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Barrier-to-Autointegration Factor 1 (BAF/BANF1) Promotes Association of the SETD1A Histone Methyltransferase with Herpes Simplex Virus Immediate-Early Gene Promoters

Hyung Suk Oh, Paula Traktman, David M. Knipe

Department of Microbiology and Immunobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Wisconsin Medical College, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

ABSTRACT We have shown previously that A-type lamins and intranuclear localization of the herpes simplex virus (HSV) genome are critical for the formation of the VP16 activator complex on HSV immediate-early (IE) gene promoters in murine cells, which implies a critical role for lamin A and its associated proteins in HSV gene expression. Because barrier-to-autointegration factor 1 (BAF/BANF1) has been thought to bridge chromosomes to the nuclear lamina, we hypothesized that BAF might mediate viral genome targeting to the nuclear lamina. We found that overexpression of BAF enhances HSV-1 replication and knockdown of BAF decreases HSV gene expression, delays the kinetics of viral early replication compartment formation, and reduces viral yield compared to those in control small interfering RNA-transfected cells. However, BAF depletion did not affect genome complex targeting to the nuclear periphery. Instead, we found that the levels of a histone-modifying enzyme, SETD1A methyltransferase, and histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation were reduced on IE and early (E) gene promoters in BAF-depleted cells during HSV lytic infection. Our results demonstrate a novel function of BAF as an epigenetic regulator of HSV lytic infection. We hypothesize that BAF facilitates IE and E gene expression by recruiting the SETD1A methyltransferase to viral IE and E gene promoters.

IMPORTANCE The nuclear lamina is composed of lamin proteins and numerous lamina-associated proteins. Previously, the chromatin structure of DNA localized proximally to the lamina was thought to be characterized by heterochromatin marks associated with silenced genes. However, recent studies indicate that both heterochromatin- and euchromatin-rich areas coexist on the silenced genes. This paradigm suggests that lamin and lamina-associated proteins dynamically regulate epigenetic modifications of specific genes in different locations. Our goal is to understand how the lamina and its associated proteins regulate the epigenetics of genes through the study of HSV infection of human cells. We have shown previously that A-type lamins are critical for HSV genome targeting to the nuclear lamina and epigenetic regulation in viral replication. In this study, we found that another lamina-associated protein, BAF, regulates HSV gene expression through an epigenetic mechanism, which provides basic insights into the nuclear lamina and its associated proteins’ roles in epigenetic regulation.
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Herpes simplex virus (HSV) has a large (150-kbp) double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) genome that is transcribed and replicated in the host cell nucleus. Histones are not associated with viral DNA in the virion, but upon the entry of the viral genome into the nucleus, nucleosomes are rapidly associated and chromatin-modifying enzymes are recruited to viral promoters (1–3) to regulate viral gene expression. Herpesvirus immediate-early (IE) gene transcription depends on the HSV virion protein 16 (VP16)-induced transactivator complex (VP16/Oct-1/HCF-1), which enhances an enhancer core element, ATGCTAATG (where R is a purine), in IE gene promoters (4–9). VP16 interacts with multiple general transcription factors (10–15) and subunits of Mediator in the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme to regulate IE gene transcription (16, 17). HCF-1 recruits multiple transcription factors, including Sp1 (18), GABP (19), and FHL2 (20), and epigenetic modifiers, including SETD1A (21), KDM1A (LSD1) (22), and KDM4s (JMJD2s) (23), to facilitate IE gene transcription (9, 24).

At early times postinfection, viral replication compartments (RCs) form at the nuclear periphery (25, 26), and we have shown that this phenotype depends on A-type lamins in murine cells (27). Interestingly, the VP16-induced transactivator complex also develops near the nuclear periphery (28). In lamin A/C knockout (LMNA−/−) murine embryo fibroblast (MEF) cells, RCs are relocated from the nuclear periphery to the nucleoplasm, heterochromatin marks are increased on IE gene promoters, and nuclear localization of the VP16/HCF-1 complex is lower in the nucleus than in normal MEF cells (27, 28). In addition, HSV-1 replication is lower in lamin B1 knockout (LMNB1−/−) MEFs than in normal MEFs (29), implying a critical role for the lamina and its associ-
ated proteins in viral gene transcription. However, it is not clear which other host factors in the nuclear lamina contribute to HSV transcription. We therefore hypothesized that lamina-associated proteins might also be important for targeting of the HSV-1 genome to the nuclear periphery and its transcription. Barrier-to-autointegration factor 1 (BAF/BANF1) is thought to bridge chromosomes to the nuclear lamina and recruit epigenetic modifiers to the nuclear lamina (30, 31); therefore, BAF could mediate viral genome targeting to the nuclear lamina to facilitate viral replication.

The human BANF1 gene encodes the 89-amino-acid BAF protein, which is highly conserved among metazoans (32–34). BAF binds to dsDNA and forms a homodimer, which has raised the idea of BAF bridging DNAs to form a higher order of chromatinn structure (35–37). BAF also interacts with multiple cellular proteins, including LAP2-emerin-MAN1 (LEM) domain-containing proteins, lamins, histones, DNA damage response proteins, transcription factors, and epigenetic modifiers (38–42). Although the localization of BAF is cell type dependent, BAF localizes, in general, in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus with an enrichment near the interior of the nuclear envelope (43). BAF is a substrate of cellular vaccinia-related kinase 1 (VRK1) and VRK2 and protein phosphatase 2 (PP2) and PP4 (44–48). Modification of the phosphorylation status of BAF is critical for mitosis and nuclear reassembly (45, 49), which could explain the essential role of BAF during embryonic stages of development (34, 50, 51). Recently, mutant BAF (Ala12Thr) from hereditary Nestor-Guillermo progeria syndrome (52, 53) showed the phenotype of abnormal nuclear shape similar to A-type lamin mutant cells from progeria patients (54).

BAF was originally identified as a factor that can inhibit intramolecular integration of retroviruses (55, 56), and it was later shown to be a component of proviral preintegration complexes (57). BAF can inhibit vaccinia virus replication, and these activities depend mainly on the DNA-binding capability of BAF, which is related to the phosphorylation status of BAF (58). These events occur in the cytoplasm, where the viral genomes are released and replicate. However, BAF might affect viral replication differently in the nucleus, where gene expression is tightly regulated by the cellular environment. A recent study showed that a mutant BAF protein, but not WT BAF, can inhibit HSV replication in murine cells (59); however, the effect of wild-type (WT) BAF on HSV replication in human cells remains unclear.

Here we tested our hypothesis by evaluating the functional roles of BAF in HSV lytic infection. Our results show that BAF depletion reduced HSV gene expression, delayed the kinetics of viral early RC formation, and reduced the viral yield compared to those of control small interfering RNA (siRNA)-transfected cells. Interestingly, BAF depletion did not induce relocalization of RCs developing near the nuclear periphery but instead reduced the levels of a euchromatin mark on IE gene promoters. Therefore, these results support our hypothesis that, in addition to A- and B-type lamins, other lamina-associated proteins play roles in HSV replication but the mechanisms by which they promote HSV-1 replication might be different from the lamin A-dependent mechanism.

RESULTS

BAF is required for efficient HSV-1 replication. To investigate the effect of BAF on HSV-1 replication, we depleted BAF in HeLa cells by using siRNAs. We transfected HeLa cells twice with a pool of BAF-specific siRNAs or nontarget (NT) siRNAs as a control and evaluated the levels of BAF. BAF was reduced to the limit of detection from 4 to 6 days posttransfection (dpt) (Fig. 1A). Under these conditions, we observed a 20 to 30% reduction in the number of BAF-depleted HeLa cells compared with that of mock- or NT siRNA-transfected cells. To determine the effect of the reduced level of BAF on HSV-1 replication, we performed viral replication assays with BAF-depleted HeLa cells at a high (10 PFU/
cell) or low (0.05 PFU/cell) multiplicity of infection (MOI). At the high MOI, we observed a 2- to 5-fold lower viral yield at various times postinfection in BAF-specific siRNA-transfected HeLa cells than in controls, mock-transfected (Mock) or NT siRNA-transfected HeLa cells (Fig. 1B). When we infected HeLa cells at the low MOI, the reduction of the HSV-1 yield was more significant, approximately 10- to 20-fold, at various times postinfection (Fig. 1C). These results suggested that BAF contributes to efficient HSV-1 replication in HeLa cells. The nearly normal yields at the high MOI suggested that the low-MOI effect was not largely due to toxic effects of the BAF-specific siRNAs on the cells. To confirm the effect of BAF, we tested the role of BAF in human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) cells. As described above, we depleted BAF by using BAF-specific siRNAs, confirmed the reduction of BAF (Fig. 1D), infected HFF cells at an MOI of 10, and determined the viral yield (Fig. 1E) and viral genome (vDNA) accumulation (Fig. 1F). We observed 10- to 20-fold lower viral yield (Fig. 1E) and viral genome (vDNA) accumulation (Fig. 1F) at various times postinfection in BAF-specific siRNA-transfected cells than in control mock-transfected (Mock) or NT siRNA-transfected HFF cells. These results indicated that the contribution of BAF to efficient HSV-1 replication is a general phenotype in different human cell lines rather than a cell line-specific phenotype. To further test the role of BAF in HSV-1 replication, we overexpressed FLAG-BAF in a stably expressing CV-1 cell line (FLAG-BAF). In FLAG-BAF-expressing CV-1 cells, the level of FLAG-BAF was approximately 3-fold higher than that of endogenous BAF, and the endogenous BAF levels were similar in FLAG-BAF and control chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT)-expressing CV-1 cells (Fig. 2A). We infected the FLAG-BAF or CAT CV-1 cells with HSV-1 at a high (10 PFU/ml) or low (0.05 PFU/ml) MOI and determined the viral yields at various times postinfection. At the high MOI, we observed 28-fold higher HSV-1 yields in FLAG-BAF CV-1 cells than in CAT CV-1 cells, but by 24 hpi, there was only a 2-fold difference (Fig. 2B). At the low MOI, we observed a 20-fold greater viral yield in FLAG-BAF cells than in CAT CV-1 cells at 36 hpi and a 5-fold difference at 48 hpi (Fig. 2C). Therefore, ectopic expression of BAF accelerated HSV-1 replication and increased the final viral yield by 2- to 5-fold, consistent with BAF being a positive factor in HSV-1 replication. BAF is required for optimal IE gene expression. To investigate the stage of infection that was affected by BAF, we examined the accumulation of viral proteins. We transfected HeLa (Fig. 3A) or HFF (Fig. 3B) cells with either NT control or BAF-specific siRNA twice, and at 3 dpt, we infected the cells at an MOI of 10. We harvested the cells at various times postinfection and measured the levels of IE infected-cell protein 4 (ICP4) and ICP27, the early (E) ICP8 protein, and the late (L) gD protein by immunoblotting. The levels of both IE proteins were lower at 4 and 8 hpi in BAF siRNA-transfected cells than in NT control siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 3A and B). The accumulation of the E (ICP8) and L (gD) proteins also decreased. In BAF siRNA-transfected cells, the level of BAF was below the limit of detection and the level of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) remained constant to 8 hpi. These results supported the idea that BAF promotes the expression of IE proteins. To ensure that the pool was specific for BAF, we next examined the levels of viral proteins and viral yields following the depletion
of BAF with individual BAF-specific siRNAs from the pool. We transfected HFF cells with each individual BAF-specific siRNA, infected the cells, and harvested them at 6 hpi (Fig. 3C) and 12 hpi (Fig. 3D) as described above. Depletion of BAF by each individual BAF-specific siRNA reduced the levels of viral proteins (Fig. 3C) and viral yields (Fig. 3D). The level of BAF protein was reduced by all four individual BAF-specific siRNAs, and the depletion of BAF with siBAF 2 appeared less efficient than that with the other siRNAs (Fig. 3C), which correlated with the reduced viral protein levels (Fig. 3C) and viral yields (Fig. 3D). These results further supported our conclusion that the reduction of HSV-1 gene expression and viral production resulted from the depletion of BAF rather than from off-target effects of the siRNAs.

To determine the effect of BAF on viral transcription, we measured viral RNA levels in HFF cells by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. When we depleted BAF with siRNAs, we observed >5-fold less BAF RNA in the cells transfected with the BAF-specific siRNAs than in NT control siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 4A). BAF depletion caused a 10-fold reduction in ICP4 gene transcripts (Fig. 4B), ICP27 gene transcripts (Fig. 4C), and ICP8 gene transcripts (Fig. 4D). These results indicated that BAF promotes viral lytic gene transcription.

**BAF does not affect nuclear entry or association of VP16 or viral DNA.** Previous studies showed that lamin A promoted the nuclear accumulation of VP16 and its association with IE gene promoters in murine cells (28). Therefore, it was possible that other lamin-associated proteins, including BAF, could affect VP16 accumulation in the nucleus. We first evaluated the entry of input virion VP16 into the nucleus. We infected HFF cells with VP16–green fluorescent protein (GFP) HSV-1 at a high MOI used previously to detect input VP16 (28) in the presence of cycloheximide and separated the cells into nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions. Immunoblotting of the subcellular fractions for VP16-GFP showed that the localization of VP16 to the infected cell nucleus was equivalent in the presence or absence of BAF (Fig. 5A). We also looked at the accumulation of viral DNA genomes in the nuclei of HFF cells, and knockdown of BAF did not affect the nuclear accumulation of viral DNA genomes (Fig. 5B). Therefore, it appeared that BAF did not affect the nuclear localization of VP16 or the viral genome.

We then looked at the effect of BAF on VP16 association with IE gene promoters. HFF cells were transfected with NT control- or BAF-specific siRNA and then infected with HSV-1 DG1, which expresses VP16-GFP. The cells were harvested at 2 hpi, and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed with an anti-GFP antibody. Similar amounts of viral IE (ICP4 and ICP27) gene promoter sequences were immunoprecipitated with VP16-GFP from BAF-depleted and control cells (Fig. 5C).

We also tested whether BAF plays a role in VP16 transactivator complex formation and viral genome targeting to the lamina. Viral genome complexes (ICP4 foci) develop asymmetrically in the nuclei of cells at the edge of a developing plaque (26), but defective VP16 transactivator complex formation or depletion of lamin A led to reduced ICP4 focus development at the periphery of the nucleus (27, 28). BAF is thought to bridge cellular chromosomes to the nuclear lamina (30, 31). Therefore, we hypothesized that BAF might recruit and/or bridge viral genomes to the nuclear lamina. If BAF affected viral genome targeting and/or VP16 transactivator complex formation to the nuclear lamina, then depletion of BAF should reduce asymmetric genome complex development. To test this hypothesis, we examined the localization of ICP4 in cells at the edge of a developing plaque. We infected BAF-depleted HFF cells with HSV-1 at a MOI of 0.05 to 0.1, incubated the cells in medium to allow plaque formation, fixed the cells at 40 hpi, and performed an indirect immunofluorescence assay with an antibody specific to ICP4 to visualize genome complexes. BAF depletion led to a reduced number of plaques (results not shown), but the numbers and sizes of the genome complexes revealed by ICP4 immunofluorescence were similar (Fig. 5D). Furthermore, there were no significant changes in the location of ICP4 in BAF-depleted cells compared to that in control cells (Fig. 5D), indicating that BAF played no apparent role in targeting of the viral genome to the nuclear lamina. These results indicated that BAF likely plays a role in the steps after viral genome positioning to the nuclear lamina.

**BAF is not critical for heterochromatin exclusion from RCs.** As described above, we had found that BAF promotes the expression of viral IE proteins. Consistent with this, the formation of viral RCs was delayed and reduced in BAF siRNA-transfected HFF cells compared to that in NT siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 6). Interestingly, the H3K9me3 heterochromatin mark association was excluded from ICP8-labeled RCs in cells transfected with NT or BAF-specific siRNAs. Therefore, in contrast to previous results with lamin A/C (28), we found that BAF did not noticeably affect the exclusion of heterochromatin from the RCs. It is noteworthy that depletion of BAF in HFF cells altered the shape of their nuclei (Fig. 6), as observed for HeLa cells (43), but the alteration was less noticeable in HFF cells.

**BAF promotes accumulation of a euchromatin mark on IE genes.** Overexpression or depletion of BAF can alter the profiles of global histone modifications (60), and BAF is reported to interact with various histone-modifying factors (41); thus, BAF may be
involved in epigenetic modification of the HSV-1 genome during productive infection. To investigate the potential role of BAF as an epigenetic regulator of HSV-1 replication, we performed ChIP to determine whether histone loading and/or modifications were affected by the reduction of BAF. We transfected HeLa cells with NT control or BAF-specific siRNA, infected them with the WT virus, harvested them at various times postinfection, and performed ChIP with antibodies specific for histone H3, H3K9me3, or H3K4me3. As reported previously (3, 61), the levels of H3 and its H3K9me3 and H3K4me3 modifications on the ICP27 gene were high at 2 to 4 hpi and decreased at later times postinfection. We did not observe any significant changes in the levels H3 or H3K9me3 on the ICP27 gene from cells transfected with BAF-specific siRNA compared to those from cells transfected with NT control siRNA from 2 to 8 hpi (Fig. 7A and B, top). However, we observed a 2- to 8-fold reduction of the H3K4me3 modification level in the ICP27 gene promoter at 2 to 4 hpi in cells transfected with BAF-specific siRNA compared to that in NT control siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 7C, top). To confirm the reduced H3K4me3 modification in the ICP27 promoter region at an early time postinfection, we repeated the ChIP assays at 2 hpi as described above. The levels of H3K4me3 were 2-fold lower at 2 hpi in the cells transfected with BAF-specific siRNA than those in NT control siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 7C, bottom), but the levels of H3 and H3K9me3 were not significantly different at the same time postinfection (Fig. 7A and B, bottom). These results were consis-
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tion of reduced viral yields in HeLa and HFF cells, arguing
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BAF in HeLa and HFF cells, both cell types grew slower than NT
control siRNA-transfected cells but continued to grow nonethe-
less. Although endogenous BAF was difficult to detect by immu-
noblotting, the levels of BAF transcripts were still present at 10% of
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FLAG-BAF) that overexpressed BAF. We found that the HSV yield
was higher in CV-1–FLAG-BAF cells than in control CV-1-CAT
cells, supporting a positive role for BAF in HSV replication.
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expression in different systems. Homodimerized BAF molecules
are thought to bridge DNAs and cause chromatin compaction and
accumulation of heterochromatin, thereby silencing certain genes
(34, 36, 37). On the other hand, overexpression of BAF has been
reported to induce euchromatin marks (60), and BAF binds tran-
scription factors (41). Depletion of BAF in mouse embryonic stem
cells (ESCs) decreases markers of ESCs, including Sox2, Oct4,
 Nanog, and FGF4 (51), and increases the interaction between the
lamina-associated domain and lamin B1 (64), implying that BAF

**FIG 6** Knockdown of BAF reduces the size and numbers of viral RCs. HFF cells were transfected with NT control or BAF-specific siRNA and infected with HSV-1 at an MOI of 10. At 8 hpi, the cells were fixed and processed for indirect immunofluorescence assays with antibodies specific for BAF (red in top row), ICP8 (red in bottom row), and H3K9me3 (green). Arrows indicate ICP8-stained RCs.
may also have positive functions in cellular gene expression rather than being limited to a repressive function. Although a recent study with murine cells showed that a mutant form of BAF could repress HSV replication (59), our results with human cells showed that WT BAF promotes HSV-1 lytic infection. The different results may be due to the difference in the host cells used, but it is more likely that the mutant BAF protein exerted a dominant negative effect on endogenous BAF. Consistent with this model, WT BAF did not inhibit HSV-1 replication in that study (59).

We examined the stages of the HSV life cycle at which BAF functions. Depletion of BAF reduces the levels of viral IE transcripts, but entry of the viral genome and accumulation of the tegument protein VP16 in the nucleus were not affected, implying that BAF plays a role after genome entry into the nucleus. Our ChIP assay results support a positive functional role for BAF in gene expression by serving as an epigenetic regulator. When we depleted BAF, we observed that the H3K4me3 euchromatin mark was decreased, but the heterochromatin mark H3K9me3 and total histone H3 were not significantly changed. Although overexpression of BAF has been reported to alter the global levels of histone modifications (60), our results argue that BAF contributes to modification of the H3K4me3 euchromatin mark specifically at viral promoters rather than by global changes in epigenetic profiles during HSV lytic infection. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that other histone modifications and their regulating factors contribute to herpesvirus gene expression, and further studies are needed to identify other factors regulated by BAF for herpesvirus gene expression.

We found that the HSV ICP8 DNA-binding protein could interact with BAF. BAF accumulates in RCs in the nucleus, and

FIG 7 Effect of BAF depletion on viral chromatin. ChIP assays were performed to determine the levels of histone H3, the H3K9me3 heterochromatin mark, and the H3K4me3 euchromatin mark on the ICP27 IE gene promoter. (A to C) HeLa cells transfected with NT control or BAF-specific siRNA were infected with HSV-1 at an MOI of 1 and fixed at the postinfection times indicated (top panels) or 2 hpi (bottom panels). Chromatin was prepared and immunoprecipitated with antibodies specific for H3 (A), H3K9me3 (B), and H3K4me3 (C), and the amounts of ICP27 promoter sequences were determined by quantitative PCR. The top panels present two independent experiments, and the bottom panels present three or more independent experiments. Lines connect values of individual experiments. (D and E) ChIP assays were performed to determine the levels of BAF (D) and SETD1A (E) on viral gene promoters. HA-BAF was expressed transiently in HeLa cells that were then infected with HSV-1 at an MOI of 5. FLAG-SETD1A was expressed transiently in BAF-depleted HeLa (siBAF) or control (NT) cells that were then infected with HSV-1 at an MOI of 5. At 2 hpi, the cells were fixed and ChIP was performed with antibodies specific for HA or FLAG. The levels of individual HA-BAF- or FLAG-SETD1A-associated promoter sequences were determined by quantitative PCR. The histogram shows the mean values and standard deviations from three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01 (two-tailed paired t test).
coimmunoprecipitation results show an association of BAF with HSV ICP8. ICP8 binds to both single-stranded DNA and dsDNA (65, 66), and it is essential for viral genome replication (67–69). BAF has been proposed to promote cellular DNA replication. BAF localizes predominantly in the nucleus during S phase (43). BAF knockdown HeLa cells showed a 4-h extension of S phase compared to control cells (43), and HeLa cells overexpressing BAF showed significantly fewer cells in S phase (60), implying that BAF is required for optimal DNA replication. BAF has also been proposed to facilitate DNA damage repair on the basis of the association of BAF with multiple proteins involved in DNA damage repair (41). Therefore, the ICP8-BAF association raises the possibility of additional functions of BAF during viral replication.

Mechanism of BAF-mediated viral gene expression. Viruses target key regulatory mechanisms in their host cells, and the study of viral replication often identifies new cellular mechanisms. Thus, the functional recruitment of SETD1A methyltransferase onto viral promoters by BAF may define a new cellular mechanism (Fig. 9). We observed that SETD1A associated with all of the promoters tested at 2 hpi, but depletion of BAF reduced the association of the SETD1A methyltransferase and its corresponding histone modification, H3K4me3, with HSV IE and E gene promoters preferentially. These results argue that the association with the L (gC) gene promoter is not functional or regulated by a different mechanism(s). On the basis of these results, we hypothesize that BAF may need viral and/or cellular binding partners to achieve its gene specificity.

HSV VP16 forms a transactivator complex with the cellular HCF-1 and Oct-1 proteins, and this complex specifically recognizes IE gene promoter sequences (ATGCTAATGARAT) (4–9). HCF-1 in the VP16 transactivator complex is a key factor that recruits various epigenetic modifiers, including SETD1A (9, 21), suggesting that BAF might associate with VP16 or HCF-1 to gain its specificity. However, we could not coimmunoprecipitate VP16 and BAF (70) or HCF-1 and BAF (results not shown), suggesting that the interactions are transient or that the mechanism of BAF and SETD1A recruitment on IE gene promoters might not be directly related to VP16 or HCF-1. VP16 reduces histone H3 and heterochromatin H3K9me3 levels on IE gene promoters (28, 71), but depletion of BAF did not change the level of either. In addition, depletion of BAF did not affect the association between VP16 and IE gene promoters, supporting the idea that BAF might not contribute to interaction of the VP16 transactivator complex. We cannot exclude the possibility of an association between BAF and the VP16 transactivator complex, but BAF might be involved in post-VP16 transactivator complex formation steps, including SETD1A recruitment to the VP16 transactivator complex and/or the stability of the transactivator complex-SETD1A association. It is also possible that BAF might affect the association of other transcription factors and cofactors, including Oct-1, Sp1, HCF-1, and GABP, with viral promoters, and further studies are needed to clarify this.

The functional specificity of BAF for viral genes likely involves cellular factors. Although our results showed that BAF associates broadly with viral and cellular DNA, the levels varied with different genes. More interestingly, the levels of SETD1A association are reduced only on IE and E viral gene promoters in BAF-depleted cells, implying that an additional factor or factors might coordinate with BAF to gain the specificity of SETD1A recruitment to these specific genes. Previous studies also support this idea, because BAF recruits gene-specific transcriptional and epigenetic regulators, including cone-rod homeobox (Crx), beta-catenin, germ cell-less (GCL), retinoblastoma (Rb), and SMAD, through...
its binding partners, mostly LEM domain-containing inner nuclear membrane proteins (34, 39, 60, 72–76). Therefore, we propose a mechanism in which cellular binding partners, potentially LEM domain-containing proteins, might also be required for the epigenetic regulation mediated by BAF and SETD1A for viral gene expression (Fig. 9). We observed that SETD1A expressed by transfection associated with all viral promoters at 2 hpi, but the kinetics of SETD1A association with individual viral promoters has not been evaluated. Therefore, it is not clear whether the levels of the associations of SETD1A with viral promoters are saturated because of the overexpression of SETD1A. Kinetic studies of association of native SETD1A or stably expressing SETD1A with viral promoters by ChIP assay could clarify this.

Trimethylation of H3K4 can be induced by multiple lysine methyltransferases, including SETD1A, SETD1B, MLL1 to -4, ASH1L, SMYD1, SMYD3, and Meisetz (77). Although their individual target genes have not been fully identified, they seem to regulate distinct genes. The localization patterns of SETD1A and SETD1B do not overlap in the nucleus (78), and SETD1B overexpression cannot rescue the defect of proliferation induced by SETD1A depletion in mouse ESCs (79). MLL2 is required for the fertility of oocytes, but SETD1A is not (79, 80). Individual MLLs are required for different functions in stem cells (81). It is possible that these functional differences depend on their specific subunits in each complex. SETD1A-B and MLL1 to -4, which contain human COMPASS-like complexes, have core subunits in common, including WDR5, RBBP5, ASH2L, and DYP30 (82), but SETD1A/B complexes are associated with WDR82 and CFP1; MLL1/2 complexes are associated with MENIN, PC4, and PSIP1; and MLL3/4 complexes are associated with UTX, PTIP, PA1, and NCOA6 (81, 82). Interestingly, DYP30 was detected in BAF immunoprecipitates from HeLa cell lysates (41), providing a possible interaction for the model proposed in Fig. 9. SETD1A and MLL1 have been shown to regulate alpha herpesvirus replication (83), but the other COMPASS-like complexes have not been observed to play a role in herpesvirus replication. Further study is needed to understand the specificity and/or redundancy of functional contributions of other COMPASS-like complexes for herpesvirus replication and cellular gene regulation, and HSV can provide a useful tool to understand it.

In summary, we have provided evidence that BAF could serve as an epigenetic mediator for optimal HSV-1 gene transcription. This is the first demonstration that BAF can regulate H3K4me3 to promote gene expression. Additionally, our results suggest that BAF can specifically induce the association of SETD1A on certain gene promoters. On the basis of our results and previous studies, we hypothesize that BAF can serve as an epigenetic mediator in both repressing and activating cellular gene expression, depending on the binding partners and chromatin status. This study also supports the idea that HSV can be a useful model system to understand the epigenetic regulation of gene expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells, viruses, and drug treatments. HeLa, HFF, and Vero cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. U2OS and Vero cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Life Technologies and Corning) supplemented with 5% (vol/vol) bovine calf serum (BCS; Life Technologies), 5% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies), and 2 mM l-glutamine in 5% CO₂. HFF cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS. WT HSV-1 KOS (84) and VP16-GFP-tagged HSV-1 DG1 (85) were grown in and their titers were determined on Vero cells. Infections were conducted with virus diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1% glucose (wt/vol) and 0.1% BCS (vol/vol) for 1 h with shaking at 37°C; this was followed by a medium change to DMEM–1% BCS and incubation at 37°C until the times indicated for harvesting.

Plasmid construction. HA-tagged BANF1 coding sequences were subcloned into pLPCX (Clontech) to construct a pLPCX-HA-BAF plasmid. HA-BANF1 was amplified by PCR amplification with primers 5’-CTGAACTTTCAACAAGAGGCCTGCACCCAC-3’ and 5’-ACCAAGCTTGGCAACCATTGTTAACAAGGATGAGTGAAGCTCCTGATAAAGC-3’ (Integrated DNA Technologies) from the pFLAG-BAF (44) plasmid (a gift from Katherine L. Wilson) with High Fidelity PCR Master (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The PCR product was digested with HindIII (New England Biolabs [NEB]) and purified with the QiAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen). The pLPCX plasmid was digested with HindIII (NEB), treated with shrimp alkaline phosphatase (NEB), and purified from low-melting-point agarose gel with the QiAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen). The digested PCR fragment was ligated into pLPCX with T4 DNA ligase (Life Technologies), and the ligation mixture was transformed to NEB 5-alpha competent Escherichia coli cells (NEB).

siRNA-mediated BAF depletion. ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool and its individual siRNAs targeting BANF1 (L-1-011536-01, J-011536-9, J-011536-10, J-011536-11, and J-011536-12) and a Nontargeting Control Pool (D-001810-10) were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The double-stranded siRNAs were transfected into HeLa or HFF cells at 5 or 50 nM with the HiPerFect reagent (Qiagen) or DharmaFect II, respectively, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After 3 days, the cells were passaged and a second transfection into HeLa or HFF cells at a final concentration of 5 or 50 nM, respectively, was performed. At 3 days after the second siRNA transfection, cells were counted and infected with HSV-1 at the MOIs indicated.

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. For analysis of total proteins by immunoblotting, cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA) containing 1X Complete protease cocktail inhibitors (Roche) and 1X NuPAGE sample buffer (Life Technologies) as previously described (86). The proteins in the lysates were resolved in NuPAGE 4 to 12% bis-Tris gels (Life Technologies) and then transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) or nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). The membrane was blocked in 5% skim milk in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20 or Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR). The membrane was then incubated with antibodies specific for HSV ICp8 (1:5,000, rabbit serum 3-83 [87]), HSV ICP4 (1:4,000, mouse monoclonal antibody [MAb] 58S [88]), ICp27 (1:5,000, MAb; Eastcoast Bio), gD (1:5,000, MAb; Eastcoast Bio), BAF (1:2,000, rabbit serum; Millipore), GFP (1:2,000, rabbit serum; Abcam), lamin B1 (1:10,000, rabbit serum; Abcam), GAPDH (1:10,000, MAb; Abcam), and FLAG M2 (1:2,000, MAb; Sigma). The membrane was incubated with secondary antibodies (goat anti-mouse IgG-horseradish peroxidase [HRP], goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP [Santa Cruz Biotechnology], or IRDye 680RD and IRDye 800 [LI-COR]) for 45 min. The HRP signal was detected with chemiluminescence reagents (Luminata Western substrate; Millipore) and X-ray film (HyBlot ES; Denville Scientific). Near-infrared fluorescence was detected with Odyssey (LI-COR). Protein expression levels were quantified with ImageJ or Image Studio Lite (LI-COR).

Quantification of RNA levels by RT and real-time PCR. Quantification of RNA was performed as described previously (86). In brief, total RNA was purified with the RNasey minikit (Qiagen), 1 µg of the total RNA was treated with DNase I (Ambion) at 37°C for 1 h, and half of the DNase I-treated RNA was reverse transcribed with the high-capacity cDNA RT kit (Applied Biosystems). Relative amounts of specific cDNAs were quantified by using their specific primers with the SYBR green PCR master mix reagent (Applied Biosystems) and the StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Life Technologies). The primers (Integrated DNA Technol-
ologies) used in this study were as follows: for ChIP assays, IPC0 (5′ GCCT CAATGAAACCGCATT 3′ and 5′ CGCTTCCCGAAGAACTCA 3′ [89]), IPC1 (5′ TACAGTGGCAGGAAGCC 3′ and 5′ CCAGTGCCCA TCTATTCC 3′ [90]), IPC8 (5′ TGTCCTAGGCTAGGCTGGC 3′ and 5′ CCAAGGCGCCGCGGTGATGAC 3′ [61]), IPC27 (5′ CGCCGG GCCGTCGATGGAC 3′ and 5′ CTCGTGGCCGGGTGCTGC 3′ [91]), gC (5′ CGCCGGCGGCCGCGCTGACG 3′ and 5′ AGCCGGCTGGC GCCTCTTCTTCCTC 3′ [61]), GAPDH (5′ TTTGACAGCGCAGA TCTCCTT 3′ and 5′ CAGGGGCCCCATAGCAGGAACT 3′ [61]) and GAPDH promoter (5′ TACTAGGCGTCTTACCGG 3′ and 5′ TCGA CAACGGGGGAGCAGAGCA 3′); for transcripts and vDNA, IPC4 (5′ TGCTCAGGCAAGGGCAGCTG 3′ and 5′ CGCAGGACGAGGAG 3′ [92]), IPC27 (5′ GACATCTCTGCTGTTTGGTC 3′ and 5′ GACATCT CTCCTGCGACCGCCCG 3′ [92]), IPC8 (5′ GTCGGTACCCAGGGCCCTCAA 3′ and 5′ GTACTCTTGGCAGGCTGCC 3′), BANFI (5′ TGGCCAGTT TCTGGTGC 3′ and 5′ CGAAGGGCATCGGAGA 3′), and 18s rRNA (5′ TGAACCCGGTTGACCCCAT 3′ and 5′ CCACTCCAGGTAGTG AGG 3′).

Cell fractionation. For immunoblotting, HFF cells were infected with HSV-1 DG1 at an MOI of 1 and harvested at 3 h pi. Cell fractionation was performed with the NE-PER Nuclear Protein Extraction kit (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. For viral DNA quantification, BAF-depleted HFF cells were infected with HSV-1 at an MOI of 10 and harvested at 2 hpi and nuclei were isolated with the DNA quantification, BAF-depleted HFF cells were infected with HSV-1 at an MOI of 100 and harvested at 3 hpi. Cell fractionation was performed with the NE-PER Nuclear Protein Extraction kit (Pierce). The cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were subjected to SDS-PAGE.

Immunoprecipitation. HFF cells were seeded at 1 × 10⁴ or 5 × 10⁴/15.6-mm well on glass coverslips at 24 h prior to infection. At the postinfection times indicated, cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min (28). Antibodies specific for histone H3K9me3 (Abcam) and HSV-1 ICP4 5′ CPM 58 (88) and HSV-1 ICP8 mouse Mab 39S (87) were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Secondary antibodies conjugated to the dyes Alexa 594 and Alexa 488 were subsequently used for 1 h at room temperature. Nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Life Technologies), and ProLong Gold Antifade mounting reagent (Life Technologies) was used to mount coverslips. Wide-field images of cells were acquired on a Zeiss Axiosplan 2 microscope with a Plan Achromat 1.4 numerical aperture 63× objective lens, a Photometrics CoolSNAP HQ2 charge-coupled device camera, and the Zeiss AxioVision 4.8 image acquisition software.

Immunoprecipitation. HeLa cells (1.5 × 10⁶) were seeded into 100-mm dishes, transfected with 2 μg of either pFLAG-BAF (a gift from Katherine L. Wilson) or the empty-vector control, and at 24 to 48 h posttransfection, the cells were infected with HSV-1 DG1 at an MOI of 50. At 4 hpi, cells were harvested and lysed with NP-40 lysis buffer (0.5% NP-40, 10% glycerol, 50 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 1× Complete protease cocktail inhibitors [Roche]) on ice for 20 min. Supernatant samples were recovered after centrifugation at 1,000 × g at 4°C for 5 min. Pellets were resuspended in NP-40 lysis buffer again and sonicated with Bioruptor (Diagenode) for 20 s at maximum amplitude. The saved supernatant and sonicated samples were combined and spun at maximum speed in a microcentrifuge at 4°C to separate the supernatant and pellet. The supernatant lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with an antibody specific for FLAG (M2, Sigma) or IPC8 (3–83, 87) or with control normal rabbit IgG (Millipore). After four or five washes with NP-40 lysis buffer, the beads were boiled in Laemmli sample buffer and the proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE.

ChIP assays. ChIP assays were performed as described previously, with modifications (28, 93). HeLa cells were seeded at 3 × 10⁶/100-mm dish at 24 h prior to infection. Cells were infected at an MOI of 10 with WT HSV-1 or HSV-1 DG1, respectively. For FLAG-SETD1A or HA-BAF ChIP assay, HeLa cells transfected with pcDNA3 FLAG-SETD1A (a gift from David Skalnik and Jeong-Heon Lee) or pLPCX-HA-BAF were infected with WT HSV-1 at an MOI of 5. At the postinfection times indicated, the cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min (ChIP for histones) or 20 min (others) at room temperature, glycine at 125 mM was added to quench the formaldehyde, and the mixture was incubated for 3 min. The cells were washed twice with cold PBS, scraped into cold PBS supplemented with 1× Complete protease cocktail inhibitors (Roche), and pelleted for 5 min at 1,000 × g. The cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at −80°C. The cells were thawed on ice, lysed in cold lysis buffer I (50 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.5], 10% glycerol, 0.5% NP-40, 0.25% Triton X-100, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1× Complete protease cocktail inhibitors) on ice for 10 min, and collected by centrifugation for 10 min at 10,000 × g. Pellets were resuspended in cold lysis buffer II (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1× Complete protease cocktail inhibitors), rotated for 10 min at 4°C, and then spun for 10 min at 1,000 × g. Pelleted nuclei were resuspended in lysis buffer III (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% N-lauroylsarcosine, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1× Complete protease cocktail inhibitors). The pelleted nuclei were sonicated with a Bioruptor 200 (Diagenode) for multiple cycles of 30 s on and 30 s off at the maximum power until the majority of the chromatin fragments were less than 1 kb. The chromatin was clarified by centrifugation and diluted 10-fold in ChIP dilution buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, 2 mM EDTA, 1.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 1× Complete protease cocktail inhibitors). Aliquots of chromatin (20 to 50 μg) were incubated overnight with 2.5 μg of anti-histone H3 IgG (Abcam ab1791), anti-histone H3K9me3 IgG (Abcam ab8898), anti-histone H3K4me3 IgG (Abcam ab5850), or anti-GFP (Abcam ab290 and Clontech IL-8) antibody or rabbit IgG as a negative control (Millipore). For the FLAG-SETD1A ChIP assay, we used 2.5 μg of anti-FLAG M2 IgG (Sigma F3165) or mouse monoclonal IgG as a negative control (Millipore). Prior to the addition of each antibody, 5% of each sample was saved to determine the input value. Magna ChIP protein A/G (20 μl for anti-FLAG) or A (20 μl for other antibodies from rabbits) magnetic beads (Millipore) were added to each sample. For the HA-BAF ChIP assay, we added anti-HA magnetic beads (Pierce 88836) to lysates of pLPCX-HA-BAF or pLPCX plasmid-transfected cells. The samples were incubated for 1 h at 4°C and washed four times with ChIP dilution buffer, twice with lithium chloride wash buffer (250 mM LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.7% sodium deoxycholate, 1× Complete protease cocktail inhibitors), and twice with Tris-EDTA (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA) buffer. DNAs were eluted twice with 90 μl of elution buffer (1% SDS, 100 mM sodium bicarbonate) to 65°C for 10 min. TE buffer (pH 8.0), NaCl (to a concentration of 200 mM), and 1 μg RNase A (Ambion) were added, and the cross-links were reversed at 65°C overnight. The samples were treated with proteinase K (Roche) for 1 h at 45°C, and the DNAs were purified with the QiAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen).
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