \) are explicit (and products of \( q \)-binomials) and since \( SL_n \) comes from \( SP_n \) by adding and subtracting rows, we have a simple expression of \( E_I(q) \) as an alternating sum of \( C_J(q) \), hence of products of \( q \)-binomials.

Note that these matrices are invertible for generic values of \( q \), in particular for \( q = 0 \). Hence, we can define Hall-Littlewood type functions by

\[ (60) \quad \tilde{H}_J(q) = \sum_I E_I(q) L_I \]

which interpolate between \( S^I \) (at \( q = 1 \)) and a new kind of noncommutative Schur functions \( \Sigma_I \) at \( q = 0 \).

We will see in the next section that the last column gives the \( q \)-enumeration of permutation tableaux according to shape. Let us write down the precise statement in that case.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let \( c_I(q) \) be the coefficient of \( \Psi_I \) in \( S^n(q) \). Let \( e_I(q) \) be the coefficient of \( L_I(q) \) in \( S^n(q) \).

Then,

\[ (61) \quad e_I(q) = \sum_{J \preceq I} (-1/q)^{l(I) - l(J)} q^{-s^t(I,J)} c_J(q), \]

and

\[ (62) \quad c_{j_1, \ldots, j_r}(q) = [r]_q^{j_1} [r-1]_q^{j_2} \ldots [2]_q^{j_{r-1}} [1]_q^{j_r}. \]

**Proof** – Straightforward from Theorem 3.6 and Equation 58.

We shall use the notation \( QFact_A(J) := c_J(q) \) in the sequel, regarding it as a generalized \( q \)-factorial defined for all compositions (the classical one coming from \( J = (1^n) \)).

3.8.2. **A combinatorial lemma.** We need to describe the \( q \)-product in the \( L(q) \) basis. Our first objective will be to understand how \( st(I, K) \) can be related to \( st(I, J) \) and \( st(J, K) \) for all \( K \preceq J \preceq I \).

**Lemma 3.10.** Let \( X = \{x_1 < \cdots < x_r\} \subseteq Z = \{z_1 < \cdots < z_m\} \) be two sets of positive integers. For an integer \( y \), let

\[ (63) \quad \nu(y) = \#\{z \in Z|z \geq y\} + \#\{x \in X|x \leq y\}, \]

and for a set \( Y \),

\[ (64) \quad \nu(Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \nu(y). \]

For \( r \leq s \leq m \), let

\[ (65) \quad \Sigma_s(X, Z) = \sum_{x \subseteq Y \subseteq Z} q^{\nu(Y)}. \]
Then,

$$\Sigma_s(X, Z) = q^{\nu(x) + (r+1)(s-r) + \binom{s-r}{2}} \left[ \frac{m-r}{s-r} \right].$$

\textbf{Proof} – Let \( Z/X = U = \{ u_1 < \cdots < u_{m-r} \} \) and \( \nu_i = \nu(u_i) \). Then \( \nu = m - i + 1 \), so that all \( \nu_j \) are consecutive integers. By definition,

$$\Sigma_s(X, Z) = \sum_{X \subseteq \{ y_1 < \cdots < y_s \} \subseteq Z} q^{\nu(y_1) + \cdots + \nu(y_s)}$$

$$= q^{\nu(x)} \sum_{k_1 < \cdots < k_{s-r}} q^{\nu_{k_1} + \cdots + \nu_{k_{s-r}}}$$

$$= q^{\nu(x)} e_{s-r}(q^{\nu_1}, \ldots, q^{\nu_{m-r}}),$$

where \( e_n(X) \) is the usual elementary symmetric function on the alphabet \( X \). Thus,

$$\Sigma_s(X, Z) = q^{\nu(x)} e_{s-r}(q^{r+1}, \ldots, q^m)$$

$$= q^{\nu(x)} q^{(r+1)(s-r)} e_{s-r}(1, q, \ldots, q^{m-r-1})$$

$$= q^{\nu(x) + (r+1)(s-r) + \binom{s-r}{2}} \left[ \frac{m-r}{s-r} \right].$$

\( \blacksquare \)

For example, with \( X = \{ 3, 7 \} \) and \( Z = \{ 1, \ldots, 10 \} \), one has

$$\Sigma_3(X, Z) = q^{\nu(3) + \nu(7)} \sum_{y \in Z/X} q^{\nu(y)} = q^{15}(q^{10} + q^9 + \cdots + q^4 + q^3) = q^{18} \left[ \frac{8}{1} q \right].$$

$$\Sigma_4(X, Z) = q^{15} e_2(q^3, \ldots, q^{10}) = q^{21} e_2(1, \ldots, q^7) = q^{22} \left[ \frac{8}{2} q \right].$$

\subsection*{3.8.3. The \( q \)-product on the basis \( L(q) \).}

Recall that the \( q \)-product on \( \text{Sym} \) is a non-associative product but that \( S^I(q) \) is the \( q \)-product on the parts of \( I \), multiplied from right to left (see (38)).

\textbf{Lemma 3.11.} For an integer \( p \) and a composition \( I \),

$$L_p(q) \star_q L_I(q) = \sum_{K \preceq p \succ I} q^{st(K, p \succ I)} \left[ \frac{m_K + p}{p} \right] \Psi_K,$$

where \( m_K = \# \{ k \in \text{Des}(K) | k \geq p \} \).

\textbf{Proof} – Note that \( L_p(q) = S_p \). The \( q \)-products \( S_p \star_q \Psi_L \) are easily computed by means of Lemma 3.5\( \blacksquare \)

We are now in a position to expand such \( q \)-products on the \( L(q) \) basis:
Lemma 3.12. For an integer $p$ and a composition $I$, we have

\begin{equation}
L_p(q) \ast_q L_I(q) = \sum_{J \geq \rho \triangleright I} q^{st(J,p \triangleright I) + \binom{l(J) - l(I)}{2} - \binom{l(I)}{2}} \left[ \frac{l(I) + p - 1}{l(J) - 1} \right]_q L_J(q).
\end{equation}

Proof – From Lemma 3.11 with $r = l(I) - 1$, we have

\begin{equation}
L_p(q) \ast_q L_I(q) = \sum_{K \geq \rho \triangleright I} q^{st(K,p \triangleright I)} \sum_{s \geq r} q^{sr} \left[ \frac{p - r}{p - s} \right]_q \left[ \frac{p + r}{s} \right]_q \Psi_K
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \sum_{K \geq \rho \triangleright I} \sum_{s \geq r} \left[ \frac{p + r}{s} \right]_q \sum_{J \geq \rho \triangleright I} q^{st(K,p \triangleright I) + \binom{s - r}{2} - \binom{r + 1}{2}} \sum_{K \geq J} q^{st(K)} \Psi_K
\end{equation}

Thanks to Lemma 3.10 noting that $st(K, J) + st(J, p \triangleright I) = \nu(\text{Des}(J))$ with $X = \text{Des}(I)$ and $Z = \text{Des}(K) \cap [p, \infty]$, this is equal to

\begin{equation}
= \sum_{J \geq \rho \triangleright I} q^{st(J,p \triangleright I) + \binom{l(J) - l(I)}{2} - \binom{l(I)}{2}} \left[ \frac{l(I) + p - 1}{l(J) - 1} \right]_q L_J(q).
\end{equation}

For example,

\begin{equation}
L_2(q) \ast_q L_{21}(q) = [3]L_{41}(q) + [3]L_{311}(q) + [3]L_{221}(q) + qL_{2111}(q).
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
L_2(q) \ast_q L_{12}(q) = [3]L_{32}(q) + q[3]L_{311}(q) + [3]L_{212}(q) + q^2L_{2111}(q).
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
L_3(q) \ast_q L_{22}(q) = [4]L_{52} + q \left[ \frac{4}{2} \right]_q L_{511} + \left[ \frac{4}{2} \right]_q L_{412} + q^2 \left[ \frac{4}{2} \right]_q L_{4111}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \left[ \frac{4}{2} \right]_q L_{322}(q) + q^2 \left[ \frac{4}{2} \right]_q L_{3211} + q[4]L_{3112} + q^4L_{31111}.
\end{equation}

Since $S^I(q) = L_{j_1}(q) \ast_q (L_{j_2}(q) \ast_q (\ldots (L_{j_{r-1}}(q) \ast_q L_{j_r}(q)) \ldots ))$, Formula (72) implies the following.

Corollary 3.13. The coefficient $E_I(q)$ is in $\mathbb{N}[q]$.

Corollary 3.14. Recall that $e_I(q)$ is the coefficient of $L_I(q)$ in $S^n(q)$. Then, for any composition $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r),$

\begin{equation}
e_{1+i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r}(q) = [r]_q e_I + \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} e_{i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_r}(q),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}e_{1, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r}(q) = e_I(q).
\end{equation}

Conversely, this property and the trivial initial conditions determine completely the $e_I$. 
Proof. This follows from the fact that $S^{1\nu_\nu}(q) = L_1(q) \star_q (\ldots (L_1(q) \star_q L_1(q)) \ldots)$, by putting $p = 1$ into (72).

3.8.4. Towards a combinatorial interpretation of $E_1^J(q)$. In Theorem 3.17 we will give a combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients $E_1^J(q)$ expressing $S^J(q)$ in terms of the $L_1(q)'s$. But first we need a new combinatorial algorithm sending a permutation to a composition.

Let $\sigma$ be a permutation in $S_n$. We compute a composition $LC(\sigma)$ of $n$ as follows.

- Consider the Lehmer code of its inverse $Lh(\sigma)$, that is, the word whose $i$th letter is the number of letters of $\sigma$ to the left of $i$ and greater than $i$.
- Fix $S = \emptyset$ and read $Lh(\sigma)$ from right to left. At each step, if the entry $k$ is strictly greater than the size of $S$, add the $(k-\#(S))$-th element of the sequence $[1, n]$ with the elements of $S$ removed.
- The set $S$ is the descent set of a composition $C$, and $LC(\sigma)$ is the mirror image $C$ of $C$.

For example, with $\sigma = (637124985)$, the Lehmer code of its inverse is $Lh(\sigma) = (631240010)$. Then $S$ is $\emptyset$ at first, then the set $\{1\}$ (second step), then the set $\{1, 4\}$ (fifth step), then the set $\{1, 4, 2\}$ (eighth step). Hence $C$ is $(1, 1, 2, 5)$, so that $LC(\sigma) = (5, 2, 1, 1)$.

One can find in Section 7 the permutations of $S_3$ and $S_4$ arranged by rows according to their LC statistics and by columns according to their recoil compositions.

3.8.5. A left $\operatorname{Sym}_q$-module. Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on $S_n$ defined by $\sigma \sim \tau$ whenever $LC(\sigma) = LC(\tau)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the quotient of $FQSym$ [5] by the subspace (79) $\mathcal{V} = \{F_\sigma - F_\tau | \sigma \sim \tau\}$.

For a composition $I$, set $\kappa(I) = \operatorname{maj}([I])$, and for a permutation, $\kappa(\sigma) = \kappa(LC(\sigma))$. Let $\mathcal{F}_I$ denote the equivalence class of $q^{\kappa(\sigma)}F_\sigma$. Denote by $\circ_q$ be the $q$-product of $FQSym$ inherited from $WQSym$. More precisely, if $F'_\sigma = q^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)}F_\sigma$ and $\phi_q(F_\sigma) = F'_\sigma$, then (80) $F'_\sigma \circ_q F'_\tau = \phi_q(F_\sigma F_\tau)$.

This is the same structure as the one considered in [5]. In particular, in the basis $G_\sigma = F_{\sigma^{-1}}$, the product is given by the $q$-convolution (81) $G_\alpha \circ_q G_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Std}(u) = \alpha, \operatorname{Std}(v) = \beta} q^{\operatorname{inv}(\gamma)-\operatorname{inv}(\alpha)-\operatorname{inv}(\beta)} G_\gamma$.

Lemma 3.15. The quotient vector space $\mathcal{M}$ is a left $\operatorname{Sym}$-module for the $q$-product of $FQSym$, that is, (82) $F \equiv G \mod \mathcal{V} \implies S_p \circ_q F \equiv S_p \circ_q G \mod \mathcal{V}$.

Proof - Let $\sigma^{-1} \sim \tau^{-1} \in S_l$ and $n = p + l$. We need to compare the codes of the permutations appearing in the $q$-convolutions (83) $U = G_{12\ldots p} \circ_q G_\sigma$ and $V = G_{12\ldots p} \circ_q G_\tau$. 
For a subset \( S = \{ s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_p \} \) of \([n]\), let \( \sigma_S \) and \( \tau_S \) be the elements of \( U \) and \( V \) whose prefix of length \( p \) is \( s_1 s_2 \ldots s_p \). Then, the codes of \( \sigma_S \) and \( \tau_S \) coincide on the first \( p \) positions, and are equivalent on the last \( l \) ones, so that \( \sigma_S \sim \tau_S \). Moreover, \( \sigma_S \) and \( \tau_S \) arise with the same power of \( q \), so we have a module for the \( q \)-structure as well.

For example,

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{12} \circ_q qF_{132} &= qF_{12354} + q^2F_{13254} + q^3F_{13524} + q^4F_{13542} + q^5F_{31524} \\
&\quad + q^6F_{31542} + q^7F_{35124} + q^8F_{35142} + q^9F_{35412} \\
&\quad = F_{41} + qF_{41} + F_{311} + F_{221} + q^2F_{41} \\
&\quad + qF_{311} + qF_{221} + q^2F_{311} + q^2F_{221} + qF_{2111}.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
F_{12} \circ_q qF_{312} = qF_{12354} + q^2F_{15234} + q^3F_{15324} + q^4F_{15342} + q^5F_{51324} \\
&\quad + q^6F_{51342} + q^7F_{53124} + q^8F_{53142} + q^9F_{53412} \\
&\quad = F_{41} + qF_{41} + F_{311} + F_{221} + q^2F_{41} \\
&\quad + qF_{311} + qF_{221} + q^2F_{311} + q^2F_{221} + qF_{2111}.
\]

\[
F_{12} \circ_q qF_{213} = qF_{12435} + q^2F_{14235} + q^3F_{14325} + q^4F_{14352} + q^5F_{41235} \\
&\quad + q^6F_{41325} + q^7F_{43125} + q^8F_{43152} + q^9F_{43512} \\
&\quad = F_{41} + qF_{41} + F_{311} + F_{221} + q^2F_{41} \\
&\quad + qF_{311} + qF_{221} + q^2F_{311} + q^2F_{221} + qF_{2111}.
\]

We have now:

**Lemma 3.16.** The left \( q \)-product of a \( F_I \) by a complete function is given by (72):

\[
S_p \circ_q F_I = \sum_{\substack{J \geq p-I \colon j \geq p \colon j_1 \geq p \colon j_1 \geq p}} q^{s(t(J,p-I))+(l(J)-l(I)) \cdot \binom{l(I)}{2}} \cdot \binom{l(I)+p-1}{l(J)-1} q F_J.
\]

**Proof** – Let us first show that this is true at \( q = 1 \). Let \( \sigma \) be such that \( \text{LC}(\sigma^{-1}) = I \).

By definition of \( \text{LC} \), the permutations \( \tau \) occurring in \( G_{12\ldots p} \circ_q G_\sigma \) satisfy \( \text{LC}(\tau^{-1}) \geq \text{LC}(\sigma^{-1}) \), and the codes of those permutations have the form

\[
s_1 s_2 \ldots s_p t_1 t_2 \ldots t_l,
\]

where \( t = t_1 t_2 \ldots t_l \) is the code of \( \sigma \) and \( s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_p \). The compositions \( J \) such that \( l(J) - l(I) \) has a fixed value \( m \) will all be obtained by fixing the last \( m \) values \( s_p, \ldots, s_{p-m+1} \) in a way depending on the code \( t \), the first \( p - m \) being allowed to be any weakly increasing sequence

\[
s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_p \leq l(J) - 1,
\]

which leaves \( \binom{p+l(I)-1}{l(J)-1} \) choices.

Now, in the \( q \)-convolution \( G_{12\ldots p} \circ_q G_\sigma \), these permutations \( \tau \) occur with a coefficient \( q^{\text{inv}(\tau) - \text{inv}(\sigma)} \), so that the coefficient of \( F_J \) is, up to a power of \( q \), the \( q \)-binomial coefficient \( \binom{p+l(I)-1}{l(J)-1} \). By our choice of the normalization \( F_I = q^{e(\sigma)} F_\sigma \), this power of \( q \) is the same as in (72).
As one can check on the previous examples, we have indeed
\[
F_2 \circ q F_{21} = (1 + q + q^2)F_{41} + (1 + q + q^2)F_{311} + (1 + q + q^2)F_{221} + qF_{2111}.
\]

By Lemmas 3.16 and (72), the two bases \( F \) and \( L(q) \) have the same multiplication formula, so that \( E^I_J(q) \) is also the coefficient of \( F_I \) in the expansion of \( S^J(q) \). Hence

**Theorem 3.17.** Let \( I \) and \( J \) be two compositions of \( n \). Let \( \text{PP}(I,J) \) be the set of permutations whose LC statistic is \( I \) and whose recoil composition is finer than \( J \). Then,

\[
E^I_J(q) = q^{-\text{maj}(\text{LC}(\sigma))} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{PP}(I,J)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}.
\]

3.9. **The transition matrix** \( M(R(q), L(q)) \). The last transition matrix which remains to be computed is the one from \( R(q) \) to \( L(q) \).

3.9.1. **First examples.** We have the following matrices for \( n = 3, 4 \):

\[
RL_3 = M_3(R(q), L(q)) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . \\
. & 1 + q & 1 & . \\
. & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
RL_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
\[
q^{-\text{maj}(\text{LC}(\sigma))} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{PP'}(I,J)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}.
\]

3.9.2. **Combinatorial interpretation.** The coefficient of \( L_I(q) \) in \( R_J(q) \) will be denoted by \( F^I_J(q) \).

*From the characterization in Theorem 3.17 of \( M(S(q), L(q)) \) in terms of permutations we obtain:

**Theorem 3.18.** Let \( I \) and \( J \) be two compositions. Let \( \text{PP'}(I,J) \) be the set of permutations whose LC statistic is \( I \) and whose recoil composition is \( J \). The coefficient \( F^I_J(q) \) of \( L_I(q) \) in the expansion of \( R_J(q) \) is given by

\[
q^{-\text{maj}(\text{LC}(\sigma))} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{PP'}(I,J)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}.
\]
4. The PASEP and type A permutation tableaux

Permutation tableaux (of type A) are certain fillings of Young diagrams with 0’s and 1’s which are in bijection with permutations (see [38] for two bijections). They are a distinguished subset of Postnikov’s (type A) J-diagrams [35], which index cells of the totally non-negative part of the Grassmannian.

Apart from this geometric connection, permutation tableaux are of interest as they are closely connected to a model from statistical physics called the partially asymmetric exclusion process (PASEP) [4]. More precisely, the PASEP with \( n \) sites is a model in which particles hop back and forth (and in and out) of a one-dimensional lattice, such that at most one particle may occupy a given site (the probability of hopping left is \( q \) times the probability of hopping right.) See [4] for full details.

Therefore there are \( 2^n \) possible states of the PASEP. There is a simple bijection from a state \( \tau \) of the PASEP to a Young diagram \( \lambda(\tau) \) whose semiperimeter is \( n + 1 \). The main result of [4] is that the steady state probability that the PASEP is in configuration \( \tau \) is equal to the \( q \)-enumeration of permutation tableaux of shape \( \lambda(\tau) \) divided by the \( q \)-enumeration of all permutation tableaux of semiperimeter \( n + 1 \).

In this section we will give an explicit formula for the \( q \)-enumeration of permutation tableaux of a given shape. So in particular this is an explicit formula for the steady state probability of each state of the PASEP. Additionally, by results of [38], this formula counts permutations with a given set of weak exceedances according to crossings; it also counts permutations with a given set of descent bottoms according to occurrences of the pattern \( 2 - 31 \).

4.1. Permutation tableaux. Regard the following \((k, n - k)\) rectangle (here \( k = 3 \) and \( n = 8 \))

\[
(93)
\]

as a poset \( Q_{k,n}^A \); the elements of the poset are the boxes, and box \( b \) is less than \( b' \) if \( b \) is southwest of \( b' \). We then define a type A Young diagram contained in a \((k, n - k)\) rectangle to be an order ideal in the poset \( Q_{k,n}^A \). This corresponds to the French notation for representing Young diagrams. We will sometimes refer to such a Young diagram by the partition \( \lambda \) given by the lengths of the rows of the order ideal. Note that we allow partitions to have parts of size 0.

As in [38], we define a type A permutation tableau \( \mathcal{T} \) to be a type A Young diagram \( Y_\lambda \) together with a filling of the boxes with 0’s and 1’s such that the following properties hold:

1. Each column of the diagram contains at least one 1.
2. There is no 0 which has a 1 below it in the same column and a 1 to its left in the same row.
We call such a filling a *valid* filling of $Y_{\lambda}$. Here is an example of a type A permutation tableau.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
\]

Note that if we forget the requirement (1) in the definition of type A permutation tableaux then we recover the description of a (type A) $\Gamma$-diagram \[35\], an object which represents a cell in the totally nonnegative part of a Grassmannian. In that case, the total number of 1’s corresponds to the dimension of the cell.

We define the rank $\text{rank}(T)$ of a permutation tableau (of type A) $T$ with $k$ columns to be the total number of 1’s in the filling minus $k$. (We subtract $k$ since there must be at least $k$ 1’s in a valid filling of a tableau with $k$ columns.)

### 4.2. Enumeration of permutation tableaux by shape.

Starting from a partition with $k$ rows and $n-k$ columns, one encodes it as a composition $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ of $n$ as follows: $i_1 - 1$ is the number of columns of length $k$, $i_2 - 1$ is the number of columns of length $k-1$, and $\ldots$, $i_k - 1$ is the number of columns of length 1.

Let $\ell(I)$ denote the number of parts of $I$. Then the number $PT^A_I$ of permutation tableaux of shape corresponding to $I$ is given by a simple formula coming from combinatorics of noncommutative symmetric functions. Indeed, according to \[39,\] Proposition 9.2],

\[
L^n_1 = \sum_{I \vdash n} g_I \Psi_I,
\]

where

\[
g_I = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell(I)} (\ell(I) - k + 1)^{i_k}.
\]

Hence, the coefficient $e_J$ of

\[
L^n_1 = \sum_{J \vdash n} e_J L_J
\]

is given by

\[
e_J = \sum_{I \geq J} (-1)^{\ell(I) - \ell(J)} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell(I)} (\ell(I) - k + 1)^{i_k}.
\]

Moreover, from \[14\], Theorem 5.1, we known that $e_I$ is the number of permutations such that $\text{GC}(\sigma) = I$. Finally, since permutation tableaux of a given shape are in bijection with permutations with given descent bottoms \[38\] and that GC does the same up to reverse complement of the permutations, this number is also the number of permutation tableaux of shape $I$. 
Theorem 4.1.  
\[ \text{PT}_I^A = \sum_{J \preceq I} (-1)^{\ell(I) - \ell(J)} \text{Fact}(J), \]  
where the sum is over the compositions \( J \) coarser than \( I \) and where \( \text{Fact} \) is defined by  
\[ \text{Fact}(j_1, \ldots, j_p) := p^{j_1}(p - 1)^{j_2} \cdots 2^{j_{p-1}}1^{j_p}. \]

For example with \( I = (3, 4, 1) \), we get  
\[ \text{PT}_{341}^A = 3^32^41^1 - 2^71^1 - 2^31^5 + 1^8 = 297. \]

4.2.1. \( q \)-enumeration of permutation tableaux according to their shape. In this section, we make the connection between the coefficients \( e_I(q) \) previously seen, and the \( q \)-enumeration of permutation tableaux. Recall that \( e_I(q) \) is the coefficient of \( L_I(q) \) in \( S_1^n(q) \). We saw in Corollary 3.14 that for all compositions \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \), the following hold:  
- \( e_{(1, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r)}(q) = e_I(q) \).  
- \( e_{(1 + i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r)}(q) = [r]_q e_I + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} q^{k-1} e_{(i_1, \ldots, i_k+i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_r)}(q) \)  
It is possible to transform this result into a \( q \)-enumeration of permutation tableaux by their rank. Let  
\[ \text{PT}_I^A(q) := \sum_T q^{\text{rank}(T)}, \]
where the sum is over all permutation tableaux whose shape corresponds to \( I \).  

The following result generalizes Theorem 4.1. Its proof follows directly from Proposition 3.9, Corollary 3.14, and Lemma 4.5 below.  

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( I \) be a composition. Then,  
\[ \text{PT}_I^A(q) = e_I(q) = \sum_{J \preceq I} (-1)^{\ell(I) - \ell(J)} q^{-\text{st}'(I,J)} \text{QFact}_A(J), \]
where \( \text{QFact}_A \) is recalled to be  
\[ \text{QFact}_A(j_1, \ldots, j_p) := [p]_q^{j_1}[p - 1]_q^{j_2} \cdots [2]_q^{j_{p-1}}[1]_q^{j_p}. \]

By the results of \[4\], Theorem 4.2 gives an explicit formula for the steady state probabilities in the partially asymmetric exclusion process (PASEP). More specifically, consider the PASEP on a one-dimensional lattice of \( n \) sites where particles hop right with probability \( dt \), hop left with probability \( qdt \), enter from the left at a rate \( dt \), and exit to the right at a rate \( dt \). Let us number the \( n \) sites from right to left with the numbers 1 through \( n \). Then we have the following result.  

**Corollary 4.3.** Recall the notation of Theorem 4.2. Let \( I \) be a composition of \( n+1 \), and let \( Z_n \) denote the partition function for the PASEP. Let \( \tau \) denote the state of the PASEP in which all sites of \( \text{Des}(I) \) are occupied by a particle and all sites of
Let $S$ be the permutations in $\{1\} \cup \{1 + DB(I)\}$. Here $1 + DB(I)$ denotes the set obtained by adding 1 to each element of $DB(I)$. If $\sigma$ is a permutation, let $(2 - 31)\sigma$ denote the number of occurrences of the pattern $2 - 31$ in $\sigma$, and let $cr(\sigma)$ denote the number of crossings of $\sigma$. Let $T_I(q) = \sum_\sigma q^{(2 - 31)\sigma}$ be the sum over all permutations in $S_n+1$ whose set of descent bottoms is $DB(I)$. And let $T'_I(q) = \sum_\sigma q^{cr(\sigma)}$ be the sum over all permutations in $S_n+1$ whose set of weak excedances is $W(I)$. 

**Corollary 4.4.**

$$T_I(q) = T'_I(q) = \sum_{J \subset I} (-1/q)^{l(I) - l(J)} q^{-st'(I,J)} QFact_A(J).$$

For example with $I = (3, 4, 1)$, the compositions coarser than $I$ are $(3, 4, 1)$, $(7, 1)$, $(3, 5)$, and $(8)$, so we get

$$PT^A_{341}(q) = \frac{1}{q^2} \left( \frac{[3]^3[2]^4}{q} - \frac{[2]^7}{q} - \frac{[2]^3}{1} + 1 \right)$$

$$= q^7 + 7q^6 + 24q^5 + 52q^4 + 76q^3 + 75q^2 + 47q + 15.$$

The descent set $D(I)$ of $I$ is $\{3, 7\}$, which corresponds to the following state of the PASEP: $\tau = \bullet \circ \circ \circ \bullet \circ \circ$. Therefore the probability that in the steady state, the PASEP is in state $\tau$, is $\frac{q^7 + 7q^6 + 24q^5 + 52q^4 + 76q^3 + 75q^2 + 47q + 15}{Z_7}$.

The polynomial $q^7 + 7q^6 + 24q^5 + 52q^4 + 76q^3 + 75q^2 + 47q + 15$ also enumerates the permutations in $S_8$ with set of descent bottoms $\{1, 5\}$ according to occurrences of the pattern $2 - 31$. And it enumerates permutations in $S_8$ with weak excedances in positions $\{1, 2, 6\}$ according to crossings.

The reader might want to compare (103) with (61).

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ be a composition. Then

$$PT^A_{(1,i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}(q) = PT^A_I(q),$$

and

$$PT^A_{(1+i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k-1} PT^A_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{k-1}+i_{k+1},\ldots,i_r)}(q).$$
Proof – First note that $PT^A_{(1,i_1,i_2,...,i_r)}(q) = PT^A_I(q)$: this just says that the $q$-enumeration of permutation tableaux of shape $\lambda$ is the same as the $q$-enumeration of permutation tableaux of shape $\lambda'$, where $\lambda'$ is obtained from $\lambda$ by adding a row of length 0.

Therefore we just need to prove the second equality. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ be a partition. Then, in terms of partitions, the statement translates as:

\[
PT^A_\lambda(q) = [r]PT^A_{(\lambda_1-1, \lambda_2-1, \ldots, \lambda_r-1)}(q) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} q^{k-1} PT^A_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r-k}, \widehat{\lambda_{r-k+1}}, \lambda_{r-k+2}-1, \ldots, \lambda_r-1)}(q),
\]

where $\widehat{\lambda_{r-k+1}}$ means that this part has been removed.

To this aim, we need to introduce the notion of a restricted zero. We say that a zero in a tableau is restricted if there is a 1 below it in the same column. Note that every entry to the left of and in the same row as the restricted zero must also be zero.

We will prove the recurrence by examining the various possibilities for the set $S$ of $r$ boxes of the Young diagram $\lambda$ which are rightmost in their row. We will partition (most of) the permutation tableaux with shape $\lambda$ based on the position of the highest restricted zero among $S$.

We will label rows of the Young diagram from top to bottom, from 1 to $r$. Consider the set of tableaux obtained via the following procedure: choose a row $k$ for $1 \leq k \leq r-1$, and fill it entirely with 0’s. Also fill each box of $S$ in row $\ell$ for any $\ell > k$ with a 1. Now ignore row $k$ and the filled boxes of $S$, and fill the remaining boxes (which can be thought of as boxes of a partition of shape $\lambda' := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}, \widehat{\lambda_k}, \lambda_{k+1}-1, \ldots, \lambda_r-1)$) in any way which gives a legitimate permutation tableau of shape $\lambda'$ (see Figure 1).

Note that if we add back the ignored boxes, we will increase the rank of the first tableau by $k-1$. So the $q$-enumeration of the tableaux under consideration is exactly $
\sum_{k=1}^{r} q^{k-1} PT_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r-k}, \widehat{\lambda_{r-k+1}}, \lambda_{r-k+2}-1, \ldots, \lambda_r-1)}(q).
\]

\[\begin{array}{cccccc}
& & & 1 & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& 1 & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\]

\textbf{Figure 1.}

Let us denote the columns of $\lambda$ which contain a north-east corner of the Young diagram $\lambda$ as $c_1, \ldots, c_h$; we will call them corner columns. Denote the lengths of those columns by $C_1, \ldots, C_h$, so $C_1 > \cdots > C_h$. And denote the differences of their lengths by $d_1 := C_1 - C_2, \ldots, d_{h-1} := C_{h-1} - C_h, d_h := C_h$. 

Clearly, our procedure constructs all permutation tableaux of shape $\lambda$ with the following description: at least one box of $S$ is a restricted zero. Furthermore, if we choose the restricted zero of $S$ (say in box $b$) which is in the lowest row (say row $k$), then every box of $S$ in a row above $k$ is filled with a 1. Equivalently, each corner column $c_j$ left of $b$ has its top $d_j$ boxes filled with 1’s, and contains at least $d_j + 1$ ones total; and the corner column containing $b$ contains at least $d + 1$ ones total, where $d$ is the number of boxes above $b$ in the same column.

The permutation tableaux of shape $\lambda$ which this procedure has not constructed are those tableaux such that either no box of $S$ is a restricted zero, or else there is a box of $S$ which is a restricted zero. Let $b$ denote the lowest such box. The condition that all boxes of $S$ above $b$ must be 1’s is violated. Let $W$ denote this set of tableaux.

The following construction gives rise to all permutation tableaux in $W$ (See Figure 2.) Choose a corner column $c_j$ and a number $m$ such that $1 \leq m \leq d_j$. Fill the top $m$ boxes of $c_j$ with 1’s and the remaining boxes with 0’s. For each $i < j$, fill the top $d_i$ boxes of column $c_i$ with 1’s. Now ignore the boxes that have been filled, and choose any filling of the remaining boxes – which form a partition of shape $\lambda'' := (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 1, \ldots, \lambda_r - 1)$ – which gives a legitimate permutation tableau of shape $\lambda''$. Note that adding back the boxes we had ignored will add $d_1 + \cdots + d_{h-1} + m$ to the rank of the tableau of shape $\lambda'$ Since the quantity $d_1 + \cdots + d_{h-1} + m$ can range between 0 and $r - 1$, the rank of the tableaux in $W$ is $[r]PT_{(\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 1, \ldots, \lambda_r - 1)}(q)$.

Note that we could give an alternative (direct) proof of Theorem 4.2 by using the following recurrences for permutation tableaux (which had been observed in [38]). See Figure 3 for an illustration of the second recurrence.

**Lemma 4.6.** The following recurrences for type A permutation tableaux hold.

- $PT_{(i_2, i_3, \ldots, i_n)}(q) = PT_{(1, i_2, i_3, \ldots, i_n)}(q)$
- $PT_{(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)}(q) = qPT_{(i_1-1, i_2+1, i_3, \ldots, i_n)}(q) + PT_{(i_1-1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)}(q)$
  $+ PT_{(1, i_1+i_2-1, i_3, \ldots, i_n)}(q)$.
5. Permutation tableaux and enumeration formulas in type B

One can also define type B \(\Gamma\)-diagrams and permutation tableaux, where the Type B \(\Gamma\)-diagrams index cells in the odd orthogonal Grassmannian, and type B permutation tableaux are in bijection with signed permutations. In this section, we will enumerate permutation tableaux of type B of a fixed shape, according to rank. This formula can be given an interpretation in terms of signed permutations.

To define type \(B_n\) Young diagrams, regard the following shape

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } & \text{\ } \\
\end{array}
\]

as representing a poset \(Q^n_B\) (here \(n = 4\)): the elements of the poset are the boxes, and box \(b\) is less than \(b'\) if \(b\) is southwest of \(b'\). We then define a type \(B_n\) Young diagram to be an order ideal in the poset \(Q^n_B\).

As in \cite{18}, we define a type B permutation tableau \(T\) to be a type B Young diagram \(Y_\lambda\) together with a filling of the boxes with 0’s and 1’s such that the following properties hold:

1. Each column of the diagram contains at least one 1.
2. There is no 0 which has a 1 below it in the same column and a 1 to its left in the same row.
3. If a diagonal box contains a 0, every box in that row must contain a 0.

Here is an example of a type B permutation tableau.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
Note that if we forget requirement (1) in the definition of a type B permutation tableaux then we recover the description of a type B Γ-diagram [18], an object which represents a cell in the totally nonnegative part of an odd orthogonal Grassmannian.

As before, we define the rank \( \text{rank}(T) \) of a permutation tableau \( T \) (of type B) with \( k \) columns to be the total number of 1’s in the filling minus \( k \).

Starting from a type B Young diagram \( \lambda \) inside a staircase of height \( n + 1 \), we encode it as a composition of \( n \) as follows. If \( k \) is the width of the widest row of \( \lambda \), then \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_{k+1}) \) is defined by: \( i_1 + 1 \) is the number of rows of length \( k \), \( i_2 \) is the number of rows of length \( k - 1 \), \ldots, \( i_{k+1} \) is the number of rows of length 0.

We now explain how to enumerate type B permutation tableaux of a fixed shape according to their rank.

Define \( \text{QFact}_B \) by

\[
\text{QFact}_B(j_1, \ldots, j_p) := \text{QFact}_A(j_1, \ldots, j_p) \prod_{t=1}^{p-1} (1 + q^t)
\]

(111)

\[
= [p]_q [p-1]_q \cdots [2]_q [1]_q p^{-1} \prod_{t=1}^{p-1} (1 + q^t).
\]

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( I \) be a composition.

\[
\text{PT}^B_I(q) = \sum_{J \leq I} \frac{(-1)^{l(I) - l(J)}}{q^{l(I) - l(J)} q^{-\text{st}'(I, J)}} \text{QFact}_B(J).
\]

(112)

where \( p \) is the length of \( J \).

Note that the formula enumerating type B permutation tableaux is very similar to the formula enumerating type A permutation tableaux.

As an example, suppose we want to enumerate according to rank the type B permutation tableaux that have the following shape:

\[
(113)
\]

We take \( n = 4 \) (we would get the same answer for any \( n > 4 \)), and \( k = 2 \) since the widest row has width 2. Then the corresponding composition is \( I = (1, 2, 0) \). We then get

\[
\text{PT}^B_{(1,2,0)}(q) = q^{-2}(q^{-1}[3]_q [2]_q^2 (1 + q)(1 + q^2) - q^{-1}[2]_q^3 (1 + q) - [2]_q (1 + q) + 1)
\]

\[
= q^4 + 4q^3 + 8q^2 + 10q + 6.
\]

We will prove Theorem 5.1 directly: we first prove some recurrences for type B permutation tableaux, and then prove that the formula in Theorem 5.1 satisfies the same recurrences.
Lemma 5.2. The following recurrences for type B permutation tableaux hold.

\[ \text{PT}^B_{(0,i_2,i_3,\ldots,i_k)}(q) = \text{PT}^B_{(i_2,i_3,\ldots,i_k)}(q), \]
\[ \text{PT}^B_{(i_1,i_2,i_3,\ldots,i_k)}(q) = \text{PT}^B_{(i_1-1,i_2,i_3,\ldots,i_k)}(q) + q \text{PT}^B_{(i_1-1,i_2+1,i_3,\ldots,i_k)}(q) + \text{PT}^B_{(0,i_1+i_2-1,i_3,\ldots,i_k)}(q). \]

Proof – The first recurrence says that enumerating permutation tableaux of a shape which has a unique row of maximal width is the same as enumerating permutation tableaux of the shape obtained from the first shape by deleting the rightmost column. This is clear, since the rightmost column will have only one box which must be filled with a 1.

To see that the second recurrence holds, see Figure 4. Consider the topmost box \( b \) of the rightmost column of an arbitrary type B permutation tableau of shape corresponding to \((i_1, \ldots, i_k)\). Since \( i_1 > 0 \), the rightmost column has at least two boxes. If \( b \) contains a 0, then by definition of type B permutation tableaux, there is a 1 below it in the same column – which implies that the entire row containing \( b \) must be filled with 0’s. We can delete that entire row and what remains will be a type B permutation tableau (of smaller shape).

If \( b \) contains a 1 and there is another 1 in the same column, then we can delete the box \( b \) and what remains will be a type B permutation tableau.

If \( b \) contains a 1 and there is no other 1 in the same column, then let \( b’ \) denote the bottom box of that column. By the definition of type B permutation tableau, the entire row of \( b’ \) is filled with 0’s. If we delete the entire row of \( b’ \) and every box below and in the same column as \( b \), then what remains will be a type B permutation tableau.

Now we prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof – [of the theorem]

Let

\[ g_I(q) := \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1/q)^{\ell(I) - \ell(J)} q^{-st'(I,J)} \text{QFact}_B(J). \]

We want to prove that \( \text{PT}^B_I(q) = g_I(q) \). We claim that it is enough to prove the following two facts:
(1) \(g_{(0,i_2,i_3,...,i_n)}(q) = g_{(i_2,i_3,...,i_n)}(q)\)
(2) \(g_{(i_1,i_2,...,i_n)}(q) = qg_{(i_1-1,i_2+1,i_3,...,i_n)}(q) + g_{(i_1-1,i_2,i_3,...,i_n)}(q) + g_{(0,i_1+i_2-1,i_3,...,i_n)}(q)\) when \(i_1 > 0\).

By Lemma 5.2 both of these recurrences are true for \(PT^B_I(q)\). And the two recurrences together clearly determine \(g_I(q)\) for any composition \(I\), which is why it suffices to prove these recurrences.

Consider the first recurrence. To prove it, we will pair up the terms that occur in

\[
g_{(0,i_2,...,i_n)}(q) := \sum_{J \leq I} (-1/q)^{\ell(I) - \ell(J)} q^{st(I,J)} QFact_B(J),
\]

pairing each composition of the form \(J := (0,j_1,j_2,...,j_r)\) with the composition \(J' := (j_1,j_2,...,j_r)\).

Note that \(\ell(J) = \ell(J') + 1\) and \(st'(I,J) = st'(I,J') + 1\). Also

\[
QFact_B(0,j_1,j_2,...,j_r) = QFact_B(j_1,j_2,...,j_r)(1 + q^r)
\]

so that

\[
QFact_B(0,j_1,j_2,...,j_r) - QFact_B(j_1,j_2,...,j_r) = q^r QFact_B(j_1,j_2,...,j_r).
\]

And now it follows from the fact that

\[
st'((0,I),(0,J)) = q^{-1} st'(I,J),
\]

that the contribution to \(g_{(0,i_2,...,i_n)}(q)\) by the pair of compositions \(J\) and \(J'\) is exactly the contribution to \(g_{(i_2,...,i_n)}(q)\) by the composition \((j_1,...,j_r)\). So \(g_{(0,i_2,i_3,...,i_n)}(q) = g_{(i_2,i_3,...,i_n)}(q)\).

Now let us turn our attention to the second recurrence. We prove the second recurrence by showing that each term of \(g_{(i_1,...,i_n)}(q)\) comes from either one term each from \(qg_{(i_1-1,i_2,i_3,...,i_n)}(q)\) and \(g_{(i_1-1,i_2,...,i_n)}(q)\), or one term each from \(qg_{(i_1-1,i_2+1,i_3,...,i_n)}(q)\) and \(g_{(i_1-1,i_2,...,i_n)}(q)\) and two terms from \(g_{(0,i_1+i_2-1,i_3,...,i_n)}(q)\).

Let us denote the relevant compositions by \(I := (i_1,...,i_n), I' := (i_1-1,i_2+1,i_3,...,i_n), I'' := (i_1-1,i_2,...,i_n)\) and \(I''' := (0,i_1+i_2-2,i_3,...,i_n)\).

First, consider the terms of \(g_{(i_1,...,i_n)}(q)\) corresponding to compositions \(J\) such that the first part of \(J\) is \(i_1, i.e., J\) has the form \((i_1,j_2,j_3,...,j_r)\). Let us compare this term to the terms of \(qg_{(i_1-1,i_2+1,i_3,...,i_n)}(q)\) and \(g_{(i_1-1,i_2,...,i_n)}(q)\) corresponding to the partitions \(J' := (i_1-1,j_2+1,j_3,...,j_r)\) and \(J'' := (i_1-1,j_2,j_3,...,j_r)\), respectively. All three terms have the same sign and the same \(st'\): \(st'(I,J) = st'(I',J') = st'(I'',J'')\).

And now it is easy to see that \(QFact_B(J') + QFact_B(J'') = QFact_B(J):\)

\[
q[r]^{i_1-1}[r-1]^{j_2+1}[r-2]^{j_3} \cdots + [r]^{i_1-1}[r-1]^{j_2}[r-2]^{j_3} \cdots
\]

\[
= (q[r-1] + 1)([r]^{i_1-1}[r-1]^{j_2}[r-2]^{j_3} \cdots)
\]

\[
= [r]^{i_1}[r-1]^{j_2}[r-2]^{j_3} \cdots.
\]

Note that all terms contain the extra factor \(\prod_{r=1}^{r-1}(1 + q^r)\). Therefore the term corresponding to \(J\) is equal to the sum of the terms corresponding to \(J'\) and \(J''\).

Now consider each term of \(g_{(i_1,...,i_n)}(q)\) which corresponds to a composition \(J\) such that the first part of \(J\) is not \(i_1, i.e., J\) has the form \((j_1,j_2,j_3,...,j_r)\) where \(j_1 = \ldots \).
\[ i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k \text{ where } k \geq 2. \]

Let us compare this to the following four terms: the term of \( qg_{(i_1-1,i_2+1,i_3,\ldots,i_n)}(q) \) corresponding to the composition \( J' := J \); the term of \( g_{(i_1-1,i_2-1,i_3,\ldots,i_n)}(q) \) corresponding to the composition \( J' := (j_1 - 1, j_2, \ldots, j_r) \);
and the two terms of \( g_{(0,i_1+i_2-1,i_3,\ldots,i_n)}(q) \) corresponding to the compositions \( J'' := (0, j_1 - 1, j_2, \ldots, j_r) \) and \( J'''' := j_1 \). Note that the terms corresponding to \( J, J', J'', \) and \( J'''' \) have the same sign, while the term corresponding to \( J'''' \) has the opposite sign. And all five terms have the same statistic. The quantity \( Q\text{Fact}_B \) is nearly the same for every term, and if we divide each term by \( Q\text{Fact}_B(J'') \), it remains to verify the equation:

\[ r + 1 = q^{r + 1} + q^r - 1 - (1 + q^r) + 1. \]

This is clearly true.

We have now accounted for all terms involved in the recurrence. This completes the proof of the theorem.  

It is very likely that colored Hopf algebra analogues of \textbf{WQSym}, \textbf{FQSym}, \textbf{Sym} already defined in \cite{2, 3, 29, 2, 33, 32} could be used to justify the \( q \)-enumeration of \textbf{permutation tableaux of type B}. Based on preliminary calculations, we believe that the type B analogue of the matrices from Section 3.8.1 are given by computing the transition matrix between the \( S^I \) and two new bases \( \Psi^B_I \) and \( L^B(q) \). Here

\[ \Psi^B_I := \Psi_I \prod_{i=2}^{n} (1 + q^{i-1}) \]

and \( L^B(q) \) is defined by having \( M_{L(q),\Psi} \) as transition matrix from the \( \Psi^B \). Note also that this interpretation would immediately generalize to colored algebras with any number of colors and not only to two colors.

6. Appendix – Conjectures

We define the \textit{descent tops} (also called the \textit{Genocchi descent set}) of a permutation \( \sigma \in S_n \) as \( \text{GDes}(\sigma) := \{i \in [2, n] \mid \sigma(j) = i \Rightarrow \sigma(j + 1) < \sigma(j) \} \). In other words, \( \text{GDes}(\sigma) \) is the set of values of the descents of \( \sigma \). We also define the \textit{Genocchi composition of descents} \( \text{GC}(\sigma) \) as the integer composition \( I \) of \( n \) whose descent set is \( \{d - 1 \mid d \in \text{GDes}(\sigma)\} \).

From Theorem 5.1 of \cite{14}, it is easy to see that \( E^I_J(1) \) is equal to the number of packed words \( w \) such that

\[ \text{GC}(\text{Std}(w)) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ev}(w) = J. \]

So \( E^I_J(q) \) is the generating function of a statistic in \( q \) over this set of words. We propose the following conjecture:

\textbf{Conjecture 6.1.} Let \( I \) and \( J \) be compositions of \( n \) and let \( W''(I, J) \) be the set of packed words \( w \) such that

\[ \text{GC}(\text{Std}(w)) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ev}(w) = J. \]

Then

\[ E^I_J(q) = \sum_{w \in W''(I, J)} q^{\text{totg}(w)}, \]

where \( \text{totg} \) is the number of occurrences of the patterns \( 21 - 1 \) and \( 31 - 2 \) in \( w \).
For example, the coefficient $2 + 2q + q^2$ in row (3, 1) and column (2, 1, 1) comes from the fact that the five words 1132, 1231, 1312, 2311, and 3112 respectively have 0, 0, 1, 1, and 2 occurrences of the previous patterns.

There should exist a connection between the $\text{sinv}$ statistic and the pattern counting on special packed words but we have not been able to find it.

Note that packed words $w$ are in bijection with pairs $(\sigma, J)$ where $\sigma$ is a permutation and $J$ a composition finer than the recoil composition of $\sigma$. Since the patterns $31 \rightarrow 2$ in $\text{Std}(w)$ come from patterns $21 \rightarrow 1$ or $31 \rightarrow 2$ in $w$, Conjecture 6.1 is equivalent to

**Conjecture 6.2.** Let $I$ and $J$ be compositions of $n$ and let $P''(I, J)$ be the set of permutations $\sigma$ such that

$$ GC(\sigma) = I \quad \text{and} \quad DC(\sigma^{-1}) \preceq J. $$

Then

$$ E_J^I(q) = \sum_{w \in P''(I, J)} q^{\text{tot}(\sigma)}, $$

where $\text{tot}(\sigma)$ is the number of occurrences of the pattern $31 \rightarrow 2$ in $\sigma$.

If we apply Schützenberger’s involution to permutations, that is, $\sigma \mapsto \omega \sigma \omega$, where $\omega = n \cdots 21$ (also known as taking the reverse complement), the statistic descent tops is transformed into descent bottoms, and patterns $31 \rightarrow 2$ are transformed into patterns $2 \rightarrow 31$. In that case it follows from results of [38] that for $J = 1^n$, the sum in equation (127) gives the $q$-enumeration of permutation tableaux of a given shape.

Therefore if we assume Conjecture 6.2, Theorem 4.2 implies the following.

**Conjecture 6.3.** When $K = 1^n$,

$$ E_K^I(q) = \text{PT}_I^A(q) = \sum_{J \preceq I} (-1/q)^{(I) - (J)} q^{-\text{st}(I, J)} Q\text{Fact}_A(J). $$

Going from $S(q)$ to $R(q)$ is simple, and allows us to reformulate Conjecture 6.3 as follows:

**Conjecture 6.4.** Let $I$ and $J$ be two compositions of $n$. Let $PP'(I, J)$ be the set of permutations $\sigma$ such that $GC(\sigma) = I$ and $DC(\sigma^{-1}) = J$.

Then

$$ F_J^I(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in PP(I, J)} q^{\text{tot}(\sigma)}. $$

For example, the coefficient $1 + q + q^2$ in row (3, 1) and column (3, 1) comes from the fact that the words 1243, 1423, 4123 respectively have 0, 1 and 2 occurrences of the pattern $31 \rightarrow 2$.

### 7. Tables

Here are the transition matrices from $R(q)$ to $L(q)$ (the matrices of the coefficients $F_I^J(q)$) for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, where the numbers have been replaced by the corresponding list of permutations having given recoil composition and LC-composition.

To save space and for better readability, 0 has been omitted.
(130)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LC</th>
<th>Rec</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>111</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>132</td>
<td>213</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(131)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LC</th>
<th>Rec</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>211</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>121</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>1111</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>3124</td>
<td>2134</td>
<td>2143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1342</td>
<td>3124</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>2314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4123</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>4132</td>
<td>2413</td>
<td>3214</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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