



Can a community health worker administered postnatal checklist increase health-seeking behaviors and knowledge?: evidence from a randomized trial with a private maternity facility in Kiambu County, Kenya

Citation

McConnell, Margaret, Allison Ettenger, Claire Watt Rothschild, Faith Muigai, and Jessica Cohen. 2016. "Can a Community Health Worker Administered Postnatal Checklist Increase Health-Seeking Behaviors and Knowledge?: Evidence from a Randomized Trial with a Private Maternity Facility in Kiambu County, Kenya." *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 16 (1) (June 4). doi:10.1186/s12884-016-0914-z.

Published version

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0914-z>

Link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:33747054>

Terms of use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles (OAP), as set forth at

<https://harvardwiki.atlassian.net/wiki/external/NGY5NDE4ZjgzNTc5NDQzMGIzZWZhMGFIOWI2M2EwYTg>

Accessibility

<https://accessibility.huit.harvard.edu/digital-accessibility-policy>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#)

1 **Can a Community Health Worker Administered Postnatal Checklist Increase**
2 **Health-Seeking Behaviors and Knowledge?: Evidence from a Randomized**
3 **Trial with a Private Maternity Facility in Kiambu County, Kenya**

4
5 **Margaret McConnell¹, Allison Ettenger², Claire Watt Rothschild², Faith Muigai², Jessica Cohen¹**

6
7 ¹ Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston MA

8 ² Jacaranda Health, Nairobi Kenya

9
10 Margaret McConnell, PhD (Corresponding Author)

11 Building 1, Room 1217

12 Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health

13 665 Huntington Ave

14 Boston, MA 02115

15 mmcconne@hsph.harvard.edu

16
17 Allison Ettenger, MSc

18 Jacaranda Health

19 P.O. Box 42844 – 00100

20 Nairobi

21 allison.ettenger@post.harvard.edu

22
23 Claire Watt Rothschild, MSc

24 Jacaranda Health

25 P.O. Box 42844 – 00100

26 Nairobi, Kenya

27 crothschild@jacarandahealth.org

28
29 Faith Muigai

30 Jacaranda Health

31 P.O. Box 42844 – 00100

32 Nairobi, Kenya

33 fmugai@jacarandahealth.org

34
35 Jessica L. Cohen, PhD

36 Building 1, Room 1209

37 Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health

38 665 Huntington Ave

39 Boston, MA 02115

40 cohenj@hsph.harvard.edu

41

42

43 **Abstract**

44 **Background:** Since the 2009 WHO and UNICEF recommendation that women receive home-based
45 postnatal care within the first three days after birth, a growing number of low-income countries have
46 explored integrating postnatal home visit interventions into their maternal and newborn health strategies.
47 This randomized trial evaluates a pilot program in which community health workers (CHWs) visit or call
48 new mothers three days after delivery in peri-urban Kiambu County, Kenya.

49 **Methods:** Participants were individually randomized to one of three groups: 1) early postnatal care three
50 days after delivery provided in-person with a CHW using a simple checklist, 2) care provided by phone
51 with a CHW using the same checklist, or 3) a standard of care group. Surveys were conducted ten days
52 and nine weeks postnatal to measure outcomes related to compliance with referrals, self-reported health
53 problems for mother and baby, care-seeking behaviors, and postnatal knowledge and practices around the
54 recognition of danger signs, feeding, nutrition, infant care and family planning.

55 **Results:** The home visit administration of the checklist increased the likelihood that women recognized
56 postnatal problems for themselves and their babies and increased the likelihood that they sought care to
57 address those problems identified for the child. In both the home visit and mobile phone implementation
58 of the checklist, actions taken for postnatal problems happened earlier, particularly for infants.

59 Knowledge was found to be high across all groups, with limited evidence that the checklist impacted
60 knowledge and postnatal practices around the recognition of danger signs, feeding, nutrition, infant care
61 and family planning.

62 **Conclusion:** We find evidence that CHW-administered postnatal checklists can lead to better recognition
63 of postnatal problems and more timely care-seeking. Furthermore, our results suggest that CHWs can
64 affordably deliver many of the benefits of postnatal checklists.

65 **Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02104635; registered April 2, 2014.

66 **Keywords:** Postnatal care, Community health workers, Checklists, Mobile Health

67

67

68 **Background**

69 Despite overall gains in child survival, slower progress has been made in reducing neonatal and maternal
70 mortality in developing countries [1]. Globally, a critical gap in the continuum of maternal and newborn
71 health has been identified during the early postnatal period [2]. Recommendations from the World Health
72 Organization indicate crucial moments when contact with skilled health providers could be instrumental
73 in identifying and responding to the needs and complications of both mother and baby after childbirth: the
74 first few hours after birth, between three and seven days, and at 6 weeks [3]. Many countries are now
75 struggling to develop logistically feasible models of postnatal care delivery that address the numerous
76 challenges women face in returning to facilities in the short interval after birth.

77

78 Neonatal and maternal mortality rates continue to be high in Kenya, with an estimated 488 maternal
79 deaths per 100,000 live births and 22 neonatal deaths per 1,000 live births based on the most recently
80 available Demographic and Health Survey [4,5]. Further, maternal and neonatal mortality rates are higher
81 among the urban poor [6]. A major driver of poor maternal and neonatal outcomes is low utilization of
82 postnatal care. Only 47% of women in Kenya receive any postnatal care after delivery at all, and only
83 37% of women receive postnatal care from a medical professional such as doctor, nurse or midwife [4].
84 Poor women with lower levels of education are even less likely to receive this essential care [5].
85 Decisions about whether to seek care, delays in recognizing illness and reaching facilities, and low-
86 quality care at health service points have been documented in neighboring Uganda as barriers to access to
87 this essential care for newborns and their mothers [7].

88

89 A growing body of evidence demonstrates that home visits by community health workers (CHWs) during
90 pregnancy and the postnatal period reduced rates of low birth weight, stillbirths and neonatal mortality [8-
91 11]. Such home visits have been found to increase key practices in the promotion of neonatal health

92 including early initiation and exclusive breastfeeding, thermal care, hand hygiene, umbilical cord care and
93 increased care-seeking behavior for sick infants [7, 12-15]. Additionally in some settings CHW-
94 conducted home visits during the perinatal period demonstrated improvements in HIV-prevention
95 strategies [8].

96

97 Despite the promise of early postnatal home visits, there is limited evidence on how to effectively
98 implement postnatal care [16]. A Cochrane review of postnatal home visits examined 12 randomized
99 trials both in high-resource and low-resource settings and found inconsistent results [17]. The review
100 raises concerns that women who receive home visits may be less likely to seek care at facilities for their
101 newborns if they feel they have already been sufficiently checked.

102

103 We conducted a randomized trial of a pilot program implemented three days after delivery in which a
104 checklist was used by a community health worker to assess the health of the mother and newborn and
105 targeted health education was offered. We compare the relative effectiveness of administering the
106 checklist either in-person, as in more traditionally resource intensive CHW home visits, or by mobile
107 phone, and compare both variations of the intervention to a standard of care group. The impact of the
108 intervention with respect to identification of complications, care-seeking behaviors, health practices and
109 knowledge was assessed.

110

111 **Methods**

112 *Setting and Study Design*

113 The intervention was implemented with Jacaranda Health, a private-sector social enterprise located in
114 Kiambu County, Kenya providing high quality and low-cost maternal and newborn healthcare to low-
115 income women in peri-urban areas of Nairobi. These regions are densely populated with characteristic
116 challenges of poverty including poor access to water and sanitation, food insecurity and safety concerns

117 [6,18]. Total fertility rates for women in urban poor regions are slightly higher than that of Nairobi (3.1
118 compared to 2.8) yet still lower than the national average of 4.6 [4,6].

119
120 At Jacaranda Health's 10-inpatient bed hospital in a peri-urban setting just outside Nairobi, women were
121 approached for recruitment and written informed consent after a normal delivery just prior to their
122 discharge home. Women were eligible if they had a complication-free delivery, their newborn
123 experienced no visible complications, were over 18 years old, provided two phone numbers where they
124 could be reached, and resided within 20 km of the Jacaranda Health facility. These eligibility criteria
125 reflect Jacaranda Health's referral guidelines during the study period. During the study, Jacaranda Health
126 had the capacity to conduct normal deliveries, provide basic emergency obstetric care and refer women
127 who were at increased risk of a complication in their pregnancy to higher-level hospitals. Jacaranda's
128 eligibility criteria were adapted from international guidelines including the World Health Organization
129 and the U.K.'s National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). The eligibility criteria excluded
130 women with six or more past deliveries, advanced age (older than 35 years), abnormal values of ANC
131 diagnostics (e.g. high blood pressure), history of medical complications, and history of obstetric
132 complications.

133 The CHWs participating in our study were employed exclusively as Jacaranda staff and managed by a
134 nurse midwife dedicated to outreach and community efforts. CHWs in our study were recruited from the
135 larger area pool of CHWs who are recruited from barazas (local community meetings) and who receive
136 foundational training in basic areas and are supervised by Community Health Extension Workers
137 (CHEWs). The recruitment and training of these CHWs varies significantly in practice across Kenya
138 [19].

139 The randomized trial took place between April 2014 and October 2014. Patients were individually
140 randomized prior to enrollment using numeric patient identifiers assigned by Jacaranda Health. A unique
141 identifier is given to each Jacaranda Health client seeking any service (including antenatal care, delivery,

142 postnatal care, and child wellness care) during the client’s first visit to Jacaranda. Randomization was
143 conducted by assigning each of these unique identifiers to one of the three central treatment groups with
144 equal probability: a CHW home visit three days after delivery, a phone call from a CHW three days after
145 delivery, or a standard of care group that received a customer service phone call about their experience.¹
146 At the start of study recruitment, all pre-existing assigned Jacaranda identifiers were randomized. For
147 clients who had attended antenatal care at Jacaranda, randomization was stratified using demographic and
148 health variables collected in routine patient documentation. Identifiers were stratified by terciles of
149 expected delivery date, distance from the client’s home to the facility, and primiparity. Random
150 assignments were also given to all future Jacaranda IDs not yet in use; these assignments were made to
151 each of the three treatment groups with equal probability. Random assignment of patient identifiers was
152 done using a randomization sequence generated by the principal investigators with STATA 11,
153 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

154 ***Intervention Design***

155 For women randomly assigned to the checklist groups, CHWs were trained to screen for maternal and
156 newborn danger signs, to deliver targeted postnatal health education, and to refer mothers and their
157 newborns to facility-based care if necessary using a checklist to guide them through the process that was
158 available in English and Kiswahili (additional file 1). Community health worker training was conducted
159 by the research program manager and a designated nurse for the program. Prior to independent
160 administration of the intervention, CHWs shadowed other Jacaranda Health nurses conducting postnatal
161 health education counseling after delivery and observed a nurse-conducted home visit.

162

¹ In order to avoid the possibility that patients responded to the phone call with more health seeking behaviors because of reciprocity, we include a phone call for the standard of care group that focused exclusively on customer service. Patients reported appreciating receiving any phone call so, to the extent that this call may have influenced behavior in the standard of care group, some estimates of program impacts may be underestimates.

163 CHWs contacted women either in their home or by mobile phone three days after delivery. The checklist
164 was developed using international guidelines and academic publications and included components shown
165 in Table 1 [12,20-21]. Newborn assessment characteristics such as poor feeding, fever, and jaundice also
166 reflect the 2014 WHO guidelines.² If a CHW detected a sign or symptom of maternal or neonatal illness
167 through the assessment checklist on Day 3, the mother or child were referred to the nearest facility for
168 curative care.³ The protocol specified that all complications, except for cracked nipples in isolation, were
169 to be referred. For all referrals, a nurse conducted a phone follow-up the following day to ensure the
170 woman and her child received appropriate care. Drafts of the checklist were locally pre-tested to ensure
171 accuracy and comprehension among the communities and CHWs. Managers conducted regular audits of
172 referral and checklist documentation to ensure appropriate completion and adherence to protocols.

173
174 CHWs completed a thorough four-day training to conduct screenings using the checklist and to counsel
175 mothers and caregivers on essential postnatal health education. The training curriculum was adapted
176 from international resources and modified to be relevant to specific programmatic contexts [20]. CHWs
177 were evaluated through pre and post-test mechanisms to ensure thorough understanding and
178 comprehension of essential information including: role-plays, observation of nurse-conducted visit and
179 calls and, upon concluding the training, were signed-off by a clinical staff that their performance met
180 quality standards.

181 ***Data Collection***

182 Trained research assistants obtained written informed consent for participation in the study prior to
183 discharge from the Jacaranda Health hospital in Ruiru. Recruitment and survey administration occurred

² While our assessment guidelines are consistent with the WHO guidelines from 2014, our postnatal checklist was developed in 2013, prior to the release of these guidelines and was therefore not specifically based on these guidelines.

³ Referrals were made to the nearest facility (not to Jacaranda) since Jacaranda was not offering emergency comprehensive pediatric care at the time of the intervention.

184 on a rolling basis throughout the study period based on the date that women delivered at the Jacaranda
185 Health hospitals.

186 Estimates of program impact were analyzed using administrative, programmatic, and survey data.

187 Administrative data is routinely collected for all Jacaranda Health clients and uploaded into their medical
188 records; these data include information on patient history and health services received, as well as
189 demographic data. Electronic medical records data were available for all enrolled participants. The second
190 data source was programmatic data collected by the CHW during administration of the checklist. The
191 final source of data was follow-up participant surveys, administered by phone by trained research
192 assistants at ten days and nine weeks after delivery.

193 All participants were contacted at each data collection time point, regardless of whether they were
194 previously reached (including those who were never reached by either a home visit or phone call on the
195 third day after giving birth). Inability to reach participants for both the day 3 interventions and the follow-
196 up phone surveys was assessed based on the following protocols: For the day 3 interventions, participants
197 assigned to receive a customer service call (standard of care arm) or phone administration of the checklist
198 were contacted up to four times by phone on the scheduled day (using all phone contact information
199 provided by the participant at enrollment). The participant was considered unreachable if none of the four
200 calls were answered. For the home visit arm, the CHW contacted the participant by phone on the morning
201 of her scheduled visit to confirm the location of the residence, and subsequently knocked on the door or
202 otherwise introduced herself at the home. If the participant was not reachable by phone while the CHW
203 was in the field that day or was not available at the home for the entire day, she was considered unable to
204 be reached by the checklist treatment.

205 Regardless of whether the participants were reached on day 3, all were scheduled for follow-up mobile
206 phone surveys at ten days and nine weeks after delivery. Attempts were made to contact participants for
207 the day 10 follow-up survey beginning on day 10 after delivery, with daily contact attempts made for the

208 subsequent seven days after the scheduled date. If the participant was unreachable or unable to complete
209 the phone survey during this time, she was considered unreachable. Likewise, attempts were made to
210 contact participants for the nine week survey beginning on the scheduled date, with daily contact attempts
211 made for a subsequent 14 days.

212 ***Outcomes***

213 Postnatal maternal and newborn outcomes are captured at three time periods – three days, ten days, and
214 nine weeks post-delivery. Day 3 outcomes were collected during administration of the checklist by the
215 CHW and include maternal or newborn complications detected and referrals made for complications.
216 These outcomes were collected in both treatment arms but not the standard of care arm. Day 10 and 9
217 week survey outcome measures include care-seeking behaviors for mother and newborns and both
218 knowledge and practice of infant care, nutrition, feeding and recognition of danger signs. Table 2
219 provides definitions of all outcome measures.

220
221 In order to avoid concerns about multiple outcome testing, levels of postnatal health knowledge were
222 assessed by constructing summative indices of the number of items that participants were able to name in
223 response to knowledge questions as suggested by O'Brien (1984) and Kling and Liebman (2004) [24-25].
224 Knowledge outcomes were also converted into binary variables, indicating whether the participant was
225 able to name a specified number of knowledge items.

226 ***Ethical Approval and Trial Registration***

227 This study was approved by Institutional Review Boards at Harvard School of Public Health and the
228 Ethical and Scientific Review Committee of African Medical Research Foundation (AMREF) in Nairobi,
229 Kenya. The study design was registered at clinicaltrials.gov with identification number NCT02104635.

230 ***Statistical Power***

231 Because of the small sample of this study, our study is powered to see only large changes in the primary
232 care-seeking outcomes. With our original registered sample size of 109 individuals, we had 80% power to

233 detect a change of 30 percentage points in maternal care-seeking from a base of 8% of women seeking
234 care, using a two-sided test comparing any two treatment groups and a 5% significance level threshold.
235 Because child care seeking levels are so high, even with the standard of care (over 95% of the sample
236 seeks some care for their newborn prior to the 10 day survey), we were not powered on this outcome.
237 Because of loss to follow-up our actual sample size for the survey conducted 10 days after delivery was
238 83. With our final sample, we have 80% power to detect a change of 37 percentage points in maternal
239 care-seeking compared to the standard of care, using a two-sided pairwise test and a 5% significance
240 level. Power calculations were conducted using STATA, version 12.1.

241

242 *Data Analysis*

243 We test for differences in outcomes across study arms on an intention-to-treat basis, with the treatment
244 arms defined as participants' randomized treatment assignment and the sample including all respondents
245 where data is available (not just those reached by a day 3 intervention). We also provide additional tables
246 A1-A3, which recreate all regression analyses restricted to the subset of participants who were
247 successfully reached for the day 3 intervention.

248

249 Program impacts were estimated using logistic regression for binary outcomes and ordinary least squares
250 (OLS) regression for continuous outcomes. OLS and logistic estimates of program impact are presented
251 both in unadjusted and adjusted models. Outcomes of interest are regressed on separate binary variables
252 for assignment to one of the treatment arms. Adjusted estimates include controls for female participant's
253 age, marital status, employment status in the past 12 months, attendance at one or more antenatal care
254 visits at a Jacaranda Health facility, and a binary indicator for whether the participant was enrolled in a
255 postnatal contraceptive subsidy program as part of a concurrent randomized controlled trial. All analyses
256 were performed using Stata software, version 12.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

257

258 Participant flow through enrollment, administration of the day 3 interventions, and follow-up surveying
259 are presented in Figure 1. Programmatic data were collected for the 77 women who were successfully
260 reached at day 3 by the assigned intervention. The rate of reaching individuals at day 3 for the
261 intervention was higher in the checklist call group (76%) compared to the checklist home visit group
262 (59%). This difference may be due to difficulties finding participants either because they are traveling or
263 because their households were difficult to locate. Participant survey data were collected for 83
264 participants at ten days post-delivery (24 in the standard of care arm, 32 in the phone-administered
265 checklist arm, and 27 in the home visit-administered checklist arm). Participant surveys were collected for
266 59 participants at nine weeks after delivery (17 in the standard of care arm, 23 in the phone arm, and 19 in
267 the home visit arm). Four participants were unable to be contacted at any data collection point after
268 enrollment, due to errors in their contact details.

269 **Results**

270 Demographic and household characteristics of the sample included as controls in adjusted models are
271 presented by treatment arm in Table 3. Participants are on average 26-27 years old, with less than 1% of
272 the total sample older than 35. The majority of participants are married, have received at least some
273 secondary schooling, and have had previous pregnancies. Most of the differences in characteristics across
274 arms are small and not statistically significant, except for a somewhat lower rate of marriage in the call
275 arm (85% (35/41) vs. 97% (30/31) and 97% (30/31) in the standard of care and home visit arms,
276 respectively) and a lower employment rate in the visit arm (41% (11/27) vs. 66% (21/32) to 70% (16/23)
277 in the other arms). While the difference in employment status in the visit arm is substantial, it does not
278 seem to reflect overall imbalance in socioeconomic status across arms, as households in the visit arm are
279 not significantly less educated or less likely to have improved toilets or water supplies. A subsample of
280 our participants was concurrently enrolled in a study that provided a voucher for free postpartum family
281 planning. Since assignment to the voucher arm was higher in the CHW call group arm we include this
282 voucher assignment as a control in all adjusted models.

283 ***Postnatal complication detection and referral at 3 days after delivery***

284 At the day 3 visit, CHWs documented any observed or reported maternal and newborn complications
285 detected through administration of the checklist. Complications were detected and referrals made for 10%
286 (4/41) and 9% (3/32) of the phone call and home visit arms, respectively, with no significant difference in
287 referral percentage by arm (unadjusted 95% CI: -0.14–0.14; p=0.957). All complications detected related
288 to newborn danger signs, with no maternal complications detected in any arm. As reported by clients at
289 day 10 survey follow-up, 4% (1/23) and 11% (2/18) of participants both had a referral and acted upon it in
290 the phone call and home visit arms, respectively. No difference was found in referrals acted upon in the
291 home visit relative to the phone call arms (unadjusted 95% CI: -0.11–0.25; p=0.445).

292 ***Care-seeking practices at 10 days after delivery***

293 Table 4 presents participant-reported health-seeking practices at the ten-day postnatal follow-up survey.
294 Panel A presents maternal-focused care sought for any reason at a health facility, including routine
295 postnatal care. Relative to 8% (2/24) of women in the standard of care arm who sought maternal care,
296 participants in the phone call treatment arm were 1.6 times more likely to have sought maternal care by
297 day 10 (unadjusted 95% CI: 0.26–9.49; p=0.622), while participants in the home visit arm were three
298 times as likely (unadjusted 95% CI: 0.56-17.5; p=0.192). Differences between the standard of care arm
299 and each treatment arm are not statistically significant in the crude model; facility-based care seeking is
300 higher in the home visit arm relative to the standard of care arm in the adjusted model (adjusted 95% CI:
301 0.77-71.5; p=0.082), though the result is not quite significant at the 5% level. Relative to maternal care
302 seeking, facility-based infant-related care seeking was very high across arms (Panel B): 96% (23/24) of
303 standard of care arm participants reported seeking infant-related care (including immunizations and child
304 wellness visits) by ten days after delivery, as compared to 94% (30/32) and 96% (26/27) of the phone and
305 visit arms, respectively.

306
307 We find evidence of program impacts on the timing of care-seeking for both mother and infant. Among
308 participants who reported seeking facility-based maternal care, participants assigned to the home visit arm

309 sought care on average 2.7 days sooner than the standard of care arm (unadjusted 95% CI: -5.66–0.33;
310 $p=0.075$), though this result is not quite statistically significant at the 5% level. Statistically significant
311 differences are found in the timing of infant-related care seeking: among participants who sought facility-
312 based infant-related care, assignment to the phone call and home visit resulted in infant care-seeking 2.0
313 ($p=0.014$) and 1.8 days ($p=0.034$) earlier than the standard of care.

314
315 In Table 5, we present self-reported maternal (Panel A) and newborn (Panel B) health problems and
316 responses taken. 13% (3/24) of women assigned to the standard of care arm reported experiencing a
317 maternal health problem, and 8% (2/24) both experiencing a problem and reporting taking action to
318 address this health problem. Assignment to the home visit is estimated to increase the likelihood of
319 reporting a maternal problem by 3.5 times (unadjusted 95% CI: 0.81-15.1; $p=0.092$) to 5.6 times (adjusted
320 95% CI: 0.88-35.4; $p=0.068$) relative to the standard of care group, depending on model specification,
321 though these effects do not meet a standard of 5% significance level. The odds of reporting a maternal
322 health problem in the call arm were not significantly different from the standard of care group and were
323 significantly higher in the home visit arm than in the call arm (unadjusted 95% CI: 1.43–39.20; $p=.017$).
324 While the odds of reporting a maternal problem and taking action were higher in the home visit arm than
325 in the standard of care arm, these differences were not statistically significant.

326
327 We find that 21% (5/24) of women assigned to the standard of care arm reported newborn health
328 problems, with 13% (3/24) reporting both noticing and responding to a newborn health problem. Women
329 assigned to the home visit were 3.5 times (unadjusted 95% CI: 1.01-12.3; $p=0.048$) to 3.8 times (adjusted
330 95% CI: 0.95-15.1; $p=0.060$) more likely to report newborn health problems. Women in the home visit
331 arm were 2.95 (unadjusted 95% CI: 0.68-12.9; $p=0.151$) more likely to both report and take action on a
332 newborn problem than in the standard of care group in the unadjusted model, and 3.94 (adjusted 95% CI:
333 0.80-19.4; $p=0.092$) times more likely to do so in the adjusted model, although estimates are not
334 statistically significant at the 5% level.

335 ***Postnatal knowledge at 10 days after delivery***

336 Figure 2 presents the percentage of women in each treatment arm with knowledge of six key postnatal
337 health knowledge items. 85% (23/27) of women assigned the visit arm were able to name three or more
338 maternal danger signs, as well as three or more infant danger signs. 81% (26/32) of the phone call arm
339 was able to name three or more maternal danger signs, with 78% (25/32) able to name three or more
340 infant danger signs. 79% (19/24) and 67% (16/24) of women in the standard of care arm able to name
341 three or more maternal and infant danger signs, respectively.

342

343 ***Health practices, reported at 10 days and 9 weeks postnatal***

344 Postnatal health practices at 10 days after delivery are presented in Panel A of Figure 3. We find 100%
345 (83/83) of the surveyed sample reporting exclusive breastfeeding, 96% (80/83) reporting practicing
346 appropriate newborn thermal care practices while bathing, and 90% (75/83) reporting frequent
347 breastfeeding (defined as breastfeeding 3 or more times in the previous 8 hours). We find the highest level
348 of variation in short-term postnatal health practices in umbilical cord care, with 85% (23/27) of the home
349 visit arm and 81% (26/32) phone call arm reporting applying water or nothing to the stump (as
350 recommended), compared to 71% (17/24) of the standard of care arm. We find no statistically significant
351 differences in short-term postnatal health practices by treatment assignment.

352

353 Self-reported health practices at nine weeks after delivery are presented in Panel B of Figure 3. As with
354 the short-term health practices, we find very high reported compliance with recommended health
355 practices at nine weeks post-delivery. We find no significant differences in exclusive breastfeeding,
356 frequency of breastfeeding, or receipt of routine infant immunizations by treatment arm. We find an
357 increased likelihood of family planning use by nine weeks after delivery in both the phone call and home
358 visit arms relative to the standard of care, with those assigned to the phone call having between 3.7 times
359 (unadjusted 95% CI: 0.97-14.4; p=0.06.) and 3.1 times increased odds (adjusted 95% CI: 0.51–18.7;
360 p=0.22) and those assigned to the home visit arm having between 1.7 times (unadjusted 95% CI: 0.43-7.1;

361 p=0.43) and 2.2 times increased odds (adjusted 95% CI: 0.35–13.4; p=0.41) of reporting family planning,
362 although these results are not statistically significant.⁴

363 In Table 6, we present regression estimates using ordinary least squares where the outcomes are
364 summative indices of postnatal health knowledge at day 10 post-delivery (unadjusted model in column 1;
365 adjusted model in column 2) and short- and long-term postnatal health practices assessed at 10 days and 9
366 weeks post-delivery, respectively (unadjusted model in column 3; adjusted model in column 4). We find
367 an average knowledge in the standard of care arm of 3.2 items out of the maximum 6. While estimates of
368 program impact of phone call assignment are positive in the unadjusted and adjusted models the
369 differences from the standard of care group are not statistically significant.

370
371 Postnatal health practices at ten days and nine weeks are also assessed using a summative index. While
372 estimates of a treatment effect are positive in both crude and adjusted models, they are substantively small
373 and not statistically significant.

374

375 **Limitations**

376 Our findings are subject to some important limitations. The sample size for this intervention was quite
377 small. Our study was primarily powered to detect large effects of the intervention and thus our power to
378 detect moderate effects was limited and most of the findings are not statistically significant at the 5%
379 level. Furthermore, our study was not designed to be powered to detect changes in health outcomes. A
380 substantially larger trial would be needed to determine the effect of similar interventions on key health
381 outcomes such as mortality.

382

⁴ We find highest uptake of the hormonal implant in both the standard of care and home visit arms (comprising 60% (3/5) and 50% (4/8) of participants reporting contraceptive use assigned to these arms, respectively). In the phone-administered checklist arm, the most common method was oral contraceptives (comprising 50% of participants in this arm). In both the phone- and home visit-administered checklist arms, injectables were the second most common method (comprising 29% (4/14) and 25% (2/8) of participants reporting contraceptive use in these arms, respectively).

383 Further, this intervention took place in an urban setting and within partnerships at a social enterprise
384 providing private low-cost maternal and newborn health care. Further research is necessary to evaluate if
385 the findings seen could be replicated within the public sector, which has a larger geographical scale but
386 also faces other challenges. Because our intervention relies on facilities to identify women who have
387 recently delivered, it would not be suitable for providing postnatal care to women who deliver at home.
388 Therefore this implementation strategy for delivering postnatal care is likely most relevant for urban areas
389 such as Nairobi where rates of home birth are low (11.6%) [5].

390

391 Furthermore, because Jacaranda Health is a private maternity facility, the patient profile may not
392 represent women who deliver at home or in public facilities. Comparing the socioeconomic indicators of
393 women in our sample to characteristics of the national urban sample in Kenya we find that our sample is
394 similar in terms of access to an improved water source, with access to an improved water source for
395 90.8% of urban households in Kenya and 93% (71/76) of households in our sample [4]. Our sample has
396 higher rates of access to improved non-shared toilet facilities at (41%) compared to the national urban
397 average of 30% [4].

398

399 Postnatal knowledge outcomes indicate that health knowledge was uniformly and unexpectedly high in
400 our sample. In the context of relatively high overall knowledge, our estimate of the impact of the
401 checklist interventions do not point to impacts of the intervention on knowledge. Replication of this
402 evaluation may elucidate the potential knowledge gains of such an intervention in a less informed sample.
403 Finally, while we were able to observe health seeking behavior through administrative data shared by our
404 partner, many of the health practices measured in the study (such as breastfeeding and cord care practices)
405 are self-reported. Some of the high reported rates of beneficial practices such as dry cord care and
406 exclusive breastfeeding may be due to reporting bias.

407

408 Our study also had significant attrition, both in the implementation of the interventions and tracking of
409 respondents at the ten-day follow-up survey and nine week follow-up survey. Estimates presented in
410 additional files 2-6 focus on a subsample that could be reached for the day 3 interventions. While the
411 checklist delivered over the mobile phone was able to reach a larger share of respondents than the
412 checklist administered in person, substantive conclusions are largely similar in both samples.

413

414 **Discussion**

415 Our findings suggest that CHWs can deliver an algorithmic, checklist-based postnatal health intervention
416 either by phone or in a visit to women's homes three days after they give birth. Overall, the checklist was
417 administered for 68% (50/73) of women who gave birth within three days of their delivery with more
418 women reached over the phone than in person. Our study provides novel evidence of the feasibility of
419 using checklists to support the work of CHWs in administering postnatal care in person and over mobile
420 phones. While previous interventions to improve outcomes for mother and baby during the postpartum
421 period have been complex and multifaceted, potentially involving as many as 11 visits over the entire
422 period of a pregnancy [7-8,13,26-27], our intervention focused narrowly on postnatal care, piloting a
423 mobile phone based version of a postnatal checklist that can be administered across a variety of health
424 systems under different resource constraints. One advantage of this simple stand-alone approach is it
425 addresses the concern that the wide scope of comprehensive home visit interventions presents a challenge
426 to consistent distribution or intervention coverage to women and their newborns within existing
427 community delivery systems [27].

428

429 Our intervention innovates by comparing the effectiveness of delivering a postnatal checklist entirely in
430 person during a home visit or over mobile phones. Tools that leverage mHealth technology pose an
431 opportunity to supplement existing community delivery systems, like CHW home visits, by increasing
432 accessibility and coverage [28-30]. CHW home visit interventions in South Africa used mobile phone
433 technology for data collection, patient registry, and health worker performance management, but not as

434 the main point of contact for the intervention [8]. In urban areas, such as the ones we focus on in our
435 study, mobile phone use is widespread and represents an opportunity for delivery of interventions that
436 reach women in their homes.

437
438 Our study provides specific evidence on how postnatal checks can influence women's likelihood of
439 seeking care and lead to earlier care-seeking in the crucial window immediately after birth. Overall,
440 while some of our impact estimates are imprecise, the direction and magnitude of our findings suggest
441 that the home visit administration of the checklist was especially helpful in encouraging timely care-
442 seeking in the critical postnatal window. The home visit increases the salience of infant health
443 complications or concerns, with women assigned to the home visit treatment arm significantly more likely
444 to report infant health problems at ten days after giving birth compared to the standard of care arm and
445 more likely to both recognize and take action on complications detected in their newborns.

446
447 The evidence that providing assistance and support to women in this window of time, which is both
448 critical for their health and their newborns health but also filled with many other responsibilities and
449 stresses, can increase timely care-seeking is consistent with evidence from Warren et al (2015) that
450 vouchers providing reimbursement for reproductive health services in Kenya can increase the number of
451 newborns seen by facilities within their first 48 hours [31]. The significance of reducing delays in care-
452 seeking is particularly important in the context of the peri-urban poor, where delays in care-seeking are
453 common [32]. Such earlier linkages to facility-based care could have health implications, particularly as
454 the first week of life poses significant risks. These risks are particularly important because the rapid
455 progression of many neonatal illnesses, such as sepsis, pose notable risks to neonatal survival [33].

456
457 Our results highlight the limited care seeking behavior in the postpartum period for maternal health
458 specifically. While newborns were brought to a health facility for care within 10 days of delivery 96% of

459 the time in the standard of care arm (in 23 out of 24 cases), mothers receive care in a facility only 8% of
460 the time (in 2 out of 24 cases). We see suggestive evidence that our interventions increased the likelihood
461 of reporting a maternal complication and reduced delays in seeking care for maternal complications
462 compared to the standard of care, though these differences are not statistically significant at conventional
463 levels. Overall knowledge of both maternal and newborn danger signs is high across both standard of care
464 and intervention arms in our sample, which suggests that addressing complications in both the immediate
465 postnatal period and beyond would require increasing the likelihood of acting on perceived maternal
466 health complications.

467
468 Across all three arms, we see high levels of knowledge and rates of beneficial postnatal practices such as
469 breastfeeding, cord-care and vaccinations in our sample, resulting in very few statistically significant
470 differences across the intervention treatment arms. We also see few substantive or statistically significant
471 differences in practices and knowledge outcomes at the longer-term follow-up at 9 weeks across treatment
472 arms, though we do see some suggestive but not statistically significant evidence of increases in the
473 likelihood of using modern contraceptives. This evidence is consistent with findings from Watt et al
474 (2015) that one of the primary ways that the Safe Motherhood Voucher program in Kenya improved
475 postnatal care was to improve counseling about family planning [34].

476

477

478 **Conclusions**

479 We find that a simple checklist, administered by CHWs over mobile phones or in person three days after
480 giving birth, leads to earlier utilization of postnatal care and better recognition of potential mother and
481 baby complications. Our evidence innovates by comparing delivery models for a targeted postnatal
482 intervention that could be widely and affordably scaled.

483

484 **Declarations**

485 **List of abbreviations used**

486 CHW: Community Health Worker

487 **Ethics and consent to participate**

488 This study was approved by Institutional Review Boards at Harvard School of Public Health (protocol #
489 IRB13-2714) and the Ethical and Scientific Review Committee of African Medical Research Foundation
490 (AMREF) in Nairobi, Kenya (protocol 3 AMREF-ESRC P92/2013). All study participants provided
491 written informed consent.

492 **Consent to publish**

493 Not applicable

494 **Competing interests**

495 The authors declare they have no competing interests.

496 **Funding**

497 This research was supported by Grand Challenges Canada, funding award number 0166-03.

498 **Contributions**

499 MM and JC conceived of the project. MM, AE and JC designed the project. AE and FM implemented
500 the project in the field. MM, AE, CR and JC contributed to the statistical analysis. All authors were
501 involved in drafting and revising the manuscript and approving the final version.

502 **Availability of data and materials**

503 De-identified data is available from the authors upon request.

504 **Acknowledgments**

505 Many thanks go to Nick Pearson and the staff of Jacaranda Health who supported this research from
506 conception to implementation. We thank Grand Challenges Canada Saving Lives at Birth for their
507 generous funding support of this research.

508

509

510 **References**

511

- 512 1. Requejo JH, Bryce J, Barros AJD, Berman P, Bhutta Z, Chopra M, et al. Countdown to 2015 and
513 beyond: fulfilling the health agenda for women and children. *Lancet*. 2014; doi: 10.1016/S0140-
514 6736(14)60925-9.
- 515
- 516 2. Kerber KJ, de Graft-Johnson JE, Bhutta ZA, Okong P, Starrs A, Lawn JE. Continuum of care for
517 maternal, newborn, and child health: from slogan to service delivery. *Lancet*. 2007;370:1358–69.
518
- 519 3. World Health Organization. WHO Recommendations on Postnatal Care of the Mother and Newborn.
520 World Health Organization. 2014.
521 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/97603/1/9789241506649_eng.pdf. Accessed 13 Jan 2015.
522
- 523 4. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) and ICF Macro. (2010) Kenya Demographic and Health
524 Survey 2008-09. KNBS and ICF Macro. 2010. <http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/fr229/fr229.pdf>.
525 Accessed 9 Jan 2015.
526
- 527 5. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) and ICF Macro. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey:
528 Key Indicators 2014. Rockville, MD: KNBS and ICF Macro. 2015.
529
- 530 6. Beguy D, Elung'ata P, Mberu B, Oduor C, Wamukoya M, Nganyi B, Ezech A. HDSS Profile: The
531 Nairobi Urban Health and Demographic Surveillance System (NUHDSS). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015; doi:
532 10.1093/ije/dyu25.
533
- 534 7. Nalwadda CK, Waiswa P, Kiguli J, Namazzi G, Namutamba S, et al. High Compliance with Newborn
535 Community-to-Facility Referral in Eastern Uganda: An Opportunity to Improve Newborn Survival.
536 *PLoS ONE*. 2013; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081610.
537
- 538 8. Rotheram-Borus MJ, Tomlinson M, le Roux IM, Harwood JM, Comulada S, et al. A Cluster
539 Randomised Controlled Effectiveness Trial Evaluating Perinatal Home Visiting among South African
540 Mothers/Infants. *PLoS ONE*. 2014; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105934.
541
- 542 9. Gogia S, Sachdev HS. Home visits by CHWs to prevent neonatal deaths in developing countries: a
543 systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2010;88:658–66B.
544
- 545 10. Baqui AH, Ahmed S, El Arfeen S, Darmstadt G, Rosecrans A, Mannan I, Shman SM, Begum N,
546 Mahmud AB, Seraji HR, Williams EK, Winch PJ, Santosham M, Black RE. Effect of timing of first
547 postnatal care home visit on neonatal mortality on Bangladesh: a observational cohort study. *BMJ*.
548 2009;339:2826.
549
- 550 11. Zimba E, Kinney MV, Kachale F, Watensperger KZ, Blencowe H, et al. Newborn survival in Malawi:
551 a decade of change and future implications. *Health Policy Plann*. 2012;27 Suppl 3:iii88-103.
552
- 553 12. World Health Organization and UNICEF. Home visits for the newborn child: a strategy to improve
554 survival. World Health Organization. 2009.
555 http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2009/WHO_FCH_CAH_09.02_eng.pdf. Accessed 12 Jan 2015.

556
557 13. Kirkwood BR, Manu A, ten Asbroek AH, Soremekun S, Weobong B, Gyan T, Danso S, Amenga-
558 Etego S, Tawiah-Agyemang C, Owusu-Agyei S, Hill Z. Effect of the Newhints home-visits
559 intervention on neonatal mortality rate and care practices in Ghana: a cluster randomized controlled
560 trial. *Lancet*. 2013; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60095-1.
561
562 14. Haider R, Ashworth A, Kabir I, Huttly SRA. Effect of community-based peer counselors on exclusive
563 breastfeeding practices in Dhaka, Bangladesh: a randomized controlled trial *Lancet*. 2000;356:1643–
564 47.
565
566 15. Penfold S, Manzi F, Mkumbo E, Temu S, Jaribu J, Shamba DD, et al. Effect of home-based
567 counseling on newborn care practices in southern Tanzania one year after implementation: a cluster-
568 randomised controlled trial. *BMC Pediatrics*. 2014;14:187.
569
570 16. Duysburgh E, Kerstens B, Kouanda S, Kabore CP, Belemsaga Yugbara D, et al. Opportunities to
571 improve postnatal care for mothers and infants: design of context-specific packages of postnatal
572 interventions in rural districts in four sub-Saharan African countries. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015;
573 doi: 10.1186/s12884-015-0562-8.
574
575 17. Yonemoto N, Dowswell T, Nagai S, Mori R. Schedules for home visits in the early postnatal period.
576 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013; doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009326.pub2.
577
578 18. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS). The 2009 Population and Housing Census Nairobi,
579 Kenya. Calverton, Maryland: KNBS and ICF Macro. 2010.
580
581 19. Oliver M, Geniets A, Winters N, Rega I, Mbae S. What do community health workers have to say
582 about their work and how can this inform improved programme design? A case studies with CHWs
583 within Kenya. *Global Health Action*. 2015; doi: 10.3402/gha.v8.27168.
584
585 20. World Health Organization and UNICEF. Caring for the Newborn at Home: A training course for
586 CHWs: Community Health Worker Manual. World Health Organization. 2012.
587 http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/news/events/2012/CHW_Manual.pdf. Accessed 12 Jan
588 2015.
589
590 21. Buffington ST, Sibley LM, Beck DR, Armbruster DA. Home Based Life Saving Skills. American
591 College of Nurse-Midwives. 2010.
592 [http://www.midwife.org/ACNM/files/ccLibraryFiles/Filename/000000000548/TAC%20Booklet_print](http://www.midwife.org/ACNM/files/ccLibraryFiles/Filename/000000000548/TAC%20Booklet_print%20ready.pdf)
593 [%20ready.pdf](http://www.midwife.org/ACNM/files/ccLibraryFiles/Filename/000000000548/TAC%20Booklet_print%20ready.pdf). Accessed 19 Dec 2014.
594
595 22. Zupan J, Garner P, Omari AAA. Topical umbilical cord care at birth. *Cochrane Database of*
596 *Systematic Reviews*. 2004; doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001057.pub2.
597

- 598 23. Capurro H. Topical umbilical cord care at birth. In: The WHO Reproductive Health Library. World
599 Health Organization. 2004. http://apps.who.int/rhl/newborn/cd001057_capurroh_com/en/. Accessed 19
600 Dec 2014.
- 601
- 602 24. O'Brien P. Procedures for Comparing Samples with Multiple Endpoints. *Biometrics* 1984;40:1079-
603 1087.
- 604
- 605 25. Kling JR, Liebman JB, Katz LF. Experimental Analysis of Neighborhood Effects. *Econometrica*.
606 2007;75:83-119
- 607
- 608 26. Darmstadt GL, Choi Y, Arifeen SE, Bari S, Rahman SM, Mannan I, et al. Evaluation of a Cluster-
609 Randomized Controlled Trial of a Package of Community-Based Maternal and Newborn Interventions
610 in Mirzapur, Bangladesh. *PLoS ONE* 2010; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009696
- 611
- 612 27. Sitrin D, Guenther T, Murray J, Pilgrim N, Rubayet S, et al. Reaching Mothers and Babies with Early
613 Postnatal Home Visits: The Implementation Realities of Achieving High Coverage in Large-Scale
614 Programs. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068930.
- 615
- 616 28. Braun R, Catalani C, Wimbush J, Israelski D. CHWs and Mobile Technology: A Systematic Review
617 of the Literature. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065772
- 618
- 619 29. Mehl G, Labrique A. Prioritizing integrated mHealth strategies for universal health coverage *Science*.
620 2014;345:1284.
- 621
- 622 30. Mitchell M, Hedt B, Msellemu D, Mkaka M, Lesh N. Improvement in Integrated Management of
623 Childhood Illness (IMCI) Implementation through use of Mobile Technology: Evidence from a Pilot
624 Study in Tanzania. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2013;13:95.
- 625
- 626

627 **Figures**

628 Figure 1. Randomized trial study design and participant flow

630 Figure 2. Self-reported knowledge of postnatal danger signs and health practices at day 10

632 Figure 3. Self-reported postnatal health practices at 10 days and 9 weeks after delivery

635 **Additional Files**

636 Additional File 1. Postpartum screening checklist

638 Additional File 2. Participant flow for subsample of participants reached by the day 3 postpartum
639 interventions

641 Additional File 3. Complications detected, referred, and referrals acted upon, among subsample of
642 participants reached by the day 3 postpartum interventions

643
 644 Additional File 4. Self-reported knowledge of postnatal danger signs and health practices at day 10,
 645 among subsample of participants reached by the day 3 postpartum interventions
 646
 647 Additional File 5. Self-reported postnatal health practices at 10 days and 9 weeks after delivery, among
 648 subsample of participants reached by the day 3 postpartum interventions
 649
 650 Additional File 6. Postpartum care-seeking behaviors, health problems and responses, and postpartum
 651 knowledge and health behaviors, among subsample of participants reached by the day 3 postpartum
 652 interventions

653
 654 **Tables**

Table 1. Topics covered by Day 3 Postnatal Checklist

Maternal

<i>Screening criteria</i>	<i>Health Education</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maternal infection (e.g. fever, mastitis) • Secondary postnatal hemorrhage • Postnatal Pre-eclampsia • Anemia 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Danger signs for postnatal care-seeking • Maternal nutrition • Postnatal family planning

Newborn

<i>Screening criteria</i>	<i>Health Education</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient breastfeeding/dehydration • Jaundice (palms, soles, and eyes) • Local infection (umbilical cord, eyes, and skin) • Breathing difficulties • Fever • Hypothermia 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breastfeeding • Danger signs for newborn care-seeking • Umbilical cord care • Thermal care • Hand hygiene

655
 656

Table 2. Definition of primary outcomes

<i>Outcome measure</i>	<i>Definition</i>
<i>Outcomes measured at 3 days postpartum</i>	
Maternal complication detected and referred	CHWs documented any observed or reported maternal complications detected through administration of the checklist; data collected for study participants assigned to CHW treatment by phone or home visit
Newborn complication detected and referred	CHWs documented any observed or reported complications detected through administration of the checklist; data collected for study participants assigned to CHW treatment by phone or home visit
<i>Outcomes reported at 10 days postpartum</i>	
Maternal referral acted upon	Binary variable indicating CHW referral was made (from programmatic data) and respondent reporting seeking facility-based care based on referral advice
Newborn referral made and acted upon	Binary variable indicating CHW referral was made (from programmatic data) and respondent reporting seeking facility-based care based on referral advice
Facility-based maternal postpartum care sought	Binary variable indicating whether respondent reported going to a health facility for her health since delivery
Days postpartum maternal care sought, among maternal care-seekers	Continuous variable indicating days after delivery maternal care was sought, for those who report seeking facility-based maternal care
Facility-based newborn postpartum care sought	Binary variable indicating whether respondent reported bringing her baby for a child wellness clinic visit
Days postpartum newborn care sought, among maternal care-seekers	Continuous variable indicating days after delivery newborn wellness care was sought, for those who report seeking facility-based newborn wellness care
Any maternal health problem reported	Binary variable indicating respondent reported yes to the question, “Are you having any problems with your health, related to your delivery, since you delivered?”
Maternal problem reported and action taken	Binary variable indicating respondent reported yes to the question, “Did you do anything as a response to this problem?”, administered to respondents who reported a newborn health problem.
Any newborn health problem reported	Binary variable indicating respondent reported yes to the question, “Is your baby having any problems with his/her health since you delivered?”
Newborn problem reported and action taken	Binary variable indicating respondent reported yes to the question, “Did you do anything as a response to this problem?”, administered to respondents who reported a newborn health problem.
Number of maternal danger signs named	Accurate identification of maternal danger signs defined as ability to name any of the following 11 predefined signs: fever/chills, foul-smelling vaginal discharge, convulsions/loss of consciousness, heavy vaginal bleeding (defined as soaking through a pad every hour), severe headaches, dizziness or faintness, visual disturbance

	(blurry vision or unusual difficulty seeing), increased cramping or abdominal pain, increased perineal pain, swelling, redness or discharge, difficulty passing urine, difficulty breathing, breast redness or hot to the touch, and pain or lump in the breast.
Can name 3 or more maternal danger signs	Binary variable coded for continuous outcome variable, indicating whether 3 or more maternal dangers signs were named
Number of newborn danger signs named	Accurate identification of infant danger signs defined as ability to name any of the following eight signs: fever, jaundice, poor feeding, lethargic/unresponsive, umbilical cord redness or discharge, convulsions, abnormal breathing (including panting, fast breathing, grunting, or nasal flaring, and pus from eyes.
Can name 3 or more newborn danger signs	Binary variable coded for continuous outcome variable, indicating whether 3 or more newborn dangers signs were named
Can name 2 or more hand washing best practices	Accurate identification of hand washing best practices defined as ability to name any of the following times that are particularly important for a caregiver to wash hands: after using the toilet, before touching/holding baby, after washing or touching nappies
Can name 2 or more cord care practices	Accurate identification of sources of cord care practices defined as ability to name any of the following best practices to prevent cord infection: keep cord clean and dry, do not apply anything to stump, keep cord outside nappy/diaper
Can name 3 or more newborn thermal care practices	Accurate identification of sources of dietary protein defined as ability to name any of the following best practices to ensure baby stays warm: keep room where newborn stays warm, dress newborn in several layers of clothes, bathe baby quickly in cold weather using warm water and dry and dress baby quickly, keep newborn's head covered, practice skin to skin contact
Can name 3 or more sources of dietary protein	Accurate identification of sources of dietary protein defined as ability to name any of the following: beans, lentils, meat, eggs, chicken, fish, milk
Applied water or nothing to umbilical stump	Binary variable indicating nothing or water applied to umbilical stump. The checklist and data collection defined best practices in umbilical cord care according to Jacaranda Health's clinical practice of dry cord care at the time of data collection. While Kenya introduced a change in its newborn care clinical guidelines from dry care to use of topical antiseptic in November 2013, the World Health Organization recommends both dry cord care and topical antiseptic as best practice [22-23].
Appropriate newborn thermal care practiced	Binary variable indicating respondent mentions trying to keep baby warm when asked how she bathes her baby
Exclusive breastfeeding	Binary variable indicating only newborn consumed only breastmilk since birth
Breastfed 3 or more times in past 8 hours	Binary variable indicating respondent reports feeding baby 3 or more times in 8 hours prior to the survey

Outcomes reported at 9 weeks postpartum

At least one dose of polio and pentavalent vaccines	Binary variable indicating that respondent reports infant has received at one or more doses of polio vaccine and one or more doses of pentavalent vaccine when asked which vaccinations the infant has received since delivery
---	--

Use of family planning method	Binary variable indicating respondent reports current use of any family planning method
Exclusive breastfeeding	Binary variable indicating only newborn consumed only breastmilk since birth
Breastfed 3 or more times in past 8 hours	Binary variable indicating respondent reports feeding baby 3 or more times in 8 hours prior to the survey

Index measures

Index of health knowledge at 10 days post-delivery	Summative index with a maximum of 6 points and a minimum of 0 points, where each point represents knowledge of the following 6 postnatal health topics: 1) ability to name 3 or more maternal danger signs; 2) ability to name 3 or more infant danger signs; 3) ability to name 2 or more hand washing best practices; 4) ability to name 2 or more; 5) ability to name 3 or more recognized newborn thermal care practices; 6) and ability to name 3 or more sources of maternal dietary protein
Index of health practices at 10 days and 9 weeks post-delivery	Summative index with a maximum of 8 points and a minimum of 0 points, where 4 points represent 4 key health practices reported at 10 day (exclusive breastfeeding, breastfed 3 or more times in the past 8 hours, appropriate newborn thermal care practices, and water or nothing applied to umbilical cord stump) and 4 points represent 4 key health practices reported at 9 weeks (exclusive breastfeeding, breastfed 3 or more times in the past 8 hours, use of postnatal contraception, infant has received at least one dose of polio and pentavalent vaccines).

657

658

659

660

661 **Table 3. Descriptive statistics of participant demographics and primary outcomes**

Panel A. Participant Demographic and Household Characteristics								
	Standard of care		CHW call		CHW visit		p-values	
	n	% / mean	n	% / mean	n	% / mean	CHW call vs. Standard of care	CHW visit vs. Standard of care
Women								
Age	31	26.7	40	25.6	31	25.9	0.23	0.38
Education								
Primary	4	14%	8	21%	4	15%	0.59	0.49
Secondary	15	52%	18	47%	16	59%		
Post-Secondary	10	35%	12	32%	7	26%		
n	19		38		27			
Marital Status								
Married	30	97%	35	85%	30	97%	0.08	>0.99
Single	1	3%	6	15%	1	3%		
n	31		41		31			
Parity								
Primiparous	9	29%	8	20%	9	30%	0.36	0.94
Multiparous	22	71%	33	81%	21	70%		
n	31		41		31			
Employed in Past 12 Months	16	70%	21	66%	11	41%	0.76	0.04
n	23		32		27			
Assigned to postnatal contraception subsidy treatment through concurrent randomized trial	6	19%	14	34%	7	22%	0.16	0.81
n	31		41		32			
Husband								
Husband Education								
Primary	0	0%	4	14%	2	8%	0.12	0.11
Secondary	15	65%	13	45%	10	39%		
Post-Secondary	8	35%	12	41%	14	54%		
n	23		29		26			
Household								
Improved toilet facility	24	92%	34	97%	24	86%	0.43	0.45
n	26		35		28			
Toilet shared among more than one house	9	39%	15	47%	10	39%	0.58	0.96

n	23		32		26			
Improved drinking water source	18	90%	27	96%	23	92%	0.41	0.82
n	20		28		25			
Panel B. Primary outcomes								
	Standard of Care		CHW call		CHW visit		p-values	
	n	% / mean	n	% / mean	n	% / mean	CHW call vs. Standard of care	CHW visit vs. Standard of care
Complications detected and referred, collected through programmatic CHW data								
Maternal or newborn complications detected and referred by CHW			4	10%	3	9%		
n			41		32			
Postpartum care-seeking practices for mother and newborn, reported during day 10 survey								
Referrals made and acted upon			1	4%	2	11%		
n			23		18			
Facility-based maternal postpartum care sought	2	8%	4	13%	6	22%	0.62	0.17
n	24		32		27			
Days postpartum maternal care sought, among maternal care-seekers	2	8.5	4	7.5	6	5.8	0.57	0.09
Facility-based newborn postpartum care sought	23	96%	30	94%	26	96%	0.73	0.93
n	24		32		27			
Days postpartum newborn care sought, among newborn care-seekers	23	5.9	30	3.8	26	4.1	0.02	0.04
Postnatal health problems and responses at day 10 post-delivery								
Any maternal health problem reported	3	13%	2	6%	9	33%	0.48	0.08
n	24		32		27			
Maternal problem reported and action taken	2	8%	2	6%	3	11%	0.77	0.74
n	24		32		27			
Any newborn health problem reported	5	21%	8	25%	13	48%	0.72	0.04
n	24		32		27			
Newborn problem reported and action taken	3	13%	5	16%	8	30%	0.74	0.14

n	24		32		27			
Self-reported knowledge of postnatal danger signs and health practices at day 10								
Number of maternal danger signs named	24	3.8	32	4.1	27	4.3	0.46	0.23
Can name 3 or more maternal danger signs	19	79%	26	81%	23	85%	0.85	0.56
n	24		32		27			
Number of newborn danger signs named	24	3.3	32	3.7	27	3.6	0.41	0.47
Can name 3 or more newborn danger signs	19	79%	26	81%	23	85%	0.36	0.13
n	24		32		27			
Can name 2 or more hand washing best practices	10	42%	19	59%	10	37%	0.20	0.74
n	24		32		27			
Can name 2 or more cord care practices	7	29%	13	41%	5	19%	0.38	0.39
n	24		32		27			
Can name 3 or more newborn thermal care practices	7	29%	11	34%	8	30%	0.68	0.97
n	24		32		27			
Can name 3 or more sources of dietary protein	7	29%	12	38%	11	41%	0.52	0.40
n	24		32		27			
Self-reported postnatal health practices at day 10								
Applied water or nothing to umbilical stump	17	71%	26	81%	23	85%	0.38	0.23
n	24		32		27			
Appropriate newborn thermal care practiced	17	71%	26	81%	23	85%	0.84	0.93
n	24		32		27			
Exclusive breastfeeding	24	100%	32	100%	27	100%	--	--
n	24		32		27			
Breastfed 3 or more times in past 8 hours	23	96%	27	84%	25	93%	0.14	0.63
n	24		32		27			
Self-reported postnatal health practices at 9 weeks post-delivery								
At least 1 dose of polio and pentavalent vaccines	15	88%	22	96%	18	95%	0.43	0.50
n	17		23		19			
Use of family planning method	5	29%	14	61%	8	42%	0.05	0.44

n	17		23		19			
Exclusive breastfeeding	17	100%	21	91%	19	100%	0.16	--
n	17		23		19			
Breastfed 3 or more times in past 8 hours	15	88%	22	96%	18	95%	0.43	0.50
n	17		23		19			
Indices of postnatal health knowledge and practices at 10 days and 9 weeks post-delivery								
Index of health knowledge at 10 days post-delivery	24	3.17	32	3.56	27	3.15	0.37	0.96
Index of health practices at 10 days and 9 weeks post-delivery	15	6.6	20	7.1	17	7.2	0.16	0.06

662
663
664
665
666

667 **Table 4. Postnatal care-seeking practices for mother and newborn reported during day 10 survey**

Panel A. Maternal Postnatal Care Seeking				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Facility-based maternal postnatal care sought	Facility-based maternal postnatal care sought	Days postnatal maternal care sought, among maternal care-seekers	Days postnatal maternal care sought, among maternal care-seekers [‡]
<i>Estimation Type</i>	<i>Unadjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Adjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Unadjusted OLS</i>	<i>Adjusted OLS</i>
CHW phone call	1.57 (0.26 - 9.49)	1.63 (0.23 - 11.8)	-1 (-4.52 - 2.52)	-1.05 (-10.3 - 8.23)
CHW home visit	3.14 (0.56 - 17.5)	7.44* (0.77 - 71.5)	-2.67* (-5.66 - 0.33)	-2.27 (-14.2 - 9.65)
Standard of care arm mean	0.08	0.08	8.5	8.5
p-value for test of call = visit	0.330	0.105	0.417	0.769
p-value for test of joint significance of call and visit	0.366	0.161	0.173	0.833
Controls?	N	Y	N	Y
R-squared			0.159	0.438
Observations	83	79	12	10
Panel B. Newborn Postnatal Care Seeking				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Facility-based newborn postnatal care sought ^{‡‡}	Facility-based newborn postnatal care sought [‡]	Days postnatal newborn care sought, among newborn care-seekers	Days postnatal newborn care sought, among newborn care-seekers
<i>Estimation Type</i>	<i>Unadjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Adjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Unadjusted OLS</i>	<i>Adjusted OLS</i>
CHW phone call	0.65 (0.055 - 7.76)	0.47 (0.054 - 4.10)	-2.04** (-3.65 - -0.42)	-2.27*** (-3.89 - -0.64)
CHW home visit	1.13 (0.066 - 19.4)	0.51 (0.022 - 11.8)	-1.79** (-3.45 - -0.14)	-1.78* (-3.69 - 0.13)
Mean of standard of care arm	0.96	0.96	5.86	5.86
p-value for test of	0.663	0.942	0.714	0.537

call = visit

p-value for test of joint significance of call and visit	0.891	0.791	0.041	0.025
Controls?	N	Y	N	Y
R-squared			0.098	0.195
Observations	83	40	79	75

668 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

669 † The binary covariate for receipt of a postnatal contraception subsidy is dropped due to collinearity.

670 †† The reduced number of observations in Panel B (columns 1 and 2) is due to the low variation in the outcomes

671 across treatment arms, with 94.3% of the total sample reporting having sought newborn care by the day 10 survey.

672 In model (1), the coefficient on the CHW phone call intervention cannot be estimated due to this lack of variation in

673 the outcome relative to the standard of care arm. Likewise, no adjusted model is presented in column (2) due to the

674 reduced number of observations when covariates are included in the model. Notes: Odds ratios generated via logistic

675 regression (models 1, 2) are presented with 95% confidence intervals constructed with robust standard errors.

676 Maternal care seeking (panel A, columns 1 and 2) is defined as a binary variable, taking on the value of 1 if the

677 woman reports seeking facility-based maternal-related care. Infant care seeking (panel B, columns 1 and 2) is

678 defined as a binary variable, taking on the value of 1 if the woman reports attending a child wellness visit. OLS

679 coefficients are presented for models 3 and 4. Days postnatal maternal care sought (panel A, columns 3 and 4) is

680 defined as a continuous variable of the number of days that maternal care was sought after the date of delivery. Days

681 postnatal infant care sought (panel B, columns 3 and 4) is likewise defined as a continuous variable of the number

682 of days that care for the infant was sought after the date of delivery. For all adjusted regressions (columns 2 and 4),

683 individual level covariates include the female participant's age (coded as an ordinal variable with values 18-25, 26-

684 30, 31-35, or 36-40 years old), marital status (defined as a binary single or married), a binary variable indicating

685 whether the female respondent was employed at any time in the past 12 months, a binary variable indicating whether

686 the participant was enrolled in a concurrent randomized trial and received a voucher (cost subsidy) for postnatal

687 family planning services, and a binary variable indicating whether the participant attended one or more antenatal

688 care visits at a Jacaranda Health facility.

689

690

691

692 **Table 5. Postnatal health problems and responses as reported during day 10 survey**

Panel A. Maternal health problem reporting and responses				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Any maternal health problem reported	Any maternal health problem reported	Maternal problem reported and action taken	Maternal problem reported and action taken
<i>Estimation Type</i>	<i>Unadjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Adjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Unadjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Adjusted Logistic</i>
CHW Call	0.47 (0.071 - 3.08)	0.23 (0.021 - 2.58)	0.73 (0.095 - 5.69)	0.50 (0.047 - 5.39)
CHW Home Visit	3.50* (0.81 - 15.1)	5.58* (0.88 - 35.4)	1.38 (0.21 - 9.12)	1.69 (0.18 - 15.5)
Mean of standard of care arm	0.13	0.13	0.83	0.83
p-value for test of call = visit	0.017	0.004	0.512	0.371
p-value for test of joint significance of call and visit	0.032	0.009	0.805	0.670
Controls?	N	Y	N	Y
Observations	83	79	83	53
Panel B. Newborn health problem reporting and responses				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Any newborn health problem reported	Any newborn health problem reported	Newborn problem reported and action taken	Newborn problem reported and action taken
<i>Estimation Type</i>	<i>Unadjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Adjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Unadjusted Logistic</i>	<i>Adjusted Logistic</i>
CHW Call	1.27 (0.35 - 4.54)	1.21 (0.33 - 4.46)	1.30 (0.28 - 6.11)	0.95 (0.20 - 4.57)
CHW Home Visit	3.53** (1.01 - 12.3)	3.78* (0.95 - 15.1)	2.95 (0.68 - 12.9)	3.94* (0.80 - 19.4)
Mean of standard of care arm	0.21	0.21	0.13	0.13
p-value for test of call = visit	0.070	0.087	0.205	0.053
p-value for test of joint significance of call and visit	0.078	0.120	0.260	0.104
Controls?	N	Y	N	Y
Observations	83	79	83	79

693 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

694 Notes: Odds ratios generated via logistic regression (models 1-4) are presented with 95% confidence intervals
695 constructed with robust standard errors. “Any maternal problem reported” is a binary variable indicating whether or
696 not the participant reported any maternal-related health problems at 10 days postnatal. “Maternal problem reported
697 and action taken” is a binary variable taking the value 1 if the respondent reported both any maternal health problem
698 or concern and taking any action to address the problem, including calling a health facility or pharmacy, visiting a
699 facility or pharmacy, or another action. “Any infant problem reported” is a binary variable indicating whether or not
700 the participant reported any infant-related health problems at 10 days postnatal. “Newborn problem reported and
701 action taken” is a binary variable taking the value 1 if the respondent reported both any newborn health problem or
702 concern and taking any action to address the problem, including calling a health facility or pharmacy, visiting a
703 facility or pharmacy, or another action. For all adjusted regressions (columns 2 and 4), individual level covariates
704 include the female participant’s age (coded as an ordinal variable with values 18-25, 26-30, 31-35, or 36-40 years
705 old), marital status (defined as a binary single or married), a binary variable indicating whether the female
706 respondent was employed at any time in the past 12 months, a binary variable indicating whether the participant was
707 enrolled in a concurrent randomized trial and received a voucher (cost subsidy) for postnatal family planning
708 services, and a binary variable indicating whether the participant attended one or more antenatal care visits at a
709 Jacaranda Health facility.

710

711

712 **Table 6. Self-reported postnatal health knowledge and practices**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Index of postnatal health knowledge, as reported at day 10 post-delivery	Index of postnatal health knowledge, as reported at day 10 post-delivery	Index of postnatal health practices, as reported at day 10 and 9 weeks post-delivery	Index of postnatal health practices, as reported at day 10 and 9 weeks post-delivery
<i>Estimation Type</i>	<i>Unadjusted OLS</i>	<i>Adjusted OLS</i>	<i>Unadjusted OLS</i>	<i>Adjusted OLS</i>
CHW Call	0.40 (-0.48 - 1.28)	0.57 (-0.37 - 1.50)	0.45 (-0.18 - 1.08)	0.29 (-0.47 - 1.06)
CHW Home Visit	-0.019 (-0.82 - 0.78)	0.11 (-0.75 - 0.97)	0.58* (-0.012 - 1.17)	0.45 (-0.25 - 1.15)
Mean of standard of care arm	3.2	3.2	6.6	6.6
p-value for test of call = visit	0.323	0.331	0.649	0.650
p-value for test of joint significance of call and visit	0.564	0.457	0.148	0.430
Controls?	N	Y	N	Y
R-squared	0.016	0.045	0.073	0.158
Observations	83	79	52	49

713 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

714 Notes: Coefficients generated via OLS regression (models 1-4) are presented with 95% confidence intervals
715 constructed with robust standard errors. Postnatal health knowledge (models 1 and 2) is assessed as an ordinal
716 variable generated using self-reported knowledge collected during the day 10 postnatal survey; knowledge is
717 assessed as a summative index with a maximum of 6 points and a minimum of 0 points, where each point represents
718 knowledge of the following 6 postnatal health topics: 1) ability to name 3 or more maternal danger signs; 2) ability
719 to name 3 or more infant danger signs; 3) ability to name 2 or more hand washing best practices; 4) ability to name 2
720 or more; 5) ability to name 3 or more recognized newborn thermal care practices; 6) and ability to name 3 or more
721 sources of maternal dietary protein. Postnatal health practices (models 3 and 4) assessed as an ordinal variable
722 generated using self-reported practices collected during the day 10 and 9 week postnatal surveys; health behaviors
723 are assessed as a summative index with a maximum of 8 points and a minimum of 0 points, where 4 points represent
724 4 key health practices reported at 10 day (exclusive breastfeeding, breastfed 3 or more times in the past 8 hours,
725 appropriate newborn thermal care practices, and water or nothing applied to umbilical cord stump) and 4 points
726 represent 4 key health practices reported at 9 weeks (exclusive breastfeeding, breastfed 3 or more times in the past 8
727 hours, use of postnatal contraception, infant has received at least one dose of polio and pentavalent vaccines). For all
728 adjusted regressions (columns 2 and 4), individual level covariates include the female participant's age (coded as an
729 ordinal variable with values 18-25, 26-30, 31-35, or 36-40 years old), marital status (defined as a binary single or
730 married), a binary variable indicating whether the female respondent was employed at any time in the past 12
731 months, a binary variable indicating whether the participant was enrolled in a concurrent randomized trial and
732 received a voucher (cost subsidy) for postnatal family planning services, and a binary variable indicating whether
733 the participant attended one or more antenatal care visits at a Jacaranda Health facility.