Segregation of sphingolipids and sterols during formation of secretory vesicles at the trans-Golgi network
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Introduction

One of the major functions of membrane trafficking in eukaryotic cells is to provide each organelle connected within the system with its functional complement of proteins and lipids (van Meer, 1989; Mellman and Warren, 2000). Biosynthesis of secretory proteins starts in the ER, and the glycosylation of proteins is completed in the Golgi apparatus (Mellman and Warren, 2000). From the TGN, proteins are distributed to the plasma membrane (PM), endosomes, and lysosomes (Griffiths and Simons, 1986; Traub and Kornfeld, 1997; Bard and Malhotra, 2006; Pfeffer, 2007). The lipid composition changes progressively throughout the secretory pathway; the ER displays a relatively low concentration of sterols and sphingolipids, which in turn accumulate toward the PM (Simons and van Meer, 1988; van Meer and Simons, 1988; van Meer, 1989; Zinser and Daum, 1995).

Lipid rafts have been proposed to be involved in the generation of the lipid gradients in the secretory pathway (Simons and Ikonen, 1997). These membrane structures have evolved from controversial detergent-resistant entities to dynamic, nanometer-sized membrane domains formed by sterols, sphingolipids, saturated glycerophospholipids, and proteins (Simons and Vaz, 2004; Hancock, 2006). Lipid raft coalescence can be induced by lipid–protein and protein–protein interactions to form ordered membrane microdomains involved in signal transduction (Simons and Toomre, 2000), virus assembly (Brügger et al., 2006), and membrane trafficking (Schuck and Simons, 2004). Raft involvement in TGN cargo sorting was suggested from work on apical transport in epithelial cells and formed the basis for the raft concept as it was first formulated (Simons and van Meer, 1988; Simons and Ikonen, 1997). However, direct evidence indicating sorting of lipids in membrane trafficking is still lacking, and the function of rafts in the formation of post-Golgi transport carriers remains elusive.

In this work, we aimed to provide answers to the issue of whether the TGN can sort lipids during transport carrier assembly.
If raft coalescence plays a functional role in the sorting machinery that forms carrier vesicles transporting raft proteins to the cell surface, these secretory vesicles should be selectively enriched in sterols and sphingolipids. However, testing this hypothesis has so far been hampered by numerous shortcomings of biochemical and analytical methodology available for such experiments. In this study, we used the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as our experimental system. The molecular lipid composition of *S. cerevisiae* includes ergosterol (in contrast to cholesterol in mammalian cells), three classes of inositol-containing sphingolipids, inositolphosphoceramide (IPC), mannosyl-IPC (MIPC), and mannosyl-di-IPC (MIP₂C), glycerophospholipids, and glycerolipids (Daum et al., 1998; Holthuis et al., 2001). Similar to epithelial cells, yeast uses at least two distinct cell surface delivery pathways (Simons and Wandinger-Ness, 1990; Wandinger-Ness et al., 1990; Harsay and Bretscher, 1995; Bagnat et al., 2000; Gurunathan et al., 2002; Harsay and Schekman, 2002; Rodriguez-Boulan et al., 2005).

The pathway that transports raft proteins from the TGN to the PM is mediated by a population of light density secretory vesicles (LDSVs), which are similar to apical transport carriers in epithelial cells (Harsay and Bretscher, 1995; Bagnat et al., 2000). In a visual genome-wide screen for identifying sorting factors at the TGN, we found that the chimeric raft protein FusMidGFP displayed a trafficking phenotype in mutants with sphingolipid and ergosterol biosynthesis defects (Proszynski et al., 2004, 2005). Thus, we reasoned that the formation of the TGN-derived secretory vesicles could be generated based on a raft clustering mechanism. To purify the vesicles transporting FusMidGFP, we developed an immunoisolation procedure and implemented a shotgun lipidomics approach for quantitative characterization of the lipidome of secretory vesicles and the donor compartment.

**Results**

**Immmunoisolation of TGN-derived secretory vesicles**

To isolate TGN-derived secretory vesicles in high purity, we devised an immunoisolation procedure using a transmembrane...
raft protein as bait. We engineered the bait from the chimeric protein FusMidGFP, a type 1 transmembrane O-glycosylated raft protein which is directly delivered from the TGN to the PM in LDSVs (Fig. 1; Proszynski et al., 2004, 2005).

Several experimental factors were important to ensure successful immunoisolation of secretory vesicles. First, we had to localize the bait protein specifically into the TGN-derived transport carriers. Second, the bait protein had to be engineered with a high affinity epitope for immunoisolation. Third, we developed a specific immunoadsorbent with high binding capacity and low unspecific binding. Fourth, we introduced a protease cleavage site into the bait that enabled tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease-specific release of the secretory vesicles from the immunoadsorbent (Aebersold and Mann, 2003).

At the C terminus of the bait protein FusMidGFP, we introduced a high affinity 9× myc (M9) tag. A TEV protease site (T) was inserted between GFP and M9 and flanked by linker regions (L), generating the immunoisolation raft carrier bait FusMidGFP/LTLM9, hereafter abbreviated as FusMdp.

To isolate post-Golgi secretory vesicles from living cells, we expressed the bait FusMdp in the temperature-sensitive exocyst mutant sec6-4 (Novick et al., 1980). When expressed at the permissive temperature 24°C, FusMdp reached the cell surface, as observed by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1 A). At the restrictive temperature 37°C, FusMdp accumulated intracellularly and did not translocate to the PM, as demonstrated by fluorescence microscopy and biochemistry (Fig. 1 A and Fig. S1 A). Electron microscopy demonstrated that the block of secretion at 37°C led to intracellular accumulation of vesicles (Fig. 2, A and B). By tomography, we observed that the vesicles were spherical with a diameter of ~100 nm (Fig. 2 C and Video 1).

For the specific isolation of TGN-derived FusMdp-vesicles, we combined conventional subcellular fractionation with a novel immunoisolation procedure. Based on previous work, we designed an immunoadsorbent composed of cellulose and sheep anti–mouse antibody (Hales and Woodhead, 1980; Wandinger-Ness et al., 1990). This immunoadsorbent showed high binding specificity, quantitative vesicle pickup, and efficient organelle release after TEV protease cleavage.

Secretory vesicles were isolated by cell lysis, differential fractionation, and organelle purification by isopycnic sucrose gradient centrifugation followed by immunoisolation (Figs. S2 and S3). Mouse anti-myc antibody was added to the purified organelle fraction enriched in the bait FusMdp and incubated with the sheep anti–mouse immunoadsorbent. Vesicles were specifically eluted by addition of TEV protease, producing the expected truncation of the bait (Fig. 3 A).

**Immunodepletion of endosomes reduces organelle cross-contamination of immunoisolated secretory vesicles**

To specifically recover FusMdp–secretory vesicles, we implemented the immunodepletion of endosomes by applying a mouse antibody against the endosomal t-SNARE Pep12p (Fig. 3 A).
Assessment of the purity of FusMidp-vesicles

To document the relative enrichment of different organelle markers throughout the isolation procedure, we performed Western blot analysis. First, we showed that the bait protein was fully glycosylated (Proszyński et al., 2004) in the immunoisolated vesicles, thus confirming that they were TGN derived (Fig. 3 A). We verified that binding and release of the FusMidp-vesicles were specific because an unspecific mouse antibody did not retain vesicles on the immunoadsorbent (Fig. S3 A, b). Moreover, release of a bait construct lacking the TEV protease site was not possible (Fig. S3 A, c). Importantly, in analyzing whether other potential raft proteins were included in the vesicles, we found that the major glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (PI [GPI])-anchored protein Gas1p (Mayor and Riezman, 2004) was present in FusMidp-vesicles (Fig. 3 A).

The soluble secreted protein invertase (the RFP fusion protein invertase-RFP [InvRFP]), a marker of an alternative cell surface delivery pathway mediated by heavy density secretory vesicles (HDSVs; Harsay and Bretscher, 1995), was not detectable in the eluate, demonstrating that FusMidp-vesicles are distinct from InvRFP-carriers (Fig. 3 A). We confirmed by Western blotting of the extracellular medium that InvRFP was correctly processed at both temperatures and secreted by sec6-4 cells at 24°C (Fig. S3 B).

Assessing the contamination with ER, we monitored the protein Dpm1p, which was efficiently depleted by subcellular fractionation (in P20; Fig. S1, A and B). Residual amounts of Dpm1p were detected in the sucrose gradient (Fig. S2 A), but Dpm1p was essentially removed from the isolated vesicles (Fig. 3 A). The design of the immunoisolation procedure also depleted Pep12p-containing endosomes (Fig. 3 A). As expected, residual amounts of late Golgi vesicular t-SNARE Tlg1p were present in the isolated FusMidp-vesicles (Fig. 3 A; Holthuis et al., 1998).

In addition, we verified that the sample amounts used for assessment of the vesicle purification gave responses within the dynamic range of the Western blot analysis (unpublished data). We hereby conclude that we have established a robust immunoisolation procedure that, for the first time, allows specific isolation of a pure population of secretory vesicles.

Morphology and integrity of immunoisolated secretory vesicles

To assess whether the immunoisolation procedures preserved the integrity of the secretory vesicles, we first examined the morphology of the FusMidp-vesicles by electron microscopy. We found a homogenous population of spherical vesicles with a diameter of 100 nm (Fig. 4). This result agrees well with the size of the vesicles observed in living sec6-4 cells at 37°C (Fig. 2, B and C). To evaluate the integrity of the isolated vesicles, we performed a flotation experiment as previously described (Harsay and Bretscher, 1995). We bottom loaded the immunoisolated FusMidp-vesicles on a linear Nycodenz gradient and centrifuged to equilibrium. The vesicle marker FusMidp and the GPI-anchored Gas1p floated to the top of the gradient with densities as LDSVs (Fig. S4; Harsay and Bretscher, 1995). In addition, the soluble secretory LDSV marker Bgl2p cofractionated with FusMidp and Gas1p.

The addition of TEV protease released the bait-labeled vesicles specifically because Pep12p was not cleaved and therefore retained endosomes on the immunoadsorbent. This strategy was essential for the successful purification of the FusMidp-vesicles (Fig. 3 A) and their molecular characterization.
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population of 100–300-nm membrane structures and small vesicles of ~40–50 nm (unpublished data). Moreover, the FusMidp-vesicles and TGN/E were morphologically distinct from purified yeast PM (Fig. S1 C).

Because the vesicle isolation was performed at 4°C, which can induce phase separation and vesicle pinch-off in simple model membranes (Lipowsky, 1993), we sought to determine whether the immunoisolation of vesicles from cells could be compromised by an artifactual fragmentation of the donor compartment. To do this, we performed a set of control experiments. First, we examined whether there was a difference in the amount of vesicles produced during subcellular fractionation at 4 and 24°C (Fig. S5 A), and, second, we investigated whether subcellular fractionation at 4 and 24°C affected the abundance of secretory cargo within the TGN/E (Fig. S5 B). These data showed that the immunoisolated secretory vesicles were not contaminated with artifactual membrane entities created by purification at 4°C.

Collectively, these results demonstrated that the immunoisolation procedure recovered a specific population of secretory vesicles from living cells, carrying the raft proteins FusMidp and Gas1p, and that the secretory vesicles had a distinct morphology and composition in comparison with their donor compartment TGN/E and the yeast PM.

FusMidp-vesicles are enriched in ergosterol and sphingolipids

To determine whether the TGN exhibits the capacity to selectively sort lipids, we performed a comparative lipidomics study of FusMidp-vesicles and the TGN/E. To do this, we used a novel quantitative shotgun lipidomics platform for absolute quantification (i.e., picomole or mole percentage) of ergosterol, sphingolipid, glycerophospholipid, and glycerolipid species that yields accurate assessment of the stoichiometric relationship between the membrane lipid constituents (Ejsing et al., 2009). Lipid species were quantified by spiking samples with defined amounts of

Figure 4. Transmission electron micrographs of FusMidp-vesicles in different magnifications. [A] Overview of FusMidp-vesicles in an electron micrograph shows the morphological homogeneity of the FusMidp-vesicle population with a diameter of ~100 nm. These isolated vesicles have the same morphological characteristics as the structures that accumulate in sec6-4 cells at 37°C; see Fig. 2 [B and C]. (B) Transmission electron micrograph of a single FusMidp-vesicle. The 100-nm vesicular structure is formed by a membrane whose electron density pattern shows the integrity of the lipid bilayer. (C) A group of FusMidp-vesicles. The lipid bilayer is visible as in B. Bars: [A] 1,000 nm; [B and C] 100 nm.
internal lipid standards for each monitored lipid class, which correct for biased lipid recoveries and differential ionization efficiencies in the subsequent steps of lipid extraction and quantitative mass spectrometric analysis (Ejsing et al., 2006a,b). Comparative lipidome analysis of FusMidp-vesicles, the TGN/E, and yeast cell lysates resulted in the absolute quantification of 83 molecular lipid species constituting 12 lipid classes.

The most abundant lipid species in the FusMidp-vesicles was ergosterol, comprising 22.8 mol% of the lipidome (corresponding to 5.7 pmol/µl), followed by 11.1 mol% M(IP)₂C 18:0;3/26:0;1 (Fig. 5). The lipidome of the TGN/E contained 8.5 mol% PI 16:0-16:1 as the most abundant lipid species followed by 9.8 mol% ergosterol. In comparison, ergosterol and M(IP)₂C 18:0;3/26:0;1 were 2.3- and 2.6-fold enriched, respectively, in the FusMidp-vesicles as compared with the TGN/E. Furthermore, we observed a similar enrichment of IPC 18:0/3/26:0;1 (2.2-fold) and MIPC 18:0/3/26:0;1 (2.4-fold) in the FusMidp-vesicles. Evaluating the lipid class composition (sum of all lipid species constituting the same lipid class), we observed that PI comprised the most abundant class in both FusMidp-vesicles and the TGN/E (Fig. 6). In addition to the enrichment of ergosterol and sphingolipids, the FusMidp-vesicles also showed a 3.2-fold enrichment of phosphatidic acid (PA). FusMidp-vesicles displayed a concomitant 3.7–1.6-fold reduction in phosphatidylserine (PS), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), DAG, and phosphatidylcholine (PC) as compared with TGN/E. Comparing the lipid composition of FusMidp-vesicles and the TGN/E with that of total yeast cell extracts (Fig. 6), we concluded that the immuno-isolation procedure enabled the specific recovery of subcellular organelles having selective differences in molecular lipid composition in addition to the differences in protein composition and morphology.

The membrane organization of FusMidp-vesicles is more ordered than the TGN/E. Because the lipidome data demonstrated that the FusMidp-vesicles had a composition compatible with raft lipid enrichment (ergosterol and sphingolipids; Simons and Vaz, 2004), we sought to determine whether there was a difference in the membrane structure of the FusMidp-vesicles as compared with the TGN/E. If the enrichment of ergosterol and sphingolipids in FusMidp-vesicles were to reflect a difference in bilayer order caused by raft coalescence, the prediction would be that the bilayer would be more packed than the donor membranes from where the vesicles form (Simons and Vaz, 2004). To measure membrane order, we used a spectrophotometric assay based on fluorescent C-Laurdan (Parasassi et al., 1991; Gaus et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2007). The fluorescence emission spectrum of C-Laurdan is sensitive to membrane order and may be used to calculate a parameter called the general polarization (GP), whose magnitude serves as a relative measure of membrane order. The data demonstrated that the membrane of the FusMidp-vesicles (GP = 0.24 ± 0.01) was more ordered than the TGN/E (GP = 0.09 ± 0.05; Fig. 7). This result indicated that the biogenesis of FusMidp-vesicles involves a modulation of membrane architecture during its formation at the TGN.
lipidome analysis demonstrated that FusMidp-vesicles comprised 2.3-fold more ergosterol and sphingolipids as compared with the TGN/E (Fig. 5). These compositional differences between vesicle and donor compartment membranes unequivocally demonstrate that lipid sorting occurs in the TGN. Furthermore, the enrichment of these lipids corroborates the model that the TGN sorting machinery uses a raft-clustering mechanism during the formation of secretory vesicles. In addition to the enrichment of ergosterol and sphingolipids, the lipid analysis also documented differences in other lipid classes between the FusMidp-vesicles and the TGN/E. PA was also elevated in the FusMidp-vesicles (Figs. 5 and 6). This lipid has previously been implicated in post-Golgi secretion through phospholipase D action (Routt et al., 2005). Interestingly, PS, PE, and PC were depleted in FusMidp-vesicles.

The molecular constituents of secretory vesicles suggest a functional role for sphingolipids and sterols in TGN sorting

The results presented in this study corroborate our previous finding that ergosterol and sphingolipids are functionally important for cell surface transport of FusMidp (Proszynski et al., 2005). Several other studies have also implicated ergosterol and sphingolipids in the delivery of other raft-associated proteins, including Gas1p, from the Golgi to the PM in yeast (Bagnat et al., 2000; Eisenkolb et al., 2002; Umebayashi and Nakano, 2003; Gaigg et al., 2005, 2006). Interestingly, sphingolipid mutants identified in the screen produce sphingolipid species with shortened fatty acid moieties (elo3Δ) or species having a dihydrosphingosine (sur2Δ) instead of a phytosphingosine moiety (Haak et al., 1997; Oh et al., 1997; Ejsing et al., 2009). These molecular attributes have been implicated in the formation of liquid-ordered domains by augmenting sphingolipid–sterol interactions by hydrogen bonding (sur2Δ cannot hydroxylate the long chain base at C4; Kuikka et al., 2001) and by coupling the two membrane leaflets in raft domains by interdigitation of the very long chain fatty acid moiety from the luminal leaflet into the cis-Golgi network (Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Mellman and Warren, 2000; Rodriguez-Boulan et al., 2005).

Discussion

Selective lipid segregation in cargo sorting at the TGN

It is well known that all steps in the biosynthetic and endocytic transport pathways involve protein sorting. Despite numerous genetic screens designed to identify the molecular sorting machinery at the TGN that generates transport carriers targeted to the cell surface, we are still left with an incomplete picture of this fundamental process (Novick et al., 1980; Walch-Solimena and Novick, 1999; Proszynski et al., 2005; Sciorra et al., 2005; Harsay and Schekman, 2007).

One model in the field is that lipid raft clustering contributes to sorting processes at the TGN (Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Mellman and Warren, 2000; Rodriguez-Boulan et al., 2005). The main consequence for the membrane lipid composition of a vesicle that is generated by such a lipid raft–dependent TGN sorting mechanism would be a selective enrichment for sterols and sphingolipids. Until now, rigorous testing of this prediction was hindered by technical caveats in methodology; it was not possible to isolate TGN-derived secretory vesicles with a purity sufficient to enable the characterization of their molecular lipid composition. Furthermore, methodology for assessing the stoichiometric relationship between the membrane lipid constituents was not available.

The main focus of this work was to determine whether the lipid composition of TGN-derived secretory vesicles transporting the raft protein FusMidp would change during formation at the TGN. By engineering a novel immunosolation procedure, we were able to purify secretory vesicles and late Golgi compartments from living cells. Western blot analysis and electron microscopy demonstrated that the immunosolated FusMidp-vesicles represent a specific population of secretory vesicles. We compared the molecular lipid composition of FusMidp-vesicles with their donor organelle TGN/E using a novel quantitative shotgun lipidomics platform with unsurpassed analytical sensitivity and specificity (Ejsing et al., 2009). The comparative lipidome analysis demonstrated that FusMidp-vesicles comprised 2.3-fold more ergosterol and sphingolipids as compared with the TGN/E (Fig. 5). These compositional differences between vesicle and donor compartment membranes unequivocally demonstrate that lipid sorting occurs in the TGN. Furthermore, the enrichment of these lipids corroborates the model that the TGN sorting machinery uses a raft-clustering mechanism during the formation of secretory vesicles. In addition to the enrichment of ergosterol and sphingolipids, the lipid analysis also documented differences in other lipid classes between the FusMidp-vesicles and the TGN/E. PA was also elevated in the FusMidp-vesicles (Figs. 5 and 6). This lipid has previously been implicated in post-Golgi secretion through phospholipase D action (Routt et al., 2005). Interestingly, PS, PE, and PC were depleted in FusMidp-vesicles.
the underlying cytoplasmic leaflet (elo3Δ synthesizes C22:0 and C24:0 fatty acids instead of C26:0; Veiga et al., 2001). The effects of these seemingly minor modifications of lipid structure on membrane trafficking only emphasize the importance of lipidomic analysis in studies of membrane trafficking.

We note that the lipid composition of transport vesicles that exit from the Golgi toward endosomes and lysosomes remains to be determined. However, from our finding that the TGN/E contains a lower ergosterol and sphingolipid concentration as compared with the FusMidp-vesicles, we predict that the route to the endosomes is less dependent on these lipids. This prediction is corroborated by the absence of ergosterol and sphingolipid mutants in genetic screens analyzing these pathways (Seaman et al., 1997; Bonangelino et al., 2002). Another important open issue is the lipid composition of the vesicles carrying invertase. Unfortunately, the transmembrane receptor for invertase is unknown, and, therefore, we could not address this aspect.

**Mechanistic implications**

The functional involvement of raft-based sorting in the assembly of transport carriers at the TGN could imply the formation of stabilized liquid-ordered domains from small rafts in the TGN membrane (Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Hancock, 2006). Raft coalescence would be promoted by an increase in sphingolipid and sterol concentration toward the trans side of the Golgi complex. Work from our laboratory has recently demonstrated that raft coalescence can be induced at a physiologically relevant temperature to form domains selectively enriched in raft proteins and lipids (Lingwood et al., 2008). Thus, induction of raft clustering in some similar way could lead to selective segregation of proteins and lipids in the TGN membrane. Interestingly, the incorporation of the GPI-anchored raft protein Gas1p into FusMidp-vesicles is consistent with a raft-clustering mechanism. So is the observation that FusMidp-vesicles exhibited a higher membrane order than the TGN/E, as measured by C-Laurdan spectrophotometry. This assay corroborates the notion that the vesicle bilayer undergoes a structural change, as predicted by a raft clustering mechanism (Simons and Vaz, 2004).

The lipid-facilitated sorting mechanism underlying the generation of the raft carriers at the TGN would lead to an enrichment of sphingolipids and ergosterol at the PM and enable the establishment of the lipid gradient characteristic of the secretory pathway (Hechtberger et al., 1994; Zinser and Daum, 1995; Schneider et al., 1999; Holthuis et al., 2001). This gradient could be enhanced by retrograde removal of glycerophospholipids by coat protein I (COPI)–mediated transport. Brügger et al. (2000) produced COPI vesicles in vitro from rat liver and COS cell Golgi membranes. Mass spectrometric analysis of COPI vesicles showed a reduction in both cholesterol and sphingomyelin content. If similar segregation of ergosterol and sphingolipids also occurred during yeast COPI vesicle biogenesis, the selective transport of sterols and sphingolipids anterogradely and the recycling of phosphatidylcholine retrogradely could be a basic element in the processes responsible for Golgi sorting functions.

Recently, the cytoplasmic protein complex termed exomer was shown to be important for TGN to PM transport in yeast (Wang et al., 2006). Additionally, peripheral membrane proteins such as BAR (BIN/amphiphysin/RVS) domain–containing proteins could facilitate the budding process at the TGN (Itoh and De Camilli, 2006). But what are missing are the factors responsible for raft clustering in the TGN. We postulate that luminal or cytosolic proteins are required for induction of raft coalescence. Candidates could be Chs5p, Kes1p, or Rvs161p, which have been previously identified in the screen for sorting factors (Proszynski et al., 2005).

**Lipid species–dependent phase segregation in biological membranes contributes to cargo sorting and membrane sculpting**

Immiscibility of two liquid phases in membrane bilayers can promote membrane bending (Lipowsky, 1993). Recent work on shiga toxin has demonstrated that when this pentameric protein binds the glycosphingolipid Glb3 on the cell surface, it creates tubular membrane invaginations that internalize the toxin into cells (Romér et al., 2007). This process is energy independent and seems to be driven by a phase segregation process. Similarly, polyoma virus binds to the cell surface ganglioside GM1, and this multimeric binding leads to domain-induced budding into the cell (Lipowsky, 1993; Damm et al., 2005; Ewers et al., 2005). Thus, a new principle is emerging in membrane trafficking that uses lipid–lipid and lipid–protein interactions driving protein and lipid sorting during transport carrier formation. The novel strategy presented in this work will make it possible to isolate and characterize other sorting stations and carriers in the secretory pathway of living cells and thereby contribute to unraveling the molecular mechanisms of this complex distribution machinery.

**Materials and methods**

**Yeast strain and culture media**

The yeast strain KSY302 used in this study expressed the temperature-sensitive allele sec6-4 of the exocyst subunit SEC6 (provided by P. Novick, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT). The genotype of KSY302 is sec6-4; leu2-3,112; ura3-52. KSY302 was cultured in synthetic complete media containing yeast nitrogen base (Difco), CSM-URA-LEU [BIO101], and 2% raffinose (Sigma-Aldrich). Construct expression was induced by adding 2% galactose (Merck).

**Plasmids**

To produce the vesicle bait FUSMIDGFPLTLM9 (plasmid p147), ITIM9 was amplified by PCR and integrated by homologous recombination 3’ of FUSMIDGF into HindIII-linearized plasmid TPQ55 (based on pRS416; Proszynski et al., 2004) by recombination in yeast. The TGN/E bait, GAP1GFPLTLM9 (plasmid p165), was engineered by recombining ITIM9 3’ of GAP1GF into XhoI-linearized p163 (GAP1GF, provided by C. Kaiser, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA). INVRFP (plasmid p145) was made by restriction digestion of a construct carrying InvRFP using SacI and HindIII and ligation into pRS415. The bait without a TEV site, FUSMIDGFPLM9 (plasmid p137), was produced as p147 with a PCR fragment of LM9 not containing the TEV site.

**Antibodies**

Mouse IgG ChromPure whole molecule (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), mouse anti-human CD3 (clone OKT3; eBioscience), sheep anti-mouse antibody (Wandinger-Ness et al., 1990), mouse anti-myct antibody (c-Myc [9E10]; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), mouse anti-GFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit anti-RFP (provided by M. Zerial, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany), rabbit anti-Gas1p (provided by H. Riezman, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland), mouse anti-Hsp12p (Invitrogen), mouse anti-5p1p (Invitrogen), mouse anti-3p1p (Invitrogen), mouse anti-3p1p (Invitrogen), mouse anti-5p1p (Invitrogen), mouse anti-5p1p (Invitrogen), mouse anti-5p1p (Invitrogen), mouse anti-5p1p (Invitrogen).
rabbit anti-Tlg1p (provided by J. Holthuis, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands; Holthuis et al., 1998), and rabbit anti-Bgl2p (provided by R. Schekman, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA) were used.

**Microscopy**

Microscopy was performed with a microscope (BX61; Olympus) and a UPLanApo 100x NA1.4 oil immersion objective (Olympus). Pictures were acquired at room temperature in synthetic complete medium with a camera (SPOT; Diagnostic Instruments, Inc.) using MetaMorph software (MDS Analytical Technologies).

**Subcellular fractionation and immunobilization**

KSY302 (sec6-4) transformed with the constructs FusMidGFPIITM9 (p147) and InvRFP (p145) or Gap1GFPIITM9 (p165) and p145 was cultured at 24°C and 1000 rpm in SCURA-LEU and 2% raffinose to 1 OD600. For simultaneous construct expression and vesicle accumulation, cells were shifted into 250 ml SCURA-LEU and 2% raffinose containing 2% galactose and incubated for 45 min at 37°C. To arrest membrane traffic, the medium was supplemented with 10 mM NaN3 and incubated for another 20 min at 37°C. Cells were pelleted (4 min at 4000 g and 4°C), resuspended in 3 ml of lysis buffer (800 mM sorbitol, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM triethanolamine, pH 7.4, CLAP [chymotain, leupeptin, antipain, and pepstatin], 1 mM PMSF [Roche], and 10 mM NaN3), and disrupted by 0.5-mm zirconia beads (BioSpec Products, Inc.). The supernatant of a centrifugation at 2000 g (10 min at 4°C) was collected and centrifuged for another 30 min at 20,000 g (4°C), which produced the supernatant S20. S20 was loaded on top of a sucrose gradient (15 ml 10% sucrose, 15 ml 25%, 15 ml 35%, 15 ml 45%, and 15 ml 50%) and centrifuged to equilibrium (2.5 h at RCFmax 401,747 g in a vertical gradient rotor [VTi65.1; Beckman Coulter] at 4°C). The gradient was fractionated into 1-ml fractions. Refractive index and protein concentration of fractions were determined. Fraction 7 was used for FusMid-vesicle isolation, and fraction 4 was used to isolate the TGN/E.

Respective fractions were diluted with 1 vol PBSG (PBS, 0.1% w/v gelatin) for isolation of FusMid-vesicles, mouse anti-myc and mouse anti-Pep12p antibodies were added (1:1000 to total protein and 1:2000 to total protein, respectively), and the solution was rotated for 2 h at 4°C. Next, 600 μl immunoadsorbent (10 mg adsorbent/ml in PBSG); the adsorbent was composed of 200 μg of sheep anti–mouse antibody/mg of cellulose) was added for vesicle binding, and the solution was rotated overnight at 4°C.

The immunoadsorbent with bound vesicles was pelleted (at 1500 g for 2 min in an Eppendorf table-top centrifuge with a swing-out rotor at 4°C) and washed three times in 1 ml PBSG. For vesicle elution, the cellulose was resuspended in 1 ml PBSG containing 1 mM DTT and 100 μM of TEV protease and incubated for 4 h at 4°C. Then, the immunoadsorbent was pelleted again. First-order vesicles were recovered in the supernatant. In lipidome analysis, the vesicles were pelleted (1 h at RCFmax 186,340 g in a fixed angle rotor [TLA55; Beckman Coulter]) and resuspended in 200 μl of 150 mM NaH2CO3, pH 8.0. The TGN/E was isolated with exactly the same procedure except that Gap1GFPIITM9 was used as immunobilization bait, TGN/E input material was sucrose gradient fraction 4, and the immunodepletion with anti-Pep12p antibody was not applied.

**Purification of sheep anti–mouse antibody**

Sheep anti–mouse antibody was affinity purified using a mouse IgG–modified to diazocellulose, which was immediately coupled to sheep anti–mouse antibody. The immunoadsorbent was stable for up to 6 mo.

**PM isolation**

PM was prepared as previously described (Serrano, 1988). 250 OD600 units of cells were treated exactly as for isolation of FusMid-vesicle and TGN/E. The pellet of the 20,000 g spin (P20) was resuspended in 300 μl of 20% glycerol, pH 5.0, and loaded on top of a 4-ml step gradient (1.3 ml of 55% [wt/wt] sucrose in 10 mM triethanolamine, pH 7.4, and 2.7 ml of 45% [wt/wt] sucrose in 10 mM triethanolamine, pH 7.4). After 5 h of centrifugation (RCFmax 129,481 g, 31 krpm, at 4°C in a swing-out rotor [SW60, Beckman Coulter]), the visible interface was collected with a gradient fractionator (LabConco). The 500-μl interface fraction was dialyzed twice with 20% glycerol, pH 5.5, and membranes were pelleted (1 h at RCFmax 186,340 g in a TLAA55 fixed angle rotor). The pellet was resuspended in 300 μl of 20% glycerol and loaded on a second step gradient (55%45% sucrose) and centrifuged for 16 h (RCFmax 129,481 g, 31 krpm, at 4°C in an SW60 swing-out rotor). The gradient was fractionated into eight 500-μl fractions. The gradient profile was monitored by Western blotting with the PM marker Pep12p and GAS1p and against GFP to detect the immunobilization baits and against the ER integral membrane protein Dpm1p and the late endosome marker Pep2p.

**Mass spectrometric lipid analysis**

FusMid-vesicles, TGN/E, and total cell extracts were subjected to quantitative shotgun lipidomic analysis (Eising et al., 2009). In short, samples were mixed with 20 μl of internal lipid standard mixture, providing a total spike of 15 pmol DAG 17:0-17:0, 29 pmol PA 17:0-17:1, 59 pmol PE 17:0-14:1, 15 pmol phosphatidglycerol 17:0-14:1, 49 pmol PS 17:0-14:1, 58 pmol PC 17:0-14:1, 62 pmol PI 17:0-17:0 (provided by C. Thiele, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany), 15 pmol ceramide 18:0-3:18:0, 23 pmol IPC 18:0-2:26:0, 20 pmol MIPC 18:0-2:26:0, 30 pmol MIP(1)C 18:0-2:26:0, and 160 pmol stigmasta-7,22-tetrional. Standards were subsequently subjected to lipid extraction executed at 4°C (Eising et al., 2009). The lower organic phases were isolated and evaporated in a vacuum evaporator at 4°C. Lipid extracts were dissolved in 100 μl chloroform/methanol (1:2; vol/vol) and subjected to quantitative lipid analysis on both a hybrid i quadrupole time of flight mass spectrometer (QSTAR Pulsar; MDS Analytical Technologies) and an LTQ Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with the robotic nanoflow ion source TriVersa NanoMate (Advion Biosciences, Inc.). DAG, PA, PS, PE, PI, and phosphatidlyglycerol species were quantified by negative ion mode multiprecursor ion scanning analysis (Eising et al., 2006a); PC and ceramide species were quantified by consecutive positive ion mode precursor ion scanning m/z 184.1 and multiple reaction monitoring analysis, respectively. IPC, MIPC, and M(IP)C species were quantified by negative ion mode flow through mass analysis on an LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer; ergosterol was quantified after chemical acetylation by multiple reaction monitoring. Automated processing of acquired mass spec data and identification and quantification of detected molecular lipid species were performed by dedicated softwares (MDS Analytical Technologies; Eising et al., 2006a) and ALEX (Analysis of Lipid Experiments) software (Eising et al., 2009).

Lipid species were annotated by their molecular composition. Glycero phospholipid and DAG species were annotated as: <lipid class>-<number of carbon atoms in the first long chain base moiety>/<number of carbon atoms in the fatty acid moiety>-<number of carbon atoms in the second fatty acid moiety>-<number of double bonds in the second fatty acid moiety> [e.g., PI 16:0-18:1]. Sphingolipid species are annotated as: <lipid class>-<number of carbon atoms in the long chain base moiety>-<number of double bonds in the long chain base moiety>-<number of hydroxyl groups in the long chain fatty acid moiety>-<number of hydroxy groups in the fatty acid moiety>. For example, IPC 18:0-3/26:0-1; is an IPC species containing a C18 phytosphingosine (having three hydroxyl groups and no double bonds) and a C26:0 amidedlinked fatty acid moiety with a hydroxyl group.

**C-Laurdan fluorescence spectrofluorimetry**

FusMid-vesicles and TGN/E were stained for 15 min with 100 nM C-Laurdan (provided by B.R. Cho, Korea University, Seoul, Korea). Samples
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