Grand Rounds: Asbestos-Related Pericarditis in a Boiler Operator

Citation

Published Version
doi:10.1289/ehp.10354

Permanent link
http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:4892354

Terms of Use
This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Share Your Story
The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Submit a story.

Accessibility
A 59-year-old boiler worker saw his primary care physician for nasal congestion, dyspnea, and wheeze in mid-March 2005. Although he quit smoking 23 years earlier, he had a 20 pack-year history of smoking. Past medical history was significant for pneumonia (in 1991) and chronic bronchitis. The initial chest radiograph did not show pneumonia; however, it revealed noncircular pleural calcification of the pericardium. The patient was treated for an infectious exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. Chest radiographs demonstrated pleural and pericardial calcifications. Further evaluation with cardiac catheterization showed a hemodynamic picture consistent with constrictive pericarditis. A high-resolution computerized tomography scan of the chest demonstrated dense calcification in the pericardium, right pleural thickening and nodularity, right pleural plaque without calcification, and density in the right middle lobe. Pulmonary function testing showed mild obstruction and borderline low diffusing capacity.

**DISCUSSION:** Based on the patient’s occupational history, the presence of pleural-pathology consistent with asbestos, previous evidence that asbestos can affect the pericardium, and absence of other likely explanations, we concluded that his pericarditis was asbestos-related.

**RELEVANCE TO CLINICAL PRACTICE:** Similar to pleural thickening and plaque formation, asbestos may cause progressive fibrosis of the pericardium.
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considered significant by standard criteria [American Thoracic Society (ATS) 1995; Celli and MacNee 2004]. A comparison with previous workplace spirometry between 1990 and 2004 did not show accelerated lung function loss but did demonstrate similar patterns with a previous FEV₁ as low as 66% predicted.

In December 2006, as part of his workers compensation claim, the patient was referred to an occupational and environmental medicine physician by the Massachusetts Department of Industrial Accidents for an impartial examination. The purpose was to provide the responsible judge with independent opinions regarding diagnosis and causal relation to work. Based on the evidence presented above, the following diagnoses were reached: asbestos-related constrictive pericarditis, asbestos-related pleural disease, and mild chronic obstructive lung disease. Based on a reasonable degree of medical certainty, the impartial examiner concluded that these diagnoses were related to the patient’s asbestos exposures as a boiler worker.

**Discussion**

The differential diagnosis of pericardial fibrosis is similar to that of pleural fibrosis and includes asbestos exposure, past tuberculosis, other infections, sarcoidosis, connective tissue disease, and trauma. None of these were apparent in our patient except asbestos exposure. Asbestos most commonly produces pulmonary pathology; however, asbestos bodies have been recovered from numerous extrapulmonary tissues, including the heart (Auerbach et al. 1980; Churg et al. 1978). Asbestos pericarditis, albeit rarely reported, is a recognized extrapulmonary complication of past asbestos exposure (Davies et al. 1991; Fischbein et al. 1988; Jessurun et al. 1996; Trosini-Desert et al. 2003; Weg 1998; Weir and Gerstenhaber 2001). Other extrapulmonary diseases associated with asbestos exposure include malignant mesothelioma of the peritoneum and pericardium, cancers of the colon, and retroperitoneal fibrosis (Beck et al. 1982; Browne and Smither 1983; Frumkin and Berlin 1988; Masse et al. 1980; Sebag et al. 2000; Selkoff et al. 1964, 1979; Uibu et al. 2004). Proposed mechanisms of asbestos fiber migration into extrapulmonary tissues include direct spread and hematogenous and lymphatic transport (Auerbach et al. 1980). Given that the pleurae and pericardium share certain histologic characteristics and that asbestos-related pleural disease is the most common manifestation of past asbestos exposure, it is not surprising that progressive fibrosis of the pericardium, with or without calcification, may also result.

The hemodynamic picture in this patient is a consequence of diastolic restraint of right and left ventricular expansion imposed by an inelastic pericardium. The result is limited diastolic filling of both ventricles, as well as approximation of right and left filling patterns and end diastolic pressures, respectively. Echocardiogram also showed intermittent displacement of the interventricular septum in diastole. The classic “dip and plateau” diastolic filling pattern typically seen in pericardial constriction was not found in this case because the patient’s pericardial calcification was noncircumferential. The clinical correlation to past asbestos exposure was based on the occupational history, sufficient latency period, and other pathologic findings consistent with asbestos exposure.

Our patient was exposed to asbestos for almost 30 years while working in a power plant around boilers. Asbestos has long been used for thermal insulation in steam pipes and turbines. Fontaine and Trayer (1975) reported an area sample concentration of 4.7 fibers/cc at a Tennessee Valley Association power plant 30 years after an asbestos control effort started in 1945. Cammarano et al. (1984) found excess mortality among thermoelectric power plant workers where asbestos was used for insulation on the turbine boilers and pipes. Similarly, Breslow et al. (1954) observed 10 lung cancer cases in steam fitters, boilermakers, and asbestos workers, compared with 1 case in controls. Owen (1965) reported mesothelioma cases in lagers, pipe fitters, and boilermakers. In the Australian mesothelioma registry, between 1980 and 1985, boilermakers made up about 11% of the identified mesothelioma cases (Yeung and Rogers 2001).

Other findings in our patient that are compatible with asbestos exposure included pleural thickening and plaque; linear density in the lung parenchyma; and impaired lung function. Pleural plaques are a reliable biomarker for establishing significant asbestos exposure (ATS 2004). Our patient also had chronic obstructive lung disease, which undoubtedly was significantly related to his history of past smoking and chronic bronchitis. Nonetheless, peribronchiolar fibrosis, which is associated with airflow obstruction, has been shown to be one of the earliest pathologic lesions in asbestos exposure (Begin et al. 1982; Bellis et al. 1989; Churg et al. 1985; Dumortier et al. 1990; Filipenko et al. 1985). Epidemiologic studies have also shown a higher prevalence of obstructive physiology (both decreased flows and air trapping) in asbestos-exposed workers than would be

**Table 1. Lung function results, December 2006.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulmonary function test</th>
<th>Percent of predicted value</th>
<th>Percent change after bronchodilator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC%</td>
<td>71*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory flow 25–75%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (uncorrected)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Absolute value for FEV₁/FVC%.
expected after adjustment for smoking (Becklake 1992; Griffith et al. 1993; Harless et al. 1978; Kilburn and Warshaw 1994; Rodriguez-Roisin et al. 1980). In addition, the finding of lung nodules, although small, warrant follow-up because the patient’s asbestos exposure puts him at increased risk of lung cancer (ATS 2004; Meurman et al. 1994; Oksa et al. 1997; Terra Filho et al. 2006; Ulvestad et al. 2002).

The pathogenesis of pericardial fibrosis is assumed to be similar to pleural or lung parenchyma fibrosis. Asbestos is persistent in the tissues. Settlement of the asbestos fibers is followed by influx of neutrophils and macrophages that generate hydrolytic enzymes, chemotaxins, cytokines, growth factors, and free radicals. Fibroblasts are activated as well. This cascade of events produces fibrosis, which increases as the fiber burden increases (ATS 2004; Schoenberger et al. 1982).

Although asbestos-related pericarditis due to occupational exposure is uncommon, a number of cases have been reported over the last 20 years (Table 2). Among the 12 cases listed in Table 2 (including our patient), all were > 40 years of age and most were > 60 years of age. Constrictive physiologic was observed in 58% of the cases, with the remaining cases having pericardial effusions. All cases had associated pleural findings—mostly benign disease—but one patient had a mesothelioma. Most of the cases (83%) did not report definitive evidence of parenchymal asbestosis.

In addition, we are aware of at least two other reports of calcific pericarditis that were not related to occupational exposure but that do support asbestos as an etiology for pericardial fibrosis (Fraker et al. 1984; Weg 1998). These patients underwent a Beck procedure (a cardiac procedure used in the 1950s to develop collateral blood flow to the heart), which involved the introduction of asbestos into the pericardium to induce adhesion. In both cases, there was subsequent development of constrictive pericarditis with calcification (Fraker et al. 1984; Weg 1998).

Treatment for asbestos pericarditis is not different from that for other forms of constrictive pericarditis. This includes diuretics, salt restriction, and activity modification depending on the status of the patient. The definitive treatment is surgical decortication of the pericardium. We can only speculate whether workplace surveillance with chest radiography may have detected pleural changes and/or pericardial changes and, thus, led to removal from further exposure at an earlier stage.

Because asbestos is persistent in the tissues, disease progression occurs even after the cessation of exposure. Therefore, we cannot say whether earlier removal from exposure would have produced a better outcome. Additionally, our patient’s condition, although stable at presentation, could worsen with additional fibrotic change of the pericardium and/or lung.

**Conclusion**

The use and sale of asbestos have been restricted, and strict control measures are currently established in most industrialized countries; however, because of long latency and the chronic nature of asbestos-related diseases, we will continue to see patients with complications from past exposure. Therefore, careful workplace surveillance and attention to the occupational and environmental history in clinical practice are important for the early recognition of asbestos-related conditions. Although classically associated with pulmonary disease, asbestos fibers do reach other tissues and can produce extrapulmonary disease. Constrictive pericarditis is an uncommon complication of asbestos exposure. When found, it has been accompanied by asbestos-related pleural disease and occasionally other pathologic changes compatible with asbestos exposure.

### Table 2. Findings among published cases of pericarditis associated with occupational asbestos exposure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Patient’s age (years)</th>
<th>Occupation and total latency, years</th>
<th>Pericardial findings</th>
<th>Pleural findings</th>
<th>Parenchymal lung findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fischbein et al. 1988</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Boilermaker, 60 years</td>
<td>Calcification, constrictive physiology</td>
<td>Calcification</td>
<td>Interstitial fibrosis, asbestosis bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies et al. 1991</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Lagger (insulator), 25 years</td>
<td>Diffuse fibrous thickening, effusion</td>
<td>Calcified plaques, diffuse thickening</td>
<td>No asbestosis, but occasional asbestos bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies et al. 1991</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Marine engine fitter, &gt; 25 years</td>
<td>Thickening of the visceral and parietal layers, constrictive physiology</td>
<td>Calcified plaques, blood-stained effusion</td>
<td>Patchy interstitial fibrosis, classical crocidolite asbestosis bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies et al. 1991</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Asbestos mixer, 14 years</td>
<td>Diffuse dense fibrosis with fusion of visceral and parietal layers, constrictive physiology</td>
<td>Extensive pleural thickening, blood stained effusion</td>
<td>No asbestosis, only scanty asbestos bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordioli et al. 1994</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Railroad worker, 40 years</td>
<td>Effusion and fibrohyaline plaques</td>
<td>Calcified plaques</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessurun et al. 1996</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Effusion and diffuse thickening with inflammatory reaction</td>
<td>Calcified plaques</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trgotic et al. 1997</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Handling bags of asbestos at insulation company, 41 years</td>
<td>Effusion and diffuse inflammatory thickening</td>
<td>Bilateral benign asbestos effusions in past and subsequent diffuse thickening</td>
<td>Rounded atelectasis and bilateral blunting of the costophrenic angles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weir and Gerstenhaber 2001</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Retired telephone installer, unknown</td>
<td>Effusion, chronic inflammation and fibrosis, constrictive physiology</td>
<td>Mesothelioma, bilateral pleural effusion</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trozini-Desert et al. 2003</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Electric plant worker, 32 years</td>
<td>Pericardial thickening, constrictive physiology</td>
<td>Pleural thickening and diaphragmatic calcifications</td>
<td>Bilateral blunting of the costophrenic angles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trozini-Desert et al. 2003</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Lagger (insulator), 20 years</td>
<td>Circumferential pericardial thickening with some calcification, constrictive physiology</td>
<td>Calcified pleural plaques and thickening</td>
<td>Atelectasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roggeri et al. 2003</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic effusion</td>
<td>Bilateral plaques</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Boiler operator, 39 years</td>
<td>Pericardial calcification, constrictive physiology</td>
<td>Plaques and thickening</td>
<td>Right middle lobe scarring, bilateral small nodules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adapted in part from Trozini-Desert et al. (2003). *Approximate time from first exposure to time of presentation.
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