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Introduction

Bacterial secretion systems play a critical role in the ability of bacterial cells to interface with their environment. In addition to the Sec (secretory) and Tat (twin-arginine translocation) systems that are involved in protein export (i.e. transport across the cytoplasmic membrane) [1–3], several outer membrane machineries have been described that complete protein secretion [4–7]. These secretion systems are less widely conserved and have more specific functions, such as horizontal gene transfer, nutrient uptake, and enabling virulence [8]. Recent studies identified a novel, dedicated export system called the Esat-6 secretion system (ESX or Ess), which is now known to be present in many bacteria including the archtypical Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis [9–12].

ESX protein secretion systems were initially identified in Mycobacterium tuberculosis, where it was demonstrated that the ESX-1 secretion system is responsible for the export of the small proteins ESAT-6 and CFP-10 (also named EsxA and EsxB respectively) [13,14]. EsxA is a 100-amino acid peptide that lacks an N-terminal signal sequence and has a helix-turn-helix structure with a WXG motif in the central turn, so it is also known as a WXG100 protein [11]. Bioinformatic studies using in silico methods to search for WXG100 family genes in other bacterial species have predicted the existence of ESX secretion systems in other Actinobacteria, some Firmicutes, and several Chloroflexi [11,12,15]. These predictions have been validated in several species, including Staphylococcus aureus [16–19], Bacillus anthracis [20], and Streptomyces coelicolor [21]. Intriguingly, genes homologous to some ESX components are sporadically distributed more broadly, including among the Proteobacteria [15]. ESX secretion systems are now defined by the presence of one or more WXG100 family substrates in addition to an FtsK/SpoIIIE family ATPase, often called EccC/EccS, that is required for substrate secretion [10].

The primary function of the proteins exported by ESX secretion systems remains unknown and therefore it is unclear whether the ESX systems share a conserved function(s). Numerous studies have demonstrated that the M. tuberculosis ESX-1 secretion system is essential for the virulence of this human pathogen; some studies suggest that the ESX-1 substrates compromise the integrity of the phagosomal membranes during macrophage infection [22–25], while other work suggests that the ESX secreted substrates are important for bacterial cell wall maintenance [23,26,27]. In addition, several of the recently identified ESX systems play a role in bacterial pathogenesis, including the ESX systems in S. aureus and B. anthracis [16–20,28]. However, there are also examples of ESX systems that do not play a role in virulence, such as the ESX system in the plant pathogen Streptomyces scabies that modulates...
sporulation and development [29]. Furthermore, ESX systems are predicted in non-pathogenic bacteria, and such systems have been validated in the soil bacterium S. coelicolor [11,21] and in M. smegmatis [30].

Bioinformatic analysis predicted that the yuk operon in the non-pathogenic bacterium Bacillus subtilis may encode an ESX protein secretion system [11]. Currently, there are five annotated genes in the yuk operon: yukE, yukD, yukC, yukBA, and yueD [31,32] (Figure 1A). The current annotation of the yuk operon suggests a terminator after yueD, but recent high throughput transcriptomics data implicates yueC and/or yueD as potential members of the yuk/yue locus as well [33]. By sequence analysis, the signature ESX/Ess proteins are represented in this system: YukE is homologous to the secreted virulence factor SigmaA in M. tuberculosis and YukBA is predicted to be an FtsK/SpoIIIE family ATPase homologous to EccCa and EccGb in M. tuberculosis and EssC in S. aureus [11,16].

In this study, we demonstrate that the yuk/yue locus in B. subtilis encodes functional components of an ESX protein secretion system. We demonstrate that the small WXG100 protein, YukE, is secreted from cells. The secretion of YukE depends upon the other gene products encoded by the locus, including the other signature member of ESX secretion systems, the FtsK/SpoIIIE family ATPase YukBA. These results confirm a recent study of the yuk/yue locus components [34], and expand on that work by establishing the specificity of each of the locus components. Using an unbiased mass spectrometry approach, we find YukE to be the only measurable YukBA-dependent substrate. Further, we demonstrate that the presence of the locus and the constitutive secretion of YukE provide neither a growth disadvantage nor a competitive advantage for the strain.

**Results**

The Bacillus subtilis yuk/yue locus encodes a secreted protein, YukE

All ESX protein secretion systems that have been studied to date have been shown to secrete at least one WXG100 family protein homologous to the prototypic ESX-1 substrate EsxA [13,16,20,21]. In B. subtilis, this protein is encoded by yukE. Therefore, our first experimental objective was to determine whether YukE is secreted from the B. subtilis cell. To address this question, we grew cultures of the wild-type domesticated strain of B. subtilis (PY79) in nutrient-rich LB medium to mid-exponential phase, harvested whole cell pellets, and filtered the culture supernatants. Proteins in the culture supernatant were concentrated by TCA precipitation and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Presence of YukE was assessed using a primary antibody raised against recombinant full-length YukE. As a lysis control, we tested for the presence of the cytosolic protein RNA polymerase sigma factor SigmaA by immunoblotting with α-SigmaA antibodies [35]. In these experiments, we detected YukE in both the pellet and supernatant fractions (Figure 1B). These data confirm the

---

**Figure 1. YukE is secreted, and secretion of YukE depends on other proteins encoded by the yuk/yue locus.** A: Schematic depicting the yuk/yue locus and surrounding genes. Currently, there are five annotated genes in the yuk operon: yukE, yukD, yukC, yukBA, and yueD [31,32]. Recent high throughput transcriptomics data implicates yueC and/or yueD as potential members of the yuk/yue locus as well [33]. The predicted promoter (Pyuk) is indicated with an arrow. Homology to genes of other ESX/Ess systems is indicated below the corresponding yuk/yue gene name. B: Secretion assay for YukE. Cells were grown in LB medium to OD600nm of approximately 1.0–1.3. The cell pellet (P) was separated from the culture supernatant (S) by centrifugation. The pellet fractions were prepared into whole cell lysates and the supernatant fractions were filtered through a 0.2 micron filter and TCA precipitated. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and immunoblot analysis with an α-YukE antibody and an α-SigmaA antibody as a loading/lysis control. The supernatants are shown in two exposures; the overexposed α-YukE blot (OE) allows visualization of faint bands. Data are representative of at least three biologically independent experiments. Pellet samples are equivalent to 0.1 OD and twenty-fold more was loaded for supernatant samples. Equivalent loading of precipitated supernatant samples was confirmed by densitometry of the Coomassie-stained gel.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096267.g001
components YukE secretion depends upon other yuk/yue locus conditions that promote competence and biofilm formation from growth in nutrient-rich media to the nutrient-limiting found that YukE was secreted in all conditions tested, ranging detect YukE secretion in a domesticated laboratory strain. We prediction and recent demonstration that YukE is secreted from created a series of yuk other that drive expression of the downstream operon genes. We used the Pyuk-lacZ construct at an ectopic integration site (endogenous yuk operon (yukBA) was transcriptionally active by inserting a Pyuk-lacZ construct at an ectopic integration site (amyE::Pyuk-lacZ) and assessing transcriptional activity. The β-galactosidase activity in this strain was approximately three-fold lower than the endogenous yuk operon start site (ΩPyuk-lacZ) (Figure S2). This was ultimately useful, because genome-wide expression studies indicate that yukE expression is at least twice as high as the expression of other yuk operon genes [36]. Therefore, we reasoned that using our weaker Pyuk should result in approximately wild-type levels of transcription of the downstream operon genes. We confirmed that the reinserted Pyuk drove expression of downstream yuk genes, although resulting protein levels were approximately two-fold higher than native levels, as assessed by semi-quantitative immunoblotting (Figure S2).

To determine whether the genes of the yuk/yue locus are required for YukE secretion, we tested whether YukE is produced and secreted in each of the yuk/yue knockout strains. Currently, there are five annotated genes in the yuk operon: yukE, yukD, yukC, yukB1, and yueB [31,32]. Knocking out each gene in the annotated yuk operon (yukE-yueB) individually abolished YukE secretion in all five of these strains (Figure 1B). Recently, transcriptomic profiling has implicated yueC and/or yueD as potential members of the yuk/yue operon as well [33]. Therefore, we also tested whether YukE is secreted in ΔyueC and ΔyueD strains. YukE was not secreted in the ΔyueC strain, demonstrating that YueC is required for YukE export, but it was secreted in the ΔyueD strain, suggesting that YueD is not required for YukE export (Figure 1B).

To demonstrate the specificity of these results, we constructed complementation strains by inserting the corresponding yuk/yue gene at an ectopic integration site under the control of an inducible promoter. We attached a C-terminal Myc or HA tag to each of the complementation constructs (except for the untagged YukE complementation construct), thereby allowing us to verify presence of the complementing protein by immunoblot (Figure S3). YukE secretion was restored to wild-type levels in the ΔyukD, ΔyukB1, and ΔyueC strains upon expression of yueD-myc, yukBA-myc, and yueC-myc respectively (Figure 1B). Densitometric analysis of secretion levels in each strain is presented in Table 1; values indicate the percentage of total YukE in each strain that is localized to the pellet versus culture supernatant. Complementation of ΔyueC with yueC-myc did not restore YukE secretion to wild-type levels, but partial restoration of YukE secretion can be seen in an overexposed blot (Figure 1B). We were unable to complement YukE secretion in the ΔyueB strain, despite attempts with untagged and several tagged versions of YueB. Nonetheless, YukE secretion appears dependent upon the yueB gene product and a recent study produced a complementing construct which confirms the specificity of a yueB deletion [34]. Thus we conclude that YukE secretion requires the full yuk operon as well as yueC, but not yueD.

The divergently transcribed gene adeR (formerly annotated as yukF) is a predicted transcription factor. Since regulatory proteins are often coded in the general vicinity of the genes they regulate, we also tested for YukE secretion in an adeR knockout strain, and found that YukE was still secreted in this background (Figure S4). This result is consistent with the idea that yuk/yue activity is perhaps principally regulated through stress response pathways including those governed by DegS/U and Spo0A [33,34,37–40],

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRAIN</th>
<th>% SigA in pellet</th>
<th>% SigA in supernatant</th>
<th>% YukE in pellet</th>
<th>% YukE in supernatant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wildtype</td>
<td>99.97</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>81.06</td>
<td>18.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukE</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukE: yukE</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>97.19</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukD</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukD: yukD-myc</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>65.20</td>
<td>34.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukC</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukC: yukC-myc</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukBA</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyukBA: yukBA-myc</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>78.44</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyueB</td>
<td>99.94</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>99.49</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyueB: yueB-HA</td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>99.67</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyueC</td>
<td>99.74</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyueC: yueC-myc</td>
<td>99.77</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>88.41</td>
<td>11.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyueD</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>87.15</td>
<td>12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔyueD: yueD-myc</td>
<td>99.79</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>87.97</td>
<td>12.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Densitometric analysis of the YukE and SigmaA proteins from the blots shown in Figure 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096267.t001
YukE is the only protein detected to be dependent upon YukBA for secretion

To gain insight into possible function(s) of the yuk/yue system, we next sought to determine whether there are additional secreted proteins dependent upon the yuk/yue locus for secretion. Besides YukE, there is one other predicted WXG100 protein encoded in the B. subtilis genome, YfjA, and therefore this protein was a candidate yuk/yue substrate. In addition, secretion of LXG-motif proteins and non-WXG100 proteins has been reported in other ESX secretion systems, and these proteins are often encoded away from the primary ESX/Ess locus [20,41]. Therefore, we decided to use an unbiased, quantitative proteomics approach to analyze the full profile of yuk/yue-dependent proteins in the culture supernatant.

In addition to the virulence factor polypeptides, the FtsK/SpoIIE family ATPases are a signature of ESX loci. Thus, using quantitative mass spectrometry, we compared the proteins in culture supernatants of the wild-type domesticated strain and the ATPase deletion strain ΔyukBA grown in defined media. Consistent with our immunoblot assay, we detected YukE in the supernatant of the wild-type strain in a manner that was dependent upon yukBA (Figure 2A, 2B). YukE secretion was restored in the YukBA complementation strain (Figure 2B). Ninety-five YukE-specific peptide spectra were detected in the supernatant from the wild-type strain, no peptides were detected in the ΔyukBA strain and 116 YukE-specific peptide spectra were detected in the ΔyukBA; yukBA-myc complementation strain. We detected high levels of YueB peptides in the culture supernatant of the ΔyukBA and complement strains (Figure 2A, 2B), which is an expected consequence of the strain design. Briefly, the yuk promoter was reinserted after the yukBA deletion to drive expression of the downstream genes, as otherwise this would be a polar mutation. Most surprisingly, we did not detect any other proteins with the same secretion profile as YukE in these conditions. Therefore, by this method and under these growth conditions, we found YukE to be the only protein that requires the ATPase YukBA for secretion.

The yuk/yue locus does not confer a growth or competition phenotype

The biological function of the yuk/yue locus remains unknown but it is highly unusual for a secretion system to have only a single substrate. Further, since all conditions we tested yielded secreted YukE, we speculated that the yuk/yue knockout strains might display a growth or competition phenotype. We first tested whether various yuk/yue knockout strains have a growth defect compared to the wild-type domesticated strain by conducting growth assays. The growth curves of the yuk/yue knockout strains were statistically indistinguishable from the growth curve of the wild-type domesticated strain, indicating that the yuk/yue knockout strains do not have a growth defect under standard, nutrient-rich laboratory conditions (Figure 3A). Next, we performed competition assays between the wild-type domesticated strain and yuk/yue knockout strains. We found that the yuk/yue knockout strains did not have a statistically significant competitive advantage or disadvantage compared to the wild-type domesticated strain in nutrient-rich or nutrient-limiting media (Figure 3B and Figure S5).

Figure 2. YukE is the only protein dependent upon YukBA for secretion. (A) and (B). The relative abundance of proteins detected in the culture supernatant of the wild-type strain (PY79) versus the ΔyukBA strain (A) or the complemented ΔyukBA; yukBA-myc strain (B). Cells were grown in nutrient-limiting 1XMC medium to mid-exponential phase, and the supernatant fractions were filtered through a 0.2 micron filter and TCA precipitated. The proteins in the culture supernatant were analyzed by mass spectrometry. Protein abundance was determined by spectral count analysis; spectral count data are combined totals from three biologically independent samples for each strain. Where no spectra were identified, an arbitrary value of 1 was assigned. The data point for YukE is circled in each graph. The point for YukE is at (95,1) in Figure 2A and at (95, 116) in Figure 2B. The point for YukE is at (95,1) in Figure 2A and at (95, 116) in Figure 2B. The competition strain was constructed with the ectopically expressed yukBA gene disrupting the native amyE locus. Thus, as expected, AmyE peptides are underrepresented in the complementation strain as compared to both wild-type and ΔyukBA strains; the point located at (77, 1) in Figure 2B corresponds to the peptides assigned to AmyE. High levels of YueB peptides in the ΔyukBA and complement strains is a consequence of strain design; the yuk promoter was reinserted after the yukBA deletion to drive expression of the downstream genes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096267.g002
Discussion

Here, we have confirmed that the WXG100 protein, YukE, is a secreted protein, as predicted by its homology to the secreted virulence factor EssA of M. tuberculosis and EssA of S. aureus. YukE secretion is dependent upon each of the four other genes encoded within the annotated yuk operon as well as yueC, and we have confirmed the specificity of these dependencies by complementation. Most notably, secretion of YukE depends on the conserved FtsK/SpoIIIIE family ATPase YukBA, the other signature member of ESX secretion systems. Furthermore, YukE secretion depends on YukD and YukC, which are homologous to proteins EsaB and EssB respectively in the Ess secretion system of S. aureus. Together with another recent study, these results suggest that the yuk/yue locus in B. subtilis encodes a bona fide ESX protein secretion system [34]. The predicted topologies and subcellular localizations of the Yuk/Yue proteins suggest a membrane-bound secretion complex. Indeed, the envelope protein YueB has been implicated as a phage receptor [26], but this information has yet to provide additional clues as to the complete architecture of the system.

We have found YukE to be the only dedicated substrate of this secretion system thus far; we detected the other predicted WXG100 protein, YfβA, to be equally secreted in all strains tested, suggesting that it is not a YukBA-dependent substrate. Further profiling studies with different strain backgrounds or under different conditions may yet reveal additional substrates. For example, a recent study also detected YukE as a secreted product, although that report suggested that the strain background affects the conditions under which secreted YukE is detected [34].

ESX protein secretion systems are conserved throughout pathogenic and non-pathogenic species. It is currently unclear what the primary function of these systems is and whether ESX secretion systems share a conserved function(s). All ESX systems studied to date have been shown to be responsible for the secretion of a conserved EssA-like protein substrate [13,16,20,21]; however, these proteins do not have an obvious effector function, and it is unclear how the secretion of a single conserved substrate could be beneficial to bacterial species representing such a wide range of lifestyles and environmental niches.

In M. tuberculosis, the ESX-1 system is required for pathogenesis [22-24] and several secreted substrates have been identified [13,14,41-45], but the specific functions of the secreted proteins are unknown. The prevailing hypothesis is that the secreted protein EssA acts as a pore-forming toxin and induces damage to host cell membranes [22,25]. B. subtilis is not a human pathogen, but it likely encounters eukaryotes in its natural environment so it may similarly play a role in bacterial-eukaryotic interactions. For example, other B. subtilis systems have been demonstrated to have anti-nematodal and anti-fungal properties [46,47], so the Yuk/Yue proteins may have a similar function. Alternatively, components of the ESX systems have been implicated in DNA transfer in both mycobacterial species and in B subtilis [48,49] so the yuk/yue system may play a role in bacterial-environmental interactions by aiding with competence and DNA transfer.

An alternative hypothesis is that the ESX secreted proteins are required for a housekeeping function such as the maintenance of the bacterial cell wall [23,26,27]. In our study, we detect secretion of YukE under all tested conditions so it is possible that YukE is constitutively secreted to provide a function required for cell wall integrity or maintenance. It remains formally possible that YukE is in fact a component of the secretion apparatus itself. Further studies are needed to evaluate these hypotheses.

In this study, we find that YukE is the only identified substrate that is secreted under the conditions we tested. We also find that the yuk/yue system is not essential under these conditions. Therefore, it is possible that in response to some other stimulus, additional substrates will be identified and the yuk/yue system may be essential for bacterial growth or survival. This notion is further supported by a few lines of evidence that link regulation of the yuk/yue locus to the cell’s stress response systems. A recent study implicated the two-component DegUS system in regulating YukE secretion, and numerous studies have pointed to the role of the master regulator Spo0A in upregulating yuk/yue genes [33,34,37-40]. Together these studies suggest that further work with undomesticated strains may ultimately yield vital clues to the biological role of the B. subtilis ESX machinery.
Materials and Methods

Strain construction

General methods for molecular cloning and strain construction were performed according to published protocols [50]. Chromosomal DNA isolated from the prototrophic domesticated strain PY79 was used as a template for all PCR amplification. Introduction of DNA into PY79 derivatives was conducted by transformation [51]. The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 2. Complete strain construction information including oligonucleotide primers is included in Supporting Information.

Media and growth conditions

For general propagation, B. subtilis strains were grown at 37°C in LB (lysogeny broth) [52] (10 g tryptone per liter, 5 g yeast extract per liter, 5 g NaCl per liter) or on LB plates containing 1.5% Bacto agar. Where indicated, B. subtilis strains were grown in the nutrient-limiting medium B. subtilis Medium for Competence (1XMC) [53]. When appropriate, antibiotics were included in the growth medium as follows: 100 µg mL⁻¹ spectinomycin, 5 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol, 10 µg mL⁻¹ tetracycline, and 1 µg mL⁻¹ erythromycin plus 25 µg mL⁻¹ lincomycin (mls). When required, 100 µM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside) was added to cultures or solid media to induce protein expression.

Bacillus lysates and TCA precipitation

Bacterial strains were grown in LB medium to an OD₆₀₀ of approximately 1.0–1.3. The cells were pelleted and the supernatant was collected. The pellet samples were processed to make whole cell lysates according to standard protocols [53]. Briefly, one milliliter of cells was harvested, lysed in the presence of lysozyme and then boiled for 15 minutes in 1× sample buffer (4% SDS, 250 mM Tris pH 6.8, 20% glycerol, 10 mM EDTA, 1% bromophenol blue, 10% β-mercaptoethanol (BME)). The culture supernatant samples were first filtered through a 0.2 micron filter and then incubated in 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) for 12–15 hours at 4°C. The following day, the samples were spun at 15,000xg for 20 minutes to pellet the precipitated proteins, the liquid was poured off, and the pellets were washed with ice-cold acetone. The pellets were suspended in 100 µL of 1× sample buffer and the samples were boiled for 15 minutes. After processing the pellet and supernatant samples, the proteins were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and analyzed by immunoblot analysis with appropriate antibodies. Pellet samples are equivalent to 0.1 OD units and twenty-fold more was loaded for supernatant samples. Precipitated supernatant samples were normalized based on Coomassie staining.

YukE polyclonal antibody generation

A hexahistidine-tagged version of YukE was utilized for antibody production. YukE was PCR-amplified with primers oLH067 and oLH068 using genomic DNA from the wild-type

Table 2. Strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source, Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PY79</td>
<td>Prototrophic domesticated laboratory strain</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH015</td>
<td>yukE:erm-Pyuk</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH018</td>
<td>yukEDCB:erm-Pyuk</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH019</td>
<td>amyE:Pyuk-loaZ (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH021</td>
<td>ΔPyuk-loaZ (cat)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH027</td>
<td>amyE:Phyperspank-loaZ (spec)</td>
<td>RL2508 (Gift of Losick Lab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH049</td>
<td>amyE:kan</td>
<td>pER82 (Gift of Rudner Lab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH078</td>
<td>adeE:erm; amyE:Pyuk-loaZ (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH107</td>
<td>yueE:erm-yueE</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH110</td>
<td>yueB:erm-Pyuk</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH404</td>
<td>yueB:erm-Pyuk; amyE:Phyperspank-yueB-myc (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH421</td>
<td>yueC:erm-Pyuk</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH422</td>
<td>yueC:erm-Pyuk</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH458</td>
<td>yueD:erm-Pyuk; amyE:Phyperspank-yueD-myc (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH500</td>
<td>yueC:erm-Pyuk; amyE:Phyperspank-yueC-myc (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH533</td>
<td>yueE:erm-Pyuk; amyE:Phyperspank-yueE (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH579</td>
<td>yueE:erm-Pyuk</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH581</td>
<td>yueE:erm-Pyuk</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH585</td>
<td>yueE:erm</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH589</td>
<td>yueE:erm-Pyuk; amyE:Phyperspank-yueE-HA (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH590</td>
<td>yueE:erm-Pyuk; amyE:Phyperspank-yueE (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH591</td>
<td>yueE:erm-Pyuk; amyE:Phyperspank-yueC-myc (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bLH593</td>
<td>yueE:erm; amyE:Phyperspank-yueD-myc (spec)</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096267.t002
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domesticated strain PY79 as a template. The sequence was inserted into an inducible E. coli expression vector to make pH054, which was then transformed into E. coli BL21 cells. The cells were induced and YukE was purified from the E. coli extracts by nickel-affinity chromatography. Finally, a rabbit polyclonal serum was raised against this protein (Covance).

Immunoblot analysis

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was probed with affinity-purified α-YukE (polyclonal), α-GFP (polyclonal), α-Myc (Novus Biologicals), and/or α-SigmaA (polyclonal) antibodies. Primary antibodies were diluted 1:1000 (α-YukE), 1:5,000 (α-GFP), 1:10,000 (α-Myc) or 1:1,000,000 (α-SigmaA) in 5% nonfat milk in TBS-0.05% Tween20. The primary antibody was detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat, α-rabbit immunoglobulin G (Bio-Rad or Jackson Laboratories). Supersignal West Femto chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Scientific) was used to create a visible chemical reaction. The blots were imaged and densitometric quantitation of YukE secretion was performed using a FlourChem FC2 gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech) and provided software. The densitometry values in Table 1 indicate the proportion of total YukE in each strain that is localized to the pellet versus supernatant; values reflect normalization based on loading of an equivalent of 0.1 OD unit for pellet samples and twenty-fold more sample loaded for supernatant samples.

Mass spectrometry

Bacterial strains were grown in MC media to an OD₆₀₀ of ~2.0. The cells were pelleted and the supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.2 micron filter. Total proteins in the supernatant were obtained by TCA precipitating 30 mL of sample as described above. The samples were prepared for mass spectrometry analysis as described previously [27]. Briefly, samples were separated by molecular weight on a 10–20% Tricine gel (Invitrogen), each lane of the gel was sectioned into 10 roughly equal sized segments, followed by in-gel reduction, alklylation and trypsin digestion. Samples were run on a Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ Velos Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cambridge, MA). Samples were injected onto a Proxeon Easy nLC system configured with a 5 cm×100 μm trap packed with 15–20 μm PS-DVB 300A media, and a 25 cm×100 μm ID resolving column packed with 200A C18AQ media. Buffer A was 96% water, 4% methanol, and 0.2% formic acid. Buffer B was 10% water, 10% isopropanol, 80% acetonitrile, and 0.2% formic acid; loading buffer (sample loading/rinsing buffer) was 96% water, 4% methanol, and 0.2% formic acid. Samples were loaded at 5 μL min⁻¹ for 9 min, and a gradient from 0–60% B at 375 nL min⁻¹ was run over 70 min, for a total run time of 115 min (including regeneration and sample loading). Injection standards (Michrom Medium Molecule test mix, 5 angios, and the TP4 peptides) were injected at 61 fmols per sample. Velos was run in a data dependent 15 configuration, with a full scan run in the in enhance scan mode (3′4 target), with up to 15MS2 events. Rejection of +1 ions was used in precursor ion selection.

Resulting spectra were searched against a composite database which contained the predicted open reading frames annotated in the genome of Bacillus subtilis 168 supplemented with common contaminants using SEQUEST (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA). Peptides were filtered at a 1% FDR with PeptideProphet and grouped into proteins with ProteinProphet [54] with a cutoff of 0.95. Spectral counts across the gel slices for three biological replicates were pooled, and then levels of protein abundance between strains were compared using an extended G-test [55]. Data was corrected for multiple testing (Benjamini and Hochberg) using a p value of ≤0.01; for a given protein, a criterion of having ≥5 peptides in at least one strain was set.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 YukE is secreted in LB, MC, and MSGG media. Secretion assays were performed to test YukE secretion from the domesticated PY79 laboratory strain under nutrient-rich growth conditions (LB medium) and nutrient-limiting growth conditions that promote competence (MC medium) or biofilm production (MSGG medium). Cells were grown in LB, MC, or MSGG medium to OD₆₀₀nm of approximately 1.0–1.3. The cell pellet was separated from the culture supernatant (S) by centrifugation. Supernatant fractions were filtered through a 0.2 micron filter, TCA precipitated, and secretion was analyzed by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and immunoblot analysis with an α-YukE antibody and an α-SigmaA antibody as a loading/lysis control.

Figure S2 yuk knockout strain schematic and Pyuk promoter activity. A: Expression from the yuk promoter (Pyuk) was measured using Pyuk-lacZ transcriptional fusions. Two Pyuk-lacZ transcriptional fusion reporter strains were used: ΔyukBA-D-lacZ and amyE::Pyuk-lacZ. Because the yuk promoter has not been previously characterized, we used the intergenic region between yukE and adeR as the yuk promoter for the latter construct. Strains were grown in LB medium to mid-exponential phase, and then transcriptional activity from Pyuk was monitored by quantitative β-galactosidase assays. Shown are the mean ± SE of measurements from three independent experiments. B: Schematic showing the native yuk operon (top panel with white background) and the yuk knockout strains constructed by double crossover recombination (bottom panel with grey background). The yuk knockout strains used throughout this work include: ΔyukE::erm, ΔyukD::erm, ΔyukC::erm, ΔyukBA::erm, ΔyueB::erm, and ΔyueC::erm. The predicted yuk promoter (Pyuk) is indicated with a black arrow, the predicted terminator is indicated with a circle, and erm is an antibiotic resistance cassette. Pyuk is inserted after the antibiotic resistance cassette to drive expression of downstream genes in the ΔyukEΔyukDΔyukCΔyukBAΔyueBΔyueC strains. We confirmed that the re-inserted Pyuk drives expression of downstream yuk genes by inserting ΔyueB-gfp into each of these strains and assessing protein levels by semi-quantitative immunoblot with an α-GFP antibody. Compared to YueB-GFP levels detected in the wild-type background (+), YueB-GFP levels in the knockout strains were approximately two-fold higher than native levels (++).
wildtype and adeR knockout background (bLH078). Cells were grown in LB medium to OD600nm of approximately 1.0–1.3. The cell pellet (P) was separated from the culture supernatant (S) by centrifugation. Supernatant fractions were filtered through a 0.2 micron filter, TCA precipitated, and secretion was analyzed by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and immunoblot analysis with an α-YukE antibody and an α-SigmaA antibody as a loading/lysis control. Deletion of adeR may have affected the γuk operon promoter, possibly causing reduced levels of intracellular YukE in the ΔadeR strain as compared to PY79.

Figure S5 The γukBA knockout strain does not have a competition defect compared to the wild-type strain in MC media. The results of a representative competition experiment between ΔγukBA (light gray) versus the wild-type reporter strain (dark gray) in Media for Competence (MC). This competition had a starting ratio of 90% wildtype cells to 10% ΔγukBA cells. The percentages were determined by counting the number of blue and white colonies on a single plate each day (typically 150–250 colonies per plate) and then calculating the percentage of colonies from each strain. Shown are the mean percentages averaged from triplicate platings for each day.

Table S1 Strains used in this study.

Table S2 Oligos used in this study.

Text S1.
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