Drug Screen Targeted at Plasmodium Liver Stages Identifies a Potent Multistage Antimalarial Drug
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Plasmodium parasites undergo a clinically silent and obligatory developmental phase in the host’s liver cells before they are able to infect erythrocytes and cause malaria symptoms. To overcome the scarcity of compounds targeting the liver stage of malaria, we screened a library of 1037 existing drugs for their ability to inhibit Plasmodium hepatic development. Decoquinate emerged as the strongest inhibitor of Plasmodium liver stages, both in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, decoquinate kills the parasite’s replicative blood stages and is active against developing gametocytes, the forms responsible for transmission. The drug acts by selectively and specifically inhibiting the parasite’s mitochondrial bc1 complex, with little cross-resistance with the antimalarial drug atovaquone. Oral administration of a single dose of decoquinate effectively prevents the appearance of disease, warranting its exploitation as a potent antimalarial compound.

The search for active compounds among drugs originally developed and clinically tested for the treatment of other diseases is a particularly advantageous approach in the case of historically neglected diseases such as malaria. Although a number of reports have recently emerged where libraries of existing drugs were screened in the search for inhibitors of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum [1–6], these screens have focused solely on the intraerythrocytic life cycle of Plasmodium infection, when clinical symptoms occur. However, the liver stage of Plasmodium infection is an obligatory step in the maturation and replication of mosquito-delivered parasites toward generating the erythrocyte-infective forms that cause malaria symptoms [7]. To target the hepatic stage is therefore highly desirable in the context of malaria eradication, not only because its asymptomatic nature makes it ideally suited for prophylactic intervention [8], but also because the liver can serve as a reservoir for Plasmodium vivax and Plasmodium ovale hypnozoites, dormant parasite forms that may lead to relapses long after the initial blood infection has been eliminated [9]. In sharp contrast with drugs that kill blood-stage parasites, only a very limited number of drugs are available against liver forms. Among these, primaquine is the only clinically approved drug known to eliminate liver forms of Plasmodium, including hypnozoites, and to kill gametocytes, the sexual forms responsible for transmission of the parasite’s life cycle inside the mosquito vector [10]. Despite primaquine’s potentially lethal side effects that severely limit its use, except for a study from the 1980s [11], systematic efforts toward the identification of novel chemical safe entities efficacious against Plasmodium liver stages have not been reported [12]. Here, we describe the first screen targeted at Plasmodium liver stages and the identification of
decoquinate, a compound that is shown to inhibit multiple phases of the parasite’s life cycle. Further investigation revealed decoquinate’s mode of action and established its potential as a potent and selective antimalarial compound.

**METHODS**

**Ethics Statement**
All procedures involving animal models complied with European and US regulations.

**Cells, Parasites, and Mice**
Huh7 cells, a human hepatoma cell line, were cultured as described in the Supplementary Methods.

Two transgenic parasite lines were used in this study: a green fluorescent protein (GFP)–expressing *Plasmodium berghei* line (parasite line 259cl2) [13], as well as a fusion GFP- and firefly luciferase–expressing *P. berghei* line (parasite line 676m1cl1) (*PbGFP-Luc_{con}*) [14]. Sporozoites from both lines were freshly obtained through the disruption of salivary glands of infected female *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes.

Male C57Bl/6 mice aged 6–8 weeks and weighing 20–24 g were purchased from Charles River and housed in the pathogen-free facilities of the Instituto de Medicina Molecular (Lisbon, Portugal).

**Drug Library Screen for Activity Against Malaria Liver Stages**
Huh7 cells (1800 cells/well) were seeded in 30 μL of complete Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium in 384-well collagen I coated plates (Greiner Bio-one) and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Forty-eight hours after seeding, prediluted drugs (5 μL) were added to wells to achieve a final concentration of 10 μM. After 1 hour, cells were infected with GFP-expressing *P. berghei* sporozoites (2000 sporozoites/well) freshly obtained through disruption of salivary glands of infected female *A. stephensi* mosquitoes. Twenty-four hours after infection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, and cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst-33342 (Invitrogen).

**Luminescence Measurement of In Vitro Plasmodium Infection**
Inhibition of liver-stage infection was assessed by measuring the luminescence intensity of Huh7 cells infected with a firefly luciferase–expressing *P. berghei* line, *PbGFP-Luc_{con}*, as previously reported [14].

**Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) Analysis**
FACS analysis of cells infected with GFP-expressing parasites allows differentiation between the effect of a drug on hepatocyte invasion and on intrahepatocyte parasite development. FACS analysis at 2 and 48 hours after sporozoite addition was performed to determine the percentage of parasite-containing cells and parasite-GFP intensity within infected cells. Cell samples for FACS analysis were processed as previously described [15].

**In Vivo Drug-Efficacy Studies**
All in vivo protocols were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Instituto de Medicina Molecular and were performed according to the regulations of the European guidelines 86/609/EEG. Drug suspensions in soybean oil (200 μL/mouse) or in an equivalent amount of vehicle were administered orally by gavage. Mice were infected by intravenous injection of 1 × 10⁶ firefly luciferase–expressing *P. berghei* sporozoites. Alternatively, mice were infected by exposure to the bite of infected female *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes. Mosquitoes (20 per mouse) were allowed to feed for 30 minutes on mice anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 180 μL of mixture consisting of 80 mg/kg of ketamine and 10 mg/kg xylazine dissolved in PBS.

Parasite load in the livers was determined 44–46 hours after infection by real-time in vivo imaging with the in vivo IVIS Lumina Imaging System [14]. Infection was allowed to proceed to the blood stage and was monitored by analysis of Giemsa-stained blood smears of tail blood collected between days 3 and 15 after infection. A compound was considered effective in the liver if, by day 15 after infection, no parasite could be detected in the blood smears.

**Drug-Susceptibility Testing Against Asexual and Sexual Stages of 164/GFP Parasites**
The drug-susceptibility assay was conducted as previously described [6]. Briefly, highly synchronized, young, ring-stage parasites in 110 μl complete medium (4% hematocrit) were plated into 96-well plates (Microtest Tissue Culture Plates, Becton Dickinson) alongside uninfected red blood cells as a negative control. Sexual commitment was induced by a drop in hematocrit 24 hours after seeding the parasites. Serial dilutions of compounds were added at that point or 24 hours later, after reinvasion of parasites. Corresponding dilutions of chloroform were tested, as well, to account for solvent-specific effects. On the day of analysis, cells were stained with Hoechst, and cytometry data were collected as described in detail elsewhere [6]. These data were processed with Quanta software and were analyzed for half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀), using GraphPad Prism.

**Ubiquinol-Cytochrome bc₁ Oxidoreductase Activity**
Ubiquinol-cytochrome c oxidoreductase activity was measured as the antimycin-sensitive decylubiquinol-cytochrome c oxidoreductase level in isolated mitochondria, as detailed in the Supplementary Methods.

**Growth-Inhibition Assays for Evaluation of bc₁ Inhibition**
The sensitivity of *P. falciparum*–infected erythrocytes to various drugs was determined using a [³H]hypoxanthine incorporation
method with an inoculum size of 0.5% parasitemia and 2% hematocrit. Plates were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂, 5% O₂, and 95% N₂. After 24 hours of incubation, [³H]hypoxanthine was added and plates were incubated for an additional 24 hours. After that period, plates were harvested on a glass fiber filter, using a TOMTEC Cell harvester 96. Filters were dried and melted on scintillator sheets, and the incorporated radioactivity was quantified by use of a Wallac Microbeta Trilux (Model 1450 LS- Perkin Elmer). The Dd2 cell line containing yeast dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH)

Figure 1. Screen of compound library. A, Results of the first screening round of 1037 compounds, highlighting the compounds leading to >90% decrease in infection. Blocker of lipid transport 1 (BLT-1) and its oxidized version (BLT-ox), previously used in the context of liver-stage Plasmodium infection [20], were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. B, Thirteen compounds selected after the second screening round. The plots in A and B depict the effect of the drugs on Huh7 cell proliferation (x-axis) and infection by Plasmodium berghei sporozoites (y-axis), measured 24 h after parasite addition. Each circle represents a compound. Green, yellow, and blue dots represent the dimethyl sulfoxide, positive control, and negative control, respectively, as above. The horizontal and vertical red lines indicate 100% infection and 100% confluency, respectively. C, Luminescence-based measurement of dose-dependent effects of selected compounds. Bars represent infection loads, and the red line represents cell confluency. Error bars represent SDs from 3 independent measurements. D, Luminescence-based measurements of liver parasite loads in mice following oral administration of selected compounds. Compounds were administered in the indicated amounts 24 h before, concomitantly with, and 24 h after intravenous injection of 10 000 luciferase-expressing P. berghei sporozoites. Error bars represent SDs (n = 5). E, Blood-stage patency of infection following oral administration of the same drugs as in D. Decoquinate is highlighted in red in both D and E.
Chemical Screen Identifies Decoquinate as a Potent Inhibitor of Plasmodium Liver Infection

We employed an in vitro infection model combining *P. berghei* parasites and the Huh7 human hepatoma cell line [15] to screen a commercially available library composed of 1037 compounds that have reached clinical stages (eg, clinical trials) in the United States (Supplementary Table 1) for their activity against *Plasmodium* liver stages. The high-throughput screen was carried out in a 384-well format combined with an automated microscopy high content readout (Supplementary Figure 1). The effect of each drug on the course of infection was assessed with the help of customized image analysis algorithms that allow for automatic quantification of both cell numbers and amount of intracellular parasites [19, 20]. The library was screened 3 independent times, using a fixed concentration of 10 μM of each compound. This process identified 116 compounds that decreased infection by >50% relative to the control. It is interesting to note that these compounds are distributed across a wide range of drug classes, suggesting a multiplicity of possible targets (Supplementary Figure 2). Among these compounds, the 41 producing a reduction in infection >90% were selected to undergo a second screening pass (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table 1), where their dose-response behavior was evaluated. On the basis of that response, and after exclusion of cytotoxic drugs, compounds with previously reported anti-plasmodial activity, and topical drugs, 13 compounds were chosen to undergo a final round of in vitro screening (Figure 1A and 1B and Supplementary Table 1). In this assay, Huh7 cells and luciferase-expressing *P. berghei* parasites were used as previously described [14]. Each compound was assayed at 3 different concentrations, and their effects on infection load and cell confluence were evaluated by luminescence and fluorescence measurements, respectively. With the exception of nicotine, for which no dose-response was observed, all of the drugs led to a dose-dependent reduction in the *P. berghei* infection rate among Huh7 cells, albeit within different ranges of compound concentrations (Figure 1C). These results defined a final list of 12 compounds with proven in vitro activity against *Plasmodium* liver stages, which were selected for in vivo evaluation (Supplementary Table 1).

The ability of the selected compounds to inhibit infection in vivo was evaluated in an established rodent model of malaria. Mice received 3 treatments with 10 or 30 mg/kg of each compound, administered orally 24 hours before, concomitantly with, and 24 hours after intravenous injection of 10,000 luciferase-expressing *P. berghei* sporozoites. Liver parasite burdens

### Results

#### Molecular Modeling Studies

The molecular modeling studies were carried out using the crystal structure of cytochrome bc1 from *S. cerevisiae* (PDB 3CX5) [16] as a template for generating the Y279S mutation. This was achieved using the Mutate Residue functionality within MOE (CCG MOE), which is an implementation of the method presented by Bower et al [17]. Mutant side-chain conformations are determined from a systematic rotamer search, resulting in acceptable side-chain structures that are based on the local environment. Docking was performed with the GOLD 5.01 (Genetic Optimization for Ligand Docking) package, which searches for the best ligand interaction pose, using a genetic algorithm. Docked ligands were ranked with GoldScore [18], which is included in the software, and were defined by the following components: protein-ligand hydrogen bond energy, protein-ligand van der Waals energy, ligand internal van der Waals energy, and ligand torsional strain energy. This fitness function has been optimized to predict the ligand-binding position and conformation of the ligands. Docking was run with standard settings and 1000 genetic algorithm operations. Atovaquone and decoquinate were docked into the oxidation site in the targeted cytochrome. Visualization of best-fit docking poses was performed with PyMOL after energy-minimization of the binding pocket and docked ligand with MOE (MMFF94x force field).

### Table 1. Effect of Decoquinate on Liver and Blood Stages of *Plasmodium* Infection, as Represented by Its Half-Maximal Inhibitory Concentration (IC₅₀)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Liver Stages (IC₅₀)</th>
<th>Blood Stages (IC₅₀)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asexual</td>
<td>Sexual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoquinate</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>10 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36 ± 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemisinin</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>62 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2 [6]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC₅₀ data are mean nM ± SD. Published IC₅₀ data given for comparison were taken from [6].

Abbreviation: NA, not available.
Figure 2. Inhibition of *Plasmodium* by decoquinate. A, B, Oral administration of 10 mg/kg of decoquinate at various times relative to intravenous injection of 10 000 luciferase-expressing *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoites. Luminescence-based measurement of liver parasite loads are shown in A, and blood-stage patency of infection is shown in B. The inset depicts the structure of the decoquinate molecule. Results shown are representative from 3 independent experiments. Error bars represent SDs (n = 5).

C, D, Half-maximal inhibitory concentration curves representing the effect of decoquinate on parasite (C) and gametocyte (D) load. Each assay represents at least 3 biological replicates with 2 technical replicates per plate. The graphs show mean values of the experiments, whereas the error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

E, Blood-stage patency of infection in mice following oral administration of a single dose of decoquinate immediately prior to *P. berghei* sporozoite delivery by mosquito bite (n = 5). Abbreviation: C, control.
were determined by luminescence 44 hours after infection (Figure 1D), and blood parasitemias were monitored daily for 15 days (Figure 1E). Most notably, decoquinate consistently emerged from our studies as the most potent inhibitor of *Plasmodium* infection in vivo, completely abrogating liver parasitemia and fully preventing the appearance of parasites in the blood at 10 mg/kg. Decoquinate’s IC\(_{50}\) against liver stages in vitro was estimated at 2.6 nM, approximately 3000-fold lower than that of primaquine (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 3A). Flow cytometry analysis of HuH7 cells infected with GFP-expressing *P. berghei* sporozoites further demonstrated that decoquinate acts by inhibiting *Plasmodium’s* intracellular replication, rather than its ability to invade hepatic cells (Supplementary Figure 3B).

**Decoquinate Acts on Asexual and Presexual *Plasmodium* Blood Forms**

We then investigated the degree of protection conferred by different decoquinate administration schedules relative to parasite inoculation. To this end, the drug was administered orally to mice at 10 mg/kg, at different times relative to intravenous injection of 10,000 luciferase-expressing sporozoites (Figure 2A). Our results showed that administration of decoquinate in any of these schedules significantly decreased parasite liver load, compared with untreated controls (Figure 2A). Interestingly, liver parasite load decreased to below detectable levels in mice treated immediately prior to infection, but they eventually developed blood parasitemia, although significantly delayed (\(P = .00159\)), while mice treated 24 hours after infection remained negative for blood-stage parasites (Figure 2B). This suggests that decoquinate acts not only on *Plasmodium* liver stages but also on the blood forms of the parasite. To confirm this, the effect of decoquinate on in vitro cultures of *P. falciparum* strain 164/GFP blood stages was evaluated using methods described elsewhere [6] (Figure 2C and Table 1). Indeed, decoquinate displayed a marked effect on asexual blood stages of *Plasmodium*, with an IC\(_{50}\) of the same order of magnitude of that of artemisinin, an effective blood schizonticidal antimalarial, and approximately 1000-fold lower than that of primaquine. Crucially, our data also showed that decoquinate is active against *Plasmodium* gametocytes, the presexual blood forms of the parasite responsible for transmission to the invertebrate host, with an IC\(_{50}\) of 36 nM (Figure 2D and Table 1).

Finally, we sought to establish the minimal decoquinate dose that would provide complete protection against infection transmitted to mice by mosquito bite, the most physiologically relevant transmission route. A single decoquinate dose of 10, 5, or 1 mg/kg was administered orally prior to sporozoite injection by *P. berghei*-infected mosquitoes, followed by daily monitoring of blood parasitemia. Results showed that whereas 1 mg/kg of decoquinate could already confer partial protection, a single 5 mg/kg dose of the compound completely prevented the appearance of blood-stage parasites (Figure 2E).

**Decoquinate Specifically and Selectively Inhibits *Plasmodium* Mitochondrial bc\(_1\) Complex**

Given the structural similarities with other quinolones known to inhibit *Plasmodium’s* cytochrome bc\(_1\) complex (ubiquinol: cytochrome c oxidoreductase or respiratory complex III; bc\(_1\); *P. falciparum* genes 2655541, 811955) [21], we investigated whether the mechanism of decoquinate’s antimalarial activity could be explained by bc\(_1\) inhibition. To address this, we initially assessed decoquinate inhibition of bc\(_1\) activity on mitochondria isolated from *P. falciparum* 3D7A parasites and human HEK293 cells. We found plasmodial bc\(_1\) complex to be susceptible to decoquinate, with an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.002 \(\mu\)M and a selectivity index of >5000, when compared with its human counterpart (Table 2). To confirm the antimalarial mode of action of decoquinate by inhibition of bc\(_1\) complex, we then carried out a series of growth inhibition experiments (Figure 3). The mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) is critical for parasite survival, inhibition of the *P. falciparum* cytochrome bc\(_1\) being the mode of action of the antimalarial drug atovaquone [22] (Figure 3A). ETC is used by *Plasmodium* as the only way to regenerate mitochondrial coenzyme Q. This is the electron receptor used by DHODH, a mitochondrion-located, membrane-anchored enzyme of the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway. DHODH is also essential for survival because *Plasmodium* cannot salvage pyrimidines [23] (Figure 3A). Artemisinin, a known potent antimalarial, kills the parasite by a different mechanism, presumably one involving hemoglobin digestion [24], and can therefore be used as an appropriate control for assays to measure the mode of action of growth inhibition. We showed that *P. falciparum* growth was effectively inhibited by decoquinate, atovaquone, a specific DHODH inhibitor, and artemisinin (Figure 3B–E and Table 3). These drugs were then assayed on a *P. falciparum* strain transfected with cytoplasmic *S. cerevisiae* DHODH (ScDHODH), which bypasses the endogenous *Plasmodium* counterpart that is required for pyrimidine biosynthesis. ScDHODH uses fumarate instead of mitochondrial coenzyme Q as an electron acceptor, and therefore the transgenic strain...
is resistant to both $bc_1$ and DHODH inhibitors [23]. This *Plasmodium* strain remained sensitive to artemisinin but was not killed by decoquinate, atovaquone, or the DHODH inhibitor, suggesting that the latter 3 compounds block pyrimidine biosynthesis (Figure 3B–E and Table 3). Finally, to distinguish $bc_1$ from DHODH inhibition, the assay was performed in the presence of proguanil, which collapses the mitochondrial membrane potential when electron transport is inhibited and renders the ScDHODH-expressing strain sensitive to ETC inhibitors [23]. Addition of proguanil restored inhibition
by decoquinate and atovaquone but not by the DHODH inhibitor (Figure 3B–E and Table 3). Overall, these results show that decoquinate’s antimalarial mode of action is the potent, selective, and specific inhibition of *P. falciparum* mitochondrial bc₁ complex.

**Decoquinate Presents Little Cross-Resistance With Atovaquone**

Resistance to atovaquone, presently the only drug in clinical use that targets bc₁, emerged rapidly [25]. This raised the need for alternative bc₁ inhibitors with little cross-resistance with atovaquone-resistant strains, as is the case of quinolones [21]. The fact that, unlike atovaquone [6], decoquinate shows potent gametocidal activity indicated that the 2 drugs interact differently with their bc₁ complex target. To further predict whether decoquinate presents cross-resistance with atovaquone, we carried out a molecular docking study that used *S. cerevisiae* bc₁ complex structure (PDB 3CX5) [16] as a surrogate for the *P. falciparum* protein. This approach has been used effectively to study the binding mode of atovaquone, given the high sequence identity at the Q₆ site of cytochrome b [26]. Decoquinate presented a similar docking pose to 4(1H)-pyridones in the wild-type protein [27], which is consistent with its IC₅₀ (Figure 3F). The Y279S mutation (yeast numbering) corresponds to one of the most frequent mutations in *P. falciparum* and results in resistance to atovaquone [26]. Molecular-docking runs on a mutated model generated from 3CX5 showed that Y279 is critical for atovaquone binding, as mutation to S279 (Figure 3G) leads to loss of interaction with E272 and H181 [27, 28]. Introduction of the Y279S mutation led to inversion in the docking pose of decoquinate. However, the protein-inhibitor complex is still stabilized by hydrogen bonds with H181 and R283 and by hydrophobic interactions with residues from the binding pocket (Figure 3G). Therefore, decoquinate is expected to inhibit the Y279S mutant of cytochrome bc₁ effectively and is therefore expected to present little cross-resistance with atovaquone. This prediction was confirmed in a very recent report that showed that decoquinate indeed possesses limited cross-resistance against 5 atovaquone-resistant *P. falciparum* lines [29].

**DISCUSSION**

Decoquinate is a cheap and widely available coccidiostat commonly used in livestock ranging from poultry to mammals [30] and has been recently identified in a screen for blood-stage inhibitors of *Plasmodium* infection [29]. The data presented here demonstrate that decoquinate is a potent antimalarial, with transmission blocking potential due to the marked effect on various stages of *Plasmodium*’s life cycle. Its identification stemmed from the first high-throughput, microscopy-based screen performed to identify compounds that inhibit the hepatic stage of plasmodial infection. Besides its ability to inhibit the development of the parasite’s liver stages, decoquinolnterated strong activity against *Plasmodium* blood forms, including gametocytes, which are latent presexual parasite forms responsible for the transmission of *Plasmodium* to *Anopheles* mosquitoes. This is in contrast with atovaquone, which has been shown to present activity against *Plasmodium* liver stages [31] but to be only slightly effective against parasites in the early sexual stage [6]. Importantly, a previous study has concluded that compounds shown to be gametocytocidal also possess radical curative effects against true relapsing malaria [32]. Thus, although the present study does not provide direct evidence for this, decoquinate’s strong effect against latent gametocytes suggests that it might be active against hypnozoites, which are latent parasite forms in the liver. On the other hand, drugs targeting multiple stages of *Plasmodium*’s life cycle may present added advantages in terms of efficacy. Decoquinate inhibits the bc₁ complex, an important, yet underexploited antimalarial target. The rapid emergence of resistance to atovaquone led to its combination with proguanil (Malarone), the cost of which limited its widespread use in resource-poor, disease-endemic areas and highlighted the need for cheaper alternatives that can overcome resistance [21]. Given its little cross-resistance with atovaquone-resistant strains [29], decoquinate may constitute one such alternative. In this context, and at a time when novel drugs against malaria are urgently required, this study paves the way not only for future screening efforts of a similar nature but also for further exploitation of decoquinate as part of an antimalarial strategy.

**Supplementary Data**

Supplementary materials are available at The Journal of Infectious Diseases online (http://www.oxfordjournals.org/our_journals/jid/). Supplementary materials consist of data provided by the author that are published to benefit the reader. The posted materials are not copyedited. The contents...
of all supplementary data are the sole responsibility of the authors. Questions or messages regarding errors should be addressed to the author.
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