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Abstract

The 5′ end of the IgH locus contains a cluster of DNASel hypersensitive sites, one of which (HS1) was shown to be pro-B cell specific and to contain binding sites for the transcription factors PU.1, E2A, and Pax5. These data as well as the location of the hypersensitive sites at the 5′ border of the IgH locus suggested a possible regulatory function for these elements with respect to the IgH locus. To test this notion, we generated mice carrying targeted deletions of either the pro-B cell specific site HS1 or the whole cluster of DNASel hypersensitive sites. Lymphocytes carrying these deletions appear to undergo normal development, and mutant B cells do not exhibit any obvious defects in V(D)J recombination, allelic exclusion, or class switch recombination. We conclude that deletion of these DNASel hypersensitive sites does not have an obvious impact on the IgH locus or B cell development.

Introduction

The variable region of an immunoglobulin heavy chain (IgH) is assembled from V (variable), D (diversity), and J (joining) gene segments that lie upstream of several IgH constant (C) region exons in a process called V(D)J recombination [1]. The mouse IgH locus contains large numbers of VH segments and multiple D and JH segments but an individual IgH V(D)J exon is assembled from only one VH, one D, and one JH segment.

V(D)J recombination of the IgH locus takes place in pro-B cells in an ordered way such that D to JH recombination precedes VH to DJH recombination [2]. In this regard, activation of the IgH locus is thought to progress in a stepwise manner [3]. D to JH rearrangement efficiently occurs on both alleles, however, allelic exclusion ensures that VH to DJH recombination results in expression of a functional heavy chain (HC) from only one of the two alleles [4].

Mature B-cells can undergo further alterations of their HCs. IgH class switch recombination (CSR) causes expression of different immunoglobulin isotypes which confer different effector functions. During this recombination process one of several sets of downstream C′H exons replaces the Cα exons and the intervening sequence is deleted from the chromosome, which results in expression of a new C region without changing the specificity of the IgH variable region [3].

A large effort has been made to elucidate mechanisms of IgH locus regulation and a number of cis-regulatory elements have been described and characterized. The IgH intronic enhancer (Ei) resides in the JH – C′H intron and was shown to be necessary for efficient V(D)J recombination by promoting both D to JH and VH to DJH recombination [6,7]. Downstream of the C′H genes at the very 3′ end of the IgH locus a cluster of DNASel hypersensitive sites was described, termed 3′ IgH regulatory region (3′IgH RR). So far two main functions have been assigned to this regulatory region: the 3′IgH RR plays an important role in promoting CSR to most IgH isotypes, and the 3′IgH RR was shown to be necessary for high level expression of the functionally assembled HC gene from the promoter 5′ of the VHDJH exon [8].

An additional potential regulatory region was identified at the 5′ end of the IgH locus, consisting of four DNASel hypersensitive sites [9]. One of these sites, HS1, was shown to be pro-B cell specific, the stage during which IgH V(D)J recombination takes place, and was suggested to include binding sites for the transcription factors PU.1, Pax5 and E2A [9]. These observations led to the suggestion that this region might represent a new regulatory region for IgH rearrangements. In this regard, the 5′ end of the IgH locus is an attractive location for a regulatory element because it would not be deleted during the course of V(D)J recombination, and it might explain control of several unresolved phenomena in the IgH locus. Among these is the regulation of VH germline transscripts as so far
no cis-regulatory element has been identified that controls activity of the bulk of unarranged \( V_H \) promoters. Furthermore, it is not known how it is achieved that proximal and distal \( V_H \) segments are activated independently or why usage of distal versus proximal \( V_H \) gene families varies significantly.

Here we report the targeted deletion of the pro-B cell specific 5'\( I_GH \) HS1 as well as combined deletion of HS1, HS2, HS3a,b in mice. We analyzed potential implications on B cell development, V(D)J recombination, and IgH CSR.

**Methods**

**Targeted deletion of 5’I\( G_H \) DNASel hypersensitive sites in ES cells and generation of mutant mice**

All mouse were handled in strict accordance with good animal practice as defined by the relevant national and/or local animal welfare bodies, and all animal work was approved by Animal Research of Children’s Hospital Boston (Protocol # 08111253R). The RHS1 targeting vector was assembled in pLNTK [10]. As a 5' homology arm a 2.2 kb PCR product was generated with primers 5' GTACAGGGTAGGGTAGGATCACAAC 3' and 5' GTCCGAGCTTGGATAACACGAACTCTG 3' containing a Sall site at their 5' ends, which facilitate cloning of the PCR product into the Sall site of pLNTK. As a 3' homology arm a 7.5 kb AattI – Apal fragment was blunt end cloned into the Xhol site of pLNTK. The R3'HS targeting vector was generated by blunt end cloning a 4.4 kb EcoRI fragment into the Sall site of pLNTK as a 5' homology arm, and a 7.0 kb KpmI fragment into the Xhol site as the 3' homology arm. Correct targeting events and cre – loxP deletion events were confirmed by Southern blotting (Fig 1).

PCR assay for V(D)J rearrangements

Pro-B cells (IgM - B220+ CD43hi), pre B-cells (IgM - B220+ CD43lo), and double positive T-cells (B220+ CD43+ CD8a+) were analyzed by FACS on a FACSArray (BD Biosciences) and genomic DNA was extracted. 50 ng DNA or 5-fold dilutions were analyzed by PCR for DH–JH, VH-DJH, VH rearrangements with primers listed in Table S1. Input DNA amounts were normalized upon PCR amplification within DLG5. PCR was performed at 95°C for 45 cycles of 95°C for 30, 60°C for 90, and 72°C for 2', followed by 72°C for 3'. PCR products were transferred from ethidium bromide gels to nylon membranes and visualized with end labeled oligonucleotide probes (Table S1). CDR 3 lengths were generated from IgH VH rearrangements from mature B cells using oligonucleotides for V558 and JH4 rearrangements. PCR fragments were amplified using iProof (Biorad) polymerase and cloned into Zero Blunt Topo vectors (Invitrogen), and sequenced.

**IgH class switch recombination assay**

CD43+ splenocytes were isolated by MACS, cultured with LPS or IL4/α-CD40, and analyzed by flow cytometry as described previously [13].

**RT-PCR analysis**

RNA was extracted using TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche). 200 ng–1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed for one hour at 50°C using random hexamers (Roche) and Superscript III (Invitrogen) reverse transcriptase. PCR was performed at 94°C for 45, 30–39 cycles of 94°C for 30, annealing temperature (Table S1) for 30’, 72°C for 30’, followed by 72°C for 3’. cDNA input amount was normalized upon PCR amplification of β-actin cDNA. PCR products were visualized on ethidium bromide gels and/or subsequently transferred to nylon membranes and visualized with end labeled oligonucleotide probes (Table S1).

**Flow cytometry and cell sorting**

Single cell suspensions from spleen, thymus, or bone marrow were stained in PBS 2% FBS with various antibodies: FITC-αIgM, PE-Cy5-αB220, PE-αCD8a, PE-αCD43, FITC-αLy9.1, APC-αIgM, APC-Cy7-αB220 (BD Pharmingen), PE-αAA4.1, FITC-αCD4 (eBioscience). FACS analysis was performed on a FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences) and a FACSArray (BD Biosciences) apparatus. Cell sorts were performed on a FACSArray (BD Biosciences) apparatus.

**Results**

**Generation of mice with targeted deletion of 5’I\( G_H \) DNASel hypersensitive sites**

To determine the \( in vivo \) function of the cluster of DNASel hypersensitive sites described at the 5’ end of the IgH locus [9] we first replaced a ~340 bp Bcc1 – AatII fragment, harboring HS1, with a loxP flanked PGK-Neo\( ^R \) cassette. All targeting experiments were performed in heterozygous IgH\( ^{\beta/\beta} \) EF1 ES cells which have the advantage that IgH\( ^{\beta} \) (129 strain) and IgH\( ^{\delta} \) (C57BL/6 strain) alleles can be distinguished by antibodies against the different allotypes or by detection of restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP). Targeting vector homology arms were cloned from 129 strain genomic DNA, resulting in correct targeting events only on the IgH\( ^{\delta} \) allele. In heterozygous targeted ES cells, the IgH\( ^{\delta} \) allele always remained in the untargeted wildtype configuration.

Targetings were performed with the RHS1 targeting vector (Fig. 1A) to obtain the RHS1 allele and, upon cre/loxP deletion,
Figure 1. Targeting strategy for the generation of the RHS1, ΔHS1, and ΔHSs alleles. (A) The wildtype (wt) IgH locus and its 5' flanking region are shown. VH, DH, JH indicate representative IgH V, D, and J segments. Exons 1, 2, and 3 of Zfp386 are shown as grey rectangles, DNaseI hypersensitive sites HS1, HS2, HS3a, and HS3b are shown as black ovals. Correct targeting events of the RHS1 targeting vector were identified by Southern blotting on BglII digested ES cell DNA using probe 1, which results in a 15.8 kb band (lane 1) in addition to the 14.2 kb wildtype band (lane 2). Cre – loxP (black triangles) mediated deletion of the PGK-NeoR cassette (NeoR) from the RHS1 allele results in the ΔHS1 allele. Deletions were identified by Southern analysis of SacI digested DNA utilizing probe 2. A targeted clone before Cre – mediated deletion exhibits a 6.7 kb RHS1 band and a 5.1 kb wildtype band (lane 3). Upon deletion of the PGK-NeoR cassette, a 4.8 kb ΔHS1 band and a 5.1 kb wildtype band are visible (lane 4). Lane 5 shows untargeted wildtype DNA. (B) The ΔHS1 allele was targeted with the R3’HSs targeting vector to introduce a PGK-NeoR cassette flanked by loxP sites. Correct targeting events were confirmed by Southern blotting on SphI digested ES cell DNA with probe 1, resulting in a 2.6 kb band for the wildtype IgHb allele and a 7.2 kb band for ΔHSs, the targeted IgHa allele (lane 6). Cre – mediated recombination between the first and the third loxP site generates the ΔHSs allele (14.1 kb, lane 8). Homozygous R3’HSs ES cells were generated under increasing concentrations of G418, resulting in a single 7.2 kb R3’HSs band (lane 7). Southern analysis on SphI digested DNA with probe 3 confirms correct targeting events of the R3’HSs targeting vector. ΔHS1 ES cells exhibit a 15.7 kb ΔHS1 band and a 26.3 kb band for the wildtype IgHb allele (lane 9). R3’HSs ES cells show a 11.1 kb ΔHSs band and a 26.3 kb band for the wildtype IgHb allele (lane 10). All targeting events occurred on the IgHa allele, whereas the IgHb allele remained in wildtype configuration. Drawings not to scale. B - BglII; S - SacI; P - SphI. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013992.g001
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the ΔHS1 allele. Correct targeting events (Fig 1) and single integration of the targeting vector (Figure S1) were confirmed by Southern blotting. Subsequently, targeted ES cells were injected into Rag2−/− blastocysts to obtain Rag-deficient blastocyst complementation (RDBC) chimeras, and into wildtype blastocysts to generate mice that carry the RHS1 or ΔHS1 allele in their germline. In order to delete all four hypersensitivity sites (HS1, HS2, HS3a, and HS3b), ES cells containing the ΔHS1 allele were targeted with the R3′HSs targeting vector to obtain the R3′HSs allele (Fig 1B). Cre/loxP recombination between the loxP site originating from the ΔHS1 allele and the loxP site 3′ of the PGK-Neo cassette results in the replacement of a 8.9 kb region, harboring all described 5 IgH DNaseI hypersensitive sites, with a single loxP site, referred to as the ΔHSs allele. Germine transmission could not be achieved for either of the R3′HSs or ΔHSs heterozygous ES cell lines. Therefore, we placed ES cells containing the R3′HSs allele under increasing concentrations of G418 to select for homozygous mutant ES cells. The homozygous mutant ES cells were subsequently subjected to cre/loxP recombination to delete the Neo gene and generate ES cells homozygous for the ΔHSs allele. The homozygous mutant ΔHSs ES cells were injected into Rag2−/− blastocysts, and chimeras generated by RDBC and lymphocytes were analyzed.

Development of homozygous RHS1, ΔHS1, and ΔHSs lymphocytes

Lymphocytes of different developmental stages can be identified by FACS analysis of cells from lymphoid tissues such as bone marrow, thymus, or spleen. We analyzed 8 weeks old wildtype mice, homozygous RHS1, and homozygous ΔHS1 mice that carry the mutant alleles in their germline, as well as lymphocytes from RDBC chimeras generated from homozygous ΔHSs ES cells (Fig 2). In wildtype bone marrow, pro-B cells can be identified as IgM− B220− CD43lo cells, and pre-B cells from wildtype, homozygous ΔHS1, and homozygous ΔHSs mice indicating that deletion of the pro-B cell specific HS1 site does not detectably affect the D to JH recombination step. DNA input amounts were normalized to the IgH locus [9]. We utilized a PCR based assay to assess V(D)J recombination efficiencies in developing lymphocytes from mice with homozygous deletion of HS1. FACS-sorted pro-B cells (IgM B220+ CD43+ and pre-B cells (IgM B220+ CD43−) from bone marrow and double positive (DP) T-cells (B220+ CD4+ CD8+) from thymus were analyzed for D to JH, V(H) to DJH, Vκ to Jκ, and Vλ to Jλ rearrangements. Intensities of PCR bands for DkQ52 to JH (Fig 3A) and DSP to JH rearrangements (Fig 3B) were comparable in pro-B cells, pre-B cells, and DP T-cells from wildtype, homozygous RHS1, and homozygous ΔHS1 mice indicating that deletion of the pro-B cell specific HS1 site does not detectably affect the D to JH recombination step. DNA input amounts were normalized to the presence of a genomic sequence within the murine DLG5 gene (Fig 3H).

It was speculated that HS1 might regulate the differential activation of distal versus proximal V(H) families [9]; therefore, we analyzed the rearrangement efficiencies of the proximal V(H)17183 family (Fig 3C), the distal V(H)558 family (Fig 3D), and the distal most V(H) segment V(H)558.55 (Fig 3E). We found that pro-B cells and pre-B cells from wildtype, homozygous RHS1, and homozygous ΔHS1 mice rearrange the proximal V(H)17183 family at similar levels (Fig 3C). Also, the distal family V(H)558 (Fig 3D) as well as the distal most V(H) segment V(H)558.55 (Fig 3E) rearranged at comparable efficiencies in pro-B cells and pre-B cells from the three different genotypes, V(H) to DJ(H) rearrangement was absent in DP T-cells from wildtype, homozygous RHS1, and homozygous ΔHS1 mice as the V(H) to DJ(H) recombination step is restricted to the B-lineage (Fig 3G, D, E). These data show that HS1 is not...
Figure 3. V(D)J recombination in ΔHS1 and RHS1 mice. Pro-B cells, pre-B cells and double positive (DP) T-cells from wildtype 129 mice, from homozygous ΔHS1, and homozygous RHS1 mice were sorted by FACS. 5-fold dilutions of genomic DNA were subjected to PCR analysis. IgH V(D)J recombination efficiencies were assessed using a reverse primer downstream of JH4 and a forward primer recognizing DQ52 (A), DSPs (B), the VH7183 family (C), the VJ558 family (D), or the VJ558.55 segment (E). Rearrangements can occur to JH1, JH2, JH3, or JH4 as indicated. GL indicates PCR product from germline configuration. Igκ rearrangements were quantified (F), rearrangements can occur to Jκ1, Jκ2, Jκ3, or Jκ4 as indicated. Igλ rearrangement efficiency was analyzed (G). Bands correspond to Vλ2-Jλ2, Vλ1-Jλ3, or Vλ1-Jλ1 rearrangements as indicated. DNA input was normalized to DLG5 PCR products (H).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013992.g003
necessary for rendering the distal part of the VH cluster accessible and, therefore, suggest that HS1 does not play a major role in regulation of usage or accessibility of distal versus proximal VH families.

Recently, it has been shown that IgH and Igk loci can colocalize during B-cell development, mainly at the pre-B cell stage, and it was suggested that this colocalization induces decontraction of the IgH locus [14]. We therefore performed an assay to evaluate Igk (Fig. 3F) and Igλ (Fig. 3G) V(D)J recombination efficiencies. Both Igk and Igλ loci show similar V(D)J recombination levels in the analyzed developing B cells from wildtype, homozygous RHS1, and homozygous DHS1 mice, while light chain rearrangements were absent in DP T-cells from the three different genotypes. Therefore, we conclude that deletion of HS1 does not markedly affect Ig light chain gene rearrangements.

As an independent method to evaluate D to JH and Vλ to DJH recombination efficiencies, we generated clonal hybridoma lines from splenic B-cells of IgH<sup>¯</sup> homozygous RHS1, ΔHS1 mice carrying the mutant allele in their germline and of RDBC chimeras generated from heterozygous ΔHSs ES cells (Table 1). In each case the IgH<sup>+</sup> allele was the mutant allele while the IgH<sup>+</sup> allele was the wildtype allele. In splenic B-cells, one allele exists as a functional V<sub>λ</sub>J<sub>DJH</sub> rearrangement, while the second allele can either be in germline configuration, or it exists as a D<sub>λ</sub>J<sub>DJH</sub> rearrangement. The rearrangement status of the second IgH allele was assessed by Southern blot analysis. Consequently, hybridomas expressing the mutant IgH<sup>+</sup> allele can be analyzed for rearrangement efficiency of the wildtype IgH<sup>+</sup> allele, and vice versa, in hybridomas expressing the wildtype IgH<sup>+</sup> allele, the rearrangement status of the mutant IgH<sup>+</sup> allele can be assessed.

Wildtype B cells undergo D to JH rearrangements on both alleles; but still, consistent with earlier studies, about 5% of hybridomas harbor an IgH allele in germline configuration which presumably originates from tripartite fusions involving non-B-cells [12] (not shown). The number of mutant alleles in germline configuration was not increased compared to wildtype indicating that RHS1, ΔHS1, and ΔHSs alleles can undergo efficient D to JH recombination (not shown). In 30–60% of wildtype B-cells the nonproductive allele is in D<sub>λ</sub>J<sub>DJH</sub> configuration; whereas in 40–50% the nonproductive allele is in V<sub>λ</sub>H<sub>d</sub>J<sub>H</sub> configuration [15]. An increased percentage of D<sub>λ</sub>J<sub>H</sub> alleles could indicate less efficient VH to DJH recombination; in contrast, an increased percentage of V<sub>λ</sub>H<sub>d</sub>J<sub>H</sub> alleles might indicate a break in allelic exclusion. IgMa expressing hybridomas generated from B-cells heterozygous for RHS1, ΔHS1, and ΔHSs were analyzed for their rearrangement status of the wildtype IgMb allele and show ratios of DJH (56%–61%), and V<sub>λ</sub>H<sub>d</sub>J<sub>H</sub> alleles (39%–44%) in the expected range (Table 1). IgM<sup>+</sup> expressing hybridomas were analyzed for the

**Table 1. ΔHS1, RHS1, and ΔHSs hybridoma analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DJ</th>
<th>VDJ-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔHS1</td>
<td>52 (57%)</td>
<td>41 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHS1</td>
<td>51 (61%)</td>
<td>32 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHS1</td>
<td>45 (52%)</td>
<td>41 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHSs</td>
<td>45 (52%)</td>
<td>41 (48%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hybridomas were generated from heterozygous IgM<sup>+</sup>ΔHS1, RHS1, and ΔHSs splenic B-cells. In each case, IgM<sup>+</sup> is the wildtype allele and IgM<sup>+</sup> is the mutant allele, IgM<sup>+</sup> expressing hybridomas (IgM<sup>+</sup>) and IgM<sup>+</sup> expressing hybridomas (IgM<sup>+</sup>) of each genotype were analyzed for the rearrangement status of their nonproductive allele. Numbers for D to J<sub>λ</sub> rearranged alleles (DJ) and nonproductive V<sub>λ</sub> to D<sub>λ</sub> rearranged alleles (VDJ-) are shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013992.t001

Figure 4. IgM<sup>+</sup> versus IgM<sup>+</sup> expression in ΔHS1, RHS1, and ΔHSs B cells. Heterozygous IgM<sup>+</sup>/B-cells from spleen (A) and bone marrow (B) of 129 wildtype (wt) or ΔHS1, RHS1, and ΔHSs RDBC chimeras were analyzed IgM<sup>+</sup> and IgM<sup>+</sup> expression. In ΔHS1, RHS1, and ΔHSs B-cells the IgM<sup>+</sup> allele is in wildtype configuration whereas the IgM<sup>+</sup> allele is the mutant allele.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013992.g004
rearrangement status of their mutant IgM⁺ allele. RHS1, AHSS, and AHSS alleles do not show significantly increased or decreased (Fisher’s exact test) rearrangement ratios compared to wt alleles, as 52%–69% of mutant alleles were in DJH configuration while 31%–48% were in VDJH configuration.

FACS analysis was performed on B-cells from spleens (Fig. 4A) and bone marrow (Fig. 4B) of RDBC chimeras generated from heterozygous RHS1, AHSS, and AHSS ES cells. IgM⁺ expressing populations, representing the targeted allele, and IgM⁻ expressing populations, representing the wildtype allele, were of similar size both in bone marrow and in spleen from RHS1, AHSS, and AHSS chimeras, suggesting that the RHS1, AHSS, and AHSS alleles can undergo V(D)J recombination at the IgH locus at similar efficiencies as wildtype alleles.

The ΔHS1, RHS1, and ΔHSs alleles do not affect allelic exclusion

FACS analysis of wt B cells from spleen (Fig. 4A) and bone marrow (Fig. 4B) shows distinct populations of similar size for B cells that are single positive for either IgH⁺ or IgH⁻, but intact allelic exclusion prevents the appearance of an obvious IgH⁺, IgH⁻ double producing population. Similarly, RDBC chimeras generated from heterozygous RHS1, AHSS, and AHSS ES cells exhibited IgH⁺ or IgH⁻ single positive B-cell populations of similar size in spleen (Fig. 4A) and bone marrow (Fig. 4B) but no IgH⁺, IgH⁻ double producing population. These data indicate that the deleted sequences of the targeted alleles do not contain a regulatory element that is necessary for implementation of allelic exclusion.

Furthermore, data from hybridoma analysis (Tab. 1) support this notion as in the case of a break in allelic exclusion increased numbers of hybridomas with VH to DJH rearrangements on both alleles would be expected. Such an increase compared to wildtype alleles could not be observed (Tab. 1), which indicates intact allelic exclusion of RHS1, AHSS, and AHSS alleles.

The 5′IgH DNaseI hypersensitive sites are not required for efficient class switch recombination

To assess a potential effect of the 5′IgH DNaseI hypersensitive sites on CSR, B-cells were stimulated to undergo CSR and analyzed by FACS (Fig. 5). Stimulation with LPS induces IgH isotype switching to γ3, while stimulation with IL4+αCD40 promotes switching to γ1. B-cells from AID/- mice served as negative controls, while wildtype B-cells represented a positive control and therefore switched to the appropriate isotypes under LPS or IL4+αCD40 stimulation. CSR in homozygous ΔHSs B-cells occurs at similar levels as in wildtype B-cells implying that the cluster of 5′IgH DNaseI hypersensitive sites is not required for efficient CSR to γ1 (Fig. 5A) and γ3 (Fig. 5B).

Complex phenotypes without an obvious relation to the IgH locus in ΔHS1 mice

We performed targeted deletion experiments of the 5′IgH BNasel hypersensitive sites to test their suggested function in IgH locus regulation. So far no major IgH related phenotype was identified. However, about 20% of homozygous ΔHS1 mice develop a complex neurological phenotype and die at 3–5 weeks of

Figure 5. Ig class switch recombination in absence of the 5′IgH DNaseI hypersensitive sites. MACS purified splenic B-cells were stimulated in culture with LPS or IL4+αCD40 as indicated. FACS analysis shows B-cells that underwent CSR as B220⁺ IgG1⁺ or B220⁺ IgG3⁺ cells, respectively. AID⁻ B-cells served as negative controls, wildtype (wt) 129 B-cells as positive controls. Homozygous ΔHSs B-cells were isolated from RDBC chimeras.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013992.g005
age, likely due to a lack of food intake. These mice exhibit an abnormal limp grasping phenotype, i.e. mice clasp their front and hind feet almost immediately upon being lifted by their tail (Fig. 6A, B). Furthermore these mice develop a hydrocephalus, which is already visible at about one week of age and is enlarged over the following weeks (Fig. 6C, D). Histological analysis confirmed the presence of a hydrocephalus, revealed abnormal hindbrain development, and revealed retinal abnormalities (Fig. 6E, F, G). The wildtype retina is organized in a delicate layer system (Fig. 6E): stratum opticum and ganglionic layer (1), inner plexiform layer (2), inner nuclear layer (3), outer plexiform layer (4), outer nuclear layer (5), layer of rods and cones (6), pigment layer (7). In the ΔHS1 mutant mice, the organization of retinal layers is impaired in such a way that nuclei from the outer nuclear layer are aberrantly located in the layer of rods and cones (Fig. 6F). In some more severe cases rosette formation in the outer nuclear layer is evident (Fig. 6G). Currently, we do not know what causes these phenotypes, but we exclude that this phenotype is caused by a second integration of the targeting vector at an undefined site in the genome (Figure S1). The deletion in the ΔHS1 allele deletes 340 bp within intron 1 of Zfp386. Therefore, misregulation of that poorly described gene might cause the described phenotypes although other possibilities are conceivable.

**Discussion**

This study aimed for elucidating the potential regulatory functions of a cluster of recently described DNaseI hypersensitive sites at the 5’ end of the IgH locus [9]. We performed targeted deletion of either the pro-B cell specific site HS1 (ΔHS1) or deletion of the entire cluster of hypersensitive sites (ΔHSs) in mice or in their lymphocytes, respectively. A potential regulatory element at the 5’ end of the IgH locus was speculated to regulate processes such as IgH allelic exclusion, VH germline transcription, differential accessibility or usage of distal versus proximal VH gene families. Furthermore, it was suggested that the 5’ end of the IgH locus might play a role in positioning the IgH locus in distinct subnuclear compartments [16,17,18], and it was suggested to harbor insulator or boundary capacity [19].

B- and T-lymphocytes homozygous for the ΔHS1, RHS1, and ΔHSs alleles appear to proceed through lymphocyte development in an unimpaired way. Data from RDBC chimeras generated from

![Figure 6. Complex phenotypes of homozygous ΔHS1 mice.](image-url)

Homozygous ΔHS1 mice exhibit an abnormal limp grasping phenotype (B) whereas wildtype (wt) mice do not (A). ΔHS1 mice can develop severe hydrocephalus as indicated by arrows in (C) and (D). A wildtype mouse without hydrocephalus is shown in (C). The wildtype retina is organized in distinct layers (E): Stratum opticum and ganglionic layer (1), inner plexiform layer (2), inner nuclear layer (3), outer plexiform layer (4), outer nuclear layer (5), layer of rods and cones (6), pigment layer (7). The retina of homozygous ΔHS1 mice shows external nuclei from the outer nuclear layer (5) in the layer of rods and cones (6) indicated by arrows in (F), or rosette formation of the outer nuclear layer (5) indicated by arrows in (G).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013992.g006
heterozygous *HS1*, *RHS1*, and *HSs* ES cells indicated that allelic exclusion is not affected in mutant B-cells and that mutant *IgH* alleles can undergo efficient V(D)J recombination of their IgH locus. Furthermore, data from PCR assays to analyze V(D)J recombination efficiency in mice with *HS1* deleted on both alleles supports the notion that *HS1* is not necessary for neither the D to JH nor the VH to DJH recombination step. Both proximal and distal VH families as well as the distal most VH segment *Vj356.55* rearrange as efficiently as on wildtype alleles. Similarly, *Igl* loci in *HS1* deleted B-cells rearrange at the same efficiency as wildtype *Igl* loci. Analysis of *IgH* V(D)J rearrangement status in hybridomas generated from heterozygous *HS1*, *RHS1*, and *HSs* B-cells also strengthens the idea that the deleted DNaseI hypersensitive sites would not regulate *IgH* V(D)J recombination. We tested for potential alterations associated with DNA end processing during V(D)J recombination by examining the CDR3 sequence obtained from homozygous *HS1* B cells and found a distribution in length that was similar to wildtype B cells [20] (Figure S2).

We tested a potential effect of the cluster of DNaseI hypersensitive site on the process of IgH CSR. Assaying class switching upon different in vitro stimulations in wildtype and homozygous *HS1* B-cells let us conclude that the cluster of 5′ *IgH* DNaseI hypersensitive sites does not play a crucial role in CSR.

The only observed phenotypes so far occurred in homozygous *HS1* mice and seem to be independent of the IgH locus. *HS1* mice show abnormal limb grasping indicating a neurological abnormality, *HS1* mice can develop severe hydrocephalus and exhibit retinal impairments. A possible explanation for these phenotypes is a potential defect in regulation of the zinc finger protein *Zfp386*. *HS1* deletes a 340 bp region from intron 1 of *Zfp386*. *HS1* deletes a 340 bp region from intron 1 of *Zfp386* which might result in different splice forms, impaired expression levels, or expression patterns of this gene.

Overall, our analysis of the deletion of the pro-B cell specific site *HS1* or the whole cluster of 5′ *IgH* DNaseI hypersensitive sites did not support the existence of a cis-regulatory function of these elements regarding the IgH locus.
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