Dual Suppression of the Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitors CDKN2C and CDKN1A in Human Melanoma
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Resistance to BRAFV600E inhibitors is associated with reactivation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling at different levels in melanoma. To identify downstream effectors of MAPK signaling that could be used as potential additional therapeutic targets for BRAFV600E inhibitors, we used hTERT/CDK4R24C/p53DD-immortalized primary human melanocytes genetically modified to ectopically express BRAFV600E or NRASG12D and observed induction of the AP-1 transcription factor family member c-Jun. Using a dominant negative approach, in vitro cell proliferation assays, western blots, and flow cytometry showed that MAPK signaling via BRAFV600E promotes melanoma cell proliferation at G1 through AP-1-mediated negative regulation of the INK4 family member, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2C (CDKN2C), and the CIP/KIP family member, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (CDKN1A). These effects were antagonized by pharmacological inhibition of CDKN2C and CDKN1A targeting CDK2 and CDK4 in vitro. In contrast to BRAFV600E or NRASG12D-expressing melanocytes, melanoma cells have an inherent resistance to suppression of AP-1 activity by BRAFV600E- or MEK-inhibitors. Here, CDK2/4 inhibition statistically significantly augmented the effects of BRAFV600E-, or MEK-inhibitors on melanoma cell viability in vitro and growth in athymic nude Foxn1nu mice (P = .03 when mean tumor volume at day 13 was compared for BRAFV600E inhibitor vs BRAFV600E inhibitor plus CDK2/4 inhibition; P = .02 when mean tumor volume was compared for MEK inhibitor vs MEK inhibitor plus CDK2/4 inhibition; P values were calculated by a two-sided Welch t test; n = 4–8 mice per group).

Melanoma responses to BRAFV600E inhibition (1,2) are often followed by disease recurrence through reactivation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway (3), a nonlinear dynamic regulatory network of protein kinases (4). Resistance to BRAFV600E inhibition occurs at different levels of this network, eg, through acquisition of new activating mechanisms such as mutations in NRAS or MEK (5,6), MEK kinase activation and CRAF overexpression (7), activation of alternative wild-type RAF heterodimers (8), or activation of platelet-derived growth factor receptor β (5) and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor via functional cross-talk (8). Thus, we hypothesized that inhibition of downstream effectors of MAPK signaling could be a potential therapeutic strategy for BRAFV600E inhibitor-resistant melanomas. To our knowledge, this therapeutic strategy has not been explored for melanoma.

To identify downstream effectors of MAPK signaling that could be used as potential therapeutic targets, we used hTERT/CDK4R24C/p53DD-immortalized primary human melanocytes genetically modified to ectopically express BRAFV600E or NRASG12D (9). Protein lysates were subjected to western blot for activated and total c-Jun, an oncogenic subunit of the AP-1 transcription factor (Supplementary Methods, available online). AP-1 is a homo/heterodimeric transcription factor composed of c-Jun and JunD homo- or heterodimers, or heterodimers with other basic leucine-zipper family members (10), and is a major transducer of cellular proproliferative signals (10,11). We found that ectopic expression of BRAFV600E or NRASG12D increased activation of c-Jun relative to parental hTERT/CDK4R24C/p53DD cells (Figure 1, A). Furthermore, when the cells were treated with the MEK1/2 inhibitor PD98059 (12) (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX), AP-1 activity was markedly decreased compared with untreated and solvent (control)-treated cells as detected by an AP-1-secreted alkaline phosphatase reporter gene assay (Supplementary Methods, available online).

To determine the effect of c-Jun knockdown on MAPK signaling in human melanoma cells, LOXIMVI cells were transiently transfected with three different small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting c-Jun (Supplementary Methods, available online). After 48 hours, c-Jun knockdown was confirmed by western blot, and siRNA #145018 was used for all subsequent experiments (data not shown). siRNA transfected-LOXIMVI cells had decreased AP-1 activity relative to cells transfected with a nontarget control siRNA (mean AP-1 activity = 65.2%, SD = 18.4% vs 100%, SD = 7.0%, two-sided P = .07) (Supplementary Figure 1, A, available online). c-Jun knockdown also increased the percentage of cells in G1 compared with the nontarget control siRNA (21.5% vs 12.1%, data from one representative experiment), whereas the percentage of cells in S and G2M remained similar (Supplementary Methods, available online). Cell proliferation of c-Jun siRNA transfected cells was also decreased compared with nontarget siRNA transfected cells (mean cell number on day 4 = 8.6 x 10^3, SD = 0.2 x 10^3 vs 24.9 x 10^3, SD = 2.9 x 10^3, respectively; two-sided P = .01) (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figure 1, B, available online).
Figure 1. Mitogen-activated protein kinase, AP-1 activity, and proliferation of human melanocytic cells. A) Results of western blots for c-Jun and phosphorylated c-Jun (p-cJun) protein expression levels in primary immortalized human melanocytes (hTERT/C4(R24C)/p53DD) with or without ectopic expression of a BRAFV600E or NRASG12D are shown (left panel). AP-1 activity in these cells was measured by AP-1-secreted alkaline phosphatase reporter gene assay after treatment with the MEK inhibitor PD098059 (50 µM) or dimethyl sulfoxide (right panel). Untreated cells served as an additional control. Results are representative of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. B) AP-1 activity was also measured in the NCI-60 BRAFV600E human melanoma LOXIMVI cell line, stably expressing dominant negative AP-1 and a puromycin resistance gene (-dnAP-1) or the resistance gene alone (-empty vector) with (0.75 µg/mL puromycin) and without induction (0.25 µg/mL puromycin) of the transgene for 48 hours. Whisker bars indicate the SD. Results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. C) Cell proliferation of LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells upon induction of dnAP-1 as determined by cell numbers over time. The means and corresponding SD (whisker bars) of
Transfection of the LOXIMVI melanoma cell line with a dominant negative c-Jun mutant (dnAP-1), which leads to a broader inhibition of AP-1 activity by binding additional AP-1 members compared with c-Jun siRNA (13), was done with the bicistronic pIRESpuro3 vector (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA) to stably express a puromycin resistance gene with or without a FLAG-tagged dnAP-1 (14) (referred to hereafter as -dnAP-1 and -empty vector cells, respectively). When cultured in a low concentration of puromycin (0.25 µg/mL), LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells expressed low levels of the resistance gene and dnAP-1 without an impact on AP-1 activity (Figure 1, B), cell proliferation, or cell cycle distribution (data not shown) compared with LOXIMVI-empty-vector cells. When cultured at a high concentration of puromycin (0.75 µg/mL), LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells expressed high levels of dnAP-1 in the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Supplementary Figure 1, C and D, available online) and showed decreased AP-1 activity (mean AP-1 activity = 26.5%, SD = 16.5% vs 75.3%, SD = 8.3%, respectively, two-sided P = .02) (Figure 1, B), decreased cell proliferation (mean cell number at day 5 = 4.1 x 10^4, SD = 0.2 x 10^4 vs 37.9 x 10^4, SD = 0.6 x 10^4, respectively, two-sided P < .001) (Figure 1, C), accumulation of cells in G1 (Figure 1, D), and decreased [3H]thymidine uptake (data not shown) compared with culturing at a low concentration of puromycin.

To investigate the effect of AP-1 inhibition in melanoma cells in vivo, 1 x 10^6 LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells were injected subcutaneously into female, 6–8-week-old, athymic nude Foxn1nu mice (Supplementary Methods, available online). Animal care procedures followed the guidelines of the Animal Research Committee of the Medical University of Vienna. Injection of LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 xenografts with 0.75 µg/mL puromycin every other day inhibited tumor growth compared with xenografts injected with 0.25 µg/mL puromycin (Figure 1, E), indicating that AP-1 activity is required for in vivo growth of human melanoma cells.

Because the effects of induced expression of dnAP-1 on cell proliferation (by counting cell numbers over time, Figure 1, C and Supplementary Figure 1, E, available online) and cell cycle distribution (not shown) were similar in human UACC257 melanoma cells and LOXIMVI cells that are cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor CDKN2A-deficient, we investigated the effect of dnAP-1 on the expression of other cell cycle regulators at G1 by western blot. We found that CDKN2C and CDKN1A protein levels in LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells were increased relative to LOXIMVI empty vector cells within 2 hours of induction of dnAP-1 with puromycin (Figure 1, F). CDKN2D, CCND1, and CDK6 protein levels increased later at 12 and 16 hours after dnAP-1 induction in both LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 and LOXIMVI-empty vector cells. CDK2 and CDK4 protein levels remained almost unchanged within 24 hours (Figure 1, F and Supplementary Figure 1, F, available online). In addition, we observed nuclear and cytoplasmic accumulation of CDKN2C and nuclear accumulation of CDKN1A by immuno-fluorescence in LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells 48 hours after induction of dnAP-1 using puromycin (Supplementary Figure 1, G and H, available online).

Consistent with these findings, transfection of LOXIMVI cells with siRNA targeting CDKN2C or CDKN1A in vitro partially rescued dn-AP1-induced suppression of cell proliferation, and when both CDKN2C and CDKN1A siRNAs were cotransfected into LOXIMVI cells, cell proliferation (as measured by counting cell numbers over time, which is shown in Figure 1, G, and [3H]thymidine uptake [data not shown]) was similar to that of LOXIMVI-empty vector control cells. Similar effects were not seen when siRNA targeting other cell cycle regulators (TP53, CDKN1B, CDKN1C alone or in combination with CDKN2C) was used (data not shown). These results indicate that the full proproliferative effect of AP-1 on melanoma cells requires suppression of both the INK4 family member CDKN2C and the CIP/KIP family member CDKN1A. This finding supports a previous report in which the ability of CDK4R24C (an INK4-insensitive CDK4 mutant) to rescue Cdkn1awt but not Cdkn1a−/− cells from growth arrest (15), an important prerequisite for cell transformation, was described. Furthermore, mutations in CDK4 have been described in melanoma-prone families and patients with multiple primary melanomas (16), CDK4 amplification has been previously reported in subtypes of sporadic melanoma (17), and reduced CDKN1A expression has been implicated in melanoma metastasis (18).

As AP-1 is a transcription factor, we performed cotransfection assays with wild-type CDKN2C and CDKN1A promoter-luciferase reporter plasmids (19,20) in LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 and LOXIMVI-empty vector cells. When cultured at a high concentration of puromycin (0.75 µg/mL) for 4 hours, LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells showed activated expression from both promoter reporter plasmids compared with LOXIMVI-empty vector cells (mean fold CDKN2C promoter activity was of 0.2, 12, and 16 hours after induction of dnAP-1. This experiment was performed three times with similar results.

Figure 1. (Continued)

A representative experiment performed in triplicate are shown. Four independent experiments were performed with similar results. D) Cell cycle analysis was performed by flow cytometry of propidium iodide-stained LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells 48 hours after induction of dnAP-1 with a high concentration (0.75 µg/mL) of puromycin. The percentages of cells in G1, S, and G2 phases of the cell cycle are shown. E) In vivo growth of LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells was measured in athymic nude Foxn1nu mice (n = 6 mice per group) with or without induction of dnAP-1 by injection of 50 µL of low (0.25 µg/mL) or high (0.75 µg/mL) concentrations of puromycin in phosphate buffered saline every other day. Western blasters indicate the upper SD. F) Western blot of cell lysates with anti-CDKN2C, dnAP-1/c-Jun, CDK2D, CDKN1A, α-tubulin antibodies of LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 and -empty vector cells was done at 0, 2, 12, and 16 hours after induction of dnAP-1. This experiment was performed three times with similar results.

G) Cell proliferation was determined by counting LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cell numbers after induction of dnAP-1 and transfection with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting CDKN2C, CDKN1A, or CDKN1A and CDKN2C. A reference, LOXIMVI-empty vector cells were treated with nonspecific small interfering RNA (nt siRNA). siRNA transfection was confirmed by western blot (inset). The means with SD (whisker bars) are given. Results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. H) Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay of LOXIMVI cells was done with anti-c-Jun antibody and polymerase chain reaction primer sets for the μ-ACT10 and CDKN2C promoters (Prom1, #2, and #3) and enhancer (EnhU and EnhD) regions (left panel). Quantitative polymerase chain reaction signals for Prom1, EnhU, and EnhD regions were normalized to the input and expressed as the mean with SD (whisker bars). As a control, the anti-c-Jun antibody was substituted with IgG (right panel). The results are representative of two independent experiments.
Figure 2. Melanoma cell viability and in vivo growth by cyclin-dependent kinase 2/4 inhibition. A) Cell viability was assessed by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium-bromide assay of human melanoma cell lines (white, gray, and black bars indicate BRAFwt/NRASwt, NRASQ61R, and BRAFV600E cell lines, respectively) treated with a CDK2/4 inhibitor combination (2 µM CVT313 plus 0.25 µM indolocarbazole CDK4-I) for 48 hours. The values are presented as the percentage of treatment with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, solvent). Whisker bars indicate the SD (left panel). On-target effects of CDK2/4 inhibitors in LOXIMVI cells are shown in the right panel: cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry was done after treatment with DMSO (solvent) control or the CVT313/indolocarbazole CDK4-I combination for 12 hours; western blot analysis was also done to detect phosphorylated retinoblastoma (p-RB) (Thr826) levels after treatment with DMSO (solvent) control, 2 µM CVT313, or 0.25 µM indolocarbazole CDK4-I for 48 hours. These experiments were performed three
induction for LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells vs LOXIMVI-empty vector cells = 3.1, SD = 0.2 vs 1.0, SD = 0.02, two-sided $P = .002$; mean fold CDKN1A promoter induction for LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells vs LOXIMVI-empty vector cells = 1.7, SD = 0.1 vs 1, SD = 0.1, two-sided $P < .001$ (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figure 2, A, available online), further supporting the link between AP-1 activity and online), further supporting the link between AP-1 activity and CDKN1A expression. As dn-AP-1 induced CDKN2C mRNA in LOXIMVI-dnAP-1 cells (by quantitative reverse transcription-real time PCR, data not shown) independent of protein synthesis (by cycloheximide, data not shown), we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation from LOXIMVI cells with an anti-c-Jun antibody followed by polymerase chain reaction using primer sets spanning putative AP-1-binding sites at the CDKN2C gene (21) (Supplementary Methods, available online). We observed binding of AP-1 to two promoter-distant regions upstream and downstream of CDKN2C, each harboring a 12-O-tetradecanoate-13-acetate response element-binding motif, and one region within the promoter (Figure 1, H). These results indicate that CDKN2C is a direct target of AP-1.

In addition, we assessed the relationship between c-Jun expression and that of CDKN2C and CDKN1A using RNA and tissues obtained from 30 melanoma patients. The primary human melanomas were assigned to two groups (low and high) based on low vs high expression of CDKN2C, and downstream of CDKN2C, at two promoter-distant regions upstream and CDKN1A targets, ie, CDK2 and CDKN1A transcription. In accordance with these results, previous studies in animal models have shown that CDK4/CDKN2C and a CDKN2C deficiency increase melanoma susceptibility, but additional MAPK signaling is required for melanomas to develop (22,23).

Because the expression of CDKN2C and CDKN1A targets, ie, CDK2 and CDK4/6, was unaffected by dn-AP-1 (Supplementary Figure 1, F, available online) and is rarely lost in human melanoma cells, the highly selective BRAFV600E inhibitor GDC-0879 (29) and three selective MEK inhibitors [PD184352/CI-1040 (30), U0126 (31), PD98059 (12)] did not suppress c-Jun levels, although they effectively reduced phospho-ERK levels (Figure 2, C). Together these data suggest that in melanoma cells, in contrast to melanocytes, pathways that bypass the BRAF-MEK-ERK axis to induce AP-1 are operative. Consistent with this hypothesis, AP-1 and CDK2/4 inhibition increased the magnitude of the reduction of melanoma cell viability/proliferation by BRAFV600E inhibitor GDC-0879 and MEK inhibitor PD184352/CI1040 in vitro (Figure 2, D and Supplementary Figure 2, D, available online).
online), and CDK2/4 inhibition augmented statistically significant growth reduction of melanoma xenografts in vivo by the BRAF and MEK inhibitors (P = .03 when mean tumor volume at day 13 was compared for GDC-0879 vs GDC-0879 plus CDK2/4 inhibition; P = .02 when mean tumor volume was compared for PD184352/Ci1040 vs PD184352/Ci1040 plus CDK2/4 plus CDK2/4 inhibition; n = 4–8 mice per group) (Figure 2, E and F).

Our study was not without limitations. The restricted ability of cell-based studies to predict clinical behavior is an inherent restraint. Also, the varied response to cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors between different melanoma cell lines is unexplained at this time. The small number of available human tissue samples used in our study also limits the interpretation of our results.

Nevertheless, our data show a statistically significant augmentation of BRAFV600E- and MEK-inhibitors by CDK2/4 inhibition in vivo. Our findings provide rationale and support for further clinical exploration of this novel combination therapy strategy for melanoma.
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