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The SV40 small t antigen (ST) is a potent oncoprotein that perturbs the function of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). ST directly interacts with the PP2A scaffolding A subunit and alters PP2A activity by displacing regulatory B subunits from the A subunit. We have determined the crystal structure of full-length ST in complex with PP2A A subunit at 3.1 Å resolution. ST consists of an N-terminal J domain and a C-terminal unique domain that contains two zinc-binding motifs. Both the J domain and second zinc-binding motif interact with the intra-HEAT-repeat loops of HEAT repeats 3–7 of the A subunit, which overlaps with the binding site of the PP2A B56 subunit. Intriguingly, the first zinc-binding motif is in a position that may allow it to directly interact with and inhibit the phosphatase activity of the PP2A catalytic C subunit. These observations provide a structural basis for understanding the oncogenic functions of ST.

Introduction

Simian virus 40 (SV40) is a DNA tumor virus in the polyomavirus family. SV40 may play a role in a subset of human cancers, and the study of transformation induced by SV40 has led to many insights into the pathways involved in spontaneously arising cancers [1]. The Early Region of SV40 is essential for transformation and encodes two oncoproteins, the large T antigen (LT) and small t antigen (ST), through alternative splicing. LT binds to a number of host proteins including the retinoblastoma and p53 tumor suppressors. ST, which shares its N terminus with LT but has a unique C-terminal end, is also a potent oncoprotein that plays a critical role in the transformation of several human cell types [2,3]. For example, the coinduction of LT, ST, the telomerase catalytic subunit hTERT (human telomerase reverse transcriptase), and an oncogenic allele of H-RAS imparts a tumorigenic phenotype to a wide range of primary human cells [4–6]. The tumorigenic activity of ST is strictly dependent on its interaction with protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), since mutant versions of ST that are unable to bind PP2A fail to induce tumorigenic activity [5].

PP2A is a large family of heterotrimeric enzymes that accounts for the majority of total Ser/Thr phosphatase activity in most tissues and cells [7–10]. Although a dimer comprised of a ~65 kDa scaffolding A subunit and a ~36 kDa catalytic C subunit constitutes the core enzymatic activity of PP2A, the binding of a third regulatory B subunit to the AC core enzyme regulates PP2A activity, cellular localization, and substrate specificity. PP2A can exist in cells as either the AC core complex or an ABC heterotrimeric holoenzyme that is the dominant form in most cells. The scaffold A subunit is composed of 15 HEAT (huntingtin, elongation factor 3, A subunit of protein phosphatase 2A, and target of rapamycin) repeats [11], while the C subunit contains a catalytic domain that shares sequence homology with other Ser/Thr phosphatases such as protein phosphatase 1 and protein phosphatase 2B (calcineurin). In humans there are at least 18 B subunits that can be classified into B (B55), B’ (B56), B’’, and B’’’ families based on sequence similarity. PP2A regulates a wide array of cellular processes. In particular, several lines of evidence implicate PP2A as a tumor suppressor gene. Specifically, PP2A inhibitors such as microcystin and okadaic acid are potent tumor promoters and the ST antigen acts as a potent oncogene [12–16]. Consistent with its role as a tumor suppressor, low frequency mutations of the Aα and Aβ subunits are found in breast, lung, and colorectal cancers [12,17–20]. Mutations in PP2A Aα result in functional haploinsufficiency that depletes complexes containing B56γ, thereby leading to cell transformation [16,21]. In contrast, mutations in Aβ disrupt the interaction of Aβ with the small GTPase RapA, leading to constitutive RapA phosphorylation and activity [22].

ST interacts with the PP2A AC core dimer through the direct interaction between ST and the PP2A scaffolding A subunit [23,24]. Prior work has suggested that ST associates with the PP2A C subunit through interactions with the A subunit. While the C subunit interacts with HEAT repeats 11–15 of A subunit, ST interacts with HEAT repeats 3–7, which is also the binding site for PP2A B subunits [25,26]. The ability of ST to displace multiple B subunits from the A subunit has been demonstrated both in vitro [27,28] and in vivo [16,29]. The replacement of B subunits by ST inhibits PP2A activity towards multiple substrates, but increases phosphatase activity towards histone H1 [22,30]. Therefore, ST can be
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Author Summary

The study of how DNA tumor viruses induce malignant transformation has led to the identification of key pathways that also play a role in spontaneously arising cancers. One such virus, simian virus 40 (SV40), produces two proteins, the large T and small t antigens, that bind and inactivate tumor suppressor genes important for cell transformation. Specifically, SV40 small t antigen (ST) binds to and perturbs the function of the abundant protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). PP2A is a family of heterotrimeric enzymes, composed of a structural A subunit, a catalytic C subunit, and one of several regulatory B subunits. Here we have determined the structure of SV40 ST in complex with the PP2A structural subunit Aα. SV40 ST consists of an N-terminal J domain and a C-terminal unique domain that contains two separate zinc-binding motifs. SV40 ST binds to the same region of PP2A as the regulatory subunit B56, which provides a structural explanation for the displacement of regulatory B subunits by SV40 ST. Taken together, these observations provide a structural basis for understanding the oncogenic functions of ST.

Results

Overall Structure

The protein complex containing full-length SV40 ST and full length murine PP2A Aα subunit (A-ST complex) were co-expressed in E. coli and purified to homogeneity. Crystal structure of the complex was determined by a combination of molecular replacement, using the PP2A A subunit structure as the searching model, and single-wavelength anomalous dispersion of intrinsic zinc atoms in ST, and was refined at 3.1 Å resolution (Table 1). Four complexes were found in each asymmetric unit. In each complex, the scaffolding A subunit contains 15 HEAT repeats that forms a horseshoe shape. The four A-ST complexes in the asymmetric unit have essentially the same structure, except HEAT repeats 11–15 that show substantial conformational variation (see below). ST contains an N-terminal J domain and a C-terminal unique domain. These two domains sit on the concave and convex sides of the ridge of the A subunit horseshoe structure, respectively, by interacting with intra-repeat loops of the Aα subunit HEAT repeats 3–7 (Figure 1), which is also the binding site for B56α repeats 50–52 (Figure 2A).

Table 1. Summary of Crystallographic Analysis of the PP2A Aα-SV40 Small t Antigen Complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50.3-3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(outer shell)</td>
<td>3.21±3.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>62693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rmerge (%) (outer shell)*</td>
<td>7.6 (75.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I/σ (outer shell)</td>
<td>23.8 (1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete (%) (outer shell)</td>
<td>98.0 (98.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement</td>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>20–3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rfree/Rmerge</td>
<td>24.4/30.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of atoms</td>
<td>Protein 22,779</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metal (Zn) 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent content 54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>A-ST complexes per ASU 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metal (Zn) per complex 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B factors</td>
<td>Protein 114.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ion 102.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TLS group per ASU 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMSD bond length (Å)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMSD angle (°)</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramachandran plot (%)</td>
<td>Most favored/ additionally allowed/ generously allowed/ disallowed 82.6/ 16.0/ 1.4/ 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rmerge = Σh, i | I(h) - I̅(h)| / Σh, i | I̅(h)|, where | I(h)| is the ith measurement of h and | I̅(h)| is the mean of all measurements of | I(h)| for reflection h.

**Rfree** is Rmerge calculated with only the test set (5%) of reflections.

N/A, not applicable.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050202.t001

Structure of SV40 ST

SV40 ST exhibits an all α-helix structure with two zinc-binding sites in the unique domain (Figure 2A). The J domain contains three helices and has a structure similar to the previously solved crystal structure of the J domain of SV40 LT and a NMR structure of polyomavirus DnaJ-like domain [41,42], with Cα root mean square deviations (RMSDs) of 1.84 and 1.83 Å, respectively. The DnaJ domain in the E. coli DnaJ protein forms a complex with Hsp70 in the flexible L3 region...
The J and unique domains have an interface that is mostly hydrophobic (Figure 2B). These two domains of SV40 ST interact with each other by several hydrogen-bonding and hydrophobic interactions. The indole rings of Trp135 and Trp147 make hydrogen bonds with the backbone carbonyl group of Gly25 and Trp24, respectively. The hydroxyl group of Tyr139 forms a hydrogen bond with the imidazole ring of His70. Pro28 and Ile163 make a hydrophobic interaction between two domains (Figure 2B). It should be noted that both the N and C termini of ST are located at the interface of the J and unique domains. In particular, the N terminus is located in the joint point of J domain and the A subunit, and directly interacts with the A subunit. The interface and relative orientation of these two domains are essentially identical in all four A-ST complexes in the asymmetric unit. The Cα RMSDs of ST among these four complexes are between 0.95 Å and 1.03 Å. Therefore, the J and unique domains of ST are structurally coupled and ST consists of one globular fold. Since residues in the A-ST interface are highly conserved among ST proteins (Figure 2C), this domain organization should be conserved among ST proteins in the polyomavirus family.

### The Interface between ST and PP2A A Subunit

The interactions between the Aα subunit and SV40 ST are formed through the intra-repeat regions of repeats 3 to 7 in the Aα subunit (Figures 1 and 3). Detailed interactions are summarized in Figure 3. ST uses both its J domain and the second zinc-binding motif (in particular the L6 loop) for interacting with PP2A Aα subunit, while the first zinc finger is not directly involved in interactions with PP2A Aα subunit, but may be involved in interactions with PP2A catalytic C subunit (see below). One notable feature of this interaction is that five of eight residues in the ST unique domain (Figures 2C and 3) in the A-ST interface interact with the Aα subunit through the backbone of those residues. The backbone conformations of those ST residues (Leu133, Met146, Trp147, Phe148, and Gly149) are largely determined by the second zinc-binding site, demonstrating the importance of the second zinc-binding site in the Aα subunit interaction. In contrast, all of the Aα subunit residues interact with ST through their side chains, and some of those residues were identified by previous A subunit mutagenesis studies [44].

### Structural Comparison of A-ST Complex with A-B56-C PP2A Holoenzyme

When the A-ST complex structure is superimposed with the previously reported A-B56-C PP2A holoenzyme structure [25,26], it is obvious that ST and the B56 subunit interact with the same region of PP2A A subunit and have very similar interactions. Interestingly, the expected Hsp70 binding region of the J domain is not involved in the interaction with PP2A A subunit and appears widely accessible to Hsp70. In contrast, the Hsp70 binding region of J domain in LT is involved in the binding of retinoblastoma protein [41]. The linker between helices 2 and 3, which has been implicated in Hsp70 interaction, as well as the linker between J and unique domains (residues 72–86), is not visible in any of the four complexes in the asymmetric unit, suggesting structural flexibility of these two linkers in the A-ST complex.
“footprints” on the scaffold A subunit (Figure 4A). The majority of Aa residues involved in the A-ST interaction—including Glu100, Trp140, Phe141, Asp177, Pro179, and Met180—are also involved in the A-B56 interaction (Figure 4B). The side chain conformations of those Aa residues are quite similar in both structures, except Trp140. The position of the indole ring of Trp140 is flipped between these two complex structures, mostly due to the intercalation of Pro132 of ST between Trp140 and Phe141 by forming a hydrophobic core (Figures 3 and 4B). The largely overlapping Aa binding sites of ST and B56 explains how ST competes with B56 for the binding of the Aa subunit [25,26].

In the A-B56-C PP2A holoenzyme structure, both B56 and C subunits sit on the same side (intra-repeat loop side) of the horseshoe shape formed by the scaffolding A subunit [25,26]. When HEAT repeats 2–10 in the A-ST complex are superimposed with corresponding regions of the A-B56-C complex, the first zinc-binding motif, in particular helix a4 and its
The flanking region, is in close proximity to the C subunit active site (Figure 5). The closest atoms between ST and C subunit in this superposition are within van der Waals distances. It should be noted that, when the A-ST complex structure is superimposed with the PP2A AC core complex, the distance between the ST first zinc-binding motif and C subunit appears further apart than in the A-B56-C holoenzyme. However, since the PP2A A subunit has substantial structural

Figure 3. The Interface between SV40 ST and PP2A A Subunit
Color assignment for different subunit or domains is the same as in Figure 1. Hydrogen bonds between ST and the PP2A A subunit are indicated by dashed lines.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050202.g003

Figure 4. Structural Comparison of the A-ST Complex and the A-B56-C PP2A Holoenzyme
(A) Structural superposition of these two complexes. These two complexes are superimposed using A subunit HEAT repeats 2–10. The J and unique domain of ST are colored green and pink, respectively. The Cα trace of B56γ1 are shown in light orange. It is clear that ST and B56γ1 bind to the same sites on PP2A A subunit.
(B) The PP2A A subunit residues involved in both ST and B56γ1 interactions. ST and B56γ1 share a common footprint on the ridge of A subunit.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050202.g004
Figure 6. Structural Comparison of the PP2A A Subunit and the Structural Flexibility

(A) The structural alignment of PP2A A subunits. PP2A A subunit structures were aligned based on their structures of HEAT repeats 2–10. PP2A A subunit structures that used for the alignment are from the four A-ST complexes in the asymmetric unit, the A subunit structure alone (PDB code: 1B3U), the AC dimer structure (PDB code: 2IE3), and the A-B56-C trimeric structure (PDB code: 2IAE). The HEAT repeats 2–10 may form a rigid structural block since no significant structural variations were observed for this region among all A subunit structures.

(B) The amplitude of conformational variations of the PP2A A subunit HEAT repeats 11–15. HEAT repeats 13–15 may form the other relatively rigid structural block in the PP2A A subunit. There is no major conformational variation in HEAT repeats 13–15 among all A subunit structures. Therefore, the structural variations observed in (A) and (B) are mostly due to the conformational flexibility of HEAT repeats 10–13.

(D) Superposition of PP2A A subunit structures from A alone, A-ST complex, and A-B56-C trimeric complex. The structure of the PP2A A subunit in the A-ST complex can have a conformation very similar with that of the A subunit in the A-B56-C trimeric complex.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050202.g006
isolation of PP2A A
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Structural Comparison of the A Subunit Conformations in Different Complexes

The A-ST interface and the structure of HEAT repeats 2–10 of the A subunit are quite rigid, since these structures can be well superimposed in all four A-ST complexes in the asymmetric unit. In contrast, HEAT repeats 11–15 apparently have highly variable structures, and the first HEAT repeat is not well folded in some cases (Figure 6A and 6B). While the RMSDs of all 15 HEAT repeats among four A-ST complexes in the asymmetric unit range from 1.29 Å to 3.02 Å, the RMSD of HEAT repeats 2–10 are on average 1.09 Å. The conformational variation of the A subunit results mostly from conformational flexibility in HEAT repeats 10–13, since HEAT repeats 13–15 among the four complexes are easily superimposed, with an average RMSD of 1.15 Å (Figure 6C). Structural comparison of the A-ST crystal structure with previously reported crystal structures of A, AC complex, and A-B56-C complexes [25,26,43,46] also support the conclusion that HEAT repeats 2–10 and HEAT repeats 13–15 form two relatively rigid blocks. However, there is substantial structural flexibility between these two structural blocks, due to the result of accumulative conformational changes in HEAT repeats 10–13 (Figures S1 and S2).

Mutagenesis of the A-ST Interface

To determine whether the amino acid residues observed in our structure to be interaction points between ST and Aζ, we generated a set of ST (R7A, R21A, P132A, and W147A) and Aζ (D177A, R183A, E216A, Q217A, and R258A) mutants and performed in vitro binding assays. We found that substitution of alanine for residues Arg7, Arg21, or Pro132 of ST abrogated interaction between ST and wild-type Aζ (Figure 7). In addition, we found that the W147A ST mutant showed reduced binding to Aζ compared to wild-type ST. Analysis of Aζ mutants indicated that single alanine substitutions at position Glu216 disrupted PP2A A-ST interaction (Figure 7). These observations provide strong support to the A-ST interface observed in our crystal structure.

Discussion

Structure of Small t Antigen and Its Interaction with PP2A A Subunit

Here we report the crystal structure of SV40 ST in complex with the murine PP2A A subunit. It is striking that all four A-ST complexes in the asymmetric unit of our crystal lattice have essentially the same structure, except the flexible HEAT repeats 11–15 of the A subunit that are not involved in the A-ST interaction. This observation argues strongly that the ST structure as well as the A-ST interface observed in our crystal structure are independent of crystal packing and should be physiologically relevant. Since the human and murine Aζ subunits are identical except for one residue that is distant from the ST binding site (Ser324 in human, Thr324 in mouse), this structure is likely representative of the interaction of ST with the human PP2A. Moreover, since most ST residues in the structural core and the A-ST interface are conserved among ST and middle t (MT) proteins in the polyomavirus family, this structure provides a structural basis for understanding the oncogenic activities of each of the ST and MT proteins in the polyomavirus family.

We found that the N-terminal J and the C-terminal unique domains of ST are structurally coupled. The conserved hydrophobic interface between the J and unique domains may be important for the structural integrity of ST. Previous studies have been shown that each ST molecule contains two zinc ions [33,34]. Since ST contains two cysteine cluster motifs (CXCXXC) that are absolutely conserved, it was proposed that ST may resemble GAL4, which contains a Zn(II)2Cys6 binuclear cluster [33,34]. In our ST crystal structure, instead of forming a binuclear cluster, these two zinc ions are located in two separate positions and form two novel zinc-binding motifs. The first and second cysteine clusters (residues 111–116, 138–143, respectively) form two zinc-binding motifs together with the conserved Cys103 and His122, respectively. Both zinc ions interact with helix 5 and stabilize the structure of the C-terminal unique domain.

The Aζ subunit of PP2A consists of 15 HEAT repeats, with each repeat containing two antiparallel helices. Overall the
PP2A Aζ subunit forms a horseshoe shape structure. SV40 ST "rides" on the structural ridge formed by intra-HEAT-repeat loops of PP2A Aζ subunit (Figure 1). Previous work has demonstrated that the unique domain of SV40 ST is the essential binding domain for PP2A AC core complex [5]. In our structure the second zinc-binding motif, in particular the L6 loop, forms extensive interactions with the PP2A Aζ subunit. In support of our structural observations, in vitro structure-directed mutagenesis studies demonstrate that the interface between the second zinc-binding motif, in particular Pro132 that intercalates between Trp140 and Phe141 of PP2A A subunit, is essential for A-ST interactions (Figure 7). Point mutations of two residues in the ST J domain (R7A and R21A), or mutation of an A subunit residue that forms a hydrogen bond with ST Arg21 (E216A, Figure 3), all abolish the A-ST interaction (Figure 7), confirming the J domain is directly involved in A subunit interaction. In addition, this structure is completely consistent with previous mutagenesis studies of PP2A A subunit [44], since essentially all A subunit mutations that have weaker ST binding activities map to the A-ST interface in our structure.

Potential Interaction between ST and PP2A C Subunit

Previous work has indicated that a region of the unique domain encompassing the first cysteine cluster is necessary for the binding of ST to PP2A, and its N-terminal flanking region (residues 97–103) are also important for PP2A interactions [35,47]. However, the first zinc-binding motif does not interact with the A subunit of PP2A in the crystal structure. Instead, the crystal structure suggests that the first zinc-binding motif may directly interact with the C subunit near its active site, since the first zinc-binding motif is spatially close to the active site of the PP2A C subunit in the structural superposition of PP2A and A-ST complexes (Figure 5). This hypothesis is supported by structural flexibility of the scaffold A subunit. Our structural comparison indicates that HEAT repeats 10–13 of PP2A Aζ subunit have substantial structural flexibility (Figure 6). This flexibility of the Aζ subunit may allow for the accommodation of different types of regulatory B subunits or B-like proteins, such as ST, into the AC core enzyme that interact with both A and C subunit.

Consistent with prior reports [23,24], we failed to observe stable direct interaction between purified ST and PP2A C subunit using a glutathione S-transferase (GST) pull-down assay (unpublished data). It is possible that ST directly interacts with the C subunit via the formation of a stable complex between ST and the A subunit. Therefore, ST may form trivalent interactions with the PP2A AC complex—two of them (via the J domain and the second zinc-binding motif) interacting with the A subunit, and the third one (via the first zinc-binding motif) binding to the C subunit. This hypothesis explains why the first zinc-binding motif does not directly interact with PP2A A subunit, yet is required for the interaction with and the inhibition of phosphatase activity of PP2A AC core complex [35]. This model is also supported by the observation that ST fragments containing both J domain and the first but not the second zinc-binding motif interact with PP2A AC complex and inhibit PP2A AC dimer phosphatase activity [29,35]. ST may interfere with substrate binding via its interaction near the active site of the C subunit. Therefore, in addition to competing with the PP2A B subunit for PP2A A subunit binding, ST may directly modulate the phosphatase activity of the AC core complex, which accounts for substantial proportion of PP2A enzyme in the cell. Future work will be needed to understand if and how ST may directly interact with the C subunit.

The Role of the ST J Domain in the Interaction with PP2A

Prior studies have demonstrated that the unique domain but not the J domain was sufficient for interaction with PP2A AC complex. Although not essential for A-ST interaction, the deletion of the J domain significantly decreased the inhibitory activity of ST on the PP2A AC core dimer [35], suggesting that the J domain enhances the binding of ST to the PP2A A subunit. Consistent with this view, mutation of either Arg7 or Arg21, two residues on the J domain surface involved in PP2A A interaction, disrupts the interaction between ST and A subunit. Alternatively, the J domain may play a role in stabilizing the spatial position of the first zinc-binding motif by allowing its efficient interaction with the C subunit. This interaction may be particularly important in the AC-ST complex formation, because the structural flexibility of HEAT repeats 10–13 of the A subunit may not permit the C subunit to stay in a fixed position and interact with ST efficiently. Indeed, although the unique domain of ST binds to PP2A A, this binding fails to inhibit PP2A AC phosphatase activity [35].

In this regard, we note that the second zinc-binding motif binds to the A subunit primarily through loop–loop interactions and on the concave side of the A subunit structure only, while the J domain interacts with the convex side of A subunit. While the second zinc-binding motif may be the primary docking site, the J domain may fix the relative orientation between ST unique domain and the A subunit, with the N-terminal J and C-terminal unique domains sitting on the convex and concave side of the horseshoe shape, respectively. This orientation stabilization may be important for the first zinc-binding motif to effectively inhibit the phosphatase activity of the PP2A C subunit.

In addition to the inhibition of the phosphatase activity of the PP2A AC dimer, the J domain may also play a role in the oncogenic activity of ST by providing an additional binding site for Hsp70, even when in complex with the PP2A AC complex, as suggested by our crystal structure. The potential simultaneous interaction with PP2A and Hsp70 may couple these two functions of ST. For example, ST may bring PP2A and Hsp70 together to allow for the dephosphorylation of protein(s) bound to Hsp70.

In summary, our structural and biochemical studies reveal the structure of the ST family and define the interaction between ST and the A subunit of PP2A. In addition, our work suggests that ST may directly interact and regulate the activity or substrate specificity of the PP2A catalytic C subunit, and Hsp70 may bind to PP2A-bound ST and thus define PP2A activity and/or substrate specificity. Taken together, our work provides a structural basis for the oncogenic activity of ST and MT antigens in the polyoma-virus family. Since ST binds to PP2A Aζ in a manner similar to that used by the regulatory B subunits, these findings provide not only new insights into the regulation of PP2A but may also provide a foundation for the development of small molecules that alter the function of PP2A.
Materials and Methods

Expression and purification of SV40 ST in complex with PP2A A subunit. Full-length SV40 ST (strain VA45-54-2) and full-length mouse PP2A Aα subunit with tobacco etch virus protease (TEV) cleavages were expressed into PEGXET14 cultures (Amersham Biosciences). The TEV cleavage was monitored in supernatant, and 94.10% of the protein was recovered. The PP2A Aα subunit was purified using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) and expressed in E. coli strain BL21 (star). Wild-type Aα and Aβ mutants were isolated with glutathione-sepharose (Amersham Biosciences). GST-tags were removed from wild-type ST and ST mutants and equal amounts of wild-type ST or ST mutants were added to Aα-glutathione-sepharose precipitates. Binding assays were performed in 30 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 0.2% NP-40 buffer for 4 h. The beads were washed five times, and the proteins were eluted with reduced glutathione, followed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. For immunoblotting, we used affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies against SV40 ST [16] and monoclonal antibodies (clone 609) against Aβ (Abcam, http://www.abcam.com).

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Structural Comparison of Individual HEAT Repeat Repeats of the PP2A A Subunit

Identical individual HEAT repeat repeats of the PP2A A subunit (repeats 10–13) were superimposed to visualize the conformational changes of residues in these HEAT repeats. Seven structures were used in the superposition: the four A-ST complexes in the asymmetric unit (this work), the A-ST subunit alone (Protein Data Bank [PDB] code: 1B3U), the Aα subunit with GST-tag and His-tag (PDB code: 2IPE), the Aβ subunit with GST-tag and His-tag (PDB code: 1B3U). These datasets were integrated and scaled using HKL2000 and SCALPACK [48]. There were four heterodimers in one asymmetric unit with 54% solvent content. Scaling was performed in Refmac5, with every single HEAT repeat as a subunit. The calculated map showed clear density of SV40 ST after the density modification with DM. Since the conformation of each four Aα subunit was different, noncrystallographic symmetry averaging was helpful only to build SV40 ST. Further model building was done with Xtalview [52] and COOT [53]. TLS refinement was performed using Refmac5 in the CCP4 suite [50]. Because of the conformational variations in the Aα subunit, noncrystallographic symmetry averaging was not applied during refinement. The final model has an Rfree of 30.5%, and an Rwork of 24.1%. Zinc sites are confirmed by anomalous difference map. None of the nonglycine residues are in the disallowed region of the Ramachandran plot. The final structural models of ST contain residues 1–44, 48–78, 85–172 (chain e); 1–40, 49–74, 87–172 (chain f); 1–41, 51–70, 92–172 (chain g); and 1–41, 51–73, 94–172 (chain h).

In vitro binding assay. GST-tagged ST and Aα mutants were generated using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) and expressed in E. coli strain BL21 (star). Wild-type Aα and Aβ mutants were isolated with glutathione-sepharose (Amersham Biosciences) GST-tags were removed from wild-type ST and ST mutants and equal amounts of wild-type ST or ST mutants were added to Aα-glutathione-sepharose precipitates. Binding assays were performed in 30 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 0.2% NP-40 buffer for 4 h. The beads were washed five times, and the proteins were eluted with reduced glutathione, followed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. For immunoblotting, we used affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies against SV40 ST [16] and monoclonal antibodies (clone 609) against Aβ (Abcam, http://www.abcam.com).

Accession Numbers

Coordinates and structural factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB, http://www.rcsb.org/pdb) with the accession code 2PF4.
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