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Abstract

Adjuvant hormonal therapy for postmenopausal women with early stage breast cancer has become far more complex over the past several years. This commentary reviews the current status of the five major trials evaluating the use of the aromatase inhibitors in the adjuvant setting. The data currently available suggest that the aromatase inhibitors are efficacious either as upfront therapy or after a course of tamoxifen. Ongoing trials will compare these approaches and guide the use of these agents in the years to come.

Adjuvant hormonal therapy for postmenopausal women with hormone receptor positive breast cancer has become far more complex over the past several years. Before this period, the standard of care for postmenopausal women with early stage, estrogen receptor positive breast cancer was treatment for 5 years with tamoxifen, which reduced the annual risk for disease recurrence by almost 50% [1]. Tamoxifen is associated with the rare, but potentially fatal, side effects of endometrial cancer and venous thrombosis, but long-term follow up from multiple studies revealed a highly favorable risk–benefit ratio.

A growing body of data has demonstrated that the aromatase inhibitors may further decrease the risk for breast cancer recurrence. Five major randomized trials have contributed to our understanding of the role of the aromatase inhibitors in the adjuvant setting. Two of these trials, the Anastrozole or Tamoxifen Alone or in Combination (ATAC) trial and the International Breast Cancer Study Group’s BIG 1-98 trial compared an aromatase inhibitor (letrozole) versus tamoxifen as initial hormonal therapy in the adjuvant setting [2-4]. Three other trials have employed crossover strategies; the Intergroup Exemestane Study (IES) and the Austrian Breast & Colorectal Cancer Study Group (ABCSD) Trial 8/German ARNO 95 trial (anastrozole) compared a crossover to an aromatase inhibitor versus continued tamoxifen in women who had completed 2–3 years of tamoxifen [5,6]. The MA-17 trial compared the use of letrozole with placebo after 5 years of tamoxifen [7].

The primary end-point of these trials was disease-free or event-free survival, although the precise definition of this end-point varied somewhat across trials. Regardless of the exact definition, each trial demonstrated a decrease in breast cancer events in women who had received an aromatase inhibitor. At a median of 68 months of follow up, the ATAC trial demonstrated a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.83 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.73–0.94) for women with hormone receptor positive breast cancer treated with anastrozole [2]. The absolute difference in events between anastrozole and tamoxifen was 3.3% at 6 years. No survival difference has yet been demonstrated. The BIG 1-98 trial also demonstrated a significantly lower rate of breast cancer events in the aromatase inhibitor arm [4]. With a median follow up of 25.8 months, the HR for a breast cancer recurrence, second breast or nonbreast malignancy, or death from any cause at 30.6 months was 0.81 (95% CI 0.70–0.93) in the letrozole arm as compared with the tamoxifen arm. Not surprisingly, given the short follow up of the trial, there was no difference in overall survival. Of note, there was a nonsignificant increase in nonbreast cancer related deaths in the letrozole arm, with an excess of cardiac and cerebrovascular deaths in this group ($P=0.08$).

The crossover trials also demonstrated significantly lower rates of breast cancer events in patients treated with an aromatase inhibitor. The patient populations in these trials were somewhat different from those in ATAC and BIG 1-98, because patients were free of disease after 2–3 years of tamoxifen. In IES the HR for a recurrence of breast cancer, a contralateral cancer, or death from any cause at 30.6 months was 0.68 (95% CI 0.56–0.82) in the exemestane arm, which corresponded to a 4.7% absolute difference in event rates between the two groups [6]. The exemestane group also had a significantly lower risk for distant relapse (HR 0.66, 95% CI

CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio.
Aromatase inhibitor as initial therapy or by taking tamoxifen for time whether women are best served by starting an aromatase inhibitor after tamoxifen or, in some situations, considered better candidates for a shorter course of an aromatase inhibitor rather than tamoxifen alone.

In addition to examining the efficacy of the drugs, the adjuvant trials have also examined the tolerability and toxicity of the aromatase inhibitors [9,10]. In general, the drugs were well tolerated, with low rates of discontinuation due to adverse events. Many side effects, such as hot flashes, mood changes and weight gain, were similar in patients treated with aromatase inhibitors and tamoxifen. Patients treated with the aromatase inhibitors developed significantly more osteoporosis and fractures than did those treated with tamoxifen, but they did not appear to have the increased risk for clotting and endometrial pathology that has been seen with tamoxifen. The BIG 1-98 trial did demonstrate an excess of cardiac disease and deaths in patients treated with letrozole [4], and the ATAC study demonstrated an excess of approximately 20 nonbreast cancer related deaths in the anastrozole arm [3]. Although these differences did not reach statistical significance, further evaluation of the impact of aromatase inhibitors on women’s overall health is needed. Unfortunately, the earlyStopping of several of the aromatase inhibitor trials based on the efficacy of the drugs may make it difficult to fully evaluate their toxicity.

There is consensus that the optimal adjuvant hormonal therapy for postmenopausal women with hormone receptor positive breast cancer should include an aromatase inhibitor in most settings [11]. However, the ‘best’ use of an aromatase inhibitor remains unclear. It is not known at this time whether women are best served by starting an aromatase inhibitor as initial therapy or by taking tamoxifen for a number of years followed by an aromatase inhibitor. Further data from the crossover arms of the BIG 1-98 trial may provide an answer to this question, but it will be several years before these data are mature. In the interim, three groups have designed models to evaluate the relative benefits of different treatment strategies [12,13]. A Markov model suggests that a crossover from tamoxifen to an aromatase inhibitor after 2–3 years may be the preferred strategy for the typical patient, in order to minimize the chance of recurrence over a 10- to 15-year time span [12]. Although such models can be helpful in analyzing complex data from a number of sources, they do not substitute for randomized clinical trials and are highly dependent on a range of assumptions. However, the models do emphasize the importance of ongoing crossover trials such as BIG 1-98.

A single approach may not be optimal for all patients. Based on characteristics of the tumor and patient, it is likely that different women will benefit from differing hormonal therapy strategies. Subset analyses of the ATAC and ABCGS/ARNO trials have suggested that the use of an aromatase inhibitor may be especially beneficial in women with estrogen receptor-positive and progesterone receptor-negative tumors [5,14], and preoperative studies have demonstrated that aromatase inhibitors may also be more effective in women whose tumors are estrogen-receptor positive and overexpress the HER2 protein [15]. Gene microarray profiling has suggested that there may be signatures associated with resistance to tamoxifen [16], and it is possible that the aromatase inhibitors may be more effective in this setting as well. Hormone receptor positive breast cancer is comprised of at least three distinct tumor types as defined by clinical characteristics, single gene markers, and microarray analyses [17]: luminal A (typically estrogen receptor-positive, progesterone receptor-positive, and low grade); luminal B (typically higher grade); and HER2-positive with coexpression of steroid hormone receptors. Each of these tumor types may require a somewhat different approach. Finally, as we gain a better understanding of the toxicity profile of the aromatase inhibitors, we may be able to predict which women are at greatest risk for side effects and who might therefore be considered better candidates for a shorter course of an aromatase inhibitor after tamoxifen or, in some situations, tamoxifen alone.

The aromatase inhibitors represent a major advance in adjuvant hormonal therapy for postmenopausal women with breast cancer. The current standard of care for a postmenopausal woman with early stage breast cancer would clearly include the use of an aromatase inhibitor, either upfront or after some period of tamoxifen use. Given the
available data, it is difficult to conclude that one approach is superior to another. It is also important to remember that upfront use of an aromatase inhibitor probably precludes the use of other aromatase inhibitors after 5 years of therapy, an approach that demonstrated significant benefit in the MA-17 trial.

There are currently many unanswered questions regarding the optimal hormonal therapy regimen for postmenopausal women with early stage breast cancer. Recently completed and ongoing studies will address many of these issues, and it is important to withhold final judgment regarding the use of the aromatase inhibitors and tamoxifen until these data are available. The aromatase inhibitors clearly represent an advance in hormonal therapy for postmenopausal women. Over the next few years, several trials will help to guide their optimal use to guarantee that women in the years ahead will gain an ever-increasing benefit from adjuvant hormonal therapy.
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